A SUM CONNECTED WITH QUADRATIC RESIDUES

L. CARLITZ

1. Let p be a prime > 2 and m an arbitrary positive integer; define

(1.1)
$$S_m = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \left(\frac{m}{r}\right),$$

where (r/p) is the Legendre symbol. We consider the problem of finding the highest power of p dividing S_m . A little more generally, if we put

(1.2)
$$S_m(a) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} \left(\frac{r+a}{p}\right) \binom{m}{r},$$

where *a* is an arbitrary integer, we seek the highest power of p dividing $S_m(a)$. Clearly $S_m = S_m(0)$, and $S_m(a) = S_m(b)$ when $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$.

In the first place it follows from (1,2) that $S_m(a)$ satisfies the recurrence

(1.3)
$$S_{m+1}(a) = \Delta S_m(a) = S_m(a+1) - S_m(a)$$

where it is understood that Δ applies only to a. Repeated application of (1.3) gives

(1.4)
$$S_{m+r}(a) = \varDelta^r S_m(a) = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^{r-s} {r \choose s} S_m(a+s).$$

We may also write (1.3) in the form

(1.5)
$$S_m(a+1) = S_{m+1}(a) + S_m(a),$$

which implies

(1.6)
$$S_m(a+r) = \sum_{s=0}^r {\binom{r}{s}} S_{m+s}(a).$$

In particular for r = p, (1.6) becomes

(1.7)
$$\sum_{s=1}^{p} \left(\frac{p}{s} \right) S_{m+s}(a) = 0.$$

2. It follows from

Received August 8, 1955.

$$\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \equiv r^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}$$
$$\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \equiv r^{p^n(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

that

2

for arbitrary $n \ge 0$. Consequently (1.2) becomes

(2.1)
$$S_m(a) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} \binom{m}{r} (r+a)^{p^n(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

We recall that for arbitrary positive k

(2.2)
$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} (r+a)^{k}$$

is an integer (for a = 0, (2.2) is a Stirling number of the second kind). If now $E_p(m)$ denotes the highest power of p dividing m!, it is clear from (2.1) that (2.3) $S_m(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{E_p(m)}}.$

In view of the definition of $E_p(m)$, (2.3) may be restated in the following way: $S_m(a)/m!$ is integral (mod p).

3. It may be possible to improve (2.3). We make use of the following familiar formula for Gauss sums (see for example [2, Th. 215]):

(3.1)
$$\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) e^{rs} = \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) G_p \qquad (\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/p}),$$

where

(3.2)
$$G_{p} = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) e^{s} = \begin{cases} p^{1/2} & (p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ ip^{1/2} & (p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Note that (3.1) is valid for all r. It follows that

$$G_{p}S_{m}(a) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) e^{(r+a)s}$$

so that

(3.3)
$$G_p S_m(a) = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \varepsilon^{as} (\varepsilon^s - 1)^m.$$

Clearly (3.3) implies

(3.4)
$$G_{p}S_{m}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\epsilon-1)^{m}},$$

where we are now operating in the cyclotomic field $k(\varepsilon)$. Since in this field we have

$$(3.5) (p) = (\varepsilon - 1)^{p-1},$$

(3.2) and (3.4) yield

(3.6)
$$S_m(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\varepsilon - 1)^{m - (p-1)/2}}.$$

Define the integer h by means of

(3.7)
$$(h-1)(p-1) < m - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) \le h(p-1).$$

Since $S_m(a)$ is a rational integer, it follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) S_m(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^h},$$

which again is valid for all a.

We recall that

$$E_p(m) = \left[\frac{m}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{m}{p^2}\right] + \ldots < \frac{m}{p-1};$$

hence using (3.7) we may verify that $h \ge E_p(m)$ so that (3.8) implies (2.3). In particular for

$$\frac{1}{2}(p-1) < m \leq p-1,$$

h = 1 while $E_p(m) = 0$. The difference $h - E_p(m)$ may indeed be arbitrarily large; for example if

$$p^k - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) < m \le p^k - 1,$$

we find that

$$E_p(m) = \frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1} - k, \quad h = \frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1},$$

so that $h - E_p(m) = k$.

4. Returning to (3.3) we consider the particular case

(4.1)
$$m - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) = h(p-1);$$

for such *m* the value of *h* computed by means of (3.7) will coincide with the value of *h* in (4.1). Now (3.3) implies

(4.2)
$$(\varepsilon-1)^{-m}G_{p}S_{m}(a) = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \varepsilon^{as} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{s}-1}{\varepsilon-1}\right)^{m}.$$

We shall compute the residue of the right member (mod $\varepsilon - 1$). Since

$$\varepsilon^{as} \equiv 1, \quad \frac{\varepsilon^s - 1}{\varepsilon - 1} \equiv s,$$

it is evident that (4.2) becomes

(4.3)
$$(\varepsilon - 1)^{-m} G_p S_m(a) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) s^m \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) s^{(p-1)/2}$$

= $\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s^{p-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{\varepsilon - 1}$

Next we replace (3.5) by the more exact statement

(4.4)
$$p \equiv (\varepsilon - 1)^{p-1} \pmod{(\varepsilon - 1)^p},$$

which is easily proved. Also if p = 2k + 1, the identity (see for example [3, p. 176])

$$\sum_{0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^{s(s+1)} = \prod_{1}^{k} (1 - \varepsilon^{-2(2s-1)})$$

implies

(4.5)
$$G_p = \sum_{0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^{s^2} \equiv (-1)^k (\varepsilon - 1)^k k! \pmod{(\varepsilon - 1)^{k+1}}.$$

Using (4.4) and (4.5), (4.3) becomes

(4.6)
$$p^{-h}S_m(a) \equiv -(-1)^k/k! \pmod{p}.$$

Hence for *m* satisfying (4.1) the exponent *h* furnishes the highest power of *p* dividing $S_m(a)$ and the residue of $p^{-h}S_m(a)$ satisfies (4.6). Note also that the right member of (4.6) is independent of *a*.

5. When m does not satisfy (4.1) it is more difficult to simplify the right member of (4.2). Let

(5.1)
$$(h-1)(p-1) < m - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) < h(p-1);$$

it is convenient to put

(5.2)
$$m+l=h(p-1)+\frac{1}{2}(p-1) \quad (1 \le l \le p-2).$$

Thus it is clear from (3.8) that the right member of (4.2) is divisible by $(\varepsilon - 1)^l$ and we have

(5.3)
$$(\varepsilon-1)^{-h(p-1)}G_pS_m(a) \equiv (\varepsilon-1)^{-l}\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}\left(\frac{s}{p}\right)\varepsilon^{as}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^s-1}{\varepsilon-1}\right)^m \pmod{\varepsilon-1}.$$

We accordingly seek the residue of

(5.4)
$$T_m(a) = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) e^{as} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^s - 1}{\varepsilon - 1}\right)^m \pmod{(\varepsilon - 1)^{l+1}}.$$

Clearly we may put

$$T_m(a) \equiv A_0 + A_1(\varepsilon - 1) + \ldots + A_l(\varepsilon - 1)^l,$$

where the A's are rational integers; it follows from (3.8) that $A_0 \equiv \ldots \equiv A_{l-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and may therefore be ignored. Thus in the expansion of the right member of (5.4) we need only retain the term in $(\varepsilon - 1)^l$. Now we have

$$\left(\frac{(1+x)^{s}-1}{x}\right)^{m} = x^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} (1+s)^{rs},$$

so that

$$(1+x)^{as} \left(\frac{(1+x)^s-1}{x}\right)^m = x^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} (1+x)^{(a+r)s}$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} \sum_{t=0}^{(a+r)s} {(a+r)s \choose t} x^{t-m}.$$

Hence by the above remark we get

(5.5)
$$(\varepsilon-1)^{-l}T_m(a) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} {(a+r)s \choose m+l} \pmod{\varepsilon-1}.$$

To further simplify this result note that the inner sum in the right member is the *m*-th difference of a polynomial in *a* of degree m+l; thus only terms of degree $\ge m$ make any contribution. Now for a term of degree *t*, where $m \le t \le m+l$, we get

$$\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) s^{t} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s^{(p-1)/2+t},$$

and in view of (5.2) this sum vanishes (mod p) unless t = m + l in which case the sum $\equiv -1$. Thus (5.5) becomes

L. CARLITZ

(5.6)
$$(\varepsilon - 1)^{-l} T_m(a) \equiv -\frac{1}{(m+l)!} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} {m \choose r} (a+r)^{m+l} \pmod{\varepsilon - 1}.$$

Finally as in the proof of (4.6), we may simplify the left member of (5.3). Thus using (5.4) and (5.6) we obtain

(5.7)
$$p^{-h}S_m(a) \equiv -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{1}{(m+l)!} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} \binom{m}{r} (a+r)^{m+l} \pmod{p},$$

where p = 2k + 1 and h and l are defined by (5.1) and (5.2). When m satisfies (4.1) it is easily verified that (5.7) reduces to (4.6). Thus (5.7) holds for all m. We may therefore state the following

THEOREM. Let p = 2k + 1 be a prime, a an arbitrary integer and m a positive integer; define h and l by means of

$$(h-1)(p-1) < m - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) \le h(p-1), \quad m+l = h(p-1) + \frac{1}{2}(p-1).$$

Then $S_m(a)$ satisfies (5.7). In particular when l = 0, (5.7) reduces to

(5.8)
$$p^{-h}S_m(a) \equiv -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \pmod{p} \quad (l=0)$$

Comparison of (5.7) with (2.1) leads to a rather curious congruence.

It should be remarked that the right member of (5.7) may be divisible by p; thus we have not in all cases determined the highest power of p dividing $S_m(a)$. However when m = h(p-1) + (p-1)/2, h is the correct exponent.

For small values of l, the right member of (5.7) can be reduced further using known properties of Stirling numbers of the second kind (see for example [1, §58] and [4]):

$$A_{m+l,m} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-r} \binom{m}{r} \gamma^{m+l}.$$

We have in particular

$$A_{m+1,m} = \frac{1}{2}m(m+1),$$

$$A_{m+2,m} = \frac{1}{24}m(m+1)(m+2)(3m+1),$$

$$A_{m+3,m} = \frac{1}{48}m^2(m+1)^2(m+2)(m+3).$$

On the other hand it is clear that (5.7) can be rewritten as

(5.9)
$$p^{-h}S_m(a) = -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{1}{(m+1)\dots(m+l)} \sum_{t=m}^{m+l} {m+l \choose t} a^{m+l-t}A_{m+l,t} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus for example, when a = 0, (5.9) yields

$$p^{-h}S_m \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{m}{2} & (l=1) \\ -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{m(3m+1)}{24} & (l=2) \\ -\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{m^2(m+1)}{48} & (l=3). \end{cases}$$

6. When p = 3 it is easily proved that

(6.1)
$$S_m(a) = \begin{cases} (-3)^{3/2} \left(\frac{2m+a}{3}\right) & (m \text{ even}) \\ (-3)^{(m-1)/2} c & (m \text{ odd}), \end{cases}$$

where c = -2 for 3/m, c = +1 for 3+m. It is easily verified that (6.1) is in agreement with the general results above.

In conclusion a word may be said about the sum

(6.2)
$$R_m(a) = \sum_{r=0}^m \left(\frac{r+a}{p}\right) \binom{m}{r}.$$

If $m = m_1 p + m_0$, $r = r_1 p + r_0$, $0 \le m_0 < p$, $0 \le r_0 < p$,

then
$$\binom{m}{r} \equiv \binom{m_1}{r_1} \binom{m_0}{r_0} \pmod{p},$$

so that (6.2) becomes

$$R_m(a) \equiv \sum_r \left(\frac{r_0+a}{p}\right) \binom{m_1}{r_1} \binom{m_0}{r_0} \equiv 2^{m_1} R_{m_0}(a). \pmod{p}.$$

Thus to find the residue $(\mod p)$ of $R_m(a)$ it suffices to consider the case $0 \le m < p$. However it is not evident how to find the residue in this case.

References

- [1] C. Jordan, Calculus of finite differences, second edition, New York, 1947.
- [2] E. Landau, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, vol. 1, Leipzig, 1927.
- [3] T. Nagell, Introduction to number theory, New York, 1951.
- [4] M. Ward, The representation of Stirling's numbers and Stirling's polynomials as sums of factorials, American Journal of Mathematics, 56 (1934), pp. 87-95.

Duke University