



Laura A. Paquin¹,[†], Ahsan Hameed², Nick J. Parziale² and Stuart J. Laurence³

¹Naval Center for Space Technology, U.S. Naval Research Lab, Washington, DC 20375, USA ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA ³Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

(Received 17 May 2023; revised 22 November 2023; accepted 10 January 2024)

Boundary-layer disturbances are analysed on a 5° half-angle blunted cone in Mach 5, high-enthalpy flow ($h_0 = 9$ MJ kg⁻¹) with a low wall-to-edge temperature ratio, $T_w/T_e = 0.18$. Schlieren and focused laser differential interferometry (FLDI) are utilized to assess the structures and frequency content associated with disturbances. Wave packets are identified from bursts of modal content on time-resolved spectrograms. Bandpass filtering, proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) and space–time POD are then applied to the schlieren data. Bandpass filtering suggests the presence of wave packet dispersion and elongation indicative of slow-acoustic-wave synchronization. Modal reconstruction techniques indicate the radiation of content outside the boundary layer and distinct orientation changes within disturbances, potentially the first experimental evidence of the supersonic-mode instability in such a flow field. Cross-bicoherence computations are carried out for discrete time segments of data from both schlieren and FLDI data. They demonstrate that the most dominant nonlinear interactions are the fundamental–first-harmonic and the fundamental–low-frequency interactions.

Key words: boundary layer stability, hypersonic flow, transition to turbulence

1. Introduction

Thermal management is the hallmark of hypersonic vehicle design. With stagnation enthalpies high enough to induce vibrational excitation and, often, chemical dissociation, the flight corridors of hypersonic systems impart immense heating to vehicle surfaces.

> †Email address for correspondence: laura.a.paquin@gmail.com Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release. Distribution unlimited.

© Department of Defense and the Author(s), 2024. To the extent this is a work of the US Government, it is not subject to copyright protection within the United States. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re- use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Boundary-layer transition, which affects everything from drag to engine performance, can make a huge impact on a vehicle's heating profile. For slender bodies undergoing boundary-layer transition, the level of heating at the locus of transition is more than triple that within a laminar boundary layer (MacLean *et al.* 2008). Thus, the ability to accurately predict this phenomenon constitutes a primary concern, and designs accounting for transition-location uncertainty can be twice as heavy as their well-predicted counterparts (Defense Science Board Task Force 1988; Leyva 2017).

The thermal state of the boundary layer, in particular the wall-to-edge temperature ratio T_w/T_e , has emerged as an extremely influential parameter when it comes to stability and transition. Mack (1984), who famously identified the second-mode instability as dominant for slender bodies of revolution at high Mach numbers, demonstrated that cooled, thinner boundary layers experienced a more destabilized second mode. Bitter & Shepherd (2015) showed that, near Mach 5, decreasing T_w/T_e from 3.0 (representative of a cold-flow tunnel) to 0.2 (representative of a high-enthalpy facility) increased the maximum second-mode N factor by a factor of two. Moreover, this temperature ratio is expected to be a primary cause of discrepancy between flight data and experimental results gathered in enthalpy-limited tunnels. For example, flight tests of the HIFiRE-1 cone showed that the windward transition front was more than 20 % farther upstream than results from the German Aerospace Centre's (DLR's) hypersonic tunnel H2K demonstrated, even though the tunnel was a higher-noise environment (see Stanfield *et al.* 2015). This difference was attributed to the cooled-wall condition in flight, where the wall-to-stagnation temperature ratio was three times lower than that in the tunnel. Thus, understanding the underlying mechanics related to the boundary-layer transition process at flight-relevant enthalpies constitutes a critical goal for hypersonic vehicle design.

It is important to contextualize the present research within the multitude of numerical studies as well as the smaller extent of experimental works related to stability and transition at high enthalpy. We pause to note that the aspect of high-enthalpy testing relevant here is the inherent 'cooled-wall' condition created when the gas is heated beyond the temperature of the vehicle wall. Other aspects of high-enthalpy flow, i.e. molecular dissociation, ionization and gas-surface interactions, also play an important role in aerodynamics and stability; however, these topics are beyond the scope of this study. Computational investigations into the nature of boundary-layer disturbances at flight-relevant enthalpy have not only identified the destabilization of the second-mode instability, but many have pointed to the emergence of the supersonic mode under cooled-wall conditions. This instability mode arises when wave packets travel supersonically relative to the free stream, and, as discussed in greater detail later, it can be characterized by disturbance elongation coupled with wall-normal heightening of the wave packet. The supersonic mode has been predicted to emerge and persist over a wide frequency range for $T_w/T_e \ll 1$, with the highest growth rate at M = 5, as shown by linear stability theory (LST) (Bitter & Shepherd 2015). While it has been demonstrated that the instability can arise within both coldand hot-wall conical boundary layers at Mach 10 amid thermochemical non-equilibrium, direct numerical simulations (DNS) indicated that the mode was more likely to impact transition in the cold case (Knisely & Zhong 2019). The radiation events associated with the supersonic mode have also been analysed. For example, Chuvakhov & Fedorov (2016) suggested that the mechanism may delay the transition to turbulence. Unnikrishnan & Gaitonde (2020, 2021) addressed this postulate, maintaining that the destabilization of perturbations within the boundary layer would have a stronger effect promoting transition than the radiated energy would have in delaying it. Finally, nose bluntness has also been shown to have a significant effect on the supersonic mode, with the LST and DNS of Mortensen (2018) demonstrating a strong coupling between increased nose radius (up to a certain point) and instability growth rate; effects of oxygen dissociation were also observed to have a significant effect.

Experimental investigation into boundary-layer phenomena at high enthalpy has been far more limited; in particular, we note that the supersonic mode has not yet been observed in the experimental literature. Stability and transition studies are hindered by the challenges inherent to high-enthalpy facilities, such as test-gas luminosity, soot accumulation and test times limited to a few milliseconds. For this reason, many experimental studies have been limited in scope, for example, to identifying transition location or presence of the second mode. Nonetheless, we highlight the small number of relevant campaigns performed in high-enthalpy free-piston shock tunnels. In terms of transition onset, Re = 4×10^{6} was associated with transition on a 7° cone in the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) high-enthalpy shock tunnel (HIEST) facility for $h_0 < 8$ MJ kg⁻¹, but spectral results for experiments $h_0 > 8 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$ were not repeatable (Tanno *et al.* 2009, 2010). The N factors $6 \leq N \leq 7$ have been associated with the onset of transition along a 7° cone in DLR's high-enthalpy shock tunnel Göttingen (HEG) facility, but transition was only observed for the low-enthalpy condition $h_0 = 3 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$ (Wagner *et al.* 2011; Wartemann et al. 2019). For a 5° cone subjected to different test-gas species (air, N₂, CO₂), transition Reynolds numbers Re_{tr} were identified for enthalpies ranging $3 \le h_0 \le$ 15 MJ kg⁻¹ in the T5 facility at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) (Adam & Hornung 1997). Unfortunately, the trend found between h_0 and Re_{tr} opposed that observed in the NASA Reentry-F flight data. In terms of second-mode presence, a number of studies have characterized the frequency content associated with the instability. For the 7° cone in HIEST, Tanno et al. (2009) identified second-mode frequencies in the range 400–480 kHz for $h_0 = 4.5$ MJ kg⁻¹ flow using PCB PiezotronicsTM pressure transducers, Ide, Ito & Tanno (2020) measured second-mode frequencies in the range 300-400 kHz for $h_0 = 3 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$ also using PCB transducers and Kawata *et al.* (2022) found second-mode peaks in the range 300–500 kHz at $h_0 \approx 4$ MJ kg⁻¹ using focused laser differential interferometry (FLDI). For the 7° cone in DLR's HEG facility, second-mode frequencies and frequency shifts were found to be well-predicted by stability codes at both $h_0 =$ 3 MJ kg⁻¹ and 11 MJ kg⁻¹ (Wagner *et al.* 2011; Wartemann *et al.* 2019). For the 5° cone in Caltech's T5, Parziale, Shepherd & Hornung (2015) measured frequency peaks near 1.2 MHz, or 0.65 $U_e/2\delta$, for the second mode at high enthalpies (11–13 MJ kg⁻¹) and with various test-gas species. Apart from observations of transition onset and measurements of second-mode frequency, a number of investigations have characterized other aspects of instability growth and breakdown of interest to this work. Laurence, Wagner & Hannemann (2016) distinguished the structure of second-mode instabilities at low (3 MJ kg^{-1}) and high (12 MJ kg^{-1}) enthalpy, employing high-speed schlieren imaging to show how the dominant wave structure in the high-enthalpy case was confined close to the wall. Ide et al. (2020) expanded the PCB-based investigation of conical boundary layers in HIEST by evaluating nonlinear interactions between second-mode content and low-frequency disturbances via cross-bicoherence; however, this investigation was limited to $h_0 = 3$ MJ kg⁻¹, or $T_w/T_e = 1$, and evaluated nonlinear interactions between this content and low-frequency disturbances via cross-bicoherence; however, this investigation was limited to $h_0 = 3$ MJ kg⁻¹, or $T_w/T_e = 1$. Tanno *et al.* (2009, 2010) analysed the stability of a 7° cone with total enthalpy ranging 3–16 MJ kg⁻¹ using fast-response thermocouples and PCB transducers. For $h_0 < 8 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$, transition occurred at $Re = 4 \times$ 10⁶ and second-mode frequencies were identified in the range 400–480 kHz, but spectral results for experiments $h_0 > 8$ MJ kg⁻¹ were not repeatable. Ide *et al.* (2020) identified second-mode frequencies on a 7° cone in the range 300–400 kHz and evaluated nonlinear

interactions between this content and low-frequency disturbances via cross-bicoherence; however, this investigation was limited to $h_0 = 3 \text{ MJ kg}^{-1}$, or $T_w/T_e = 1$.

This work seeks to expand the scope of previous experimental analyses such that the existence and nature of phenomena predicted numerically at low T_w/T_e can be addressed directly. The study leverages results from two distinct experimental diagnostic techniques, comparing instability growth with numerical predictions and assessing the mechanisms of breakdown at high spatial resolution. Many numerical and experimental studies have alluded to the unsteady nature of laminar-to-turbulent transition, even during the nominally steady test time, and the importance of investigating short bursts of data. On the numerical side, investigations into the supersonic mode have characterized the instability as a 'spontaneous radiation of sound' (Chuvakhov & Fedorov 2016), or a 'sudden and strong emission of acoustic waves' (Salemi & Fasel 2018). On the experimental side, studies have associated boundary-layer transition (likely due to the second-mode instability or particulate impact) with 'bursts of large-amplitude and spectrally broad disturbances' (Parziale et al. 2015) or 'isolated local turbulent patches' without clear initiating events (Jewell, Leyva & Shepherd 2017). Thus, studies which focus on different types of instabilities arising from uncontrolled free stream disturbances all point to the transient nature of such phenomena. The goal of this study is to provide insight into the mechanisms of transition in high-enthalpy boundary layers. Utilizing high-frequency optical diagnostics, we seek to depict the time-resolved spectral evolution and modulation of wave packets, comparing with numerically predicted phenomena associated with instabilities in highly cooled boundary layers. Finally, we seek to characterize the role of nonlinear interactions in the breakdown process.

2. Facility and set-up

Experiments in this study were conducted in the T5 reflected-shock tunnel at Caltech. The facility design and operation are detailed in Hornung (1992) and Jewell (2014) and described here briefly. A schematic of T5 is shown in figure 1. Moving in the downstream direction, the tunnel can be segmented into the following components: secondary reservoir; piston; compression tube (CT); primary diaphragm; shock tube (ST); secondary diaphragm; contoured nozzle; test section; dump tank. Before a shot, the test section, dump tank and both tubes are evacuated. Then the ST is filled with ALPHAGAZ air to the desired test-gas pressure P_1 , and the CT is filled with an argon-helium mixture to the desired driver pressure P_{CT} . Finally the secondary reservoir, upstream of the piston, is filled with compressed air to a specified gage pressure P_{2R} , around 1200 psi for these experiments. Once exposed to the pressure in the secondary reservoir, the 120-kg piston travels downstream, adiabatically compressing the driver gas mixture to a desired value P_4 . At this point the pressure difference between the driver gas in the CT and the test gas in the ST is high enough to burst the primary stainless-steel diaphragm. The generated shock wave travels through the ST at speed U_s , compressing the test gas, and then reflects at the downstream end of the ST, bursting the secondary mylar diaphragm. Under tailored operation, the test gas is considered stagnant after being additionally compressed and heated from the shock reflection to an ultimate reservoir pressure P_R and temperature T_R . This flow is then accelerated through the axisymmetric nozzle to Mach 5, and hypervelocity flow is established for approximately 1 ms in the test section. For the present study, total enthalpies h_0 around 9 MJ kg⁻¹ were established for both shots, resulting in wall-to-edge temperature ratios T_w/T_e of 0.18. Relative to the adiabatic wall temperature T_{aw} , this temperature ratio is $T_w/T_{aw} = 0.057$.



Figure 1. Schematic of T5 reflected-shock tunnel.

	Reservoir						
Shot	$\frac{h_0}{(\text{MJ kg}^{-1})}$	P ₀ (MPa)	<i>T</i> ₀ (K)	$\frac{\rho_0}{(\text{kg m}^{-3})}$		Diagnostic	
2988	$\begin{array}{c} 8.93 \\ \pm \ 0.98 \end{array}$	60.1 ± 1.9	$5758 \\ \pm 400$	33.4 ± 3.2		schlieren	
2990	$\begin{array}{c} 8.86 \\ \pm \ 0.97 \end{array}$	59.6 ± 1.9	$5727 \\ \pm 400$	33.3 ± 3.2		FLDI	
	Free stream						
Shot	$\frac{U_{\infty}}{(\mathrm{m \ s}^{-1})}$	P_{∞} (kPa)	T_{∞} (K)	ρ_{∞} (kg m ⁻³)	M_{∞} (-)	$\frac{Re_{\infty}}{(\times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-1})}$	<i>T_w/T_e</i> (-)
2988	3822 ± 190	$\begin{array}{c} 34.6 \\ \pm \ 6.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1369 \\ \pm 210 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.087 \\ \pm \ 0.009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.12 \\ \pm \ 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.35 \\ \pm \ 0.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \\ \pm \ 0.02 \end{array}$
2990	3809 ± 190	34.2 ± 6.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1355 \\ \pm \ 200 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.087 \\ \pm \ 0.009 \end{array}$	$5.13 \\ \pm 0.36$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.35 \\ \pm \ 0.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \\ \pm \ 0.02 \end{array}$
Table 1. Flow conditions.							

Table 1 lists the relevant nozzle reservoir and free stream run conditions for the tests analysed below. The thermodynamic state of the test gas in the nozzle reservoir is determined using the test-gas pressure, P_1 , and the measured incident shock speed, U_s . We use Cantera (Goodwin, Moffat & Speth 2009) with the Shock and Detonation Toolbox (Browne, Ziegler & Shepherd 2008) to determine the reservoir conditions. The free stream conditions at the exit of the nozzle are obtained through a simulation performed using the University of Minnesota Nozzle Code (Wright, Candler & Prampolini 1996; Johnson 2000; Candler 2005; Wagnild 2012). The free stream conditions are chosen to be an areal average of the data-parallel lower-upper relaxation (DPLR) output at approximately 580 ± 10 mm, which was the estimated distance from the nozzle throat to the location of the cone's nose tip during the experiment. It is important to note that the release of energy for recombination in the nozzle results in the relatively high T_{∞} compared with T_0 . Table 1 also lists the uncertainty values associated with the reservoir and free stream conditions, as calculated by Parziale (2013). The uncertainty in reservoir conditions corresponds to bias uncertainty originating in P_R , U_s and P_1 . The uncertainty in free stream conditions corresponds to that in the reservoir conditions propagated through the nozzle code as well as nozzle spatial inhomogeneity.



Figure 2. Location of diagnostics on cone. The 17-cm-long schlieren FOV is highlighted in red and the FLDI focus at 68 cm is labelled.

The model was a 5°-half-angle cone, 99 cm in total length. The frustum section was aluminium and 83 cm in length, and the 16-cm molybdenum nose tip had a nose radius of $R_N = 2$ mm. The model was installed at nominally zero incidence for all the shots. During the experimental set-up, a digital inclinometer was placed on the cone to measure the cone's angle of attack. The inclinometer provided angular deviation with respect to the cone's half-angle (5°) with a resolution of 0.1°. The deviation was minimized by placing shims underneath the feet of the cone's sting to achieve an angle of attack of $0.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$.

3. Diagnostic techniques

Schlieren and FLDI were utilized to analyse boundary-layer content. The schlieren set-up explained by Paquin *et al.* (2022) was employed here. Illumination was generated by a Cavilux HF laser, and an adjustable iris diaphragm was used to limit the amount of light in an effort to avoid saturation. The beam was expanded through a plano–convex lens, collimated by a parabolic mirror, and directed by a few planar mirrors through the test section. A parabolic mirror focused the beam back down to a point, where the knife edge was inserted. Finally, the beam passed through a bandpass filter, which prevented the test-gas luminosity from obscuring the signal, and then a series of long-focal-length plano–convex lenses, which were used to collect images with a 1280×64 -pixel resolution and a 0.15 mm pixel⁻¹ spatial scale. Images were collected at a frame rate of 669 kHz with a laser pulse width of 30 ns. Figure 2 depicts the resulting field of

view (FOV) along the cone imaged with schlieren. The images captured a 17×1 -cm region whose upstream edge was stationed 58 cm downstream of the nose tip.

As shown in figure 2, the FLDI beams were positioned at x = 680 mm along the cone surface. To establish the quad-FLDI (Q-FLDI) set-up used in this experimental campaign and also discussed in Hameed et al. (2022), the linearly polarized beam generated by a 532-nm Cobolt 05-01 Samba laser was first expanded using a diverging lens. The expanding beam was then split into one column of six 'spots' using a Holo/Or MS-474-Q-Y-A diffractive optic. The position along the beam path and orientation of this diffractive optic set the wall-normal interspacing of the FLDI beams. Another Holo/Or diffractive optic (DS-192-Q-Y-A) was used to make an additional column of the already split beams. This diffractive optic set the streamwise interspacing of the FLDI beams. The two columns and six rows of beams generated by the diffractive optic were sent through a quarter-wave plate before being split once more by a 2-arcminute Wollaston prism to generate the intraspaced beam pairs in the FLDI set-up. The beam pairs were then focused inside of the test section at the centre of the cone using a converging lens of an appropriate focal length. A second Wollaston prism of equal separation angle and a linear polarizer was used to recombine the intraspaced beams. Four of the twelve 'spots' generated by the diffractive optics were selected to be directed onto photodetectors (Thorlabs DET36A2). The interference between the intraspaced beam pairs resulted in a change in intensity and was measured as a change in voltage by the photodetector. The beams were oriented such that the streamwise interspacing and intraspacing were parallel to the cone's surface, and the wall-normal interspacing was perpendicular to the cone's surface. For these experiments, the beams were interspaced by 1.03 mm and 1.71 mm in the wall-normal and streamwise directions, respectively, and a streamwise intraspacing of 0.18 mm was achieved. The lower set of beams were positioned at 0.64 ± 0.03 mm above the cone's surface, within the 1 mm boundary layer that was estimated for these experiments. Given the wall-normal beam interspacing, this placed subsequent rows of beams at approximately 1.7 mm and 2.7 mm above the cone's surface. Measurements on the photodetectors were collected with a sampling rate of 100 MHz and a bandwidth of 25 MHz.

4. Stability calculations

Stability calculations for these experiments were performed using the STABL software package (Johnson, Seipp & Candler 1998; Johnson 2000). The computational grids for the mean flow analysis were generated within STABL. To better capture the flow physics in critical regions, the grids were clustered near the tip of the cone and towards the cone's surface by exponential stretching in the streamwise and wall-normal directions. A minimum surface normal spacing was chosen to maintain a y_{wall}^+ value of less than one along the length of the cone. The grid-tailoring routine within STABL was employed to generate a shock-fitted grid for the blunted cone models. Initially, an intentionally oversized grid was used to ensure the shock was completely captured. Using this grid, the mean flow was analysed with particular attention paid to the area in proximity to the cone's blunt nose tip to ensure the local residual in this region was approximately 1×10^{-12} . The solution was then frozen in this domain and the flow around the rest of the model was resolved. Next, the mean-flow solution generated using the initial grid was postprocessed, and the upper grid definition and body-normal spacing was tailored by STABL to generate a shock-fitted grid. In a process similar to the one used with the initial grid, the mean-flow analysis was rerun using the tailored grid to produce a higher-quality mean-flow solution to input into the stability analysis.

The STABL software package uses a two-dimensional/axisymmetric mean flow solver based on NASA's implicit DPLR method (Wright, Candler & Bose 1998). The STABL DPLR solver uses an extended set of the Navier–Stokes equations with a two-temperature model to characterize the translational, rotational and vibrational modes. Additional details, including the governing equations used by the mean flow solver, can be found in Johnson & Candler (2005) and Johnson (2000). The boundary-layer thickness based on the mean flow solution was approximately 1 mm.

The stability analysis of the flow was performed using PSE-Chem, the parabolized stability equation (PSE) solver within STABL. The PSE-Chem solver was also used to solve the LST equations, which it does by making the 'locally parallel' assumption that the mean flow only varies in the body-normal direction. In LST, perturbations are assumed to be described by the normal mode,

$$q'(x, y, z, t) = \hat{q}(y) \exp(i(\alpha x + \beta z - \omega t)), \qquad (4.1)$$

where q' is a disturbance at a position along the cone with an amplitude $\hat{q} = \hat{q}(y)$, x is the streamwise direction, y is the wall-normal direction and z is the azimuthal direction. The spatial linear stability problem is analysed assuming the angular frequency, ω , is real, the streamwise wavenumber, α , is complex ($\alpha = \alpha_r + \alpha_i$), and the azimuthal wavenumber, β , is not considered as the disturbance is assumed to be two-dimensional. To begin the LST analysis, a frequency range around the estimated disturbance frequency is selected. The PSE-Chem solver estimates the disturbance frequency range of the second and higher disturbance modes using the characteristic time of wave travel between the wall and the relative sonic line (Johnson & Candler 2005). Spectra of wavenumber guesses are evaluated using LST, and only the most unstable converged solution at each frequency is retained. The results of the linear stability analysis are then used as initial values for the PSE analysis beginning with the lowest-frequency critical point of the LST disturbance amplification rate curve.

The PSE-Chem solver solves the linear parabolized stability equations derived from the axisymmetric Navier–Stokes equations (Johnson & Candler 2005). The parabolized stability equations are developed by perturbing the mean flow with a fluctuating component, substituting this set of equations into the Navier–Stokes equations and subtracting the mean flow from the result. The resulting second-order partial differential equations are parabolized and an initial solution is generated by assuming the initial disturbances are small and the flow is 'locally parallel' at the starting plane (MacLean *et al.* 2007). The initial solution is marched downstream by simultaneously updating the complex streamwise wavenumber and the disturbance shape function (Johnson & Candler 2005). Boundary-layer transition is predicted by PSE-Chem using the semiempirical e^N correlation method (Johnson & Candler 2005), where N is the N factor defined by

$$N(\omega, s) = \int_{s_0}^{s} \left[-\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2E} \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}s} \right] \mathrm{d}s.$$
(4.2)

Here, the integration is performed at a constant angular frequency, ω ; s_0 is the first neutral point at a given frequency; $-\alpha_i$ is the imaginary part of the complex streamwise wavenumber; *E* is the disturbance kinetic energy.

The stability analysis was performed using a single, highly concentrated stability grid with frequencies ranging from 850 to 3000 kHz and spanning the extent of the 99-cm-long cone. Figure 3 shows the spatial growth rate, $-\alpha_i$, and N factor (figure 3*a*) and the phase speed (figure 3*b*) as a function of the disturbance frequency for Shot 2988



Figure 3. Stability results showing predicted growth rate and N factor (a) and phase speed (b) of instabilities at x = 660 mm. The black, vertical dashed line indicates the onset of supersonic mode instability.

within the measurement region, x = 0.660 m. The phase speed, c_r , was obtained directly from STABL as the ratio $c_r = \omega/\alpha_r$, where α_r is the real component of the complex streamwise wavenumber. The most unstable frequency, f_{α} , corresponding to the maximum growth rate $(-\alpha_i)$, is 1280 kHz. As shown by the black vertical dashed line spanning figure 3(a) and figure 3(b), and similar to the observations of Bitter & Shepherd (2015), the growth-rate curve for disturbances in this highly cooled boundary layer exhibits a kink at 1480 kHz. This phenomenon, associated with the supersonic mode instability, occurs when the dimensionless phase speed decreases below $1 - 1/M_{e}$ and the unstable modes propagate supersonically with respect to the free stream. Thus, frequencies associated with the supersonic mode instability, f_{SS} , start at 1480 kHz and persist over the range $1480 \le f_{SS} < 1600$ kHz. Just past f = 1600 kHz, the phase speed then rises back up, surpassing $1 - 1/M_e$. The most-amplified frequency, f_{amp} , corresponding to the highest value of N, is 1450 kHz, which is just 2 % lower than the beginning of the supersonic-mode instability f_{SS} at 1480 kHz. It is also to be noted that f_{amp} is 13 % higher than the most unstable frequency $f_{\alpha} = 1280$ kHz, at the same location along the cone. We expect that the experimental frequencies measured here would fall somewhere between f_{α} and f_{amp} , since the disturbances in the tunnel start off with a finite rather than infinitesimal amplitude.

5. Results

5.1. Time-resolved modal content

The present study seeks to extract insight from boundary-layer phenomena which occur during short time intervals. This section shows both averaged and time-resolved spectral content generated from schlieren and FLDI diagnostics. To process schlieren data, the boundary layer height was measured from reference-subtracted images using a Sobel filter as in Paquin, Skinner & Laurence (2023b), and the average for Shot 2988 was $\delta = 0.95 \pm 0.04$ mm. Wavelet transforms were utilized to identify wave packets in individual

images, as discussed by Shumway & Laurence (2015). Sequential images were then cross-correlated to determine the propagation speed of individual wave packets. Using the standard deviation of speeds as an estimate of uncertainty, the average propagation speed u_p identified for the shot was $3340 \pm 150 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Spectral content could then be generated using two different methods: time reconstruction or wavenumber transform. Using the reconstruction method discussed in Kennedy *et al.* (2018), spatial pixel intensities were converted to time signals. This allowed frequency content to be assessed directly using discrete Fourier transforms. Using the wavenumber transform method, spatial power spectral density (PSD) estimates were generated directly from each image by taking the discrete Fourier transform of a bin of spatial pixel intensities. This wavenumber PSD could then be scaled by u_p to provide an estimate of the frequency distribution. It is to be noted that this latter method does not account for the effect of dispersion on modal content within the boundary layer but provides an estimate of the overall frequency content. The effect of dispersion will be discussed in the next section.

Figure 4 shows spectral content at different heights above the cone surface from Shot 2988. Figure 4(a) displays the averaged PSD curves generated using the time reconstruction method at three discrete wall normal heights: y = 0.15, 0.74 and 1.19 mm, i.e. $y/\delta = 0.16$, 0.78 and 1.25. To characterize the overall trend in the curves, peak frequencies were determined by first identifying the raw maximum in each curve, then fitting a parabola to the 140 kHz region around the raw maximum, and finally identifying the maximum of the parabola as the peak frequency. As shown, the peak frequency resolved right above the wall is 1270 kHz. Moving away from the wall, the PSD peak drops in power, and the peak frequency shifts to f = 1250 kHz at y = 0.74 mm. Outside the boundary layer at y = 1.19 mm, a modest peak sits at 1230 kHz. At this height, the power within the second-mode band has dropped significantly but the lower-frequency content is elevated. Figure 4(b) shows the spectrogram, or visualization of time-resolved spectral content, generated using the wavenumber transform method at the same discrete heights. In this case, wavenumber transforms were generated by taking the discrete Fourier transform of a 60-mm, or 403-pixel, bin centred around x = 670 mm. By comparing the spectra in figure 4(b), it is noted that the highest signal-to-noise ratio exists right above the wall, y = 0.15 mm, as could be expected from the PSD curves in figure 4(a). The passage of a turbulent spot manifests itself as the broadband spike at all heights for t = 0.23-0.28 ms, but strong peaks at later times can be identified in the 1000-1500 kHz range, corresponding to the signature of the second-mode instability predicted by stability calculations. At y = 0.15 mm, bursts of modal content are demarcated by bright spots, for example those centred around t = 0.53, 0.63, 0.68 and 0.78 ms. The y = 0.74 mm spectrogram shows similar peaks, each enduring for approximately 20 μ s. It is to be noted that, even outside the boundary layer, very short bursts of content appear in the 1000–1500 kHz band, for example, one bright spot at t = 0.63 ms and another at t =0.68 ms. Further investigation into these bursts identified in the schlieren data is detailed in § 5.2.

Figure 5 shows the evolution of spectral content in the streamwise direction for three time segments: $0.5 \le t \le 0.6$ ms, $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms and $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms, all at y = 0.15 mm. Each of these segments contains at least one burst of second-mode content, as can be seen in the spectrogram of figure 4(*b*). The PSD estimations at each location *x* were generated using Welch's method. The 0.1 ms segment length of each burst corresponded to $L_{seg} = 2200$ reconstructed data points. Hamming windows $0.8L_{seg}$ points in length were utilized with $0.7L_{seg}$ overlap. The first two segments show a similar trend in PSD power. In figures 5(*a*) and 5(*b*), the PSD power rises until $x \approx 650$ mm, drops in power



Figure 4. Schlieren-based spectra of modal content at three discrete wall-normal heights. Average frequency content for $x = 680 \pm 12$ mm generated from the time reconstruction method is shown in (*a*). The time-resolved spectrogram computed using the wavenumber transform method is shown in (*b*), where frequency content was calculated by scaling wavenumber transforms by the average propagation speed.

until $x \approx 660$ mm and has one additional modest rise until $x \approx 670$ mm before rapidly falling and spreading in frequency. For the $0.5 \le t \le 0.6$ ms burst, the peak frequency remains at f = 1280 kHz. For the $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms burst, the peak frequency shifts from f = 1270 kHz at $x \approx 650$ mm to f = 1210 kHz at $x \approx 670$ mm. By $x \approx 680$ mm in figure 5(b), the large singular spectral peak has evolved into three smaller peaks at f = 1100, 1180 and 1270 kHz. As will be discussed in § 5.2, these split peaks could



Figure 5. The PSD of reconstructed pixel signals for t = 0.5-0.6 ms (*a*), t = 0.6-0.7 ms (*b*) and t = 0.75-0.85 ms (*c*), at various locations along cone, $605 \le x \le 690$ mm.

indicate dispersion within the wave packet. In figure 5(c), the $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms burst has a unique development with less-drastic peaks and more energy in the low-frequency band. A modest 1290 kHz peak saturates at $x \approx 615$ mm, drops, and then rises back up until $x \approx 630$ mm. After this point, the peak appears to modulate, generating a smaller peak near f = 1000 kHz, until all peaks decay in power by $x \approx 680$ mm. These spectra, and the mechanisms of energy exchange which cause them to modulate, are discussed more in the following sections.

Figure 6 shows an averaged PSD generated from the FLDI data, and figure 7 shows spectrograms generated from each of the four probes throughout Shot 2990. As mentioned, the four FLDI probes were positioned at approximately 680 mm from the blunt nose tip with a 1.7-mm streamwise separation between the 'upstream' and the 'downstream' probes. The averaged PSD was generated using Welch's method, employing Hann windows with a segmentation length of 2048 samples and a 50 % overlap. Similarly, the spectrograms were generated using MATLAB's built-in spectrogram function using Hann windows of 2048 samples.

The average PSD curves of the y = 0.6 mm probes in figure 6 indicate that the dominant second-mode frequency sits at 1250 kHz. The time-resolved spectrograms for these probes in figure 7 shows second-mode bursts occurring intermittently throughout the test time. During these instances of observed second-mode content, there are also broadband streaks with elevated lower-frequency content measured by the FLDI probes outside of the

Wave packets in highly cooled boundary layers



Figure 6. Averaged PSD generated from data captured by FLDI probes. The second-mode instability is found to exist within the boundary layer at approximately 1250 kHz. Broadband features outside of the boundary layer elevate the low-frequency content for the probes at y = 1.7 and 2.7 mm.



Figure 7. Spectrograms generated from FLDI probes at y = 2.7 mm(a), y = 1.7 mm(b), y = 0.6 mm upstream (c) and y = 0.6 mm downstream (d).

L.A. Paquin, A. Hameed, N.J. Parziale and S.J. Laurence

boundary layer. The dominant frequency of the second-mode instability measured by FLDI at y = 0.6 mm matches the schlieren-resolved frequency at y = 0.74 mm and falls within 1% of the schlieren-resolved frequency at y = 0.15 mm. Relative to stability calculations, the experimental second-mode frequencies diverge significantly from the most-amplified frequency f_{amp} but fall close to the predicted most-unstable frequency f_{α} . The schlieren peak right above the wall falls 12% below f_{amp} and 1% below f_{α} , and the FLDI peak falls 14% below f_{amp} and 3% below f_{α} . It is to be noted that Parziale *et al.* (2015) also found that FLDI-measured second-mode frequencies fell systematically below those predicted by LST, speculating that this difference could be due to nonlinear effects and/or uncertainty in flow conditions. They found that the sensitivity of the second-mode frequency to condition uncertainty was estimated to be df/f = 15-20%. Thus, the discrepancy seen here could similarly be attributed to nonlinear effects and uncertainty in flow properties.

5.2. Wave packet modulation

Analysis of the schlieren images allows insight into the development and modulation of wave packets as they progress through the FOV. In this section, we first contextualize the modulation analysis within the framework of computational studies. Many numerical studies have depicted how various instability modes at low T_w/T_e would manifest themselves in wave packets. Salemi et al. (2014) and Salemi & Fasel (2015) explained that, as wave packets develop, they synchronize with fast acoustic waves, then vortical/entropic waves and finally slow acoustic waves. At the point of vortical/entropic synchronization, a secondary lower-frequency peak appears within the second mode band, and the wave packet appears to bifurcate into a leading and trailing section due to dispersion. At the point of slow-acoustic-wave synchronization, the lower-frequency peak dominates, and the wave packet stretches significantly. Salemi & Fasel (2018) and Chuvakhov & Fedorov (2016) furthered this study, incorporating the acoustic-radiation phenomenon. Salemi & Fasel (2018) showed that, at the point of synchronization with vortical/entropic waves, a central portion of the wave packet extends to the top of the boundary layer. Then, at the slow-acoustic synchronization point, wave components extend out into the free stream, generating the acoustic emission characteristic of the supersonic mode, and stretching the packet along the wall. Chuvakhov & Fedorov (2016) also associated wave packet elongation with slow-acoustic synchronization, showing also that lower-frequency wave components emit stronger acoustic radiation into the free stream. Bitter & Shepherd (2015) explained this phenomenon in terms of eigenfunctions. For waves synchronizing with the slow acoustic mode in a highly cooled boundary layer, a second sonic line is introduced above the critical layer, and this sonic line acts as a 'turning point' which causes the radiation of acoustic waves.

In § 5.2.1, we investigate wave packet modulation due to the interplay of two discrete frequency disturbances using bandpass filtering. In § 5.2.2, we assess the potential existence of various instability modes using space–time POD.

5.2.1. Bandpass filtering

Three bursts of content within the second-mode band from the spectrogram are investigated in this section: $0.62 \le t \le 0.64$ ms; $0.67 \le t \le 0.69$ ms; $0.77 \le t \le 0.79$ ms. Figure 8 shows the analysis for $0.62 \le t \le 0.64$ ms, where figure 8(*a*) shows the sequence of reference-subtracted schlieren images from this burst. The wave packet is first visible at t = 0.618 ms as a series of light/dark streaks right at the wall at $x \approx 635$ mm. This instability is noticeably distinct from those captured in lower-enthalpy facilities, such as



Figure 8. Wave packet modulation analysis of burst at t = 0.62-0.64 ms. Reference-subtracted schlieren images showing growth and then attenuation of wave packet (*a*), and bandpass-filtered signal along the wall (*b*).

the disturbances discussed by Kennedy (2019) at stagnation enthalpies $h_0 \le 2$ MJ kg⁻¹, where waves are most prominent at the top of the boundary layer, and maximum spectral energy occurs at $y/\delta \ge 0.8$. As the wave packet progresses, the structures reach higher into the boundary layer. By t = 0.631 ms, pointed peaks extend through the edge of the boundary layer, and by t = 0.635 ms, the density fluctuations appear to reach a maximum, with the highest level of contrast sitting clearly at $660 \le x \le 680$ mm. After this point, the structure becomes less organized and, by t = 0.644 ms, the clear peaks have faded, but smaller, fainter waves can still be seen right along the wall for $655 \le x \le 690$ mm.

To better understand the modulation of modal content during wave packet development, bandpass filtering was applied to the row of pixel intensities right above the wall. Figure 8(*b*) displays pairs of bandpass-filtered intensity signals I_{BP} for each schlieren image shown in figure 8(*a*). The pixel intensities were filtered around wavenumbers corresponding to a low frequency ($f_{low} = 1180$ kHz) and high frequency ($f_{high} =$ 1370 kHz) within the second-mode band. Passband frequencies were set to $f_{low} \pm 3\%$ and $f_{high} \pm 3\%$. A Hilbert transform was used to generate the signal envelopes overlaid



Figure 9. Wave packet modulation analysis of burst at t = 0.67-0.69 ms. Reference-subtracted schlieren images showing wave packet progression and emission of a bright spot (*a*), and bandpass-filtered signal along the wall (*b*).

on the plot. As shown in figure 8(*b*), high- and low-frequency content coexist within the wave packet along the wall, with the low-frequency content confined to a smaller region in the trailing edge of the packet at t = 0.627 ms. In the next instance t = 0.631 ms, the low-frequency content moves towards the leading edge of the packet and exceeds the f_{high} intensity in power. For t = 0.640–0.644 ms, I_{BP} has lowered for both frequencies, but the f_{low} intensity leads the wave packet while the high-frequency content spreads over a larger area. At t = 0.644 ms, the f_{high} intensity appears to reach as far upstream as $x \approx 630$ mm, which could indicate that the disturbance is synchronizing with slow acoustic waves and elongating, as predicted by the aforementioned numerical studies (Salemi *et al.* 2014; Salemi & Fasel 2015, 2018; Chuvakhov & Fedorov 2016). It is to be noted that this could also correspond to a new wave packet propagating into the FOV. The flow phenomena occurring in this instance are discussed further in § 5.2.2.

Figure 9 shows the analysis for the second burst at $0.67 \le t \le 0.69$ ms, with figure 9(*a*) showing the sequence of reference-subtracted schlieren images and figure 9(*b*) showing the bandpass-filtered signals I_{BP} for each frame. Similar to the first burst at t = 0.62-0.65 ms, one wave packet begins just in the thin layer along the wall near $x \approx 630$ mm at

t = 0.665 ms. Figure 9(*a*) shows that, as this disturbance progresses, the waves extend up to the boundary-layer edge. Figure 9(*b*) shows that the largest variations in I_{BP} can be associated with this primary wave packet, which propagates to 690 mm by t = 0.688 ms. Both f_{low} and f_{high} intensity grow in strength over the first few frames, with the f_{low} content pushing towards the leading edge of the wave packet. By t = 0.688 ms, I_{BP} of both frequencies decreases within the primary wave packet.

Unique from the first burst, however, this sequence demonstrates the simultaneous progression of various wave-like segments. For example, small waves distinct from the primary wave packet sit near $670 \le x \le 680$ mm for t = 0.665 - 0.674 ms, as can be seen in figure 9(b). At t = 0.674 ms, the high-frequency envelope of this downstream structure is visible over 667 $\leq x \leq$ 685 mm, but by t = 0.679 ms, this envelope is largely swallowed into the primary packet, which again suggests dispersion between the lowand high-frequency wave components. Another larger wave structure enters the frame upstream of the primary wave packet at t = 0.674 ms, dominated by f_{low} content. By t = 0.692 ms, the intensity of all wave packets along the wall has diminished; however, it is important to note that the modal content within the upstream disturbance has simply moved higher in the boundary layer and thus is not apparent in the I_{BP} curve plotted here. This instability eventually transitions into a turbulent spot downstream past x = 700 mm. Also unique to this burst, a radiative structure emanates from the primary wave packet, generating a bright spot outside the boundary layer for t = 0.679 - 0.692 ms. In light of the predicted acoustic radiation due to the supersonic-mode instability, this phenomenon is further investigated later in this paper. We note that Chuvakhov & Fedorov (2016) have identified this radiation as a mechanism of energy transfer which limits the growth of the disturbance in the boundary layer. Thus, acoustic radiation could be one cause of the drop in I_{BP} for t = 0.688 - 0.692 ms.

Finally, figure 10 shows the modulation analysis for the third burst of content, with figure 10(a) displaying the series of reference-subtracted schlieren images at t =0.77-0.79 ms. Figure 10(b) displays the bandpass-filtered signals, where the passband frequencies, $f_{low} = 1200$ kHz and $f_{high} = 1390$ kHz, were adjusted since this disturbance was at a slightly higher frequency. In the first frame of figure 10(a) at t = 0.766 ms, a wave packet can be identified in the boundary layer at $595 \lesssim x \lesssim 630$ mm. In figure 10(b), the f_{high} and f_{low} envelopes largely overlap for this first time step. Progressing in time, the wave packet propagates downstream and elongates, stretching over $610 \leq x \leq x$ 655 mm at t = 0.775 ms. An extending structure, annotated in figure 10(a), also emerges in the frame. Simultaneous with this structure, the I_{BP} envelopes become more irregular. At t = 0.775 ms, the f_{high} packet has split into two separate envelopes sitting on either side of the central f_{low} packet. At t = 0.779 ms, the extending structure can be identified near $x \approx 630$ mm, and the amplitude of I_{BP} for both f_{high} and f_{low} has decreased in this area. Between $0.779 \le t \le 0.788$ ms, the boundary-layer waves become less evident in the schlieren, confined near $x \approx 680$ mm at t = 0.788 ms. By the final frame at t = 0.792 ms, no waves are evident and no envelopes are visible in the I_{BP} plot. We speculate that the behaviour here could be due to a number of causes. Chuvakhov & Fedorov (2016) showed that spontaneous radiation due to the supersonic mode can elongate disturbances and also cause them to develop a beating, or modular, structure. Thus, acoustic radiation could be the cause of the extending structure seen emanating out of the boundary layer, the elongation occurring over the first three frames, the splitting of the f_{high} content and the final attenuation of the I_{BP} amplitude. Nonlinear interactions, discussed in the next section, have also been associated with irregular wave packet envelopes. Sivasubramanian & Fasel (2014) showed that nonlinear effects cause wave packets to develop a 'dimple' in



Figure 10. Wave packet modulation analysis of burst at t = 0.77-0.79 ms. Reference-subtracted schlieren images showing wave packet movement and extending structure (*a*), and bandpass-filtered signal along the wall (*b*).

their centre, splitting the envelope into two regions. Laurence *et al.* (2016) experimentally demonstrated a similar kink in the development of a wave packet in a high-enthalpy boundary layer and attributed it to nonlinear effects. Unnikrishnan & Gaitonde (2021) showed that wave packets in highly cooled boundary layers become increasingly nonlinear as they elongate, unlike warmer-wall cases where packets split into a clear nonlinear head and a separate, linear trailing region. Thus, the observations made for this burst of content could be attributed to acoustic radiation, nonlinear interactions or a combination of the two.

5.2.2. Space-time proper orthogonal decomposition

Proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) has been used widely in studies which seek to disentangle coherent structures from a complex flow field (Aubry *et al.* 1988; Rowley, Colonius & Murray 2004; Peng, Wang & Liu 2016) or remove noise from a dataset (Brindise & Vlachos 2017; Mendez *et al.* 2017). Space-only POD, or 'snapshot' POD



Figure 11. Direct schlieren POD of timespan t = 0.6-0.7 ms (71 images). Contour represents the energy-weighted combination of eight discrete POD modes.

as introduced by Sirovich (1987), has been utilized widely to characterize structures in statistically stationary datasets while space-time POD, originally introduced by Lumley (1970), extracts spatially and temporally evolving structures in transient events (Schmidt & Schmid 2019; Stahl *et al.* 2023). Space-time POD has also been extended to relate structures to frequency content through spectral POD (SPOD) (Towne, Schmidt & Colonius 2018). Insofar as SPOD demands large datasets of statistically stationary flows, it is not suitable for the present study. The efficacy of space-time POD has been demonstrated for sparse, intermittent events such as radiative acoustic bursts from a turbulent jet (Schmidt & Schmid 2019) and coherent structures in an incompressible turbulent boundary layer (Borra & Saxton-Fox 2021). In this section, we investigate the evolution of modal structures identified through both POD and space-time POD.

Space-only POD was applied to reference-subtracted schlieren images to assess the possibility of extracting coherent structures directly from images. The authors note that Mendez *et al.* (2017) filtered out background noise from particle image velocimetry images and reconstructed ideal images using space-only POD modes associated with particle signal. Following this idea, for the present image set, discrete modes associated with wave-like structures in the boundary layer were identified to create a synthesized image of the disturbance. Figure 11 shows an energy-weighted combination of eight POD modes computed for the set of 71 images collected over t = 0.6-0.7 ms. The authors note the striking similarity between this reconstructed result and the snapshot at t = 0.683 ms in figure 9(*a*), where periodic structures extend above the boundary layer. For $670 \leq x \leq 690$ mm, bright structures continuous with the waves below y = 1 mm extend out into the free stream, leaning downstream. These structures could be associated with the supersonic-mode radiation which arises at the point of synchronization with slow acoustic waves, as discussed earlier.

Space-time POD was applied to reconstructed pixel time signals, i.e. those used to generate the PSD curves in figure 5. To interrogate the evolution of the two individual events, a stacked snapshot matrix was assembled such as discussed in previous literature (Schmidt & Schmid 2019; Borra & Saxton-Fox 2021). The stacked snapshot matrix P was defined as

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p(t_0^{(1)} - t^-) & p(t_0^{(2)} - t^-) & \cdots & p(t_0^{(N)} - t^-) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p(t_0^{(1)}) & p(t_0^{(2)}) & \cdots & p(t_0^{(N)}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p(t_0^{(1)} + t^+) & p(t_0^{(2)} + t^+) & \cdots & p(t_0^{(N)} + t^+) \end{bmatrix},$$
(5.1)

where $p(t_0^{(N)})$ is the reconstructed pixel-intensity snapshot, N is the number of realizations during each event and t_0 is the central time step of each realization. Each realization spanned M time steps including the one at t_0 . For this study, N = 3 realizations were used for each event, and each realization spanned M = 673 reconstructed snapshots, such



Figure 12. Space-time POD data from t = 0.625-0.645 ms segment of reconstructed schlieren signals: (*a*) PSD corresponding to two selected mode shapes; (*b*) mode shapes at t = 0.625, 0.635 and 0.645 ms.

that $\Delta t = t^+ - t^- = 30 \ \mu s$ of data. A singular value decomposition was then used to decompose **P** into modes coherent in space and time. Discrete Fourier transforms were then applied to the resulting matrix of time coefficients to relate the POD modes to frequency content. Welch's method was employed using Hamming windows of 0.9M points in length with 0.8M points of overlap. Modes associated with distinct frequency peaks in the second-mode band were then visualized at each of the N realizations.

Figure 12 shows the space-time POD analysis of the first burst identified near t = 0.63 ms in figure 4(b). As shown in figure 12(a), modes corresponding to f = 1200 kHz and 1270 kHz were associated with the wave packet seen passing in figure 8(a). In the first realization centred at t = 0.625 ms in figure 12(b), the 1200 kHz mode manifests itself strongly around $655 \le x \le 670$ mm, with the portion at $x \approx 660$ mm rising above the boundary layer with near-vertical contours. The higher-frequency mode corresponding to f = 1270 kHz is confined close to the wall, leans downstream and extends over $625 \le x \le 655$ mm. At the next realization, the intensity of the 1200 kHz mode has faded, and the disturbance appears more confined to the wall, leaning downstream. At the final realization, t = 0.645 ms, the 1270 kHz disturbance intensifies, with the 1270 kHz waves between $670 \le x \le 680$ rising higher than the 1200 kHz waves in the boundary layer.

Figure 13 shows the space-time POD result from the second event identified near t = 0.68 ms in figure 4(*b*). As shown in figure 13(*a*), two discrete modes were identified



Wave packets in highly cooled boundary layers

Figure 13. Space–time POD data from t = 0.67-0.69 ms segment of reconstructed schlieren signals: (a) PSD corresponding to two selected mode shapes; (b) mode shapes at t = 0.67, 0.68 and 0.69 ms.

corresponding to the second mode, at f = 1200 kHz and f = 1290 kHz. In the first realization at t = 0.67 ms, the modes coexist near $x \approx 660$ mm; however, there appears to be some spuriously resolved content near the top of the frame. In the next realization, 1200 kHz disturbances can be identified near $x \approx 630$ mm and $x \approx 675$ mm. Similar to the space-only POD result in figure 11, bright streaks continuous with the wave structure within $670 \leq x \leq 680$ mm extend out of the boundary layer. Distinct orientation changes can be identified within the disturbance as well. Within the boundary layer, the disturbance creates a '<' shape, but then, for y > 1 mm, the disturbance is oriented near vertical, similar to the previous wave packet. A possible explanation for this orientation change is a second sonic line introduced by the supersonic mode, as discussed by Bitter & Shepherd (2015). In summary, these schlieren and POD sequences exhibit unique events where disturbances extend out of the boundary layer. Although the frequencies associated with the radiating structures are lower than the simulation-predicted f_{SS} , they are close to the identified peak second-mode frequency for this burst, 1210 kHz. We speculate that the same systematic divergence between simulated and measured peak second-mode frequency causes the discrepancy between simulated f_{SS} and the frequency associated with the radiating space-time POD modes. It is not clear, however, why the extending structure is more evident in the lower-frequency (1200 kHz) mode rather than the higher-frequency (1290 kHz) mode since the lower end of f_{SS} is predicted to be higher than both f_{α} and f_{amp} .

5.3. Cross-bicoherence

In addition to the growth of wave packets, nonlinear interactions play an important role insofar as they elucidate the energy exchanges leading to breakdown. From a design perspective, they not only determine the onset of turbulent structures but also control the three-dimensional layout of hot streaks on a vehicle (see Sivasubramanian & Fasel 2015; Hader & Fasel 2019; Hader, Leinemann & Fasel 2020). To date, aside from the authors' own work (see Hameed *et al.* 2023; Paquin *et al.* 2023*a*), very few experimental studies have investigated nonlinear breakdown at flight-relevant enthalpies. In this section, we assess the extent of nonlinear interaction associated with boundary-layer phenomena using cross-bicoherence. Bicoherence is a way of deducing the level of phase locking within a triad of frequencies $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 = f_1 + f_2 \rangle$. As a result, levels of high bicoherence indicate energy exchange between various modes present within a signal, and the analysis is instrumental in characterizing the nonlinear development of instabilities. Specifically, calculation of the cross-bicoherence between two spatially separated signals, as performed by Ide *et al.* (2020), allows the identification of sum and difference interactions within a finite region.

Similar to the PSD, which is the Fourier transform of the second-order moment, or autocorrelation function R_{qq} , the bispectrum is the Fourier transform of the third-order moment R_{qqq} . This moment is defined as

$$R_{qqq}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = E[q(t)q(t+\tau_1)q(t+\tau_2)],$$
(5.2)

where E[-] is the expectation operator and τ_1 and τ_2 are time delays. In Fourier space, the bispectrum is then defined as

$$B(f_1, f_2) = E[Q(f_1)Q(f_2)Q^*(f_1 + f_2)],$$
(5.3)

where Q(f) is the Fourier transform of signal q(t) and $Q^*(f)$ is the complex conjugate. Bicoherence is defined as the normalized bispectrum. In this work, the normalization established by Brillinger (1965) was used. Following the notation of Hinich & Wolinsky (2005) and Butler & Laurence (2021), the bicoherence b is defined as

$$b^{2}(f_{1}, f_{2}) = \frac{|B(f_{1}, f_{2})|^{2}}{S(f_{1})S(f_{2})S(f_{1} + f_{2})}.$$
(5.4)

In this normalization, where S(f) is the PSD power, the magnitude of $b(f_1, f_2)$ is equivalent to a skewness function and not artificially bounded between 0 and 1 (Hinich & Wolinsky 2005). This technique was used to explore both the schlieren data of Shot 2988 and the FLDI data from Shot 2990. The cross-bicoherence maps for both datasets were generated using the bicoherx function, which is a part of the Higher-Order Spectral Analysis (HOSA) Toolbox in MATLAB.

With the schlieren data from Shot 2988, cross-bicoherence was analysed for the three discrete time segments whose streamwise PSD curves were plotted in figure 5: $0.5 \le t \le 0.6$ ms, $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms and $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms. The cross-bispectrum was computed for pairs of two reconstructed pixel time signals, $q_x(t)$ and $q_{x+\Delta x}(t)$ spaced $\Delta x = 10$ pixels, or 1.5 mm, apart at various locations along the cone. As mentioned, the 0.1 ms segment corresponded to $L_{seg} = 2200$ reconstructed points in time. Hanning windows were applied to segments 248 points in length with 50 % overlap. Figures 14–16 each show four realizations of cross-bicoherence *b* at various streamwise locations, along with the associated average PSD calculated for each signal pair. It is to be noted that the streamwise locations are not evenly spaced; the *x* locations were chosen to highlight unique



Figure 14. Schlieren-based cross-bicoherence for Shot 2988, $0.5 \le t \le 0.6$ ms at various streamwise positions: (a) x = 645 mm; (b) x = 651 mm; (c) x = 669 mm; (d) x = 687 mm.

interactions. All three bursts showed evidence of nonlinear interactions as far upstream as $x \approx 620$ mm, but the highest levels of bicoherence were generally experienced in the region $635 \leq x \leq 690$ mm, so this is the region analysed here. The PSD curves were computed using the same windowing scheme discussed in § 5.1. In all cases, signals right above the wall (y = 0.15 mm) were used for analysis since the highest signal-to-noise ratio was identified here. The axes on the cross-bicoherence maps are normalized by the corresponding second-mode peak frequency f_{2M} , which was identified at each location. The corresponding PSD curves show the same frequency domain but in kilohertz. All contours are plotted with the same intensity scale, and the symmetry lines $f_2 = f_1$ and $f_2 = -f_1$ are outlined on each map. It is to be noted that, when describing the frequency triads in $\langle \rangle$ format, we normalize by f_{2M} for simplicity, such that $\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle$ corresponds to $\langle f_{2M}, f_{2M}, 2f_{2M} \rangle$. Similarly, the variables f_1^* and f_2^* represent $f_1^* = f_1/f_{2M}$ and $f_2^* = f_2/f_{2M}$.

Figure 14 shows the cross-bicoherence for $0.5 \le t \le 0.6$ ms. Of the three schlieren bursts, this segment showed the overall lowest intensity in bicoherence. At x = 645 mm in figure 14(*a*), the fundamental interacts with low-frequency disturbances in the triad $\langle 1, \approx 0, 1 \rangle$ as well as the first harmonic in the sum triad $\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle$ and difference triad $\langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle$. It is to be noted that subharmonic and first-harmonic disturbances appear in the cross-bicoherence but are not visible in the PSD above the noise floor. By x = 651 mm, the primary nonlinear interactions involve lower frequencies and the subharmonic, located in the regions $(0.4 \le f_1^* \le 0.6, -0.6 \le f_2^* \le -0.4)$ and $(0.3 \le f_1^* \le 0.7, -0.2 \le f_2^* \le 0.2)$, and the second-mode peak rises in the PSD. In figure 14(*c*), the highest level of *b* is experienced at the fundamental–first-harmonic difference interaction $\langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle$, and the power of the f_{2M} peak reaches its maximum in the PSD. After this point, the peak power decreases and f_{2M} skews towards lower frequencies, indicating wave packet breakdown. The fundamental–low-frequency interactions, assist in distributing energy away from the second-mode peak. Figure 14(*d*) shows the fundamental–low-frequency



Figure 15. Schlieren-based cross-bicoherence for Shot 2988, $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms at various streamwise positions: (a) x = 650 mm; (b) x = 660 mm; (c) x = 671 mm; (d) x = 683 mm.



Figure 16. Schlieren-based cross-bicoherence for Shot 2988, $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms at various streamwise positions: (a) x = 635 mm; (b) x = 654 mm; (c) x = 671 mm; (d) x = 683 mm.

triads $(1, \approx 0, 1)$ and $(1, -1, \approx 0)$, as well as the fundamental-first-harmonic triads (1, 1, 2) and (2, -1, 1).

Figure 15 shows the cross-bicoherence for $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms. At x = 650 mm in figure 15(*a*), some low-frequency interactions are visible in the region $(0.1 \le f_1^* \le 0.3, -0.2 \le f_2^* \le 0.2)$, but the most significant phase locking exists between the

second-mode fundamental and its first harmonic, specifically the sum (1, 1, 2) and difference (2, -1, 1) interactions. At x = 660 mm in figure 15(b), interactions involving the first harmonic have faded, and now the maxima in b correspond to phase locking between the fundamental and low-frequency disturbances at $(1 \le f_1^* \le 1.2, -0.2 \le f_2^* \le 1.2)$ 0.2) and $(1 \le f_1^* \le 1.2, -1.2 \le f_2^* \le -1)$. These interactions likely explain the widened base of the second-mode peak in the PSD at x = 660 mm. At x = 671 mm in figure 15(c), the peak in the PSD has flattened somewhat, and the disturbances begin breakdown. Both fundamental-low-frequency and fundamental-first-harmonic interactions appear, but the highest b corresponds to off-band interactions, for example the difference interactions (2, -0.8, 1.2) and (2, -1.2, 0.8). The effect of the increased extent of nonlinear interactions is visible in the PSD at x = 683 mm, which is located in the triple-peak region identified in figure 5. The modal energy has been redistributed over a larger triple-peaked spectrum, which continues to drop in power moving downstream. The cross-bicoherence map shows that the fundamental-low-frequency interaction re-emerges at $(f_1^* = 1, -0.1 \le f_2^* \le 0.1)$. From this point forward, the fundamental-low-frequency and fundamental-first-harmonic triads remain the dominant interactions, as they did for the 0.5 < t < 0.6 ms burst.

Finally, figure 16 shows the cross-bicoherence for $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms. This segment demonstrates the largest extent and earliest onset of cross-bicoherence. Unlike the other two bursts, this segment shows no clear rise and saturation of the second-mode peak within the region examined; rather, the locations analysed here fall within the region of modulation and decay discussed in § 5.1. At x = 635 mm in figure 16(a), the PSD has two distinct peaks in the second-mode band at 1270 and 1350 kHz. Low-frequency self-interactions ($0 \le f_1^* \le 0.5, -0.5 \le f_2^* \le 0.5$) coexist with fundamental-low-frequency interactions near $(0.8 \le f_1^* \le 1.2, -0.2 \le f_2^* \le 0.2)$ as well as the fundamental-first-harmonic sum interaction (1, 1, 2) and difference interaction (2, -1, 1). At x = 654 mm, the second-mode peaks have merged and flattened somewhat, and all nonlinear interactions involving the fundamental have intensified. At x = 671 mm, a secondary peak at f = 1000 kHz emerges from the second-mode band, and additional lobes appear in the bicoherence contour. The locus of fundamental-low-frequency interactions has split into two triads: (0.9, 0.2, 1.1) and (1.1, -0.2, 0.9). Similarly, the fundamental-first-harmonic interaction has split into (1.8, -0.9, 0.9) and (2.1, -1.1, 1.0). In the final contour, the nonlinear interactions have weakened and the PSD shows more energy distributed away from the second-mode band. Overall, the cross-bicoherence analysis from bursts of schlieren data indicates that nonlinear interactions accompany the full progression of wave packet development: growth; saturation; breakdown. Interactions between the second-mode fundamental and the first harmonic were generally the most dominant and long-lived. Fundamental-low-frequency interactions also appeared, typically following points of second-mode peak saturation in the PSD. For the $0.6 \le t \le 0.7$ ms and $0.75 \le t \le 0.85$ ms bursts, cross-bicoherence maps showed that fundamental-first-harmonic and fundamental-low-frequency interactions split into lobes during breakdown, suggesting the mechanism by which secondary peaks were generated in the PSD.

Figure 17 shows the FLDI-based cross-bicoherence computed between the probes within the boundary layer at x = 680 mm for the time duration t = 2335 µs to t = 2380 µs. For this dataset, Hanning windows were applied to segments 512 points in length with 50% overlap. The cross-bicoherence map was limited to show peaks with $b \ge 0.3$ at contour intervals of 0.1. During this short time interval, the second-mode instability was observed by the probes within the boundary layer and a broadband burst was observed



Figure 17. The FLDI-based cross-bicoherence calculated between the two probes in the boundary layer at x = 680 mm, y = 0.6 mm.

by both probes outside of the boundary layer. Similar to the result from schlieren data at x = 650 mm for Shot 2988, a strong sum interaction is observed at (1, 1, 2). This interaction is the nonlinear mechanism by which the first harmonic of the second mode is generated, and is the likely cause of the peak observed in the averaged PSDs for FLDI probes at approximately 2600 kHz. Another weaker sum interaction at (2, 1, 3) identifies the nonlinear mechanism through which the second harmonic of the second mode is generated. Referring to figure 6, there is no discernible peak observed in the averaged PSD at $3f_{2M}$, suggesting the peak in the cross-bicoherence at this frequency pair represents an early coupling between the second mode and its first harmonic, which contributes to the generation of the second harmonic of the second mode (Craig *et al.* 2019). The strong difference interaction at (2, -1, 1) identifies the mechanism of energy exchange between the second mode and its first harmonic within the boundary layer. Schlieren results indicated that this difference interaction was the strongest interaction at x =650 mm, and it also existed for $671 \le x \le 687$ mm. Thus, the wave packets analysed with schlieren and FLDI demonstrated similar nonlinear interactions, which gives confidence that these interactions are representative of the energy exchanges occurring under these flow conditions. It is also to be noted that the schlieren results indicated that nonlinear interactions existed as far upstream as x = 631 mm. These interactions could contribute to the discrepancy between stability simulations and measured frequency content since the PSE does not account for nonlinear effects.

6. Conclusion

Wave packet development was studied by analysing discrete bursts of content from schlieren imaging and FLDI. Stability results indicated that both the second-mode and the supersonic-mode instability would be present, with the beginning of the supersonic-mode band being just 2 % higher than the most-amplified second-mode frequency. Analysis of

schlieren-based reconstructed signals showed that strong spectral peaks in the second-mode band persist until $x \gtrsim 680$ mm on the cone. Bandpass filtering showed evidence of wave packet elongation as well as dispersion between low- and high-frequency disturbances within a wave packet, both of which have been predicted to correspond to slow-acoustic synchronization. Modal reconstruction techniques (space-only POD and space-time POD) indicated the presence of modal content outside the boundary layer, which has been predicted to be the signature of the supersonic-mode instability. Both schlieren and FLDI data were used to compute cross-bicoherence. The most important interactions were found to be between the fundamental (second-mode) frequency and the first harmonic as well as the fundamental and low frequencies. Nonlinear interactions spread into multiple lobes for wave packets that decomposed into split peaks during breakdown.

Overall, this study is the first of its kind to directly address phenomena predicted numerically but never seen experimentally: radiation of structures connected to waves in the boundary layer; dispersion and elongation associated with slow-acoustic synchronization; orientation changes likely due to the supersonic-mode addition of a sonic line. Schlieren and FLDI data showed extremely good agreement in spectral and bispectral measurements, agreeing within 1% on the peak second-mode frequency at x = 680 mm, and showing the dominance of fundamental-first-harmonic and fundamental-low-frequency interactions at x = 680 mm. While this work showed potential evidence of the supersonic mode, it was limited in a few ways. First, the space-time POD-derived frequency of modal content extending out of the boundary layer was lower than predicted for the supersonic mode. More thorough characterization of these extending structures would be needed to confirm the presence of spontaneous acoustic radiation. Future work should involve capturing images at a higher frame rate such that the dataset could resolve more instances of wave packets propagating. This would allow better verification of the POD results as well as more variation in the space-time POD parameters. Second, it was not possible to disentangle the effects of nonlinear interactions from the potential effects of the supersonic mode on the bandpass-filtered signals. Evaluation of wave packet development over a larger area, or farther upstream, may allow clearer discernment of whether or not the supersonic mode is the cause of modal modulation.

Funding. This work was supported by AFOSR grant FA9550-18-1-0403, under program manager Sarah Popkin.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

Author ORCIDs.

Laura A. Paquin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0218-9666;

Stuart J. Laurence https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8760-8366.

REFERENCES

- ADAM, P.H. & HORNUNG, H.G. 1997 Enthalpy effects on hypervelocity boundary-layer transition: ground test and flight data. J. Spacecr. Rockets 34 (5), 614–619.
- AUBRY, N., HOLMES, P., LUMLEY, J.L. & STONE, E. 1988 The dynamics of coherent structures in the wall region of a turbulent boundary layer. J. Fluid Mech. 192, 115–173.
- BITTER, N.P. & SHEPHERD, J.E. 2015 Stability of highly cooled hypervelocity boundary layers. J. Fluid Mech. 778, 586–620.

BORRA, A. & SAXTON-FOX, T. 2021 Conditional POD and space-time POD for a turbulent boundary layer with passive scalar transport and aero-optic distortion. *AIAA Aviation 2021 Forum*. AIAA.

BRILLINGER, D.R. 1965 An introduction to polyspectra. Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 1351-1374.

- BRINDISE, M.C. & VLACHOS, P.P. 2017 Proper orthogonal decomposition truncation method for data denoising and order reduction. *Exp. Fluids* 58 (4), 1–18.
- BROWNE, S., ZIEGLER, J. & SHEPHERD, J.E. 2008 Numerical solution methods for shock and detonation jump conditions. GALCIT Report FM2006, 6, 90.
- BUTLER, C.S. & LAURENCE, S.J. 2021 Interaction of second-mode wave packets with an axisymmetric expansion corner. *Exp. Fluids* **62** (7), 1–17.
- CANDLER, G.V. 2005 Hypersonic nozzle analysis using an excluded volume equation of state. *Proceedings of* 38th AIAA Thermophysics Conference, Toronto, Ontario Canada. AIAA Paper 2005-5202. AIAA.
- CHUVAKHOV, P.V. & FEDOROV, A.V. 2016 Spontaneous radiation of sound by instability of a highly cooled hypersonic boundary layer. J. Fluid Mech. 805, 188–206.
- CRAIG, S.A., HUMBLE, R.A., HOFFERTH, J.W. & SARIC, W.S. 2019 Nonlinear behaviour of the mack mode in a hypersonic boundary layer. J. Fluid Mech. 872, 74–99.
- DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE 1988 Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on the National Aerospace Plane (NASP). Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.
- GOODWIN, D.G., MOFFAT, H.K. & SPETH, R.L. 2009 Cantera: an object-oriented software toolkit for chemical kinetics, thermodynamics, and transport processes. www.cantera.org.
- HADER, C. & FASEL, H.F. 2019 Direct numerical simulations of hypersonic boundary-layer transition for a flared cone: fundamental breakdown. J. Fluid Mech. 869, 341–384.
- HADER, C., LEINEMANN, M. & FASEL, H.F. 2020 Direct numerical simulations of hypersonic boundary-layer transition for a slender cone. *AIAA Aviation 2020 Forum*. AIAA.
- HAMEED, A., PARZIALE, N.J., PAQUIN, L.A., LAURENCE, S.J., YU, W.M. & AUSTIN, J.M. 2023 Characterization of transitional, high-enthalpy boundary layers on a blunted cone. Part II: FLDI and higher order spectral analysis. AIAA SciTech 2023 Forum. AIAA.
- HAMEED, A., SHEKHTMAN, D., PARZIALE, N., PAQUIN, L.A., SKINNER, S., LAURENCE, S.J., YU, W.M. & AUSTIN, J.M. 2022 Hypersonic boundary-layer instability on a highly cooled cone. Part I: Q-FLDI measurement and instability calculations. *AIAA SciTech 2022 Forum*. AIAA.
- HINICH, M.J. & WOLINSKY, M. 2005 Normalizing bispectra. J. Stat. Plan. Infer. 130 (1-2), 405-411.
- HORNUNG, H.G. 1992 Performance data of the new free-piston shock tunnel at GALCIT. In 28th Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit. AIAA Paper 92-3943. AIAA.
- IDE, Y., ITO, K. & TANNO, H. 2020 Nonlinear resonance of low-and high-frequency waves in a cooled hypersonic boundary-layer. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* 52 (5), 055502.
- JEWELL, J.S. 2014 Boundary-Layer Transition on a Slender Cone in Hypervelocity Flow with Real Gas Effects. California Institute of Technology.
- JEWELL, J.S., LEYVA, I.A. & SHEPHERD, J.E. 2017 Turbulent spots in hypervelocity flow. *Exp. Fluids* 58 (4), 32.
- JOHNSON, H. & CANDLER, G. 2005 Hypersonic boundary layer stability analysis using PSE-Chem. 35th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit. AIAA.
- JOHNSON, H.B. 2000 Thermochemical interactions in hypersonic boundary layer stability. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- JOHNSON, H.B., SEIPP, T.G. & CANDLER, G.V. 1998 Numerical study of hypersonic reacting boundary layer transition on cones. *Phys. Fluids* 10 (10), 2676–2685.
- KAWATA, S., SHIMAMURA, K., SUZUKI, S., MANOHARAN, R. & TANNO, H. 2022 Hypersonic boundary transition measurement by focused laser differential interferometry in high-enthalpy shock tunnel HIEST. *AIAA SciTech 2022 Forum*. AIAA.
- KENNEDY, R.E. 2019 An experimental investigation of hypersonic boundary-layer transition on sharp and blunt slender cones. PhD thesis, University of Maryland.
- KENNEDY, R.E., LAURENCE, S.J., SMITH, M.S. & MARINEAU, E.C. 2018 Investigation of the second-mode instability at Mach 14 using calibrated schlieren. J. Fluid Mech. 845, R2.
- KNISELY, C.P. & ZHONG, X. 2019 Significant supersonic modes and the wall temperature effect in hypersonic boundary layers. AIAA J. 57 (4), 1552–1566.
- LAURENCE, S.J., WAGNER, A. & HANNEMANN, K. 2016 Experimental study of second-mode instability growth and breakdown in a hypersonic boundary layer using high-speed schlieren visualization. J. Fluid Mech. 797 (3), 471–503.
- LEYVA, I.A. 2017 The relentless pursuit of hypersonic flight. Phys. Today 70 (11), 30-36.
- LUMLEY, J.L. 1970 Stochastic Tools in Turbulence. Academic.
- MACK, L.M. 1984 Boundary-layer linear stability theory. AGARD report no. 709. California Inst of Tech Pasadena Jet Propulsion Lab.

- MACLEAN, M., MUNDY, E., WADHAMS, T., HOLDEN, M., JOHNSON, H. & CANDLER, G. 2007 Comparisons of transition prediction using PSE-Chem to measurements for a shock tunnel environment. 37th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit. AIAA.
- MACLEAN, M., WADHAMS, T., HOLDEN, M. & JOHNSON, H. 2008 Ground test studies of the HIFiRE-1 transition experiment Part 2: computational analysis. J. Spacecr. Rockets 45 (6), 1149–1164.
- MENDEZ, M.A., RAIOLA, M., MASULLO, A., DISCETTI, S., IANIRO, A., THEUNISSEN, R. & BUCHLIN, J.-M. 2017 POD-based background removal for particle image velocimetry. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 80, 181–192.
- MORTENSEN, C.H. 2018 Toward an understanding of supersonic modes in boundary-layer transition for hypersonic flow over blunt cones. J. Fluid Mech. 846, 789–814.
- PAQUIN, L.A., LAURENCE, S.J., HAMEED, A., PARZIALE, N.J., YU, W.M. & AUSTIN, J.M. 2023a Characterization of transitional, high-enthalpy boundary layers on a slightly-blunted cone. Part I: schlieren imaging. AIAA SciTech 2023 Forum. AIAA.
- PAQUIN, L.A., SKINNER, S., LAURENCE, S.J., HAMEED, A., SHEKHTMAN, D., PARZIALE, N.J., YU, W.M. & AUSTIN, J.M. 2022 Hypersonic boundary-layer instability on a highly cooled cone. Part II: schlieren analysis of boundary-layer disturbances. *AIAA SciTech 2022 Forum*. AIAA.
- PAQUIN, L.A., SKINNER, S.N. & LAURENCE, S.J. 2023b Hypersonic boundary-layer disturbances on a cooled, slender cone at Mach 6. J. Spacecr. Rockets 60 (2), 533–544.
- PARZIALE, N. 2013 Slender-Body Hypervelocity Boundary-Layer Instability. California Institute of Technology.
- PARZIALE, N.J., SHEPHERD, J.E. & HORNUNG, H.G. 2015 Observations of hypervelocity boundary-layer instability. J. Fluid Mech. 781, 87–112.
- PENG, D., WANG, S. & LIU, Y. 2016 Fast PSP measurements of wall-pressure fluctuation in low-speed flows: improvements using proper orthogonal decomposition. *Exp. Fluids* 57 (4), 1–17.
- ROWLEY, C.W., COLONIUS, T. & MURRAY, R.M. 2004 Model reduction for compressible flows using POD and Galerkin projection. *Physica* D 189 (1–2), 115–129.
- SALEMI, L. & FASEL, H.F. 2015 Numerical investigation of nonlinear wave-packets in a hypersonic high-enthalpy boundary-layer on a 5 deg sharp cone. *45th AIAA Thermophysics Conference*. AIAA.
- SALEMI, L., FASEL, H.F., WERNZ, S.H. & MARQUART, E. 2014 Numerical investigation of wavepackets in a hypersonic high-enthalpy boundary layer on a 5 deg sharp cone. 7th AIAA Theoretical Fluid Mechanics Conference. AIAA.
- SALEMI, L.C. & FASEL, H.F. 2018 Synchronization of second-mode instability waves for high-enthalpy hypersonic boundary layers. J. Fluid Mech. 838, R2.
- SCHMIDT, O.T. & SCHMID, P.J. 2019 A conditional space-time POD formalism for intermittent and rare events: example of acoustic bursts in turbulent jets. J. Fluid Mech. 867, R2.
- SHUMWAY, N. & LAURENCE, S.J. 2015 Methods for identifying key features in schlieren images from hypersonic boundary-layer instability experiments. 53rd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting. AIAA.
- SIROVICH, L. 1987 Turbulence and the dynamics of coherent structures. I–III. Q. Appl. Maths 45 (3), 561–590.
 SIVASUBRAMANIAN, J. & FASEL, H.F. 2014 Numerical investigation of the development of three-dimensional wavepackets in a sharp cone boundary layer at Mach 6. J. Fluid Mech. 756, 600–649.
- SIVASUBRAMANIAN, J. & FASEL, H.F. 2015 Direct numerical simulation of transition in a sharp cone boundary layer at Mach 6: fundamental breakdown. J. Fluid Mech. 768, 175–218.
- STAHL, S.L., PRASAD, C., GOPARAJU, H. & GAITONDE, D. 2023 Conditional space-time pod extensions for stability and prediction analysis. J. Comput. Phys. 492, 112433.
- STANFIELD, S.A., KIMMEL, R.L., ADAMCZAK, D. & JULIANO, T.J. 2015 Boundary-layer transition experiment during reentry of HIFiRE-1. J. Spacecr. Rockets **52** (3), 637–649.
- TANNO, H., KOMURO, T., SATO, K., ITOH, K., TAKAHASHI, M. & FUJII, K. 2009 Measurement of hypersonic boundary layer transition on cone models in the free-piston shock tunnel HIEST. 47th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. AIAA.
- TANNO, H., KOMURO, T., SATO, K., ITOH, K., TAKAHASHI, M. & FUJII, K. 2010 Measurement of hypersonic high-enthalpy boundary layer transition on a 7° cone model. 48th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. AIAA.
- TOWNE, A., SCHMIDT, O.T. & COLONIUS, T. 2018 Spectral proper orthogonal decomposition and its relationship to dynamic mode decomposition and resolvent analysis. *J. Fluid Mech.* 847, 821–867.
- UNNIKRISHNAN, S. & GAITONDE, D.V. 2020 Instability characteristics of cooled hypersonic boundary layers. *AIAA SciTech 2020 Forum*. AIAA.
- UNNIKRISHNAN, S. & GAITONDE, D.V. 2021 Instabilities and transition in cooled wall hypersonic boundary layers. J. Fluid Mech. 915, A26.

- WAGNER, A., WARTEMANN, V., LAURENCE, S., MARTINEZ SCHRAMM, J., HANNEMANN, K., LÜDEKE, H., TANNO, H. & ITO, K. 2011 Experimental investigation of hypersonic boundary layer transition on a cone model in the high enthalpy shock tunnel (HEG) at Mach 7.5. *17th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference*. AIAA.
- WAGNILD, R.M. 2012 High enthalpy effects on two boundary layer disturbances in supersonic and hypersonic flow. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, Minnesota.
- WARTEMANN, V., WAGNER, A., WAGNILD, R., PINNA, F., MIRÓ MIRÓ, F., TANNO, H. & JOHNSON, H. 2019 High-enthalpy effects on hypersonic boundary-layer transition. J. Spacecr. Rockets 56 (2), 347–356.
- WRIGHT, M.J., CANDLER, G.V. & BOSE, D. 1998 Data-parallel line relaxation method for the Navier–Stokes equations. AIAA J. 36 (9), 1603–1609.
- WRIGHT, M.J., CANDLER, G.V. & PRAMPOLINI, M. 1996 Data-parallel lower-upper relaxation method for the Navier–Stokes equations. AIAA J. 34 (7), 1371–1377.