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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring, let $F$ be a locally compact non-archimedean field of finite residual field $k$ of characteristic $p$, and let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive $F$-group. We show that the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra of $G=\mathbf{G}(F)$ admits a presentation similar to the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of the Iwahori Hecke algebra of a Chevalley group, and alcove walk bases satisfying Bernstein relations. This was previously known only for a $F$-split group G.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper extends to a general reductive $p$-adic group $G$ the description of the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra over any commutative ring $R$, that I gave 10 years ago for a split group $G$. This is basic work which allows us to describe the center and to prove finiteness results of the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra for any $(G, R)$. It is a fundamental tool for the theory of the representations of $G$ over a field $C$ of characteristic $p$ : the inverse Satake isomorphism for spherical Hecke algebras, the classification of the supersingular simple modules of the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $C$-algebra, and the classification of the irreducible admissible smooth $C$-representations of $G$ in terms of parabolic induction and irreducible supersingular representations of the Levi subgroups.

The study of congruences between classical modular forms naturally leads to representations over arbitrary commutative rings $R$, rather than to complex representations. In our local setting, that means studying $R$-modules with a smooth action of $G=\mathbf{G}(F)$ where $F$ is a locally compact non-archimedean field of finite residue field $k$, and $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected reductive group over $F$.

When $C$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic equal to the characteristic $p$ of $k$, very little is known about the theory of the smooth $C$-representations of $G$, besides the basic property that a non-zero representation has a non-zero vector invariant by a pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroup. The pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroups of $G$ are the analogues of the $p$-Sylow subgroups of a finite group and the study of the smooth $C$-representations of $G$ involves naturally the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $C$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{C}(1)$ of $G$. This is our motivation to study the pro-p-Iwahori Hecke algebra of $G$.

For any triple $(R, F, \mathbf{G})$, we show that the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$ of $G$ admits a presentation, generalizing the Iwahori and Matsumoto presentation of the Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra of a Chevalley group. The proof of the quadratic relations is done by reduction to the analogous Hecke $R$-algebra of a finite reductive group. The Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}$ of $G$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$ and all our results transfer to analogous and simpler results for the Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra.

The Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of the pro-p-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra of $G$ leads naturally to the definition of $R$-algebras $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ associated to a group $W(1)$ and parameters $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ satisfying simple conditions. The group $W(1)$ is an extension by a commutative group $Z_{k}$ of an extended affine Weyl group $W$ attached to a reduced root system $\Sigma$. The group $W$ is more general than the group appearing in the Lusztig affine Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, q_{s}-1\right)$. The $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is a free $R$-module of basis indexed by the elements of $W(1)$ satisfying the braid relations and quadratic relations with coefficients $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.

We show that the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ admits, for any Weyl chamber, an alcove walk basis indexed by the elements of $W(1)$, a product formula involving alcove walk bases associated to different Weyl chambers, and Bernstein relations. When the $q_{s}$ are invertible in $R$ we obtain a presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ generalizing the Bernstein-Lusztig presentation for the Iwahori Hecke algebra of a split group. Our proofs proceed by reduction to the case $q_{s}=1$.

We recall that we put no restriction on the triple $(R, F, \mathbf{G})$, the reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ may be not split, the local field may have characteristic $p$, and the commutative ring $R$ may be the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ or a field of characteristic $p$.

When $\mathbf{G}$ is split, the complex Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $G$ was well understood. It is the affine Hecke algebra attached to a based root datum of $G$ and to the cardinal $q$ of $k$ (the first proof is due to Iwahori and Matsumoto for a Chevalley group). Starting from the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, Bernstein and Lusztig gave another presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, from which one can recover the center of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and which is an essential step for the classification of its simple modules. The classification was done for $\mathbf{G}=\mathrm{GL}(n)$ by Zelevinski and Rogawski, and for $\mathbf{G}$ simple, simply connected with a connected center by Kazhdan-Lusztig, and by Ginzburg, using equivariant $K$ theory of the variety of Steinberg triples. The condition 'simply connected' was waived by Reeder. Görtz realized that the Bernstein basis could be understood using Ram's alcove walks, and gave a simpler proof of the Bernstein presentation of an affine Hecke complex algebra of a based root datum with unequal invertible parameters. When $\mathbf{G}$ is split but for any pair $(R, F)$, I had shown that the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra of $G$ admits an Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation and an integral Bernstein basis, using Haines minimal expressions. A student of Grosse-Kloenne, Nicolas Schmidt, in his unpublished Diplomarbeit, defined the alcove walk basis, proved the product formula, and studied the Bernstein relations for algebras $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ containing the algebras arising from a split $\mathbf{G}$, but not all those arising from a general $\mathbf{G}$.

For the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, the pro- $p$-Iwahori-invariant functor is an equivalence of categories from the $\mathbb{C}$-representations of $G$ generated by their vectors invariant by a pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroup onto the category of right $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}(1)$-modules. When $\mathbb{C}$ is replaced by an algebraically closed field $C$ of characteristic $p$, this does not remain true: the functor does not always send irreducible representations onto simple modules. However, the pro-p-Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{C}(1)$ appears constantly in the theory of smooth $C$-representations of $G$. The most striking example is the following.

For all integers $n>2$, there exists a numerical Langlands correspondence for the pro-pIwahori Hecke $C$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}(1)$ of $\operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ : a bijection between the simple supersingular $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}(1)$ modules of dimension $n$ and the dimension $n$ irreducible continuous $C$-representations of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{s} / F\right)$ of the separable closure $F^{s}$ of $F$.

In a forthcoming work with Abe et al. [AHHV14], we classify the irreducible admissible $C$-representations of $G$ which are not supercuspidal, in term of the irreducible admissible supercuspidal (= supersingular) representations of the Levi subgroups and of parabolic induction. The Bernstein relations in the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $C$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{C}(1)$ of $G$, which is isomorphic to a $C$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{C}\left(0, c_{s}\right)$ with parameters $q_{s}=0$, is one of the main ingredients of the classification.

In a sequel to this paper, we describe the center of $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$, the inverse Satake isomorphisms, and the classification of the simple supersingular $\mathcal{H}_{C}(1)$-modules, extending my work and that of Rachel Ollivier done only for split groups G.

## 2. Main results

### 2.1 Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group over a local non-archimedean field $F$ of finite residue field $k$ of characteristic $p$ with $q$ elements, and let $R$ be a commutative ring. We fix an Iwahori subgroup $I$ of $G$. Its pro- $p$-radical $I(1)$ is called a pro- $p$-Iwahori group. It is the unique pro- $p$-Sylow subgroup of $I$, and of every parahoric subgroup containing $I$. The pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroups of $G$

M.-F. Vigneras

are all conjugate. The Iwahori Hecke ring

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{Z}[I \backslash G / I]
$$

with the convolution product is isomorphic to the ring of intertwiners $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[G \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[I \backslash G]$ of the regular right representation $\mathbb{Z}[I \backslash G]$ of $G$ associated to $I$. The Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra obtained by base change

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{R}=R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}=R[I \backslash G / I] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isomorphic to the $R$-algebra of intertwiners $\operatorname{End}_{R[G]} R[I \backslash G]$. We replace $I$ by $I(1)$ and define in the same way the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(1)=\mathbb{Z}[I(1) \backslash G / I(1)]$ and the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$.

The sets $I \backslash G / I$ and $I(1) \backslash G / I(1)$ have a natural group structure, isomorphic to the Iwahori Weyl group $W$ and the pro-p-Iwahori Weyl group $W(1)$ defined as follows.

The Iwahori group $I$ is the parahoric subgroup of $G$ fixing an alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ in the building of the adjoint group of $G$. To define $W$ and $W(1)$ we choose an apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ containing $\mathfrak{C}$. The apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ is associated to a maximal $F$-split subtorus $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ denote the centralizer and the normalizer of $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathbf{G}$, and $Z:=\mathbf{Z}(F), N:=\mathbf{N}(F)$ their $F$-rational points. Then $W=N / N \cap I$ and $W(1)=N / N \cap I(1)$. We check that these maximal split tori are conjugate by $I$. The same is true for their normalizers and for the corresponding groups $W$ and $W(1)$.

The apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ is a finite-dimensional affine euclidean real space with a locally finite set $\mathfrak{H}$ of hyperplanes, such that the orthogonal reflections with respect to $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ generate an affine Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{H})$, and $\mathfrak{C}$ is a connected component of $\mathfrak{A}-\bigcup_{H \in \mathfrak{H}} H$. The group $N$ acts on $\mathfrak{A}$ by affine automorphisms respecting $\mathfrak{H}$ and its subgroup $Z$ acts by translations.

The parahoric subgroups of $G$ generate a subgroup $G^{\text {aff }}$, which is also the kernel of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{G}$, and $G$ is generated by $Z \cup G^{\text {aff }}$. The maximal compact subgroup $\tilde{Z}_{0}$ of $Z$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{A}$ and contains the unique parahoric subgroup $Z_{0}$ of $Z$, of unique pro- $p$-Sylow subgroup $Z_{0}(1)$. The group $Z_{o}$ is the kernel of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{Z}$ of $Z$ and the quotient $Z_{k}=Z_{0} / Z_{0}(1)$ is the group of rational points of a $k$-torus. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \cap I=Z_{0}, \quad Z \cap I(1)=Z_{0}(1), \quad I=I(1) Z_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $N^{\text {aff }}=N \cap G^{\text {aff }}$ on the apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\mathrm{aff}}=N^{\mathrm{aff}} / Z_{0} \rightarrow W(\mathfrak{H}) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The groups $Z_{0}(1) \subset Z_{0}$ are normalized by $N$ and the maps $n \mapsto I n I, n \mapsto I(1) n I(1)$ induce bijections

$$
W=N / Z_{0} \rightarrow I \backslash G / I, \quad W(1)=N / Z_{0}(1) \rightarrow I(1) \backslash G / I(1) .
$$

The pro- $p$-Iwahori Weyl group $W(1)$ is an extension of the Iwahori Weyl group $W$ by $Z_{0} / Z_{0}(1) \simeq$ $I / I(1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow Z_{k} \rightarrow W(1) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extension does not split in general (see [Vig05]). For a subset $X \subset W$, we denote by $X(1)$ the inverse image of $X$ in $W(1)$. For an element $w \in W$, we denote by $\tilde{w} \in W(1)$ an element lifting $w$ (hence $\tilde{w} \in w(1)$ ).
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For $n \in N$, the double coset $I n I$ depends only on the image $w \in W$ of $n$ and the corresponding intertwiner in the Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $T_{w}$. Thus $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ is a natural basis of $\mathcal{H}$. We do the same for $\mathcal{H}(1)$ and $W(1)$. The relations satisfied by the products of the basis elements follow from the fact that $W$ is a semidirect product of the affine Weyl group $W^{\text {aff }}$ by the image $\Omega$ in $W$ of the $N$-normalizer of $\mathfrak{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W^{\text {aff }} \rtimes \Omega \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\Omega$ identifies with the image of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{G}$. Let $S^{\text {aff }} \subset W^{\text {aff }}$ be the set of orthogonal reflections with respect to the walls of $\mathfrak{C}$, using the isomorphism (3). The length $\ell$ of the Coxeter system ( $W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}$ ) inflates to a length of $W$ constant on the double cosets modulo $\Omega$, and to a length of $W(1)$ constant on the double cosets modulo the inverse image $\Omega(1)$ of $\Omega$. The Bruhat order of $W^{\text {aff }}$ inflates to $W(1)$ and to $W$ as in [Vig06, Appendix].

For $n \in N$ of image $w$ in $W$ or in $W(1)$, the sets

$$
I n I / I \simeq I(1) n I(1) / I(1)
$$

have the same number $q_{w}$ of elements. The integer $q_{w}$ is a power of the cardinal $q$ of the residue field of $F$. When $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ are conjugate in $W$ (denote $s \sim s^{\prime}$ ), $q_{s}=q_{s^{\prime}}$ and $q_{w}=q_{s_{1}} \ldots q_{s_{n}}$ if $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} u$ with $s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}, u \in \Omega$ is a reduced decomposition.

Theorem 2.1. The Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ endowed with the unique ring structure satisfying:

- the braid relations $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}}$ if $w, w^{\prime} \in W, \ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$;
- the quadratic relations $T_{s}^{2}=q_{s}+\left(q_{s}-1\right) T_{s}$ if $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.

The Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}$ has the same presentation over $R$ by base change (1).
The elements in the basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ of $\mathcal{H}(1)$ satisfy the braid relations, and similar quadratic relations, but the coefficient $q_{s}-1$ is replaced by an element of $\mathbb{Z}\left[Z_{k}\right]$, which we now define.

Let $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$ and let $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}$ be the parahoric subgroup of $G$ fixing the face of $\mathfrak{C}$ supported on the wall fixed by $s$, of codimension 1 . The quotient of $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}$ by its pro-p-radical $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}(1)$ is the group $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$ of $k$-points of a finite reductive connected group over $k$ of semisimple rank 1. Let $T_{0}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of the maximal split torus $T$ of $G$, let $T_{0}(1)$ be the pro- $p$-Sylow subgroup of $T_{0}$, and let $T_{k}=T_{0} / T_{0}(1)$. The group $T_{k}$ is a maximal split torus of $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$ of centralizer $Z_{k}$, and the root system $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}$ of $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$ with respect to $T_{k}$ is contained in the root system $\Phi$ of $G$ with respect to $T$. We denote by $N_{s, k}$ (the group of $k$-points of) the normalizer of $T_{k}$ in $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$, by $U_{\alpha_{s}, k}$ the root subgroup associated to a reduced root $\alpha_{s} \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}$ (we have $U_{2 \alpha_{s}, k} \subset U_{\alpha_{s}, k}$ if $2 \alpha_{s} \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$ ), by $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}^{\prime}$ the group generated by $U_{\alpha_{s}, k}$ and $U_{-\alpha_{s}, k}$, by $Z_{k, s}$ the intersection $Z_{k} \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}^{\prime}$, and by $c_{s}$ the element defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{s}=\left(q_{s}-1\right)\left|Z_{k, s}\right|^{-1} \sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} T_{t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $U_{\alpha_{s}, k}$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k}$, the integer $q_{s}$ is the number of elements of $U_{\alpha_{s}, k}$ and $\left(q_{s}-1\right)\left|Z_{k, s}\right|^{-1}$ is an integer. For $u_{k} \in U_{\alpha_{s}, k}^{*}=U_{\alpha_{s}, k}-\{1\}$, the intersection

$$
U_{-\alpha_{s}, k} u_{k} U_{-\alpha_{s}, k} \cap N_{s, k}=\left\{m_{\alpha_{s}}\left(u_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

consists of a single element. The image of the map $m_{\alpha_{s}}: U_{\alpha_{s}, k}^{*} \rightarrow N_{s, k}$ is the coset $m_{\alpha_{s}}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{k, s}=$ $Z_{k, s} m_{\alpha_{s}}\left(u_{k}\right)$. The square $m_{\alpha_{s}}\left(u_{k}\right)^{2}$ is an element of $Z_{k, s}$. We choose an arbitrary element $u_{k} \in$ $U_{\alpha_{s}, k}^{*}$ and we denote by $\tilde{s}$ the image of $m_{\alpha_{s}}\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $W(1)$ (as in $\oint 4.2$ ). Such a lift $\tilde{s}$ of $s$ is called
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admissible. The quadratic relation of $T_{\tilde{s}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is the same as the quadratic relation of $T_{\tilde{s}}$ in the finite Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F} s, k}, U_{\alpha_{s}, k}\right)$. The quadratic relation in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F} s, k}, U_{\alpha_{s}, k}\right)$ when $R$ is a large field of characteristic $p$ was computed by Cabanes and Enguehard [CE04, Proposition 6.8].

Theorem 2.2. The pro-p-Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ endowed with the unique ring structure satisfying:

- the braid relations $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}}$ if $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1), \ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$;
- the quadratic relations $T_{\tilde{s}}^{2}=q_{s} T_{\tilde{s}^{2}}+c_{\tilde{s}} T_{\tilde{s}}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$,
where $c_{\tilde{s}}=c_{s}$ if the order of $Z_{k, s}$ is $q_{s}-1$ (for example, if $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split), and in general there are positive integers $c_{\tilde{s}}(t)=c_{\tilde{s}}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$, constant on the coset $t\left\{x s(x)^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\}$, of sum $\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{\tilde{s}}(t)=q_{s}-1$ such that $c_{\tilde{s}}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{\tilde{s}}(t) T_{t}$ and

$$
c_{\tilde{s}} \equiv c_{s} \text { modulo } p
$$

The $\mathbb{Z}$-module of basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in \Omega(1)}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(1)$ isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Omega(1)]$ by the braid relations. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module of basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W^{\text {aff }}(1)}$ for the inverse image $W^{\text {aff }}(1)$ of $W^{\text {aff }}$ in $W(1)$ is a subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^{\text {aff }}(1)$, and $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is isomorphic to the twisted product

$$
\mathcal{H}(1) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{\text {aff }}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[Z_{k}\right]} \mathbb{Z}[\Omega(1)]
$$

The pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$ has the same presentation by base change. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply the following result (which can also proved directly).

Corollary 2.3. The surjective $R$-linear map $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R}$,

$$
T_{\tilde{w}} \mapsto T_{w} \quad \text { for } \tilde{w} \in W(1) \text { of image } w \in W \text {, }
$$

is an $R$-algebra homomorphism.
The properties of the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(1)$ are transported to the Iwahori Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}$ via this surjective $R$-algebra homomorphism.

We describe conditions on elements $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right) \in R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$, the inverse image of $S^{\text {aff }}$ in $W(1)$, implying the existence of an $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ satisfying braid and quadratic relations as in Theorem 2.2. We write $c_{s}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c_{s}(t) t$ with $c_{s}(t) \in R$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right) \in R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. The following property (A) implies the following property ( $B$ ):
(A) For all $t \in Z_{k}, s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), w \in W(1)$ such that $w s w^{-1} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$,
(1) $q_{s}=q_{s t}=q_{w s w^{-1}}$;
(2) $c_{s t}=c_{s} t$, and $c_{w s w^{-1}}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c_{s}(t) w t w^{-1}$.
(B) The free $R$-module $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ has a unique $R$-algebra structure satisfying:

- the braid relations $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}}$ if $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1), \ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$;
- the quadratic relations $T_{s}^{2}=q_{s} s^{2}+c_{s} T_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$.


## The pro- $p$-IWAhori Hecke algebra of a Reductive p-ADic group I

In (B) the braid relations imply that the group algebra $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ embeds in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ by the linear map sending $t \in Z_{k}$ to $T_{t}$.

If the $q_{s}$ are not zero divisors in $R$, then properties $(\mathrm{A})$ and (B) are equivalent. The maps $s \mapsto q_{s}$ from $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ to $R$ satisfying $\mathrm{A}(1)$ are naturally in bijection with the maps from $S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$ to $R$.

For indeterminates $\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim}$, we have the generic $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. The $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is a specialization of the generic algebra.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim}$ be indeterminates, $\mathbf{q}_{s}=\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{2}$, and let $\left(c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)}$ be elements of $R$ satisfying $A(2)$.

The $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.
The generic algebra is a $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. Different properties of the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, hence of the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra, are proved by reduction to the simpler case $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$, using this proposition.

Remark 2.6. The presentation of $\mathcal{H}$ (Theorem 2.1) generalizing the Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation for a Chevalley group [IM65] cannot be found in the literature for a general reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ but follows from different results of Bruhat and Tits [BT84, 5.2.12 Proposition (i) and (ii)] and exercises in Bourbaki [Bou68, IV.2, Examples 8, 22-25]. Borel [Bor76] considered a semisimple group $\mathbf{G}$ and a 'non-connected' Iwahori subgroup $\tilde{I}=I \tilde{Z}_{0}$. When $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-quasisplit and $Z_{0}=\tilde{Z}_{0}, Z$ is a torus, $I=\tilde{I}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is a Lusztig affine Hecke algebra [Lus89] attached to a based root datum of $G$ and to a system of unequal parameters $\left(q_{s}\right)$.

It is possible to compute the quadratic relations using the Bruhat-Tits theory without reduction to finite reductive groups (when $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split [Vig05]).

### 2.2 Alcove walk bases and Bernstein presentation

We choose an alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ to define $I$ and an apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ containing $\mathfrak{C}$. To define a new basis of the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, we choose first a special vertex $x_{0}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$. The special vertices of $\mathfrak{C}$ may be non-conjugate by an element of $G$. The orthogonal reflections with respect to the walls containing $x_{0}$ generate a group isomorphic to $W_{0}$. The Iwahori Weyl group $W$ is the semidirect product

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\Lambda \rtimes W_{0} \quad \text { where } \Lambda=Z / Z_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
W(1)=\Lambda(1) W_{0}(1) \quad \text { where } \Lambda(1)=Z / Z_{0}(1), \Lambda(1) \cap W_{0}(1)=Z_{k}
$$

The new basis is related to this decomposition.
We identify the apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ with a euclidean real vector space $V$, the vertex $x_{0}$ becoming the null vector 0 of $V$. We recall the natural bijections between: the (open) Weyl chambers of $\mathfrak{A}$ of vertex $x_{0}$; the alcoves of $\mathfrak{A}$ of vertex $x_{0}$; the bases of the root system $\Phi$ of $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathbf{G}$; the (spherical) orientations of $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{H})$ (see $\S 5.2$ ).

A Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}$ of $V$ contains a unique alcove $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ of vertex 0 and the basis $\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}$ of $\Phi$ consists of the reduced roots $\alpha$ positive on $\mathfrak{D}$ such that Ker $\alpha$ is a wall of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{D}}$. The orientation $o_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is such that the $o_{\mathfrak{D}}$-positive side of a hyperplane $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ is the set of $x \in V$ with $\alpha(x)+r>0$ where $H=\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+r)$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ positive on $\mathfrak{D}$.
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The simply transitive action of $W_{0}$ on the Weyl chambers of $V$ inflates to an action of $W$ and an action of $W(1)$ on the spherical orientations $o$ of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$, trivial on $\Lambda(1)$. We denote $o \bullet w=$ $w^{-1}(o)$. If $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$ denotes the Weyl chamber defining the orientation $o$, then $w^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{o}\right)=\mathfrak{D}_{o} \bullet w$.

To define the new basis, we choose an orientation $o$ of $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{H})$. For a pair $(w, s) \in W^{\text {aff }} \times S^{\text {aff }}$ such that $\ell(w s)=\ell(w)+1$, we set

$$
\epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1 \quad \text { if } w(\mathfrak{C}) \text { is contained in the } o \text {-negative side of } w\left(H_{s}\right),
$$

where $H_{s}$ is the affine hyperplane of $V$ fixed by $s$. Otherwise we set $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)=-1$. When we walk from $w(\mathfrak{C})$ to $w s(\mathfrak{C})$ we cross the hyperplane $H_{s}$ in the $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ direction: positive direction if $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1$ and negative direction if $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)=-1$.

For $w \in W^{\text {aff }}$ of reduced decomposition $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{r}, s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}, r=\ell(w)$, walking in a minimal gallery of alcoves $\mathfrak{C}, s_{1}(\mathfrak{C}), s_{1} s_{2}(\mathfrak{C}), \ldots, w(\mathfrak{C})$, we cross the hyperplanes $H_{s_{1}}, s_{1}\left(H_{s_{2}}\right), \ldots$, $s_{1} \ldots s_{r-1}\left(H_{s_{r}}\right)$ in the $\epsilon_{o}\left(1, s_{1}\right), \epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right), \ldots, \epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{r-1}, s_{r}\right)$ directions.

For $(w, s)$ in $W(1) \times S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ with $\ell(w s)=\ell(w)+1$, lifting an element ( $\left.w^{\text {aff }} u, \bar{s}\right)$ in $W \times S^{\text {aff }}$, with $w^{\text {aff }} \in W^{\text {aff }}, u \in \Omega, \bar{s} \in S^{\text {aff }}$, we write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{o}(w, s):=\epsilon_{o}\left(w^{\mathrm{aff}}, \bar{s}\right) \\
T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(w, s)}:=T_{s} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(w, s)}:=T_{s}-c_{s} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We recall the quadratic relation $\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right) T_{s}=q_{s} s^{2}$; it is easy to check that $c_{s}$ and $T_{s}$ commute.
Theorem 2.7. Let o be a spherical orientation and let $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{r} u$ with $u \in \Omega(1)$ and $s_{i} \in$ $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r=\ell(w)$. The element of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(w)=T_{s_{1}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(1, s_{1}\right)} T_{s_{2}}^{\epsilon_{0}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)} \ldots T_{s_{r}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{r-1}, s_{r}\right)} T_{u} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on the choice of the reduced decomposition of $w$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(w)-T_{w} \in \bigoplus_{w^{\prime}<w} \mathbb{Z} T_{w^{\prime}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have the product formula, for $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)=q_{w, w^{\prime}} E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right), \quad q_{w, w^{\prime}}=\left(q_{w} q_{w^{\prime}} q_{w w^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem, proved by reduction to $q_{s}=1$, implies that $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.

Corollary 2.8. The $R$-module of basis $\left(E_{o}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda(1)}$ is a subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_{o}(1)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ with product

$$
E_{o}(\lambda) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=q_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}} E_{o}\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(1) .
$$

The Bernstein relations that we will present allow us to give a presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, starting from the basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ when the $\left(q_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim}$ are invertible in $R$. But the Bernstein relations exist without any conditions on $\left(q_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim}$ and their many applications will be developed in the sequel to this article.

Let $\nu: \Lambda(1) \rightarrow V$ be the homomorphism such that $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ acts on $V$ by translation by $\nu(\lambda)$, and for $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $e_{\alpha}$ be the positive integer such that the set $\left\{e_{\alpha} \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\right\}$ is the reduced
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root system $\Sigma$ defining $W^{\text {aff }}$. A root $\beta \in \Sigma$ taking positive values on the Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}^{+}$of vertex $x_{0}$ containing the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ is called positive. For an arbitrary spherical orientation $o$ of Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$, let $\Delta_{o}$ be the corresponding basis of the reduced root system $\Sigma($ not of $\Phi)$, and let $S_{o}$ and $S$ be the sets of orthogonal reflections with respect to the walls of $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$ and of $\mathfrak{D}^{+}$.

For $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$, the Bernstein relations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)=E_{o}\left(s \lambda s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{A}_{o}(1)$ and give its expansion on the basis $\left(E_{o}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda(1)}$. The proof proceeds by reduction to $q_{s}=1$.

For a root $\beta$ in $\Sigma, s_{\beta} \in W_{0}$ and $\nu(\lambda)$ is fixed by $s_{\beta}$ if and only if $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)=0$. As the translation by $\nu(\lambda)$ stabilizes $\mathfrak{H}$, we have $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$. When $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \neq 0$, we denote its sign by $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.9 (Bernstein relation in the generic algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ ). Let o be a spherical orientation, $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1), \beta \in \Delta$ such that $s \in s_{\beta}(1)$, and let $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$.

When $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)=0$, we have $E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)=E_{o}(\lambda)$.
When $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \neq 0$, we have

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)=\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)),
$$

where $c(k, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[Z_{k}\right], \mu(k, \lambda) \in \Lambda(1),(\beta \circ \nu)(\mu(k, \lambda))=2 k-|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|$,

$$
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda)=\prod_{s \in S^{\text {aff } / \sim}} \mathbf{q}_{s}^{m_{k, \lambda}(s)}, \quad m_{k, \lambda}(s) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sum_{s} m_{k, \lambda}(s)=\ell(\lambda)-\ell(\mu(k)) .
$$

The values of $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda), c(k, \lambda)$ and $\mu(k, \lambda)$ are explicit (Corollary 5.43) and depend on $s$ but not on $o$. They are simpler when the image of $\beta \circ \nu$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ (the other possibility is $2 \mathbb{Z}$ ). When $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \neq 0$, moving the term indexed by $k=0$ from the right-hand side to the left-hand side, the Bernstein relation becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}(s \lambda)-E_{o} \bullet s(s \lambda) & =\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1} c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)) \quad \text { if } \ell(s \lambda)<\ell(\lambda), \\
E_{o}(s \lambda)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) & =\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)) \quad \text { if } \ell(s \lambda)>\ell(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The right-hand side is 0 when $|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|=1$. Otherwise, we prove that $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \neq 1$ for the integers $0<k<\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$.

When $\ell(s \lambda)<\ell(\lambda)$, the term $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}$ is a product of $\mathbf{q}_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$. We prove that $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1} \neq 1$ for $1<k<|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1$.

We obtain a presentation of the generic algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, and by specialization a presentation of the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ when the $\left(q_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}} / \sim$ are invertible.

We choose the orientation $o$ associated to the antidominant Weyl chamber $-\mathfrak{D}^{+}$. We have $S=S_{o}$ and $E_{o}(s)=T_{s}, \epsilon_{o}(1, s)=1$ for $s \in S(1)$. We denote by $\Lambda^{s}(1)$ the set of $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ such that $\nu(\lambda)$ is fixed by $s$. We set $E=E_{o}$.
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Theorem 2.10 (Bernstein presentation of the generic algebra). The $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-algebra

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)
$$

is isomorphic to the free $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-module of basis $(E(w))_{w \in W(1)}$ endowed with the unique $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$ algebra structure satisfying:

- braid relations $E(w) E\left(w^{\prime}\right)=E\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$ for $w, w^{\prime} \in W_{0}(1), \ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$;
- quadratic relations $E(s)^{2}=\mathbf{q}_{s} s^{2}+c_{s} E(s)$ for $s \in S(1)$;
- product $E(\lambda) E(w)=\mathbf{q}_{\lambda, w} E(\lambda w)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda(1), w \in W(1)$;
- Bernstein relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(s \lambda s^{-1}\right) E(s)-E(s) E(\lambda) \\
& \quad=0 \quad \text { for }(s, \lambda) \text { in } S(1) \times \Lambda^{s}(1) \\
& \quad=\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E(\mu(k, \lambda)) \text { for }(s, \lambda) \text { in } S(1) \times\left(\Lambda(1)-\Lambda^{s}(1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Review of Bruhat-Tits theory

The aim of this section is to give precise references for the properties extracted from Bruhat-Tits theory which will be used in the proofs of our results. The reader familiar with this theory should skip this section and proceed directly to $\S 4$.

We retain the notation of $\S 2$.
For an algebraic group $\mathbf{H}$ defined over $F$, we denote $H=\mathbf{H}(F)$. Let $X^{*}(H)$ and $X_{*}(H)$ be the group of $F$-characters and $F$-cocharacters of $\mathbf{H}$.

We emphasize that the characteristic of $F$ may be 0 or $p$, and that the root system $\Phi \subset X^{*}(T)$ of $\mathbf{G}$ may be not reduced; $\Phi$ is the union of its irreducible components [Bou68, VI.1.2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Phi_{j} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A basis $\Delta$ of $\Phi$ is the union of bases of $\Phi_{j}, \Delta=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{j}$. The set of coroots $\Phi^{\vee} \subset X_{*}(T)$ is the union of the sets of coroots of $\Phi_{j}, \Phi^{\vee}=\bigsqcup_{j} \Phi_{j}^{\vee}$. The real vector space $V$ generated by $\Phi^{\vee}$ is a product of the vector spaces $V_{j}$ generated by $\Phi_{j}^{\vee}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{1} \times \cdots \times V_{r} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Weyl group $W_{0}$ of $\Phi$ is the direct product of the Weyl groups of $\Phi_{j}, W_{0}=\prod_{j} W_{0, j}$. The action of $W_{0, j}$ on $V_{j}$ is irreducible, and the decomposition of $V$ is orthogonal for a fixed positive definite bilinear form (, ) on $V$ invariant by the action of $W_{0}$ [Bou68, VI.1.2, V.3.7]. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, we have the root group $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha}$ (containing $\mathbf{U}_{2 \alpha}$ if $2 \alpha$ is a root). We denote by $\omega$ the valuation of $F$ normalized by $\omega(F-\{0\})=\mathbb{Z}$.

The results contained in the 316 pages of [BT72] and [BT84] are valid for the group $G$ by the fundamental theorem [BT84, 5.1.20, 5.1.23].

TheOrem 3.1. $\left(Z, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is a root datum generating $G$ and admitting a discrete valuation $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha}-\{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ compatible with the valuation $\omega$ of $F$.

The definition of 'a root datum generating $G$ ' and of 'a discrete valuation compatible with $\omega^{\prime}$ 'is given in [BT72, 6.1.1 and 6.1.2(8)] and in [BT72, 6.2.1, 6.2.21], [BT84, 5.1.23].

### 3.1 The element $m_{\alpha}(u)$ for $u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}$

For $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}=U_{\alpha}-\{1\}$, there exists a unique triple $\left(v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u), m_{\alpha}(u), v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)$ in $U_{-\alpha} \times$ $N \times U_{-\alpha}$ such that [BT72, 6.1.2 (2)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u) m_{\alpha}(u) v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. If $2 \alpha \in \Phi$ and $u \in U_{2 \alpha}^{*}$, we have $U_{2 \alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$ and $m_{2 \alpha}(u)=m_{\alpha}(u)$ by unicity.
If $\alpha \in \Phi, u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}$, then $m_{\alpha}\left(u^{-1}\right)=m_{\alpha}(u)^{-1}$.
The group $W_{0}=N / Z$ identifies with the Weyl group of $\Phi[B T 65, \S 5]$. The image of $m_{\alpha}(u)$ in $W_{0}$ is the reflection $s_{\alpha}$ defined by $\alpha$.

Lemma 3.3. The group $N$ is generated by $Z$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} m_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$.
Proof. [BT72, 6.1.2 (10), 6.1.3 c)], where we can replace $M_{\alpha}$ by $m_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$.
Proposition 3.4. Let $\Delta$ be a basis of $\Phi$. We can choose $u_{\alpha} \in U_{\alpha}^{*}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ such that, when $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) m_{\beta}\left(u_{\beta}\right) \ldots=m_{\beta}\left(u_{\beta}\right) m_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right) \ldots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the number of factors is the order $n(\alpha, \beta)$ of $s_{\alpha} s_{\beta} \in W_{0}$.
Proof. (a) When G is $F$-split, semisimple, and simply connected (we recall that $\mathbf{G}$ is connected), we choose a Chevalley system $x_{\alpha}: \mathbf{G}_{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$. The elements $u_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha}(1)$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ satisfy the proposition [Ste67, Lemma 56]. We reduce to this case in two steps.
(b) From (a) to the split case using a $z$-extension. We suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split. There exists a reductive connected $F$-group $\mathbf{H}$ with a simply-connected derived group $\mathbf{H}^{\text {der }}$ which is a central extension of $\mathbf{G}$ by a split $F$-torus [MS81, Proposition 3.1, Remark 3.3] when the characteristic of $F$ is 0 ; the proof is valid in positive characteristic. There exists a maximal $F$-split subtorus $T_{H}$ of $H$ of image $T$ in $G$, and $T_{H} \cap H^{\text {der }}$ is a maximal $F$-subtorus of $H^{\text {der }}$. The root groups of $H^{\text {der }}$ are equal to the root groups in $H$ and identify with the root groups in $G$ by the map $H \rightarrow G$ [Bor91, Theorem 22.6]. The group $H^{\text {der }}$ satisfies the condition of (a). The image by the map $H \rightarrow G$ of a set of elements in $H^{\text {der }}$ satisfying the proposition is a set of elements in $G$ satisfying the proposition.
(c) From the split case to the general case. By [BT65, Proposition $7.2(11)] G$ contains a split connected subgroup $G_{n m}$ with the same maximal split torus $T$ and the following properties: the system of roots of $T$ in $G_{n m}$ is the subset $\Phi_{n m} \subset \Phi$ of non-multipliable roots, the root group in $G_{n m}$ of $\gamma \in \Phi_{n m}$ is $U_{\gamma / 2} \cap G_{n m}$ if $\gamma / 2 \in \Phi$ and $U_{\gamma} \cap G_{n m}$ otherwise. A basis $\Delta$ of $\Phi$ gives a basis $\Delta_{n m}=\left\{\alpha_{n m} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of $\Phi_{n m}$, where $\alpha_{n m}=\alpha$ if $\alpha$ is not multipliable and $\alpha_{n m}=2 \alpha$ otherwise. The root subgroup in $G_{n m}$ of $\alpha_{n m}$ is contained in $U_{\alpha}$ for $\pm \alpha \in \Delta$. The proposition is true for $G_{n m}$ by (b). A set of elements in $G_{n m}$ satisfying the proposition is contained in $G$. Applying Remark 3.2, the proposition is true for $G$.

### 3.2 The group $G^{\prime}$

Recall the decomposition (12) of the root system $\Phi$ into its irreducible components $\Phi_{i}$, let $G^{\prime}$ (respectively, $G_{i}^{\prime}$ ) be the subgroup of $G$ generated by the root groups $U_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ (respectively, $\alpha \in \Phi_{i}$ ), and let $Z^{\prime}=Z \cap G^{\prime}, Z_{i}^{\prime}=Z \cap G_{i}^{\prime}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, be the intersections of $Z$ with these groups. The subgroups $G^{\prime}, G_{i}^{\prime}$ are normal in $G$, each element of $G_{i}^{\prime}$ commutes with each element
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of $G_{j}^{\prime}$ if $i \neq j$, and $G_{i}^{\prime} \cap G_{j}^{\prime}$ is contained in the center of $G^{\prime}$; the center of $G^{\prime}$ is contained in $Z$ and $G=Z G^{\prime}$. It is obvious that $\left(Z^{\prime}, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ (respectively, $\left.\left(Z_{i}^{\prime}, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{i}}\right)$ is a root datum generating $G^{\prime}$ (respectively, $G_{i}^{\prime}$ ) [BT72, 6.1.5].

Lemma 3.5. The maps $\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ define on the root datum $\left(Z^{\prime}, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ generating $G^{\prime}$ a discrete valuation compatible with $\omega$.

The same statement is true for the maps $\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{i}}$ on the root datum $\left(Z_{i}^{\prime}, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{i}}$ generating $G_{i}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The conditions (V1), (V3), (V4), (V5) of the valuation [BT72, 6.2.1] remain obviously satisfied. Condition (V2) is: for $\alpha \in \Phi$,

$$
\text { the value } \varphi_{-\alpha}(u)-\varphi_{\alpha}\left(m u m^{-1}\right) \text { is constant for } u \in U_{-\alpha}-\{1\}
$$

if $m \in M_{\alpha}:=\left\{m \in Z G_{\alpha}^{\prime} \mid m U_{\alpha} m^{-1} \subset U_{-\alpha}, m U_{-\alpha} m^{-1} \subset U_{\alpha}\right\}$ where $G_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by $U_{\alpha} \cup U_{-\alpha}$. The group $M_{\alpha}^{\prime}=M_{\alpha} \cap G_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ does not depend on $Z$. The group $Z$ normalizes $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{-\alpha}$, hence $M_{\alpha}=Z M_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. As $Z^{\prime}, Z_{i}^{\prime}$ are contained in $Z$, condition (V2) remains satisfied. It is clear that the valuation remains discrete and compatible with $\omega$ [BT72, 6.2.21], [BT84, 5.1.23].

### 3.3 The apartment

The existence of the apartment is a consequence of the existence of the discrete valuation $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ compatible with $\omega$ on the root datum $\left(Z, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ generating $G$.

Remark 3.6. A valuation is constructed for the classical groups [BT72, ch. 10], or using a Chevalley-Steinberg system when $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-quasisplit [BT84, 4.1.3, 4.2.2, 4.2.3]. In general, G is quasisplit over an unramified finite Galois extension $F^{\prime} / F$. A valuation for $\mathbf{G}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ descends to $G$ [BT72, 9.1.11, 9.2.10] but not necessarily the Chevalley-Steinberg valuation [BT84, 5.1.15].

We consider the unique homomorphism $v: Z \mapsto V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(v(z))=(\omega \circ \alpha)(z) \quad(z \in S, \alpha \in \Phi) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel of $v$ contains the maximal compact subgroup $\tilde{Z}_{0}$ of $Z$ and the center of $G$. The index of the subgroup $T \tilde{Z}_{0} \subset Z$ is finite.

For $\alpha \in \Phi, \varphi_{\alpha}$ is a function from $U_{\alpha}^{*}=U_{\alpha}-\{1\}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, satisfying properties described in [BT72, 6.2.1 (V0)-(V5)], which is compatible with $\omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}\left(z u z^{-1}\right)=\varphi_{\alpha}(u)+(\alpha \circ v)(z) \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \Phi, u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}, z \in Z . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also discrete: $\Gamma_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$ is a discrete subset in $\mathbb{R}$ [BT72, 6.2.21]. If $\Delta$ is a basis of $\Phi, \varphi$ is determined by $\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ [BT72, 6.2.8]. We have [BT72, 6.2.2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{-\alpha}=\Gamma_{\alpha} \quad \text { if } \alpha \in \Phi \text { and } \varphi_{2 \alpha}=\left.2 \varphi_{\alpha}\right|_{U_{2 \alpha}^{*}}, \quad \Gamma_{2 \alpha} \subset 2 \Gamma_{\alpha} \quad \text { if } \alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}$, the elements $v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u), v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u) \in U_{-\alpha}^{*}$ defined in (14) satisfy [BT72, 6.2.1 (V5)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{-\alpha}\left(v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u)\right)=\varphi_{-\alpha}\left(v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)=-\varphi_{\alpha}(u) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in V$, the family $\varphi+x=\left((\varphi+x)_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi+x)_{\alpha}(u):=\varphi_{\alpha}(u)+\alpha(x), \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \Phi, u \in U_{\alpha}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$
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is also a discrete valuation compatible with $\omega$ [BT72, 6.2.5]. The set of discrete valuations compatible with $\omega$ on $\left(Z, U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is [BT84, 5.1.23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{A}=\{\varphi+x, \text { for } x \in V\} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an affine euclidean real space with an action $\nu$ of $N$ by affine automorphisms [BT72, 6.2.5] such that for $n \in N$ of image $w \in W_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(n)(\varphi+x)=\varphi+\nu(n)(x), \quad \alpha(\nu(n)(x))=w^{-1}(\alpha)(x)+\varphi_{w^{-1}(\alpha)}\left(n^{-1} u n\right)-\varphi_{\alpha}(u), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha \in \Phi, u \in U_{\alpha}^{*}$. Hence $z \in Z$ acts by translation by $\nu(z):=-v(z)$, and for $\beta \in \Phi, v \in$ $U_{\beta}^{*}, m_{\beta}(v)$ (14) acts by orthogonal reflection $s_{\beta+\varphi_{\beta}(v)}$ with respect to the affine hyperplane $H_{\beta+\varphi_{\beta}(v)}=\varphi+\operatorname{Ker}\left(\beta+\varphi_{\beta}(v)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu\left(m_{\beta}(v)\right)(x)=x-\left(\beta(x)+\varphi_{\beta}(v)\right) \beta^{\vee}=s_{\beta}(x)-\varphi_{\beta}(v) \beta^{\vee} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta^{\vee} \in \Phi^{\vee}$ is the coroot of $\beta$. The action of $N$ determines the valuation $\varphi$, and conversely. The set of hyperplanes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}=\left\{H_{\alpha+r}=\varphi+\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+r) \mid \alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}}, r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is stable under the action $\nu$ of $N$. We have [BT72, 6.2.10]

$$
\nu(n)\left(H_{\alpha+\varphi_{\alpha}(u)}\right)=H_{w(\alpha)+\varphi_{w(\alpha)}\left(n u n^{-1}\right)} .
$$

By (18), when $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi, r \in \Gamma_{2 \alpha}$, we have $r / 2 \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ and $H_{2 \alpha+r}=H_{\alpha+r / 2} \in \mathfrak{H}$.
The affine space $\mathfrak{A}$ contains a valuation $\psi$ such that $0 \in \psi\left(U_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$ for all $\alpha$ in the set $\Phi_{n m}$ of non-multipliable roots [BT72, 6.2.15]. We suppose, as we may, that $0 \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{n m}$. By (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \Phi \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\varphi$ is special [BT72, 6.2.13].
For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r, \varphi_{j}=\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{j}}$ is a discrete valuation of the root datum $\left(Z_{j}^{\prime},\left(U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi_{j}}\right)$ compatible with $\omega, \mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{A}_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{A}_{r}$ is a product of affine euclidean real spaces $\mathfrak{A}_{j}=\varphi_{j}+V_{j}$, and the set $\mathfrak{H}$ is the union of the sets $\mathfrak{H}_{j}=\left\{\varphi_{j}+\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+x) \mid \alpha \in \Phi_{j, \text { red }}, x \in \Gamma_{\alpha}\right\}$ of affine hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{A}_{j}$ embedded in $\mathfrak{A}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j} \mapsto \mathfrak{A}_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{A}_{j-1} \times H_{j} \times \mathfrak{A}_{j+1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{A}_{r} \quad\left(H_{j} \in \mathfrak{H}_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action $\nu$ of $N$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ factorizes through an action $\nu_{j}$ of $N$ on $\mathfrak{A}_{j}$ such that $\nu(n)\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{r}\right)$ $=\left(\nu_{1}(n) \psi_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{r}(n)\left(\psi_{r}\right)\right)$ for $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{r}\right) \in \mathfrak{A}_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{A}_{r}$.

### 3.4 The affine Weyl group

Let $S(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of orthogonal reflections $s_{H}$ with respect to the hyperplanes $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ (24) and let $W(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \nu(N)$ be the group generated by $S(\mathcal{H})$. The group $W(\mathfrak{H})$ is normal in $\nu(N)$.

The group $W(\mathfrak{H})=W^{\text {aff }}$ is an affine Weyl group associated to a reduced root system $\Sigma$ of $V^{*}$ [Bou68, VI.2.1; VI.2.5, Proposition 8] and [BT72, 6.2.22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}=\left\{H_{\beta+n}=\varphi+\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+n) \mid \beta \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We denote $s_{H_{\beta+n}}=s_{\beta+n}$. The product $s_{\beta+n} s_{\beta+n+1}$ is the translation by the coroot $\beta^{\vee}$ of $\beta \in \Sigma$ [Bou68, V.2.4, Proposition 5]. We have $H_{\beta+n+1}=H_{\beta+n}-(1 / 2) \beta^{\vee}$. The subgroup $\Lambda(\mathfrak{H})$ of translations in $W(\mathfrak{H})$ identifies with the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $Q\left(\Sigma^{\vee}\right)$ generated by the set $\Sigma^{\vee}$ of coroots [Bou68, VI.2.1].

Two points $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ are called $\mathfrak{H}$-equivalent if, for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, either $x, y \in H$ or they are in the same connected component of $\mathfrak{A}-H$ [Bou68, V.1.2], [BT72, 1.3]. A facet $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is an equivalence class. A facet of $\mathfrak{F}$ is a facet contained in the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $\mathfrak{F}$. A vertex is a point which is a facet. A chamber of $\mathfrak{A}$ (a connected component of $\mathfrak{A}-\bigcup_{H \in \mathfrak{H}} H$ ) is called an alcove [Bou68, V.1.3, Déf. 2] to avoid confusion with the chambers relative to $\mathfrak{H}_{\varphi}=\{H \in \mathfrak{H} \mid \varphi \in H\}$ that we call Weyl chambers. The group $W(\mathfrak{H})$ acts simply transitively on the alcoves of $\mathfrak{A}$ [Bou68, VI.2.1].

We choose an alcove $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ of vertex the special point $\varphi$. A face of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a facet of $\mathfrak{C}$ contained in a single $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, called its support. A wall of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a hyperplane $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ containing a face of $\mathfrak{C}$ [Bou68, V.1.4, Déf. 3]. The set

$$
S(\mathfrak{C})=\left\{s_{H} \mid H \in \mathfrak{H} \text { wall of } \mathfrak{C}\right\}
$$

of orthogonal reflections $s_{H}$ with respect to the walls $H$ of $\mathfrak{C}$, generates $W(\mathfrak{H})$, and ( $W(\mathfrak{H}), S(\mathfrak{C})$ ) is a Coxeter system. The type of a facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathfrak{F}}=\left\{s_{H} \mid H \in \mathfrak{H} \text { wall of } \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{F} \subset H\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $S_{\mathfrak{C}}=\emptyset$. A facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ is determined by its type because

$$
\mathfrak{F}=\{x \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \mid x \in H \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} \subset H \text { for any wall } H \text { of } \mathfrak{C}\} .
$$

The bijection between the facets of $\mathfrak{C}$ and their types reverses the inclusion:

$$
\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \text { is a facet of } \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{F}} \subset S_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} .
$$

The types of the facets of $\mathfrak{C}$ are the subsets of $S(\mathfrak{C})$ generating a finite subgroup. A facet of $\mathfrak{A}$ is the image by an element of $W(\mathfrak{H})$ of a unique facet of $\mathfrak{C}$, and we can define the type of any facet [BT72, 1.3.5].

Let $W_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be the group generated by $S_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then $\left(W_{\mathfrak{F}}, S_{\mathfrak{F}}\right)$ is a finite Coxeter system. As $\varphi$ is a special point [Bou68, V.3.10], $W(\mathfrak{H})$ is a semidirect product [Bou68, V.3.10, Proposition 9 and Definition 1] and [BT72, (1.3)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\mathfrak{H}) \simeq \Lambda(\mathfrak{H}) \rtimes W_{\varphi} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

the groups $W_{\varphi}$, the Weyl group of $\Sigma$, the Weyl group of $\Phi$, and the group $W_{0}=N / Z$ are isomorphic. The group $W_{\varphi}$ acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers of $\mathfrak{A}$.

The set of affine roots is the subset of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{A}$,

$$
\Sigma^{\mathrm{aff}}=\{\beta+n \mid \beta \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} .
$$

The action of the group $W(\mathfrak{H})$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ induces an action on $\Sigma^{\text {aff }}$. For $A, A^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$, we have Ker $A=$ Ker $A^{\prime}$ if and only if $A^{\prime}= \pm A$. For $x \in \mathfrak{C}, A(x) \neq 0$ and the sign of $A(x)$ does not depend on the choice of $x$. We say that
$A$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-positive if $A$ takes positive values on $\mathfrak{C}$.
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The set of affine $\mathfrak{C}$-positive roots is denoted by $\Sigma^{\text {aff,+ }}$; we have $\Sigma^{+}=\Sigma^{\text {aff,- }} \cap \Sigma$. We denote $\Sigma^{\text {aff,- }}, \Sigma^{-}$for the $\mathfrak{C}$-negative roots. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\Sigma}^{\text {aff }}=\Delta_{\Sigma}^{\text {aff }}(\mathfrak{C})=\left\{A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff,+ }} \mid \operatorname{Ker} A \text { is a wall of } \mathfrak{C}\right\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\Delta_{\Sigma}^{\text {aff }}$ is in bijection with $S^{\text {aff }}=S(\mathfrak{C})$ by the map $A \mapsto s_{A}$ of inverse $s \mapsto A_{s}$, and with a subset $\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mathfrak{C})$ of $\Sigma$ by the gradient map.

The pair ( $W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}$ ) is an affine Coxeter system. We recall [Kum02, 1.3.11, $\left(b_{4}\right),\left(b_{5}\right)$, proof before (2)]:
(1) $s\left(A_{s}\right)<0$ and $s(A)>0$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}, A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff, }+}, A \neq A_{s}$;
(2) $w\left(A_{s}\right)=A_{s^{\prime}} \Rightarrow w s w^{-1}=s^{\prime}$ for $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}, w \in W^{\text {aff }}$;
(3) the length $\ell$ of ( $\left.W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}\right)$ satisfies, for $(w, s) \in W^{\text {aff }} \times S^{\text {aff }}$,

$$
\ell(w s)= \begin{cases}\ell(w)+1 & \text { if } w\left(A_{s}\right)>0 \\ \ell(w)-1 & \text { if } w\left(A_{s}\right)<0\end{cases}
$$

For a facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\mathrm{aff}} & =\left\{A \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{aff}} \mid \operatorname{Ker} A \text { contains } \mathfrak{F}\right\}  \tag{31}\\
\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{F}}^{\mathrm{aff}} & =\left\{A \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{aff},+} \mid \operatorname{Ker} A \text { is a wall of } \mathfrak{C} \text { containing } \mathfrak{F}\right\} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Any element of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\text {aff,+ }}=\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\text {aff }} \cap \Sigma^{\text {aff,+ }}$ is a linear combination of elements of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{F}}^{\text {aff }}$ with unique coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$. The set $\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{F}}^{\text {aff }}$ is in bijection with the type $S_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $\mathfrak{F}$ by the map $A \mapsto s_{A}$ and with a subset $\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{F}}$ of $\Sigma$ by the gradient map. We have $\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{C}}=\Delta_{\Sigma, \mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\emptyset$, and $\Delta_{\Sigma}=\Delta_{\Sigma, \varphi}=\Delta_{\Sigma, \varphi}^{\mathrm{aff}}$ is a basis of $\Sigma$.

With the notation of (13) and (26), $W^{\text {aff }}=W_{1}^{\text {aff }} \times \cdots \times W_{r}^{\text {aff }}$ is the direct product of the affine Weyl groups $W_{j}^{\text {aff }}=W\left(\mathfrak{H}_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$; we consider $W_{j}$ as a subgroup of $W^{\text {aff }}$ with its natural action on $\mathfrak{A}_{j}$ and acting trivially on $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ for $i \neq j$. The irreducible components of $\Sigma=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Sigma_{j}$ are the reduced root systems $\Sigma_{j}$ associated to $W_{j}^{\text {aff }}$ considered as subsets of $\left(V_{1} \times \cdots \times V_{r}\right)^{*}$ vanishing on $V_{i}$ for $i \neq j$. The alcoves $\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{C}_{r}$ are the product of the alcoves $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ of $\mathfrak{A}_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, [BT72, 6.2.12], [Bou68, V.3.8, Proposition 6], and the walls of $\mathfrak{C}$ are the images by (26) of the walls of $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. The set $S(\mathfrak{C})=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} S\left(\mathfrak{C}_{j}\right)$ is the disjoint union of the sets $S\left(\mathfrak{C}_{j}\right)$. The sets $\Sigma^{\text {aff }}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Sigma_{j}^{\mathrm{aff}}, \Sigma^{\mathrm{aff},+}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Sigma_{j}^{\mathrm{aff},+}, \Sigma^{+}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Sigma_{j}^{+}, \Delta_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}^{\text {aff },+}$, $\Delta_{\Sigma}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{r} \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$ are the disjoint unions of the similar sets for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. This often allows us to reduce to an irreducible root system $\Sigma$.

By [Bou68, VI.1.8, Proposition 25; VI.2.3, Proposition 5], the alcove $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ is the set of $\varphi_{j}+x$ for $x \in V_{j}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\beta}_{j}(x)<1 \Leftrightarrow 0<\gamma(x)<1 \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in \Sigma_{j}^{+} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_{j}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}} n_{\gamma} \gamma$ is the highest root of $\Sigma_{j}^{+}$given explicitly in the tables of Bourbaki [Bou68, pp. 250-275]. We have

$$
\Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\Delta_{\Sigma_{j}} \cup\left\{-\tilde{\beta}_{j}+1\right\}
$$

Returning to $\Sigma$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}=\left\{\varphi+x, \mid 0<\gamma(x)<1 \text { for all } \gamma \in \Sigma^{+}\right\}, \quad \Delta_{\Sigma}^{\text {aff }}=\Delta_{\Sigma} \cup\left\{-\tilde{\beta}_{1}+1, \ldots,-\tilde{\beta}_{r}+1\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$
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An affine root $A=\alpha+k, \alpha \in \Sigma, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is positive if $\alpha(x)+k>0$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}$, or equivalently, if $k \geqslant 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$and if $k>0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^{-}$,

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{aff},+}=\left\{\alpha+k \mid(\alpha, k) \in\left(\Sigma^{+} \times \mathbb{N}\right) \cup\left(\Sigma^{-} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}\right)\right\}
$$

The vertices of $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ are $\left\{\varphi_{j}, \varphi_{j}+n_{\beta}^{-1} \omega_{\beta}\left(\beta \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}\right)\right\}$ where $\omega_{\beta \vee}$ is a fundamental coweight [Bou68, VI.2.3, Corollary to Proposition 5]. The vertex $\varphi+n_{\beta}^{-1} \omega_{\beta \vee}$ is special if and only if $n_{\beta}=1$ [Bou68, VI.1.10, 2.2 Corollary; 2.2 Proposition 3]. Any set of vertices of $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ is the set of vertices of a facet of $\mathcal{C}$.

For $Y \subset \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$, the facet $\mathfrak{F}_{\varphi_{j}, Y}$ of vertices $\varphi_{j},\left(\varphi_{j}+n_{\beta}^{-1} \omega_{\beta \vee}\right)_{\beta \in Y}$ is the set of $\varphi_{j}+x$ such that $\gamma(x)=0$ for $\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}-Y$, and $0<\beta(x)<1$ for $\beta \in Y$. The facet $\mathfrak{F}_{Y}$ of vertices $\left(\varphi_{j}+n_{\beta}^{-1} \omega_{\beta \vee}\right)_{\beta \in Y}$ is the set of $\varphi_{j}+x$ such that $\gamma(x)=0$ for $\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}-Y, \tilde{\beta}_{j}(x)=1$ and $0<\beta(x)<1$ for $\beta \in Y$. We have

$$
\Delta_{\Sigma_{j}, \mathfrak{F}_{\varphi_{j}, Y}}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\Delta_{\Sigma_{j}, \varphi_{j}}-Y, \quad \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}, \mathfrak{Y}_{Y}}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\left(\Delta_{\Sigma_{j}, \varphi_{j}}-Y\right) \cup\left\{-\tilde{\beta}_{j}+1\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.7. The translation by $v_{j} \in V_{j}$ stabilizes $\mathfrak{H}_{j}$ if and only if $\gamma\left(v_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma \in \Sigma_{j}$. The translation by $v_{j}$ normalizes $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ if and only if $v_{j}=0$.

Proof. $\gamma(x)+k=0$ is equivalent to $\gamma\left(x+v_{j}\right)+k-\gamma\left(v_{j}\right)=0$ and for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\operatorname{Ker} \gamma+r \in \mathfrak{H}_{j}$ if and only if $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The image of $\mathfrak{C}_{j}$ by $\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$ is an interval $] a, b\left[\right.$. The image of $\mathfrak{C}_{j}+v_{j}$ by $\gamma$ is the interval $] a+\gamma\left(v_{j}\right), b+\gamma\left(v_{j}\right)\left[\right.$. If $\mathfrak{C}_{j}+v_{j}=\mathfrak{C}_{j}$, we have $\gamma\left(v_{j}\right)=0$ for all $\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$, hence $v_{j}=0$.

### 3.5 The filtration of $\boldsymbol{U}_{\alpha}$

The properties [BT72, 6.2.1] of the valuation $\varphi$ imply that, for $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha+r}=\left\{u \in U_{\alpha} \mid \varphi_{\alpha}(u) \geqslant r\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a compact open subgroup of $U_{\alpha}$ (note that $U_{\alpha+0} \neq U_{\alpha}$ ), and $\left(U_{\alpha+r}\right)_{r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}}$ is a strictly decreasing filtration of union $U_{\alpha}$ and trivial intersection. For $n \in N$ of image $w \in W_{0}$ we have [BT72, 6.2.10 proof of (iii))]

$$
n U_{\alpha+\varphi_{\alpha}(u)} n^{-1}=U_{w(\alpha)+\varphi_{w(\alpha)}\left(n u n^{-1}\right)} .
$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi, r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$, let $U_{\alpha+r_{+}}$be the group $U_{\alpha+r^{\prime}}$ for $r^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\alpha}, r^{\prime}>r$, and $r^{\prime}$ minimal for these properties, and let

$$
U_{\alpha+r, k}=U_{\alpha+r} / U_{\alpha+r_{+}}
$$

When the root system is not reduced, we make the following observation.
Lemma 3.8. For $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi, r \in(1 / 2) \Gamma_{2 \alpha} \subset \Gamma_{\alpha}$, the sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow U_{2 \alpha+2 r, k} \rightarrow U_{\alpha+r, k} \rightarrow U_{\alpha+r} / U_{\alpha+r_{+}} U_{2 \alpha+2 r} \rightarrow 1
$$

is exact.
Proof. We have to show that $U_{2 \alpha+2 r} \cap U_{\alpha+r_{+}}=U_{2 \alpha+(2 r)_{+}}$. By (18), the left-hand side is $U_{2 \alpha+2 r^{\prime}}$, where $r^{\prime}$ is the smallest element of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ with $r^{\prime}>r$, and for $r^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{2 \alpha}$, the strict inequality $2 r<r^{\prime \prime}$ is equivalent to the inequality $2 r^{\prime} \leqslant r^{\prime \prime}$. The right-hand side is $U_{2 \alpha+r^{\prime \prime}}$ and $U_{2 \alpha+2 r^{\prime}}=U_{2 \alpha+r^{\prime \prime}}=$ $U_{2 \alpha+(2 r)_{+}}$.

For $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$, we introduce the set

$$
\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\alpha}-\left\{r \in(1 / 2) \Gamma_{2 \alpha} \mid U_{\alpha+r}=U_{\alpha+r_{+}} U_{2 \alpha+2 r}\right\}=\left\{r \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \mid U_{2 \alpha+2 r, k} \neq U_{\alpha+r, k}\right\}
$$

This set is never empty [BT84, 4.2.21]. When $\alpha \in \Phi, 2 \alpha \notin \Phi$ we put $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\alpha}$. The set

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{aff}}=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha+\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}
$$

is called the set of affine roots. We have a natural injection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}}} \alpha+\Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Phi^{\mathrm{aff}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending $\alpha+r$ to $2 \alpha+2 r$ if $r \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, and to $\alpha+r$ otherwise. Let $\Phi_{\text {red }}^{\text {aff }}$ denote the image.
For $\alpha \in \Phi, r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$, we say that $\alpha+r$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-positive when $\alpha(x)+r>0$ for $x \in \mathfrak{C}$. The map (36) respects $\mathfrak{C}$-positivity.

For $\alpha \in \Phi$, there exists a unique positive number $e_{\alpha}>0$ such that the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+r \mapsto e_{\alpha}(\alpha+r): \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha+\Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathrm{aff}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is surjective, respects positivity and restricts to a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mathrm{aff}} \xlongequal{\cong} \Sigma^{\mathrm{aff}} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This bijection allows us to replace $\Phi_{\text {red }}^{\text {aff }}$ by the affine root system $\Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ (the filtration is hidden in the bijection).

It is obvious that $e_{\alpha}=e_{-\alpha}$. With (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\alpha}=\gamma_{\alpha}, \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$, we have $e_{2 \alpha}=(1 / 2) e_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{2 \alpha}$ is a group because $0 \in \Gamma_{0}$ (25) [BT72, Corollary 6.2.16], there exists a unique positive integer $f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2 \alpha}=\gamma_{2 \alpha} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma_{2 \alpha}=2 f_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.9. $e_{\alpha}$ is a positive integer for all $\alpha \in \Phi$, which is divisible by $2 f_{\alpha}$ if $2 \alpha \in \Phi$.
Proof. By the proof of [SS97, Lemma I.2.10], $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ contains $n_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbb{Z}$ where $n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi$.

### 3.6 The adjoint building

For $x \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Phi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the smallest element } r_{x}(\alpha) \in \Gamma_{\alpha} \text { such that } \alpha(x)+r_{x}(\alpha) \geqslant 0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends only on the facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ containing $\varphi+x$, and is also denoted by $r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)$.
Example 3.10. $r_{\varphi}(\alpha)=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.
$r_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)=0$ if $\alpha \in \Phi$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-positive.
$r_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha)=e_{\alpha}^{-1}$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-negative (39).
$r_{\mathfrak{C}}(2 \alpha)=2 f_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^{-1}$ if $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-negative (40).
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Example 3.11. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a facet contained in the wall $H_{\alpha+r}, \alpha \in \Phi, r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$. Then $r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=$ $-r_{\mathfrak{F}}(-\alpha)=r$.

Let $U_{x}$ be the group generated by $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} U_{\alpha+r_{x}(\alpha)}$ and let $N_{x}$ be the stabilizer of $\varphi+x$ in $N$. The group $N_{x}$ normalizes $U_{x}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x}=N_{x} U_{x} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a group (denoted by $\hat{P}_{x}$ in [BT72, 7.1.8]). These groups, depending only on the facet $\mathfrak{F}$ containing $\varphi+x$, are also denoted by $U_{\mathfrak{F}}, N_{\mathfrak{F}}, P_{\mathfrak{F}}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap U_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear if $\alpha$ is not multipliable. If $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$ this is true because $U_{2 \alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(2 \alpha)}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{Y}}(2 \alpha) / 2} \cap$ $U_{2 \alpha}$ is contained in $U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}$ as $r_{\mathfrak{F}}(2 \alpha)$ is the smallest element of $\Gamma_{2 \alpha}$ satisfying $r_{\widetilde{F}}(2 \alpha) \geqslant 2 r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)$ by (18) [BT72, 7.4.1]. This shows also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the group } U_{\mathfrak{F}} \text { is generated by } \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}} U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} \text {. } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two facets $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ with $r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}$are equal. Therefore two facets $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ with $U_{\mathfrak{F}}=U_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$ are equal.

Definition 3.12. The adjoint building is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}\left(G_{\mathrm{ad}}\right):=G \times \mathfrak{A} / \sim \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation on $G \times \mathfrak{A}$ defined by

$$
(g, \varphi+x) \sim(h, \varphi+y) \Leftrightarrow \text { there exists } n \in N \mid \varphi+y=n \cdot(\varphi+x) \text { and } g^{-1} h n \in P_{x},
$$

with the natural action of $G$, induced by $(g,(h, \psi)) \mapsto(g h, \psi)$ for $g, h \in G, \psi \in \mathfrak{A}$.
The apartments of $\mathfrak{B}\left(G_{\text {ad }}\right)$ are the images by $G$ of the apartment $\mathfrak{A}$. The facets (respectively, alcoves) of $\mathfrak{B}\left(G_{\text {ad }}\right)$ are the images by $G$ of the facets (respectively, alcoves) of $\mathfrak{A}$. The $G$-orbit of a facet contains a unique facet of the chosen alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$.

The group $P_{x}$ is obviously the $G$-stabilizer of $(1, \varphi+x)$. The pointwise $G$-stabilizer (or fixator) $P_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of a facet $\mathfrak{F}$ is the intersection of the $G$-stabilizers of its vertices.

The map $\psi \mapsto(1, \psi): \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}\left(G_{\text {ad }}\right)$ is an $N$-equivariant embedding. The $G$-stabilizer of $\mathfrak{A}$ is $N$. The $G$-fixator of $\mathfrak{A}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $v: Z \rightarrow V(16)$ (it is denoted by $\hat{H}$ in [BT72, 4.1.2, 6.2.11, 7.4.10]). Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a facet of $\mathfrak{A}$. The $G$-fixator of $\mathfrak{F}$ is the semidirect product (42) (see also [BT72, 4.1.1, 6.4.2, 7.1.3])

$$
P_{\mathfrak{F}}=U_{\mathfrak{F}} \rtimes \operatorname{Ker} v
$$

It acts transitively on the apartments containing $\mathfrak{F}$ [BT72, 7.4.9], hence $U_{\mathfrak{F}}$ acts also transitively on the apartments containing $\mathfrak{F}$.

We denote by $U^{+}$the subgroup of $G$ generated by $U_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}$. The product maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}} U_{\alpha} \rightarrow U^{+}, \quad \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}} U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} \rightarrow U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}:=U^{+} \cap U_{\mathfrak{F}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

are homeomorphisms [BT72, 6.1.6, 6.4.9], whatever ordering we choose on $\Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}$. We have a similar result for the groups $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}, U^{-}$defined with $\Phi_{\text {red }}^{-}=-\Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}$.

Remark 3.13. For $A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$, we denote $U_{A}=U_{\alpha+r}$ where $\alpha+r$ is the antecedent of $A$ by the bijection $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}} \alpha+\Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ (38). We have $A=e_{\alpha}(\alpha+r)$ with $e_{\alpha}>0$.

The group $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by all $U_{A}$ for $A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff },+}$.
The group $U_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is generated by all $U_{A}$ for $A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ and $A \geqslant 0$ on $\mathfrak{F}$.
The group $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0}$ generated by all $U_{A}$ for $A \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$, and $A=0$ on $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies $U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{0}=\{1\}, U_{\varphi}^{0}=U_{\varphi}$.

### 3.7 Parahoric subgroups

We denote by $F^{s}$ a maximal separable extension of $F$, by $F^{\text {unr }}$ the maximal unramified extension of $F$ contained in $F^{s}$, by $\mathcal{I}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{s} / F^{\mathrm{unr}}\right)$ the inertia group and by $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{unr}} / F\right)$ the Frobenius automorphism. Let $Z(\hat{G})$ be the center of the Langlands dual group $\hat{G}$ of $G$ with the natural action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{s} / F\right)$. The $F^{s}$-character group $\pi_{1}(G)=X^{*}(Z(\hat{G}))$ of $Z(\hat{G})$ is the Borovoi algebraic fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}$. When $G$ is semisimple and simply connected, $\pi_{1}(G)$ is trivial.

Kottwitz [Kot97, 7.1-7.4] defined a functorial surjection from $G$ onto the $\sigma$-invariants of the $\mathcal{I}$-coinvariants of $\pi_{1}(G)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{G}: G \rightarrow \pi_{1}(G)_{\mathcal{I}}^{\sigma} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.14. A parahoric subgroup of $G$ is the fixator $K_{\mathfrak{F}}=\operatorname{ker} \kappa_{G} \cap P_{\mathfrak{F}}$ in the kernel of $\kappa_{G}$ of a facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of the building $\mathcal{B}\left(G_{\text {ad }}\right)$.

A pro-p-parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$ of $G$ is the pro- $p$-radical of a parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $G$.
An Iwahori (respectively, pro- $p$-Iwahori) subgroup of $G$ is the parahoric (respectively, pro- $p$ parahoric) subgroup fixing an alcove.

This definition of a parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$, by Haines and Rapoport [HR08], coincides with the definition by Bruhat and Tits, denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\text {घ }}\right)$ in [BT84].

The pro-p-radical $K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$ of a parahoric group $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the largest open normal pro-p-subgroup [HV13, 3.6]. The quotient $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}=K_{\mathfrak{F}} / K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$ is the group of $k$-points of a connected reductive group over the residue field $k$ of $F$.

A parahoric subgroup of $G$ is $G$-conjugate to a parahoric subgroup fixing a facet of the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$. The Iwahori (respectively, pro- $p$-Iwahori) subgroups of $G$ are conjugate.

From now on, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a facet of $\mathfrak{C}, I$ is the Iwahori subgroup fixing $\mathfrak{C}$, and positive means $\mathfrak{C}$-positive.

The group $Z$ admits a unique parahoric subgroup $Z_{0}$, which is the kernel of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{Z}$ [HR10, 4.1.1]. The group $Z_{0}$ is a subgroup of finite index of the maximal compact subgroup $\tilde{Z}_{0}$ of $Z$. The group $N$ normalizes $Z, Z_{0}, Z_{0}(1)$, and the subgroup $Z_{0}^{(p)}$ of elements of $Z_{0}$ of finite order prime to $p$. The quotient $Z_{k}=Z_{0, k}=Z_{0} / Z_{0}(1)$ is the group of points over $k$ of a torus (not necessarily split). The quotient map $Z_{0} \rightarrow Z_{k}$ restricted to $Z_{0}^{(p)}$ is an isomorphism, $Z_{0}$ is a semidirect product

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=Z_{0}(1) \rtimes Z_{0}^{(p)} \simeq Z_{0}(1) \rtimes Z_{k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\Lambda=Z / Z_{0}$ is finitely generated and commutative, of torsion subgroup $\tilde{Z}_{0} / Z_{0}$. We have $Z_{0}=\tilde{Z}_{0}$ when $Z$ is a split torus or when $G$ is unramified, or semisimple and simply connected [HR10, § 11]. The group $\Lambda(1)=Z / Z_{0}(1)$ is finitely generated, of torsion subgroup $\tilde{Z}_{0} / Z_{0}(1)$ and may be non-commutative.

The same considerations apply to the maximal split subtorus $T$ of $Z$. The group $T_{0}=Z_{0} \cap T$ is the maximal subgroup of $T$.
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Proposition 3.15. $Z \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}}=Z_{0}$.
Proof. [HR10, Lemma 4.2.1].
The group $U_{\mathfrak{F}}$ generated by $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+} \cup U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}$is normalized by $\tilde{Z}_{0}$. The unipotent groups $U_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Phi$, being contained in Ker $\kappa_{G}$, we deduce from (43) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap U_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \Phi, K_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap U^{+}=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}, K_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap U^{-}=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.16 [BT84, 5.2.4]. $K_{\mathfrak{F}}=Z_{0} U_{\mathfrak{F}}=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-} U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+} U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-} Z_{0}=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-} U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}\left(N \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}}\right)$.
We have [SS97, Lemma I.2.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}}(1) \cap U_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha \in \Phi$, where $r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)_{+}$if $\mathfrak{F} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)\right)$ and $r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\widetilde{F}}(\alpha)$ otherwise.
Remark 3.17. When $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$ and $2 r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha) \in \Gamma_{2 \alpha}$, we have $2 r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(2 \alpha)$ and $r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(2 \alpha)=$ $r_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}}(2 \alpha)_{+}$.

We denote

$$
U_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)=U_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1), \quad U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1)=K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1) \cap U^{+}, \quad U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}(1)=K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1) \cap U^{-} .
$$

The group $U_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$ is generated by $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1)$ and $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}(1)$. As in (46), the product map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}}^{+}} U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)} \rightarrow U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a homeomorphism whatever ordering we choose on $\Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}[B T 84,5.2 .3]$. We have a similar result for $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}(1)$.

Example 3.18. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ and let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a facet of $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $\varphi$ is a vertex of $\mathfrak{F}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\varphi}^{*}(\alpha) \neq r_{\varphi}(\alpha)=0 . \\
& r_{\mathfrak{C}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha) . \\
& r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha) \neq r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=r_{\varphi}(\alpha) \text { if } \mathfrak{F} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \alpha . \\
& r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{C}}(\alpha) \text { if } \mathfrak{F} \not \subset \operatorname{Ker} \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0+}$ (respectively, $V_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0+}$ ) be the group generated by $U_{\alpha+0}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$such that $\mathfrak{F} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{F} \not \subset \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ ). Then, $U_{\varphi}^{+}(1) \subset U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1) \subset U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+}(1) \subset U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+}$and, more precisely,

$$
U_{\varphi}(1)^{+} V_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0+}=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1), \quad U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1) U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{0+}=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+}(1)=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+} .
$$

We have a similar result for $U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}$.
Proposition 3.19 (Iwahori decomposition). $K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)=U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{+}(1) Z_{0}(1) U_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-}(1)$ and the factors commute.

Proof. [SS97, Proposition I.2.2].

We denote $I^{+}=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+}=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{+}(1), I^{-}=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-}=U_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-}(1)$.
Corollary 3.20. The Iwahori group $I=I(1) Z_{0}$ admits the Iwahori decomposition $I=$ $I^{-} Z_{0} I^{+}=I^{+} Z_{0} I^{-}$, the factors commute, and the product maps

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}}^{+}} U_{\alpha+0} \rightarrow I^{+}, \quad \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{red}}^{-}} U_{\alpha+e_{\alpha}^{-1}} \rightarrow I^{-}
$$

are homeomorphisms.
Proof. Example 3.10.
Corollary 3.21. The map $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is decreasing and the map $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$ is increasing,

$$
K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1) \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1) \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}},
$$

if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a facet of a facet $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$.
Proof. If $\mathfrak{F}$ is a facet of $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$, the inclusions $K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1) \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ are clear. The inclusion $K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1) \subset$ $K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1)$ follows from (3.19).

For $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$, let $U_{\alpha+r}^{*}=U_{\alpha+r}-U_{\alpha+r_{+}}$.
Lemma 3.22. When $\mathfrak{F} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)\right)$, we have $m_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}^{*}\right) \subset K_{\mathfrak{F}}-K_{\mathfrak{F}}(1)$.
Proof. Let $u \in U_{\alpha, r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}^{*}$. Then $m_{\alpha}(u)=v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u)^{-1} u v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u)^{-1}$ with $v_{\alpha}^{\prime}(u), v_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u) \in U_{-\alpha,-r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}$ by (14) and (19). By (49), $m_{\alpha}(u) \in K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ because $r_{\mathfrak{F}}(-\alpha)=-r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)$ by Example 3.11. The image of $m_{\alpha}(u)$ in $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ is not trivial because $r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)_{+} \neq r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)$.

### 3.8 Finite quotients of parahoric groups

For $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, the set $\Phi_{H}^{\prime}$ of $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $H=\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+r)$ for $r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is never empty. Let

$$
\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\mathfrak{F} \subset H \in \mathfrak{H}} \Phi_{H}^{\prime} .
$$

Let $\Delta_{\Phi, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{\prime} \subset \Phi^{\text {aff }}$ be the image of $\Delta_{\Sigma, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text {aff }} \subset \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ (32) by the injection $\Sigma^{\text {aff }} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Phi_{\text {red }}^{\text {aff }} \rightarrow \Phi^{\text {aff }}$ given by (36) and (38). In other words, $\Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ is the set of $\alpha+r \in \Phi^{\text {aff }}$ with $(\alpha+r) / 2 \notin \Phi^{\text {aff,+ }}$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+r)$ is a wall of $\mathfrak{C}$ containing $\mathfrak{F}$; note that $r=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha) \in \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ depend only on the set of affine hyperplanes $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ containing $\mathfrak{F}$.

Proposition 3.23. The torus $T_{k}$ is a maximal $k$-split torus of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$, and the root system of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ with respect to $T_{k}$ is $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$. The set $\Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ is a basis of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$. The root subgroup associated to $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ is

$$
U_{\alpha, \widetilde{F}, k}=U_{\alpha+r_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}}(\alpha)} / U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)}=U_{\alpha+r_{\overparen{\mathfrak{F}}}(\alpha)} / U_{\alpha+r_{\overparen{\mathfrak{F}}}(\alpha)_{+}} .
$$

Proof. [BT84, 5.1.31].
Remark 3.24. When $\alpha, 2 \alpha \in \Phi$, if $2 \alpha$ belongs to $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ but not $\alpha$, we have

$$
U_{2 \alpha, \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}, k}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} / U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)+},
$$

because $2 r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)=r_{\widetilde{F}}(2 \alpha), U_{\alpha+r_{\overparen{F}}(\alpha)}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)_{+}} U_{2 \alpha+r_{\overparen{F}}(2 \alpha)}$ and by Lemma 3.8.
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A minimal parabolic subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ is $B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}=Z_{k} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}$of unipotent radical $U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}=$ $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime \prime}} U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}$ whatever ordering we choose on the set $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime+}$ of positive roots of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$. Let $N_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ be the subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ generated by $Z_{k}$ and $m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right)$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}, u_{k} \in U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{*}$, and let $s_{\alpha, k} \in N_{\mathfrak{F}, k} / Z_{k}$ and $s_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right) \in N_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ be the images of $m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right)$. Note that $s_{\alpha, k}$ is independent of $u_{k}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$, let

$$
G_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime} \text { be the group generated by } U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k} \text { and } U_{-\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k} \text { and } Z_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime}=Z_{k} \cap G_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime} .
$$

Proposition 3.25 ([HV13, 5.2 Lemma], [CE04, 2.20, 6.3(ii)]). The finite groups $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ and $G_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime}$, for $\alpha \in \Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$, are generated by strongly split $B N$-pairs of characteristic $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
B=B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, & N=N_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, \quad S=\left\{s_{\alpha, k} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad \text { for } K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, \\
B=Z_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime} U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}, & N=Z_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime} \cup m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime}, \quad S=\left\{s_{\alpha, k}\right\}, \quad \text { for } G_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A parabolic subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ containing $B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ is called standard.
Proposition 3.26. Let $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ be two facets of $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a facet of $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$.
We have $\Phi_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$. The group $M_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$ generated by $Z_{k}$ and $U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}, k}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is the Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic subgroup $Q_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$ of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$.

The parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$ is the inverse image of $Q_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$ in $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$, the pro-p-parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1)$ is the inverse image of the unipotent radical of $Q_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$, and $K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}, k} \simeq M_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}$.

Proof. [BT84, 4.6.33, 5.1.32].
Corollary 3.27. The reduction map $K_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ induces isomorphisms

$$
K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} \backslash K_{\mathfrak{F}} / K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} \simeq Q_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}} \backslash K_{\mathfrak{F}, k} / Q_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}, \quad K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1) \backslash K_{\mathfrak{F}} / K_{\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}(1) \simeq U_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}^{+} \backslash K_{\mathfrak{F}, k} / U_{\mathfrak{F}, k, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}}^{+}
$$

Corollary 3.28. The pro-p-Iwahori subgroup $I(1)$ and the Iwahori subgroup $I$ are the inverse images in $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}$and of $B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$.

Remark 3.29. A pro- $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an open subgroup of finite index prime to $p$. The pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroup $I(1)$ is a pro- $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Proof. The index of $I(1)$ in $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is equal to the index of $U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}$in the finite group $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$, and the Bruhat decomposition implies that this index is prime to $p$.

For $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$, the parahoric subgroup $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ contains $U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}$ (49) and $r_{\mathfrak{F}}^{*}(\alpha)=r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)_{+}$. By Proposition 3.23, the reduction map $K_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
u \mapsto u_{k}: U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}^{*}=U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}-U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)_{+}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}^{*}=U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}-\{1\},
$$

and sends $m_{\alpha}(u) \in K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ to $m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right) \in K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$. We denote by $s_{\alpha} \in N / Z=W_{0}, s_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)} \in N / Z_{0}$, $s_{\alpha}(u) \in N / Z_{0}(1)$ the images of $m_{\alpha}(u)$. For $u, u^{\prime} \in U_{\alpha+r_{\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha)}^{*}$ we have $m_{\alpha}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} m_{\alpha}(u) \in Z \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $Z \cap K_{\mathfrak{F}}=Z \cap I=Z_{0}$ by Proposition 3.15. We have bijective maps

$$
B_{\mathfrak{F}, k} m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right) B_{\mathfrak{F}, k} / B_{\mathfrak{F}, k} \simeq U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+} m_{\alpha}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+} / U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+} \simeq U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k} .
$$

Corollary 3.30. For $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}$ and $u \in U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}}^{*}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im}_{\alpha}(u) I / I \simeq U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k} \simeq I(1) m_{\alpha}(u) I(1) / I(1) .
$$

## The pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive $p$-adic group I

As $U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}$ does not depend on the choice of $u \in U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}}^{*}$, the integer $\left|\operatorname{Im}_{\alpha}(u) I / I\right|=\left|U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}, k}\right|$ is the same for all $u \in U_{\alpha, \mathfrak{F}}^{*}$.

For $s \in S(\mathfrak{C})$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{s}$ the face of $\mathfrak{C}$ contained in the wall $H_{s}$ fixed by $s$, the set $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}}^{\prime}$ has a single element $\alpha_{s}$. We denote $q_{s}:=\left|\operatorname{Im}_{\alpha_{s}}(u) I / I\right|, q_{s, k}:=\left|U_{\mathfrak{F}_{s}, k, \alpha_{s}}\right|$. Corollary 3.30 implies the following result.

Corollary 3.31. For $s \in S(\mathfrak{C}), q_{s}=q_{s, k}$.

### 3.9 The Iwahori Weyl groups

We recall the group $G^{\prime}$ generated by $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} U_{\alpha}$ (§3.2) and we define the subgroup $G^{\text {aff }}$ of $G$ generated by the parahoric subgroups of $G$. The subgroups $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\text {aff }}$ of $G$ are normal and (Proposition 3.16)

$$
G=Z G^{\prime}, \quad G^{\mathrm{aff}}=Z_{0} G^{\prime}
$$

For a subgroup $X$ of $G$, we set $X^{\prime}=X \cap G^{\prime}, X^{\text {aff }}=X \cap G^{\text {aff }}$. We have ( $\left.Z^{\text {aff }}, N^{\text {aff }}\right)=\left(Z_{0} Z^{\prime}, Z_{0} N^{\prime}\right)$.
Definition 3.32. We call

$$
W_{0}=N / Z, \quad W^{\mathrm{aff}}=N^{\mathrm{aff}} / Z_{0}, \quad W=N / Z_{0}, \quad W^{\text {aff }}(1)=N^{\mathrm{aff}} / Z_{0}(1), \quad W(1)=N / Z_{0}(1)
$$

the finite Weyl, affine Weyl, Iwahori Weyl, pro-p-affine Weyl, and pro-p-Iwahori Weyl groups of $G$.

We note that $W_{0} \simeq W_{0}^{\text {aff }} \simeq W_{0}^{\prime}$ and $W^{\text {aff }} \simeq W^{\prime}, W^{\text {aff }}(1) \simeq W^{\prime}(1)$ for the natural definitions $W_{0}^{\text {aff }}=N^{\text {aff }} / Z^{\text {aff }}, W_{0}^{\prime}=N^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}=N^{\prime} / Z_{0}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}(1)=N^{\prime} / Z_{0}^{\prime}(1)$. The action of $N^{\text {aff }}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ identifies $W(\mathfrak{H})$ with $W^{\text {aff }}$ and $S(\mathfrak{C})$ with a subset $S^{\text {aff }}$ of $W^{\text {aff }}$. The group $W_{0}$ identifies with a subgroup of $W(\mathfrak{H})$ hence of $W^{\text {aff }}$ and $S=S^{\text {aff }} \cap W_{0}$.

Most of the properties of this section are encapsulated in an important theorem of BruhatTits theory [BT84, 5.2.12]:

Theorem 3.33. ( $\left.G^{\text {aff }}, I, N^{\text {aff }}\right)$ is a double Tits system of Coxeter systems

$$
\left(W^{\mathrm{aff}}, S^{\mathrm{aff}}\right), \quad\left(W_{0}, S\right)
$$

and the inclusion $G^{\text {aff }} \subset G$ is $I-N^{\text {aff }}$-adapted of connected type.
We recall that by the first assertion, ( $\left.G^{\text {aff }}, I, N^{\text {aff }}\right)$ and ( $\left.G^{\text {aff }}, B^{\text {aff }}, N^{\text {aff }}\right)$ are Tits systems [BT72, 1.2.6, 5.1.1] hence satisfy the following properties.
(T1) $I \cup N^{\text {aff }}$ generates $G^{\text {aff }}$ and $I \cap N^{\text {aff }}$ is normal in $N^{\text {aff }}$.
(T2) For all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}, w \in W^{\text {aff }}$, we have $s I w \subset I w I \cup I s w I$.
(T3) For all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$, we have $s I s \neq I$, and the same properties for ( $S, W_{0}, B^{\text {aff }}$ ) instead of $\left(S^{\text {aff }}, W^{\text {aff }}, I\right)$.

For the second assertion, see the definitions [BT72, 1.2.13, 4.1.3].
Proposition 3.34 (Bruhat decompositions for $G^{\text {aff }}$ [BT72, 1.2.7]). We have

$$
G^{\mathrm{aff}}=B^{\mathrm{aff}} N^{\mathrm{aff}} B^{\mathrm{aff}}=I N^{\mathrm{aff}} I=I(1) N^{\mathrm{aff}} I(1) .
$$

The maps $n \mapsto B^{\text {aff }} n B^{\text {aff }}, n \mapsto I n I, n \mapsto I(1) n I(1)$ induce bijections

$$
W_{0} \simeq B^{\mathrm{aff}} \backslash G^{\mathrm{aff}} / B^{\mathrm{aff}}, \quad W^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq I \backslash G^{\mathrm{aff}} / I, \quad W^{\mathrm{aff}}(1) \simeq I(1) \backslash G^{\mathrm{aff}} / I(1)
$$
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Proof. The assertions $I N^{\text {aff }} I=I(1) N^{\text {aff }} I(1), W^{\text {aff }}(1) \simeq I(1) \backslash G^{\text {aff }} / I(1)$ involving $I(1)$ use $I=$ $I(1) Z_{0}$ and $Z_{0} \subset N^{\text {aff }}$ for the equality, and the $N$-equivariant semidirect product $Z_{0}=Z_{0}(1) \rtimes$ $Z_{0}^{(p)}(48)$ with the disjoint decomposition

$$
\text { InI }=\bigsqcup_{t \in Z_{0}^{(p)}} I(1) \operatorname{tn} I(1), \quad \text { for all } n \in N
$$

for the isomorphism.
Note that the equality $[$ In $I: I]=[I(1) n I(1): I(1)]$ of indices for $n \in N$ (Corollary 3.30) follows easily from $I=\bigsqcup_{t \in Z_{0}^{(p)}} I(1) t$, InI $=\bigsqcup_{t \in Z_{0}^{(p)}} I(1) \operatorname{tn} I(1)$.

We have a similar Bruhat decomposition for $G$. Let $B=Z U^{+}$.
Proposition 3.35 (Bruhat decompositions for $G$ ). We have

$$
G=B N B=I N I=I(1) N I(1) .
$$

The maps $n \mapsto B n B, n \mapsto I n I, n \mapsto I(1) n I(1)$ induce bijections

$$
W_{0} \simeq B \backslash G / B, \quad W \simeq I \backslash G / I, \quad W(1) \simeq I(1) \backslash G / I(1), \quad I n I / I \simeq I(1) n I(1) / I(1)
$$

Proof. For $B$ and $I$, the equalities and the isomorphism with $W_{0}$ follow from $G=G^{\text {aff }} Z, N=$ $N^{\text {aff }} Z, B=B^{\text {aff }} Z$ and Proposition 3.34. For the isomorphism with $W_{0}$, see also [BT72, 5.1.32]. The isomorphism with $W$ follows from [BT72, 4.2.2(iii)] where $W, Z_{0}$ are denoted by $\hat{W}, H$.

We deduce $G=I(1) N I(1)$ from $G=I N I, I=Z_{0} I(1)$ and $Z_{0} \subset N$. We have $I n I / I \simeq$ $I(1) n I(1) / I(1)$ because

$$
I /\left(I \cap n I n^{-1}\right)=I(1) Z_{0} /\left(I(1) \cap n I(1) n^{-1}\right) Z_{0} \simeq I(1) /\left(I(1) \cap n I(1) n^{-1}\right) .
$$

If $I(1) n I(1)=I(1) n^{\prime} I(1)$ we have $I n I=I n^{\prime} I$ and the images $w, w^{\prime}$ of $n, n^{\prime}$ in $W$ are equal. As $I=Z_{0} I(1)$, the double coset $I n I=I(1) n Z_{0} I(1)$ is a disjoint union of $I(1) n z I(1)$ for $z \in$ $Z_{0} / Z_{0}(1)=Z_{k}$. This implies that the images of $n, n^{\prime}$ in $W(1)$ are equal.

The Iwahori decomposition of $I$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \cap I=Z_{0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ be the $N$-stabilizer of the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$. We denote by $\Omega \subset W$ and $\Omega(1) \subset W(1)$ the images of Norm. ${ }^{\text {. }}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N^{\text {aff }} \operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \quad \operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} \cap N^{\mathrm{aff}}=\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} \cap I=Z_{0}, \quad \operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} \cap Z=\operatorname{Ker} v \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $W^{\text {aff }}$ acts simply transitively on the set of alcoves of $\mathfrak{A}$, a translation normalizing $\mathfrak{C}$ is trivial (Lemma 3.7), $z \in Z$ acts on $\mathfrak{A}$ by translation by $-v(z)$ (16), and (52).

The $G$-stabilizer of the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ is also the $G$-normalizer of $I$ because $I$ is the fixator of $\mathfrak{C}$ in the kernel of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{G}$ (Definition 3.14) and $\mathfrak{C}$ is the only alcove of the (adjoint) Bruhat-Tits building fixed by $I$ (this follows from the comment after (44) and $G^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \kappa_{G}$ ). The $G$-stabilizer of the apartment $\mathfrak{A}$ is $N$ (after Definition 3.12). The Iwahori group $I$ acts transitively on the apartments of the (adjoint) Bruhat-Tits building containing $\mathfrak{C}$, as $U_{\mathfrak{C}}$ has this property (paragraph before (46)) and $I=Z_{0} U_{\mathfrak{C}}$ (Proposition 3.16). We deduce that the apartments containing $\mathfrak{C}$ are in bijection with $I / Z_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} I=\text { the } G \text {-normalizer of } I=\text { the } G \text {-stabilizer of } \mathfrak{C} \text {. }
$$

## The pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive p-adic group I

Proposition 3.36. (1) The groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
G / G^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq Z / Z^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq N / N^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq W / W^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq \operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} / Z_{0} \simeq \Omega \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

are commutative and finitely generated.
(2) $G=\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} G^{\text {aff }}=\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}} G^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ normalizes $I, N^{\text {aff }}$ and $I^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$.
(3) $G^{\prime}$ satisfies the Bruhat decompositions $G^{\prime}=B^{\prime} N^{\prime} B^{\prime}=I^{\prime} N^{\prime} I^{\prime}$.

The maps $n \mapsto B^{\prime} n B^{\prime}, n \mapsto I^{\prime} n I^{\prime}$ induce isomorphisms

$$
W_{0} \simeq B^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / B^{\prime}, \quad W^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq I^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / I^{\prime}
$$

Proof. (1) The isomorphisms (54) are clear. The groups are commutative and finitely generated because $Z_{0} \subset Z^{\text {aff }}$ and we recall that the group $\Lambda=Z / Z_{0}$ is commutative and finitely generated (before Proposition 3.15).
(2) The equalities for $G$ follow from (54) and $G^{\text {aff }}=Z_{0} G^{\prime}$ with $Z_{0} \subset \operatorname{Norm}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Norm $_{\mathcal{C}}$ normalizes $I$ by the remarks made before the proposition; it normalizes $N^{\text {aff }}$ because $G$ normalizes $G^{\text {aff }}$ (see the beginning of this section); it normalizes the intersections $I^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ of $I, N$ with $G^{\prime}$ because $G^{\prime}$ is normal in $G$ (again, see the beginning of this section).
(3) This follows from

$$
\left(G^{\text {aff }}, B^{\text {aff }}, N^{\text {aff }}, I, I(1)\right)=\left(Z_{0} G^{\prime}, Z_{0} B^{\prime}, Z_{0} N^{\prime}, Z_{0} I^{\prime}, Z_{0}(1) I^{\prime}(1)\right)
$$

and the Bruhat decompositions of $G^{\text {aff }}$ (Proposition 3.34). We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{0} \simeq B^{\mathrm{aff}} \backslash G^{\mathrm{aff}} / B^{\mathrm{aff}}=Z_{0} B^{\prime} \backslash Z_{0} G^{\prime} / Z_{0} B^{\prime} \simeq B^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / B^{\prime}, \\
W^{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq I \backslash G^{\mathrm{aff}} / I=Z_{0} I^{\prime} \backslash Z_{0} G^{\prime} / Z_{0} I^{\prime} \simeq I^{\prime} \backslash G^{\prime} / I^{\prime},
\end{gathered}
$$

because $Z_{0} \cap G^{\prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ for the right isomorphisms.
We note that (53) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W^{\mathrm{aff}} \rtimes \Omega, \quad W(1)=W^{\mathrm{aff}}(1) \Omega(1), \quad W^{\text {aff }}(1) \cap \Omega(1)=Z_{k} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extension $W(1) \rightarrow W$ of kernel $Z_{k}$ does not split in general [Vig05].
Remark 3.37 ([HR08, Lemma 17], [Ric13, Lemma 1.3]). The kernel of the Kottwitz morphism $\kappa_{G}$ is $G^{\text {aff }}$. By Proposition 3.36, the image of $\kappa_{G}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega$. By (53), the $G^{\text {aff }}$-stabilizer of $\mathfrak{C}$ is $I$ and the $G^{\prime}$-stabilizer of $\mathfrak{C}$ is $I^{\prime}$.

The set $S^{\text {aff }}$ is invariant by conjugation by $\Omega$, hence the length $\ell$ of the Coxeter group ( $W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}$ ) is invariant by conjugation by $\Omega$. The length extends to a map on $W$ and $W(1)$, still called a length and denoted by $\ell$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(\tilde{w})=\ell(w)=\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\tilde{w} \in W(1)$ lifting $w \in W$ and $w^{\prime} \in W^{\text {aff }}$ such that $w=w^{\prime} u, u \in \Omega$. The set of elements of length 0 in $W$ (respectively, $W(1)$ ) is $\Omega$ (respectively, $\Omega(1)$ ).

The cardinal $q_{w}$ of $|I n I / I|=|I(1) n I(1) / I(1)|$, for $n \in N$ of image $w \in W$ or $W(1)$ (Proposition 3.35, Corollary 3.31), can be explicitly computed from the $q_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.
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Proposition 3.38 (Braid relations for $W$ ). For $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W$, $q_{w_{1} w_{2}}=q_{w_{1}} q_{w_{2}}$ is equivalent to $\ell\left(w_{1}\right)+\ell\left(w_{2}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The map $n \mapsto\left[I: I \cap n I n^{-1}\right]$ is invariant by conjugation by $N_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\ell(w), q_{w}$ are invariant by conjugation by $\Omega$.

The braid relations for $W^{\text {aff }}$ imply the braid relations for $W$, and follow from the properties of the affine Tits system ( $\left.G^{\text {aff }}, I, N^{\text {aff }}\right)$. This is well known but the only reference that I am aware of is [Bou68, IV.2, Exercices 3, 8, 23].

## 4. Iwahori-Matsumoto presentations

### 4.1 Generalities on Hecke rings

In this preliminary subsection, $G$ is an arbitrary locally profinite group containing a compact open subgroup $I$, and $R$ is a commutative ring.

The Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(G, I)$ of $I$ in $G$ is the ring of $I$-bi-invariant compactly supported functions from $G$ to $R$, with the convolution product $*$. The value at $I$ is an isomorphism from the intertwining algebra $\operatorname{End}_{R G} R[I \backslash G]$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{R}(G, I)$. We have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R}(G, I)=R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, I)
$$

We call $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, I)$ the Hecke ring of $I$ in $G$.
For $g \in G$, the characteristic function of $I g I$ is denoted by $T_{g}$. The Hecke $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}(G, I)$ is a free $R$-module of basis $\left(T_{g}\right)_{g \in I \backslash G / I}$.

For $g, h \in G$, the convolution product $T_{g} * T_{h}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{g} * T_{h}=\sum_{x \in I \backslash I g I h I / I}\left(T_{g} * T_{h}\right)(x) T_{x} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(T_{g} * T_{h}\right)(x)$ is the cardinal of $\left(I g I \cap x I h^{-1} I\right) / I$ [Vig96, I.3.4 (3)], or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{g} * T_{h}\right)(x) \text { is the cardinal of }\left\{u \in Y_{g} \mid u^{-1} x \in g I h I\right\}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{g}$ is a system of representatives of the cosets $I /\left(g I g^{-1} \cap I\right)$. A system of representatives of the coset $I g I / I$ is $Y_{g} g$. The number of elements of $I g I / I$ is denoted by $q_{g}$. The linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
d: \mathcal{H}_{R}(G, I) \rightarrow R, \quad T_{g} \mapsto q_{g}(g \in G) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

respects the product [Vig96, I.3.5]. For $g, h \in G$, the formula (57) implies

$$
q_{g} q_{h}=\sum_{x \in I \backslash I g I h I / I}\left(T_{g} \circ T_{h}\right)(x) q_{x} .
$$

For $x \in \operatorname{IgIh} I,\left(T_{g} * T_{h}\right)(x)$ is a positive integer less than or equal to $\min \left(q_{g}, q_{h}\right)$ and we have $\left(T_{g} * T_{h}\right)(g h) \geqslant 1$. Therefore $q_{g} q_{h} \geqslant q_{g h}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{g} q_{h}=q_{g h} \quad \text { is equivalent to } T_{g} * T_{h}=T_{g h} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{g} * T_{h}=T_{g h} \quad \text { if } g \text { or } h \text { normalizes } I . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation

The Hecke ring of the Iwahori subgroup $I$ (respectively, pro- $p$-Iwahori subgroup $I(1)$ ) in the reductive group $G$ is called the Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}$ (respectively, the pro- $p$-Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(1))$ of $G$. For $n \in N$ of image $w$ in $W$ or in $W(1)$, we write $T_{n}=T_{w}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ or in $\mathcal{H}(1)$.

Proposition 4.1. The Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}$ (respectively, pro-p-Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(1)$ ) is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W}$ (respectively, $\left.\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}\right)$ satisfying the braid relations

$$
T_{w} * T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}} \quad \text { if } \ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proof. For the basis, see Proposition 3.35. For the braid relations, see (60) and Propositions 3.35 and 3.38.

Let $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$. We denote by $S_{s}^{\text {aff }}(1)$ the inverse image of $s$ in $W(1)$. Let $\tilde{s} \in S_{s}^{\text {aff }}(1)$. The elements $T_{s}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $T_{\tilde{s}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(1)$ satisfy quadratic relations. It is possible to prove them using Bruhat-Tits theory as in [Vig05] when $\mathbf{G}$ is split. But we will obtain them, using Proposition 3.25, by following the carefully written proofs of the quadratic relations in the Hecke algebras of finite groups with a strongly split $B N$-pair of characteristic $p$ over a large field of characteristic $p$ by Cabanes and Enguehard [CE04, ch. 6].

We denote by $H_{s}$ the wall of the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ fixed by $s$. A facet $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ contained in $H_{s}$ is either the face $\mathfrak{F}_{s}$ or a facet of $\mathfrak{F}_{s}$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text {red }}^{+}$be the reduced $\mathfrak{C}$-positive root and $r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ such that $H_{s}=\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+r)$. If $r \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, then $2 \alpha \in \Phi$. The affine root $A_{s}=\alpha_{s}+r_{s}$,

$$
\alpha_{s}+r_{s}=\alpha+r \quad \text { when } r \in \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{s}+r_{s}=2 \alpha+2 r \quad \text { when } r \notin \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime},
$$

belongs to $\Delta_{\Phi, \mathfrak{F}}^{\prime}(\S 3.8)$. For $u \in U_{A_{s}}^{*}=U_{A_{s}}-U_{A_{s}+}$ we denote by $m_{s}(u)$ the unique element of $N \cap U_{-\alpha_{s}} u U_{-\alpha_{s}}$. We have $m_{s}(u) \in U_{-A_{s}} u U_{-A_{s}}$. The elements $u, m_{s}(u)$ belong to $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$. The image $s(u)$ of $m_{s}(u)$ in $W(1)$ belongs to $S_{s}^{\text {aff }}(1)$. The Hecke operator $T_{s} \in \mathcal{H}$ belongs to the Hecke subring $\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I\right)$ by Lemma 3.22 and $T_{s(u)} \in \mathcal{H}(1)$ belongs to the Hecke subring $\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I(1)\right)$.

The Iwahori subgroup $I$ is the inverse image by the reduction map $K_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ of a minimal Borel subgroup $B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ of $G_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ and $I(1)$ is the inverse image of the unipotent radical $U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}$of $B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ (Corollary 3.30). The Hecke rings $\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}=\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}\right)$ are isomorphic and the Hecke rings $\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I(1)\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}(1)=\mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, U_{\mathfrak{F}, k}^{+}\right)$are isomorphic.

The finite group $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ is a strongly split $B N$-pair of characteristic $p$ with $B=B_{\mathfrak{F}, k}, N=N_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ (Proposition 3.25). The root group of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ defined by $A_{s}$ is $U_{A_{s}, k}=U_{A_{s}} / U_{A_{s},+}$. The root group of $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ defined by $-A_{s}$ is $U_{-A_{s}, k}=U_{-A_{s}} / U_{-A_{s},+}$. The reduction $u_{k}$ of $u$ in $K_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ belongs to $U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}=$ $U_{A_{s}, k}-\{1\}$ and the reduction $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$ of $m_{s}(u)$ is the unique element of $N_{\mathfrak{F}, k} \cap U_{-A_{s}, k} u_{k} U_{-A_{s}, k}$. We have

$$
s(u)^{2}=m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{2} \in Z_{k} .
$$

We still denote by $s$ the image of $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$ in $W_{\mathfrak{F}, k}=N_{\mathfrak{F}, k} / Z_{k}$. By Corollary 3.30,

$$
q_{s}=\left|U_{A_{s}, k}\right| .
$$

The quadratic relations satisfied by $T_{s} \in \mathfrak{H}, T_{s} \in \mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I\right), T_{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ are the same.
Proposition 4.2 (Quadratic relations in $\mathfrak{H}$ ).

$$
T_{s} * T_{s}=q_{s}+\left(q_{s}-1\right) T_{s} \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{H} .
$$
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Proof. The quadratic relations are proved in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[1 / p] \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ [CE04, Theorem 3.3], but they hold true in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$ because all the elements belong to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}$.

By a general property of Hecke algebras [Vig96, I.3.5], we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4.3. The linear map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
T_{w}=T_{s_{1}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}} T_{u} \mapsto q_{w}=q_{s_{1}} \ldots q_{s_{\ell(w)}} \quad \text { if } w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} u\left(s_{i} \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}, u \in \Omega\right)
$$

is a ring homomorphism.
The quadratic relations satisfied by $T_{s(u)} \in \mathfrak{H}(1), T_{s(u)} \in \mathcal{H}\left(K_{\mathfrak{F}}, I(1)\right), T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}(1)$ are the same. We need more notation. The group $G_{s, k}^{\prime}$ generated by $U_{A_{s}, k} \cup U_{-A_{s}, k}$ is a strongly split $B N$-pair of characteristic $p$ with $B=Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k}, N=Z_{k, s} \cup m_{s\left(u_{k}\right)} Z_{k, s}$ and $Z_{k, s}:=G_{A_{s}, k}^{\prime} \cap Z_{k}$ (Proposition 3.25). We have $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) \in G_{s, k}^{\prime}$ and $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{2}=s(u)^{2}$ is in $Z_{k, s}$. Let

$$
c_{s}=\left(q_{s}-1\right)\left|Z_{k, s}\right|^{-1} \sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} t
$$

We identify $\mathbb{Z}\left[Z_{k}\right]$ with a subring of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{F}, k}(1)$ by the map $\sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c(t) t \mapsto \sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c(t) T_{t}$, using the braid relations.

Proposition 4.4 (Quadratic relations in $\mathfrak{H}(1)$ ).

$$
T_{s(u)} * T_{s(u)}=q_{s} s(u)^{2}+c_{s(u)} T_{s(u)} \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{H}(1),
$$

where $c_{s(u)}=c_{s}$ if the order of $Z_{k, s}$ is $q_{s}-1$ (for example, if $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split). In general,

$$
c_{s(u)}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{s(u)}(t) t
$$

for positive integers $c_{s(u)}(t)$ constant on the coset $t\left\{x s(x)^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\}, c_{s(u)}(t)=c_{s(u)}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$, of sum $q_{s}-1$, and

$$
c_{s(u)} \equiv c_{s} \quad \text { modulo } p \text {. }
$$

The proof is divided into three steps following the proof given by Cabanes and Enguehard in [CE04, ch. 6] for the quadratic relations in the Hecke algebra of a $p$-Sylow subgroup of a finite reductive group over a field of characteristic $p$ (not over the ring $R$ ).

Step 1. The intersections

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) \cap U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) t U_{A_{s}, k} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in Z_{k, s}$ are disjoint and exhaust $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}^{*} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$ (where $U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}=U_{A_{s}, k}-\{1\}$ ).
Proof. By the Bruhat decompositions of the strongly split $B N$-pair of characteristic $p$ generating the group $G_{s, k}^{\prime}$ (Proposition 3.25), we have

$$
G_{s, k}^{\prime}=\bigsqcup_{n \in N_{k, s}} U_{A_{s}, k} n U_{A_{s}, k}
$$

where $N_{k, s}=Z_{k, s} \sqcup m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{k, s}$. The disjointness of the sets (62) follows from the disjointness of the $U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) t U_{A_{s}, k}$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$. The union $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) \cap U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k}$ of the sets (62) does not contain $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{2} \in Z_{k, s}$. It is equal to $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}^{*} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$ because $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}^{*} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)=U_{-A_{s}, k}^{*} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{2}$ is contained in $U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k}$.
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Step 2. Let $c_{s(u)}(t)$ denote the cardinality of (62) and $c_{s(u)}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{s(u)}(t) t$. We have $T_{s(u)} *$ $T_{s(u)}=q_{s} s(u)^{2}+c_{s(u)} T_{s(u)}$ in $\mathcal{H}(1)$.

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 6.8(iii) in [CE04].
Step 3. The integers $c_{s(u)}(t)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$ satisfy the following properties:
(1) their sum is $q_{s}-1$;
(2) $c_{s(u)}(t)=c_{s(u)}\left(t t^{\prime}\right)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}, t^{\prime} \in\left\{s(x) x^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\}$;
(3) $c_{s(u)}(t)=c_{s(u)}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$;
(4) they are constant modulo $p$;
(5) they are positive;
(6) when the order of $Z_{k, s}$ is $q_{s}-1$, in particular when $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split, $c_{s(u)}=c_{s}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} t$.

Proof. (1) The sets (62) of cardinal $c_{s(u)}(t)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$, form a partition of $U_{A_{s}, k}-\{1\}$ by Step 1. Therefore

$$
\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{s(u)}(t)=\left|U_{A_{s}, k}\right|-1=q_{s}-1 .
$$

(2) The group $\left\{s(x) x^{-1}=s x s^{-1} x^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\}$ is contained in $Z_{k, s}$ because $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$ normalizes $Z_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$ normalizes $G_{s, k}^{\prime}$. We have

$$
c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}(t)=c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}\left(t t^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } t^{\prime} \in\left\{s(x) x^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\}
$$

because $x \in Z_{k}$ commutes with $c_{s(u)} T_{s(u)}$ by the quadratic relations, $x T_{s(u)}=T_{s(u)} s(x), x$ commutes $T_{s(u)} * T_{s(u)}$ and $Z_{k}$ is commutative.
(3) The set (62) multiplied by $m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{-2} \in Z_{k, s}$, equal to $U_{A_{-s}, k} \cap U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{-1} t U_{A_{s}, k}$, has $c_{s(u)}(t)$ elements. Its image by the inverse map also has $c_{s(u)}(t)$ elements. It is equal to $U_{A_{-s}, k} \cap$ $U_{A_{s}, k} t^{-1} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}=U_{A_{-s}, k} \cap U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) s\left(t^{-1}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}$ which has $c_{s(u)}\left(s(t)^{-1}\right)$ elements. By Step 2, $c_{s(u)}\left(s(t)^{-1}\right)=c_{s(u)}\left(s(t)^{-1} t^{\prime}\right)$ for $t^{\prime}=s(t) t^{-1}$, hence $c_{s(u)}(t)=c_{s(u)}\left(t^{-1}\right)$.
(4) The function $t \mapsto c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}(t): Z_{k, s}\left\{s(x) x^{-1} \mid x \in Z_{k}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is constant modulo $p$ [CE04, Proposition 6.10(i) and (ii)]. We repeat the arguments. If the function is not constant modulo $p$, there is some non-trivial character $\psi_{0}$ of $Z_{k, s}$ with values in $k^{*}$ such that

$$
b=\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}(t) \psi_{0}(t) \neq 0 .
$$

The Hecke $k$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{k}\left(G_{s, k}^{\prime}, U_{A_{s}, k}\right)$ is equal to $k\left[Z_{k, s}\right]+k\left[Z_{k, s}\right] T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}$ with the braid and quadratic relations

$$
T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)} t=s(t) T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}, \quad T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}^{2}=c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)} T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)} .
$$

One may define $\left|Z_{k, s}\right|+2$ characters of $\mathcal{H}_{k}\left(G_{s, k}^{\prime}, U_{A_{s}, k}\right)$ : $\xi_{0}$ equal to $\psi_{0}$ on $t \in Z_{k, s}$ and equal to $b$ on $T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}$, $\xi$ equal to $\psi$ on $t \in Z_{k, s}$ and equal to 0 on $T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}$ for every character $\psi$ of $Z_{k, s}$ and $\xi_{s t}$ trivial on $Z_{k, s}$ and equal to -1 on $T_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}$ because $\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}(t)=q_{s}-1$ is congruent to -1 modulo $p$.

The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{k}\left(G_{s, k}^{\prime}, U_{A_{s}, k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left.\operatorname{End}_{k\left[G_{s, k}^{\prime}\right]}\right]-\operatorname{Ind}_{U_{A_{s}, k}}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} 1$. The simple modules of $\mathcal{H}_{k}\left(G_{s, k}^{\prime}, U_{A_{s}, k}\right)$ are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of indecomposable summands of the $k$-representation $\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{U_{A_{s}, k}}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} 1$ [CE04, Proof of (i) in Theorem 6.10]. This representation is
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a direct sum over the characters $\psi$ of $Z_{k, s}$ inflated to $B_{s, k}^{\prime}=Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k}$, of the representations $\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{s, k}^{\prime}}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} \psi$. The restriction of $\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{s, k}^{\prime}}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} \psi$ to $B_{s, k}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\psi$ and of the natural action of $B_{s, k}^{\prime}$ on the indecomposable regular module $k\left[U_{A_{s}, k}\right]$. The only character of $G_{s, k}^{\prime}$ is trivial. We deduce that the representation c-Ind $U_{U_{s}, k}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} 1$ is the direct sum of $\left|Z_{k, s}\right|+1$ indecomposable subrepresentations [CE04, Lemma 6.4]. The Hecke algebra $\operatorname{End}_{k\left[G_{s, k}^{\prime}\right.}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ - $\operatorname{Ind}_{U_{A_{s, k}}}^{G_{s, k}^{\prime}} 1$ cannot have $\left|Z_{k, s}\right|+2$ characters. This implies that we could not make our assumption; in other words, the integers $c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}(t)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$ are constant modulo $p$.
(5) Their sum $q_{s}-1$ is not divisible by $p$, hence they are not divisible by $p$, in particular they are not 0 , they are positive.
(6) They are all equal to 1 if and only the order of $Z_{k, s}$ is $q_{s}-1$ if and only if $c_{s(u)}=c_{s}=$ $\sum_{t \in Z_{k, s}} t$.

When the group $\mathbf{G}$ is $F$-split, the order of $Z_{k, s}$ is $q_{s}-1$, and $q_{s}=q$ is the order of the residue field $k$ of $F$ [Vig05, § 2.2].

This ends the proof of Proposition 4.4.
Remark. The positive integers $c_{s(u)}(t)$ for $t \in Z_{k, s}$ are all equal if and only if $c_{s(u)}=c_{s}$. When this is the case, the order of $Z_{k, s}$ divides $q_{s}-1$.

We give an example where the order of $Z_{k, s}$ does not divide $q_{s}-1$ [KX15, Remark 3.8]. Suppose that $q$ is odd. Let $G$ denote the $F$-rational points of the unramified unitary group $U(2$, $1)(E / F)$ where $E / F$ is a quadratic unramified extension of residue field $k_{E}$. Then $Z_{k}$ identifies with $k_{E}^{*} \times \mathbf{U}(1)\left(k_{E} / k\right)$ and we have $S=\left\{s, s^{\prime}\right\}$ where, for $q_{s^{\prime}}=q$, the group $Z_{k, s^{\prime}}$ identifies with $k^{*}=k-\{0\}$ of order $q_{s^{\prime}}-1$ and $c_{s^{\prime}(u)}=c_{s^{\prime}}$; and for $q_{s}=q^{3}$, the group $Z_{k, s}$ identifies with $k_{E}^{*}=k_{E}-\{0\}$ of order $q^{2}-1$ not dividing $q_{s}-1$, and the positive integers $c_{s(u)}(t)=1$ for $t \in k^{*}$ and $c_{s(u)}(t)=q+1$ for $t \in k_{E}^{*}-k^{*}$ are not constant. We note that

$$
c_{s(u)}=c_{s}-q c_{s^{\prime}} \equiv c_{s} \bmod q .
$$

Lemma 4.5. The set $\left\{m_{s}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mid u^{\prime} \in U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}\right\}$ is a coset $Z_{k, s} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)$.
Proof. By the Bruhat decomposition $G_{s, k}^{\prime}=Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k} \sqcup U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{k, s} U_{A_{s}, k}$, there exists a map $t: U_{A_{s}, k}^{*} \rightarrow Z_{k, s}$ defined by

$$
m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) u^{\prime} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)=x m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) t\left(u^{\prime}\right) y \quad \text { for some } x, y \in U_{A_{s}, k}
$$

Remembering the definition of $m_{s}\left(u^{\prime}\right)(14)$, we note that $m_{s}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=t\left(u^{\prime}\right) m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)^{-1}$. The lemma means that $t\left(U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}\right)=Z_{k, s}$.

Recalling Step 1, we have the disjoint union

$$
m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k}^{*} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)=\bigsqcup_{t \in t\left(U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}\right)}\left(m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) \cap U_{A_{s}, k} m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right) t U_{A_{s}, k}\right)
$$

By Step 2, we have

$$
c_{m_{s}\left(u_{k}\right)}=\sum_{u^{\prime} \in U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}} T_{t\left(u^{\prime}\right)}
$$

The lemma follows from part (4) of Step 3.
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The set

$$
\tilde{S}_{s}^{\mathrm{aff}}=\left\{s\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mid u^{\prime} \in U_{A_{s}, k}^{*}\right\}=Z_{k, s} s(u)=s(u) Z_{k, s}
$$

is a coset of $Z_{k, s}$ in $S_{s}^{\text {aff }}(1)=Z_{k} s(u)=s(u) Z_{k}$, which depends only on $s$.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\tilde{s} \in S_{s}^{\text {aff }}(1)$. We have $T_{\tilde{s}} * T_{\tilde{s}}=q_{s} \tilde{s}^{2}+c_{\tilde{s}} T_{\tilde{s}}$ where $c_{\tilde{s}}=c_{s(u)} s(u)^{-1} \tilde{s}$; and $c_{\tilde{s}}=c_{s(u)}$ if and only if $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{S}_{s}^{\text {aff }}$.

Proof. We have, for $t \in Z_{k}$,

$$
T_{s(u) t} * T_{s(u) t}=T_{s(u)} * T_{s(u)} s(t) t=\left(q_{s} s(u)^{2}+c_{s(u)} T_{s(u)}\right) s(t) t=q_{s}(s(u) t)^{2}+c_{s(u)} t T_{s(u) t}
$$

### 4.3 Generic algebra

Let $R$ be a commutative ring, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\mathrm{aff}}, S^{\mathrm{aff}}, \Omega, W, Z_{k}, W(1) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying:
(a1) ( $\left.W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}\right)$ is a Coxeter system;
(a2) $\Omega$ is a group acting on $W^{\text {aff }}$ and stabilizing $S^{\text {aff; }}$
(a3) $W$ is the semidirect product $W^{\text {aff }} \rtimes \Omega$;
(a4) $Z_{k}$ is a commutative group;
(a5) $1 \rightarrow Z_{k} \rightarrow W(1) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 1$ is an extension of $W$ by $Z_{k}$.
For a subset $X$ of $W$, we denote by $X(1)$ the inverse image of $X$ in $W(1)$.
The length $\ell$ of ( $W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}$ ), being invariant by conjugation by $\Omega$, extends to a length $\ell$ of $W$ constant on the double cosets of $\Omega$, and inflates to a length on $W(1)$, still denoted by $\ell$. The subgroup of elements of length 0 in $W$ is $\Omega$, and in $W(1)$ is $\Omega(1)$. The inverse image of $W^{\text {aff }}$ in $W(1)$ is a normal subgroup $W^{\text {aff }}(1)$ such that $Z_{k}=W^{\text {aff }}(1) \cap \Omega(1)$ and $W(1)=W^{\text {aff }}(1) \Omega(1)$ as in (55).

For $w \in W(1)$ and $t \in Z_{k}, w(t)=w t w^{-1}$ depends only on the image of $w$ in $W$ because $Z_{k}$ is commutative. By linearity the conjugation defines an action

$$
(w, c) \mapsto w \bullet c: W(1) \times R\left[Z_{k}\right] \rightarrow R\left[Z_{k}\right]
$$

of $W(1)$ on $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ factorizing through the map $W(1) \rightarrow W$. For $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ we write $s \sim s^{\prime}$ if $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ are conjugate in $W$; if $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ we write $s \sim s^{\prime}$ if their image in $S^{\text {aff }}$ is $W$-conjugate.
Theorem 4.7. Let $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right) \in R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. We have, for all $s \sim s^{\prime}$ in $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$, $w \in W(1), w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1}, t \in Z_{k}$,
(a5) $q_{s}=q_{s t}=q_{s^{\prime}}$,
(a6) $c_{s t}=c_{s} t$ and $w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}=c_{w s^{\prime} w^{-1}}$.
Then the $R$-free module of basis $\left(T_{w}\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ admits a unique $R$-algebra structure satisfying
the braid relations $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}} \quad$ for $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1), \ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$, the quadratic relations $T_{s}^{2}=q_{s} T_{s^{2}}+c_{s} T_{s} \quad$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$,
where $c_{s}=\sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c_{s}(t) t \in R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ is identified with $\sum_{t \in Z_{k}} c_{s}(t) T_{t}$.
This algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ and called the $R$-algebra of $W$ (1) with parameters $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.
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We will prove that the conditions (a5) and
$\left(\mathrm{a} 6^{\prime}\right) c_{s t}=c_{s} t$ and $w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}=w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1} c_{s}$ if $\ell(s w)>\ell(w), q_{s} w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s^{\prime}} w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1} c_{s}$ if $\ell(s w)<$ $\ell(w)$,
are necessary for the existence of the algebra. We will not prove that (a5) and ( $\mathrm{a} 6^{\prime}$ ) are sufficient, although the same proof as in [Sch09, Theorem 3.1.5] should work.

Remark 4.8. When (a5) is satisfied and for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), x \in R, q_{s} x=0$ implies $x=0$, the conditions (a6 ) and (a6) are equivalent because $q_{s}=q_{s^{\prime}}$ by (a5), ws $w^{\prime} w^{-1} s_{s}=c_{w s^{\prime} w^{-1}}$ by $c_{s t}=c_{s} t$ and the commutativity of $Z_{k}$, and can simplify by $q_{s}$ as $q_{s} x=0$ implies $x=0$.

Proof. (1) We show that the conditions (a5) on $\left(q_{s}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{a} 6^{\prime}$ ) on $\left(c_{s}\right)$ are necessary. The braid relations identify $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ and $T_{w} t=T_{w t}=w t w^{-1} T_{w}$ for $w \in W(1)$, $t \in Z_{k}$ hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w} c=(w \bullet c) T_{w} \quad\left(c \in R\left[Z_{k}\right], w \in W(1)\right) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities $q_{s}=q_{s t}$ and $c_{s t}=c_{s} t$ follow from

$$
q_{s}(s t)^{2}+c_{s} t T_{s t}=\left(T_{s} T_{s}\right) s^{-1} t s t=T_{s} t T_{s} t=T_{s t} T_{s t}=q_{s t}(s t)^{2}+c_{s t} T_{s t} .
$$

The equalities $q_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s}$ and $w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}=w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1} c_{s}$ for $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), w \in W(1), s w z=w s^{\prime}$ for some $z \in Z_{k}$, follow from the associativity of the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s}\left(T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}}\right)=\left(T_{s} T_{w}\right) T_{s^{\prime}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Case $\ell(s w)=\ell\left(w s^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+1$. By the braid and quadratic relations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{s}\left(T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}}\right) & =T_{s} T_{w s^{\prime}}=T_{s} T_{s w z}=T_{s} T_{s} T_{w z}=q_{s} s^{2} T_{w z}+c_{s} T_{s w z} . \\
\left(T_{s} T_{w}\right) T_{s^{\prime}} & =T_{s w} T_{s^{\prime}}=T_{w s^{\prime} z^{-1}} T_{s^{\prime}}=w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} T_{w s^{\prime}} T_{s^{\prime}} \\
& =w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}} T_{s^{\prime}}=w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} T_{w}\left(q_{s^{\prime}} s^{\prime 2}+c_{s^{\prime}} T_{s^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute $w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} T_{w} s^{\prime 2}=w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} w s^{2} w^{-1} T_{w}=s^{2} w z w^{-1} T_{w}=s^{2} T_{w z}$. This implies

$$
\left(T_{s} T_{w}\right) T_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s^{\prime}} s^{2} T_{w z}+w s^{\prime} z^{-1}\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right) T_{w s^{\prime}}
$$

We compare and deduce $q_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s}, w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}=w s^{\prime} z\left(w s^{\prime}\right)^{-1} c_{s}=w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1} c_{s}$.
(b) Case $\ell(s w)=\ell\left(w s^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)-1$. We expand first $T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}}$ and $T_{s} T_{w}$ using $T_{w}=T_{w s^{\prime-1}} T_{s^{\prime}}=$ $T_{s} T_{s^{-1} w}$ by the braid relations. By the quadratic relations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}} & =T_{w s^{\prime}-1}\left(q_{s^{\prime}} s^{2}+c_{s^{\prime}} T_{s^{\prime}}\right)=q_{s^{\prime}} T_{w s^{\prime}}+\left(w s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right) T_{w s^{\prime}-1} T_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s^{\prime}} T_{w s^{\prime}}+T_{w}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right) \\
T_{s} T_{w} & =\left(q_{s} s^{2}+c_{s} T_{s}\right) T_{s^{-1} w}=q_{s} T_{s w}+c_{s} T_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling $s w=w s^{\prime} z^{-1}$, we have $\ell\left(s w s^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w s^{\prime}\right)+1$, and we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{s}\left(T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}}\right)=q_{s^{\prime}} T_{s w s^{\prime}}+T_{s} T_{w}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right)=q_{s^{\prime}} T_{s w s^{\prime}}+\left(q_{s} T_{s w}+c_{s} T_{w}\right)\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right), \\
& \left(T_{s} T_{w}\right) T_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s} T_{s w s^{\prime}}+c_{s} T_{w} T_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s} T_{s w s^{\prime}}+q_{s^{\prime}} c_{s} T_{w s^{\prime}}+c_{s} T_{w}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We compare to get $q_{s^{\prime}}=q_{s}, q_{s^{\prime}} c_{s} T_{w s^{\prime}}=q_{s} T_{s w}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right)$. Writing

$$
T_{s w}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right)=s w s^{\prime-1} w^{-1} T_{w s^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime-1} \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right)=s w s^{\prime-1} w^{-1}\left(w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right) T_{w s^{\prime}}
$$

we obtain $q_{s}\left(w \bullet c_{s^{\prime}}\right)=q_{s^{\prime}} w s^{\prime} w^{-1} s^{-1} c_{s}$.
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(2) The algebra is unique if it exists because the expansion of the product $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}$, for $w$, $w^{\prime} \in W(1)$, in the basis $\left(T_{w^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{w^{\prime \prime} \in W(1)}$ is uniquely determined by the braid and quadratic relations. This is clear if $\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ by the braid relations. Otherwise, let $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} u$, $w^{\prime}=s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ with $s_{i}, s_{j}^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), u, u^{\prime} \in \Omega(1)$ be two reduced decompositions of $w, w^{\prime}$. We note that $s_{j}^{\prime \prime}:=u s_{j}^{\prime} u^{-1}$ lies in $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ as $\Omega(1)$ normalizes $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. Using the braid relations, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}} & =T_{s_{1}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}} T_{u} T_{s_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime}} T_{u^{\prime}}=T_{s_{1}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}} T_{s_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime \prime}} T_{u u^{\prime}} \\
& =T_{w_{1}} T_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime \prime}} T_{u u^{\prime}}=T_{w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}}^{2} T_{s_{j+2}^{\prime \prime}} \ldots T_{\left.s_{\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime \prime}\right)} T_{u u^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{1}:=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} s_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots s_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\ell\left(w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1}\right)-1=\ell(w)+j-1$. Using the quadratic relations, we compute

$$
T_{w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}}^{2 \prime}=q_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}}+T_{w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} c_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}}=q_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}}+\left(w_{1} s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \bullet c_{s_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}} T_{w_{1}} .
$$

After finitely many steps we obtain the coefficients $T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}$ in the basis $\left(T_{w^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{w^{\prime \prime} \in W(1)}$.
(3) The unicity and existence of the $R$-algebra are proved in [Sch09, Theorem 3.1.5] when the quadratic relations are replaced by

$$
T_{\tilde{s}}^{2}=a_{s} T_{(\tilde{s})^{2}}+b_{s} T_{\tilde{s}} \quad\left(s \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}\right),
$$

where $\tilde{s}$ is a fixed lift of $s$, and the parameters $\left(a_{s}, b_{s}\right) \in R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$ satisfy, for $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}, w \in W(1), w \tilde{s}^{\prime} w^{-1}(\tilde{s})^{-1} \in Z_{k}$ :
$\left(\mathrm{a} 5^{\prime \prime}\right) a_{\tilde{s}}=a_{\tilde{s}^{\prime}} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{a} 6^{\prime \prime}\right) w \bullet b_{\tilde{s}^{\prime}}=w \tilde{s}^{\prime} w^{-1}(\tilde{s})^{-1} b_{\tilde{s}}$.
Recalling that the map $(t, s) \mapsto t \tilde{s}: Z_{k} \times S^{\text {aff }} \rightarrow S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ is bijective, we define a map $\left(a_{s}, b_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}} \mapsto\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}(1)}$ such that $q_{t \tilde{s}}:=a_{\tilde{s}}, c_{t \tilde{s}}:=t b_{\tilde{s}}$. Then $\left(a_{s}, b_{s}\right)_{s} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ satisfies (a5 $\left.5^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and (a6") if and only if $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)}$ satisfies (a5) and (a6). Noting that the braid relations imply $T_{t \tilde{s}}=T_{t} T_{\tilde{s}}$, the braid and quadratic relations in [Sch09] are equivalent to our braid and quadratic relations.

Remark 4.9. (a) When $\left(q_{s}\right)$ satisfies (a5), $\left(c_{s}=q_{s}-1\right)$ satisfies (a6).
(b) Condition (a6) implies $s \bullet c_{s}=c_{s}$ (take $w=s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ in (a6')). This means that $T_{s}$ commutes with $c_{s}$. It also implies $c_{s} s t s^{-1}=c_{s} t$ for $t \in Z_{k}$ (use st $\bullet c_{s t}=c_{s t}, s \bullet c_{s}=c_{s}, c_{s t}=c_{s} t$ and the commutativity of $Z_{k}$ ). Hence, if $c_{s} \neq 0$, the group $\left\{t s t^{-1} s^{-1} \mid t \in Z_{k}\right\}$ is finite.
(c) By [Bou68, VI.1.3, Proposition 3; VI.4.3, Theorem 4], [Bor76, 3.3], the number of $W^{\text {aff }}$ conjugacy classes of $S(\mathcal{H})$ is the number of connected components of the graph obtained by erasing the multiple edges of the Coxeter graph Cox $S^{\text {aff }}$ of $S^{\text {aff }}$; this number is: (1) if Cox $S$ is of type $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 2},\left(D_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 3},\left(E_{n}\right)_{n=6,7,8} ;(2)$ if Cox $S$ is of type $A_{1},\left(B_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 2}, G_{2}, F_{4} ;(3)$ if Cox $S$ is of type $\left(C_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 3}$.
(d) Note that the Hecke $R$-algebra of a Coxeter system $(W, S)$ with parameters $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)_{s \in S}$ in $R \times R$, constant on the intersections with $S$ of the conjugacy classes of $W$, was introduced in [Bou68, Example 23].
(e) We can extend the parameters $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)}$ in $R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ satisfying conditions (a5) and (a6) to parameters $(q(\tau), c(\tau))_{\tau \in S(\mathcal{H})(1)}$ in $R \times R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ satisfying $q_{s}=q(s), c_{s}=c(s)$ if $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ and
(a5) $q(\tau)$ is constant on the conjugacy classes of $W(1)$ and $q(\tau t)=q(\tau)$ for $t \in Z_{k}$;
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(a6) $c\left(w \tau w^{-1}\right)=w \bullet c(\tau)$ for $w \in W(1)$ and $c(\tau t)=c(\tau) t$ for $t \in Z_{k}$,
(f) We can also choose indeterminates satisfying (a5). They are denoted by boldface letters $\mathbf{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}(\tau)$. We will also consider indeterminates $\mathfrak{q}_{s}$ and $\mathfrak{q}(\tau)$ satisfying $\mathfrak{q}_{s}=\mathfrak{q}(s)$, (a5) and of square $\mathfrak{q}(\tau)^{2}=\mathbf{q}(\tau)$.

Example 4.10. (1) The Iwahori Hecke ring is $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(q_{s}, q_{s}-1\right)$ with $q_{s}$ given by Corollary 3.31 and $Z_{k}=\{1\}, W=W(1)$.
(2) The pro-p-Iwahori Hecke ring $\mathcal{H}(1)=\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ with $q_{s}$ given by Corollary $3.31, c_{s}$ as in Proposition 4.4, for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$.
(3) The group algebra $R[W(1)]=\mathcal{H}_{R}(1,0)$ with $q_{s}=1, c_{s}=0$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$.
(4) The Lusztig affine Hecke $R$-algebras with parameters $\left(q_{s}\right)$ with $q_{s}$ an invertible square in $R$ [Lus89] are examples of $R$-algebras $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, q_{s}-1\right)$ with $Z_{k}=\{1\}, W=W(1)$.

The $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}^{\text {aff }}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of $W^{\text {aff }}(1)$ is a subalgebra of the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of $W(1)$. By the braid relations, the $R$-linear map such that $u \mapsto T_{u}$ for $u \in \Omega(1)$, embeds the group $R$-algebra $R[\Omega(1)]$ of $\Omega(1)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. The intersection $R[\Omega(1)] \cap \mathcal{H}_{R}^{\text {aff }}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is the group $R$-algebra $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ of $Z_{k}$.

Proposition 4.11. The $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is isomorphic to the twisted tensor product

$$
R[\Omega(1)] \hat{\otimes}_{R\left[Z_{k}\right]} \mathcal{H}_{R}^{\mathrm{aff}}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)
$$

with the product $\left(T_{u} \hat{\otimes} T_{w}\right)\left(T_{u^{\prime}} \hat{\otimes} T_{w^{\prime}}\right)=T_{u u^{\prime}} \hat{\otimes} T_{u^{\prime-1} w u^{\prime}} T_{w^{\prime}}$ for $u, u^{\prime} \in \Omega(1), w, w^{\prime} \in W^{\text {aff }}(1)$.
Proof. Clear.
Lemma 4.12. Let $T_{s}^{*}=T_{s}-c_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. The quadratic relation in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is

$$
T_{s}^{*} T_{s}=T_{s} T_{s}^{*}=q_{s} s^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad T_{s^{-1}}^{*} T_{s}=T_{s} T_{s^{-1}}^{*}=q_{s}
$$

For $u \in \Omega(1)$, we have

$$
c_{u^{-1} s u}=T_{u}^{-1} c_{s} T_{u}, \quad T_{u^{-1} s u}^{*}=T_{u}^{-1} T_{s}^{*} T_{u} .
$$

Proof. We have $T_{s}^{*} T_{s}=T_{s} T_{s}-c_{s} T_{s}=q_{s} s^{2}$ and $T_{s} s^{-2}=s^{-2} T_{s}=T_{s^{-1}}, c_{s^{-1}}=c_{s} s^{-2}$, because $s^{2} \in Z_{k}$. The product $T_{s}^{*} T_{s}$ commutes because $c_{s}$ and $s^{2}$ commute with $T_{s}$. Comparing the quadratic relation for $T_{u s u^{-1}}=T_{u} T_{s} T_{u}^{-1}$ with the quadratic relation for $T_{s}$ multiplied on the left by $T_{u}$ and on the right by $T_{u}^{-1}$ we obtain $c_{u s u^{-1}}=T_{u} c_{s} T_{u}^{-1}$.

Let $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} u$ with $u \in \Omega(1)$ and $s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell(w)$, and let

$$
T_{w^{-1}}^{*}:=T_{u}^{-1} T_{s_{\ell(w)}^{-1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{1}^{-1}}^{*} .
$$

Proposition 4.13. (1) $T_{w}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of inverse $q_{w}^{-1} T_{w^{-1}}^{*}$, if $q_{s}$ is invertible in $R$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.
(2) $T_{w}^{*}=T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{*} T_{u}$ does not depend on the decomposition of $w$.
(3) $T_{w^{-1}}^{*} T_{w}=T_{w} T_{w^{-1}}^{*}=q_{w}$.
(4) $T_{w}^{*} c=(w \bullet c) T_{w}^{*}$ for $c \in R\left[Z_{k}\right]$.
(5) $T_{w}^{*} T_{u}=T_{w u}^{*}=T_{u} T_{u^{-1} w u}^{*}$ for $w \in W(1), u \in \Omega(1)$.
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(6) If $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} \in W^{\text {aff }}(1)$ is a reduced decomposition and $u \in \Omega(1)$, the elements $q_{w u}:=$ $q_{s_{1}} \ldots q_{s_{\ell(w)}}$ and $c_{w}:=c_{s_{1}} \ldots c_{s_{\ell(w)}}$ are well defined.

Proof. By Remark 4.12, $T_{w}=T_{s_{1}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}} T_{u}$ (by the braid relations, it is independent of the decomposition) is invertible of inverse

$$
T_{w}^{-1}=q_{w}^{-1} T_{u^{-1}} T_{s_{\ell(w)}^{-1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{1}^{-1}}^{*}=q_{w}^{-1} T_{w^{-1}}^{*}
$$

with $w^{-1}=u^{-1} s_{\ell(w)}^{-1} \ldots s_{1}^{-1}$. Replacing $w^{-1}$ by $w=u\left(u^{-1} s_{1} u\right) \ldots\left(u^{-1} s_{n} u\right)$ with $n=\ell(w)=$ $\ell\left(w^{-1}\right)$ and $u^{-1} s_{i} u \in S^{\text {aff }}$, we obtain $T_{w}^{*}=T_{u} T_{u^{-1} s_{s_{1}}}^{*} \ldots T_{u^{-1} s_{n} u}^{*}$. By Remark 4.12, $T_{w}^{*}=$ $T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{n}}^{*} T_{u}$. As $T_{w}=T_{s_{1}} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}} T_{u}$ was independent of the decomposition, the same is true for $T_{w}^{*}=T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{*} T_{u}$.

From $T_{w}^{*}=T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{*} T_{u}$ and $T_{s} T_{s}^{*}=T_{s}^{*} T_{s}=q_{s}$ (Remark 4.12), we deduce that $T_{w} T_{w}^{*}=$ $T_{w}^{*} T_{w}=q_{w}$. From $T_{w}^{*}=T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{*} T_{u}$ and $\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right) t=T_{s t}-c_{s} s t s^{-1}=s t s^{-1}\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right)$ for $t \in Z_{k}$ (use the fact that $Z_{k}$ is commutative and Remark 4.9(b)), we deduce that $T_{w}^{*} c=(w \bullet c) T_{w}^{*}$ for $c \in R\left[Z_{k}\right]$. From $T_{w}^{*}=T_{s_{1}}^{*} \ldots T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{*} T_{u}$ and $T_{s}^{*} T_{u}=T_{s u}^{*}=T_{u} T_{u^{-1} s u}^{*}$ (Remark 4.12), we deduce $T_{w}^{*} T_{u}=T_{w u}^{*}=T_{u} T_{u^{-1} w u}^{*}$ for $u \in \Omega(1)$.

The braid relations and (2) imply that $q_{w}$ and $c_{w}$ are well defined in (6).

## $4.4 \mathbf{q}_{w} \mathbf{q}_{w^{\prime}}=\mathbf{q}_{w w^{\prime}} \mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}^{2}$

To a sequence $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ in $S^{\text {aff }}$ we associate the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=\left(\tau_{1}:=s_{1}, \tau_{2}:=s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}:=s_{1} \ldots s_{n-1} s_{n}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{n-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $S(\mathcal{H})$. We consider parameters which are indeterminates (Remark 4.9(e), (f)).
Definition 4.14. For $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} u$ with $s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $u \in \Omega$, and $\tilde{w} \in W(1)$ lifting $w$, let $\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{w}}=\mathfrak{T}_{w}=\mathfrak{T}_{s_{1} \ldots s_{n}}$ be the set of elements of odd multiplicity in $\mathfrak{T}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ and let

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{w}}=\mathbf{q}_{w}=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{F}_{w}} \mathbf{q}(\tau)
$$

For $\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}^{\prime} \in W(1)$ lifting $w, w^{\prime} \in W$, let

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}^{\prime}}=\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}=\left(\mathbf{q}_{w} \mathbf{q}_{w^{\prime}} \mathbf{q}_{w w^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

When $w \in W^{\text {aff }}, \mathfrak{T}_{w}$ consists of the elements $w^{\prime} s w^{\prime-1}$ for all triples $\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}, s\right) \in W^{\text {aff }} \times W^{\text {aff }} \times$ $S^{\text {aff }}$ such that $w=w^{\prime} s w^{\prime \prime}$ and $\ell(w)=\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)+\ell\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$.

When the decomposition $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} u$ is reduced, $\mathfrak{T}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell(w)}\right)=\mathfrak{T}_{w}$ (see [Bou68, IV.1.4, Lemme 2, Remarque] and [Kum02, 1.3.14]),

$$
\mathbf{q}_{w}=\mathbf{q}_{s_{1}} \ldots \mathbf{q}_{s_{\ell(w)}},
$$

and $\mathfrak{T}_{w}, \mathbf{q}_{w}$ depend only on $w$.
Remark 4.15. When $w \in W, \mathfrak{T}_{w}$ contains $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$ if and only if $\ell(s w)<\ell(w)$.
Lemma 4.16. Let $w, w^{\prime} \in W$. Then $\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}=1$ if and only if $\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$.
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Proof. By the braid relations, $\mathbf{q}_{w} \mathbf{q}_{w^{\prime}}=\mathbf{q}_{w w^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 4.17. Let $w, w^{\prime} \in W^{\text {aff }}$. We have

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime}}=\left(\mathfrak{T}_{w} \cup \mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}\right)-\left(\mathfrak{T}_{w} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}\right) .
$$

Proof. If $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{n}, w^{\prime}=s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{m}^{\prime}$ are reduced decomposition of $w, w^{\prime}$ then the multiset $\mathfrak{T}\left(s_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, s_{n}, s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is a union of $\mathfrak{T}_{w}$ and of $w \mathfrak{T}_{w^{\prime}} w^{-1}=\mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}$. The elements of $\mathfrak{T}_{w} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}$ have multiplicity 2 , the other ones have multiplicity 1 . This implies the formula for $\mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime}}$.

The computation of $\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}$ can be done using the following lemma.
Lemma 4.19. Let $w, w^{\prime} \in W^{\text {aff }}$ and $u, u^{\prime} \in \Omega$. We have

$$
\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w}} \prod_{\mathfrak{T}_{w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}} \mathbf{q}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{q}_{w u, w^{\prime} u^{\prime}}=\mathbf{q}_{w, u w^{\prime} u^{-1}}
$$

Proof. The formula for $\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}$ follows from Lemma 4.19. The group $\Omega$ normalizes $S^{\text {aff }}$ and $w$, $u w^{\prime} u^{-1}, w u w^{\prime} u^{\prime}=w u w^{\prime} u^{-1} u u^{\prime}$ belong to $W^{\text {aff }}$. We compute

$$
\mathbf{q}_{w u, w^{\prime} u^{\prime}}^{2}=\mathbf{q}_{w u} \mathbf{q}_{w^{\prime} u^{\prime}} \mathbf{q}_{w u w^{\prime} u^{\prime}}^{-1}=\mathbf{q}_{w} \mathbf{q}_{w^{\prime}} \mathbf{q}_{w u w^{\prime} u^{-1} u u^{\prime}}^{-1}=\mathbf{q}_{w} \mathbf{q}_{w w^{\prime} u^{-1}} \mathbf{q}_{w u w^{\prime} u^{-1}}^{-1}=\mathbf{q}_{w, u w^{\prime} u^{-1}}^{2}
$$

Example 4.20. Let $w, w^{\prime}$ in $W$. Then $\mathbf{q}_{w}=\mathbf{q}_{w^{-1}}, \mathbf{q}_{w, w^{-1}}=\mathbf{q}_{w}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{q}_{w^{-1}, w w^{\prime} w^{-1}}=$ $\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w} \cap \mathfrak{T}_{w^{\prime}}} \mathbf{q}(\tau)$ is equal to $\mathbf{q}_{w}=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w}} \mathbf{q}(\tau)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}_{w} \subset \mathfrak{T}_{w^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\ell\left(w^{\prime} w\right)=$ $\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)-\ell(w)$.

### 4.5 Reduction to $q_{s}=1$

We explain a method to reduce the proof of a property of the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ (Theorem 4.7) to the simpler case where $q_{s}=1$ for all $s$.

We consider indeterminates $\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}(\tau)$ satisfying (a5) and of square $\mathfrak{q}(\tau)^{2}=\mathbf{q}(\tau)$ and elements $c_{s}, c(\tau)$ in $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ satisfying (a6) as before (Remark 4.9(e), (f)). For $w \in W(1)$, let $\mathfrak{q}_{w}=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w}} \mathfrak{q}(\tau)$ as in Definition 4.14.

The 'generic' algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ is a $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-subalgebra of the $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right) . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{T}_{w}:=\mathfrak{q}_{w}^{-1} T_{w} \quad(w \in W(1)) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-basis satisfying the braid relations and the quadratic relations with parameters $\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{T}_{s}\right)^{2}=s^{2}+\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s} \tilde{T}_{s} \quad\left(s \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}(1)\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Theorem 4.7, we obtain the following proposition.
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Proposition 4.21. The $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w} \mapsto \tilde{T}_{w}: \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an algebra isomorphism.
We can often reduce to the case $q_{s}=1$ by considering:
(1) the $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$;
(2) the $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra isomorphism (70);
(3) the generic $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$;
(4) the specialization $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)=R \otimes_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]} \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ sending $\mathbf{q}_{s}$ to $q_{s}$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$. We give the following example.

Proposition 4.22. Properties (2)-(5) of Proposition 4.13 are valid in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ even when $q_{s}$ is not invertible.

Proof. By Proposition 4.13, properties (2)-(5) of the proposition are true in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. They are relations between elements of the generic $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. They remain true in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)=R \otimes_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]} \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ obtained by the specialization sending $\mathbf{q}_{s}$ to $q_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$.

Proposition 4.23. The $R$-linear map $\iota$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ defined by

$$
\iota\left(T_{w}\right)=(-1)^{\ell(w)} T_{w}^{*}, \quad \text { for } w \in W(1)
$$

is an involutive automorphism.
Proof. $\iota^{2}\left(T_{s}\right)=\iota\left(-T_{s}+c_{s}\right)=-\iota\left(T_{s}\right)+c_{s}=T_{s}$, hence if $\iota$ is involutive,
$\left(\iota\left(T_{s}\right)\right)^{2}=\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right)^{2}=T_{s}^{2}-2 c_{s} T_{s}+c_{s}^{2}=q_{s} s^{2}-c_{s} T_{s}+c_{s}^{2}=q_{s} s^{2}-c_{s}\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right)=q_{s} s^{2}+c_{s} \iota\left(T_{s}\right)$, hence $\iota$ respects the quadratic relations.

Obviously the braid relations are respected.
Remark 4.24. The reduction to $q_{s}=1$ is not possible in the classical framework of algebras with parameters $\left(q_{s}, q_{s}-1\right)$.

## 5. Alcove walk bases and Bernstein relations

Let $R, W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}, \Omega, W, Z_{k}, W(1),\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ be as in $\S 4.3$, satisfying (a1)-(a6). We have the following hypotheses.
(b1) $W^{\text {aff }}$ is the affine Weyl group of a reduced root system $\Sigma$, generated by the orthogonal reflections with respect to a set of affine hyperplanes

$$
\mathfrak{H}=\{\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k) \mid \beta \in \Sigma, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

in a euclidean real vector space $V$, and $S^{\text {aff }}$ is the set of orthogonal reflections with respect to the walls of an alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ of vertex 0 in $V$.
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(b2) The action of $W^{\text {aff }}$ on $V$ extends to an action of $W$ such that for any $w \in W$, an element $w_{0}^{-1} w$ acts by a translation respecting $\mathfrak{H}$, for some $w_{0}$ in the stabilizer $W_{0}$ of 0 in $W^{\text {aff }}$.
(b3) For $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ such that $s s^{\prime}$ has finite order $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$, there exist $s(1), s^{\prime}(1) \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ lifting $s, s^{\prime}$ such that $s(1) s^{\prime}(1) s(1) \ldots=s^{\prime}(1) s(1) s^{\prime}(1) \ldots$ where the two products have $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$ factors.

We use the notation of $\S 3.4$, without $\Sigma$ in the index because $\Sigma$ is now the unique root system (there is no $\Phi$ ). The group $\Omega$ which normalizes $S^{\text {aff }}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{C}$ in $W=W^{\text {aff }} \rtimes \Omega$.

We denote by $\Lambda$ (respectively, $\Lambda^{\text {aff }}$ ) the subgroup of $W$ (respectively, $W^{\text {aff }}$ ) acting by translations on $V$, and by

$$
\nu: \Lambda \rightarrow V
$$

the homomorphism such that $\lambda \in \Lambda$ acts by translations by $\nu(\lambda)$. The group $\Lambda$ is normalized by $w_{0} \in W_{0}: w_{0} \lambda w_{0}^{-1}$ acts by translation by $w_{0} . \nu(\lambda)$, the homomorphism $\nu$ is $W_{0}$-equivariant: $\nu\left(w_{0} \lambda w_{0}^{-1}\right)=w_{0} . \nu(\lambda)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\Lambda \rtimes W_{0} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lattice $Q\left(\Sigma^{\vee}\right)$ generated by the set $\Sigma^{\vee}$ of coroots of $\Sigma$ is equal to $\nu\left(\Lambda^{\text {aff }}\right)$ and

$$
Q\left(\Sigma^{\vee}\right) \subset \nu(\Lambda) \subset P\left(\Sigma^{\vee}\right)
$$

where $P\left(\Sigma^{\vee}\right)$ is the lattice of weights of $\Sigma^{\vee}$, that is, the elements $v \in V$ such that $\alpha(v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

The action of $W$ on $V$ inflates to an action of $W(1)$ trivial on $Z_{k}$ and the homomorphism $\nu$ inflates to an homomorphism $\nu: \Lambda(1) \rightarrow V$ vanishing on $Z_{k}$, where $\Lambda(1)$ is the inverse image of $\Lambda$ in $W(1)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(1)=\Lambda(1) W_{0}(1) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{0}(1)$ is the inverse image of $W_{0}$ in $W(1), \Lambda(1) \cap W_{0}(1)=Z_{k}$ and $\Lambda(1)$ is normal in $W(1)$.

Remark 5.1. Note that the data arising from $(R, F, G)$ satisfies the hypotheses $(\mathrm{b} j)$ for $j=1,2,3$ and that $\Lambda=Z / Z_{0}, \Lambda(1)=Z / Z_{0}(1)$, where the extension $\Lambda(1) \rightarrow \Lambda$ of kernel $Z_{k}$ does not split in general.

Proof. Property (b3) follows from Proposition 3.4 applied to the root data generating the finite quotients of the parahoric subgroups of $G$ (Theorem 3.1). See § 3.3 for (b1) and (b2). For the last assertion, see [Vig05].

### 5.1 Length

We denote $\Sigma^{\text {aff }}:=\{\beta+k \mid \beta \in \Sigma, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The set $\left\{s_{\beta+k} \mid \beta+k \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}\right\}$ is equal to $S(\mathcal{H})$ defined in § 4.4.

Lemma 5.2. For any $\beta+k \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}, \lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $\lambda s_{\beta+k} \lambda^{-1}=s_{\beta+k-\beta o \nu(\lambda)}$.
Proof. Let $x \in V$. The action of $W^{\text {aff }}$ on $V$ is faithful. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\beta+k}(x) & =x-(\beta(x)+k) \beta^{\vee}=s_{\beta}(x)-k \beta^{\vee}, \\
\left(\lambda s_{\beta+k} \lambda^{-1}\right)(x) & =s_{\beta+k}(x-\nu(\lambda))+\nu(\lambda)=x-(\beta(x-\nu(\lambda))+k) \beta^{\vee} \\
& =s_{\beta}(x)-(k-\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)) \beta^{\vee} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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For $\beta \in \Sigma$ we have $s_{\beta-1}=s_{\beta} s_{\beta+1} s_{\beta}$. The element of $\Lambda^{\text {aff }}$,

$$
\mu_{\beta}:=s_{\beta+1} s_{\beta}=s_{\beta} s_{\beta-1},
$$

satisfying $\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=-\beta^{\vee}$ appears often in this work. The conjugation by $\mu_{\beta}$ sends $s_{\beta+k}$ to $s_{\beta+k+2}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.3. $\beta \circ \nu(\Lambda)=\delta \mathbb{Z}$ with $\delta \in\{1,2\}$ as $\beta\left(\beta^{\vee}\right)=2$. Lemma 5.2 implies that the set of $\Lambda$-conjugates of $s_{\beta}$ is $\left\{s_{\beta+k} \mid k \in \delta \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.

For $\tau \in S(\mathcal{H})$, let $H_{\tau}$ be the affine hyperplane fixed pointwise by $\tau$. When two facets of $V$ are not contained in the connected component of $V-H_{\tau}$, we say that $H_{\tau}$ is separates them. By [Bou68, IV.1, Example 16 h$)$ ], for $w \in W^{\text {aff }}$, the set of hyperplanes of $\mathfrak{H}$ separating the alcoves $\mathfrak{C}$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}_{w}=\left\{H_{\tau} \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w}\right\}, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the finite set $\mathfrak{T}_{w}$ of cardinal $\ell(w)$ is defined in $\S$ 4.4.
Example 5.4. Let $s \in S^{\text {aff }}, w \in W^{\text {aff }}$. Then $\ell(s w)=\ell(w)+1$ means that $w(\mathfrak{C})$ and $\mathfrak{C}$ are on the same side of the wall $H_{s}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ fixed by $s$.

Definition 5.5. Let $x \in \mathfrak{C}, \beta \in \Sigma, \tilde{w} \in W(1)$ lifting $w \in W$. We define $\ell_{\beta}(\tilde{w})=\ell_{\beta}(w) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\beta}(w)<\beta(w(x))<\ell_{\beta}(w)+1 . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integer $\ell_{\beta}(w)$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in \mathfrak{C}$, and depends only on the action of $w$ on $V$. Note that $\beta(w(x))=\left(w^{-1}(\beta)\right)(x)$ where $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$.

Let $\Sigma^{+}, \Sigma^{-}$be the set of positive, negative, roots of $\Sigma$ (we say positive instead of $\mathfrak{C}^{-}$-positive). When $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}, 0<\beta(x)<1$ by (33). If $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{+}$then $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$.

Lemma 5.6. For $w \in W, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}$and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the hyperplane $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$ separates the alcoves $\mathfrak{C}$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if

$$
k \in\left[0,-\ell_{\beta}(w)-1\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \ell_{\beta}(w) \leqslant-1 \quad \text { or } \quad k \in\left[-\ell_{\beta}(w),-1\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \ell_{\beta}(w) \geqslant 1 .
$$

Proof. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k) \in \mathfrak{H}$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if $\beta(x)+k$ and $\beta(w(x))+k$ have a different sign.

Let $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$. Then $k<\beta(x)+k<1+k$ and $\ell_{\beta}(w)+k<\beta(w(x))+k<\ell_{\beta}(w)+k+1$. Hence $\beta(x)+k$ is positive if and only if $k \geqslant 0$ and $\beta(w(x))+k$ is negative if and only if $\ell_{\beta}(w)+1+k \leqslant 0$. This holds if and only if $\ell_{\beta}(w) \leqslant-1$ and $k \in\left[0,-\ell_{\beta}(w)-1\right]$. Similarly, $\beta(x)+k$ negative and $\beta(w(x))+k$ positive is equivalent to $k \in\left[-\ell_{\beta}(w),-1\right]$ and $\ell_{\beta}(w) \geqslant 1$.

Proposition 5.7. The length of $w \in W$ or $W(1)$ is $\ell(w)=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+}}\left|\ell_{\beta}(w)\right|$.
Proof. Let $w \in W^{\text {aff }}$. The length of $w$ is the cardinal of $\mathfrak{T}_{w}$. Use (73) and Lemma 5.6. The number of $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k) \in \mathfrak{H}$ with $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ separating $\mathfrak{C}$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ is $\left|\ell_{\beta}(w)\right|$. This remains valid for $w \in W$ because $\Omega$ normalizes $\mathfrak{C}$, and for $w \in W(1)$ because $Z_{k}$ acts trivially.
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Example 5.8. (1) When $w$ acts trivially, $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$ if $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$and $\ell_{\beta}(w)=-1$ if $\beta \in \Sigma^{-}$.
(2) Let $w \in W_{0}$ and $\beta \in \Sigma$. We have $\beta(w(x))=w^{-1}(\beta)(x)$. Hence $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$ if $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{+}$, and $\ell_{\beta}(w)=-1$ if $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{-}$. The hyperplane $\operatorname{Ker} \beta$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{-}$. The length $\ell(w)$ of $w \in W_{0}$ is the number of $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$such that $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{-}$.
(3) Let $\gamma+k \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$. For $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$and $x \in \mathfrak{C}$ we have

$$
\beta\left(s_{\gamma+k}(x)\right)=\beta\left(s_{\gamma}(x)-k \gamma^{\vee}\right)=s_{\beta}(\gamma)(x)-k n_{\beta, \gamma},
$$

Proposition 5.9. Let $\beta \in \Sigma, \lambda \in \Lambda, w \in W_{0}$. Then:
(1) $\ell_{\beta}(\lambda)$ equals $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$ if $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$and $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)-1$ if $\beta \in \Sigma^{-}$;
(2) $\ell_{\beta}(\lambda w)$ equals $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$ if $\beta \in w\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)$and $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)-1$ if $\beta \in w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)$;
(3) $\ell_{\beta}(w \lambda)$ equals $w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)$ if $\beta \in w\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)$and $w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)-1$ if $\beta \in w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{C}$. We recall that $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$ is an integer. When $\beta$ is positive, we have $0<\beta(x)<1$ and $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)<\beta(x+\nu(\lambda))<1+\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$. When $\beta$ is negative, $-1<\beta(x)<0$ and $-1+$ $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)<\beta(x+\nu(\lambda))<\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$. We have $\lambda w(x)=w(x)+\nu(\lambda), w \lambda(x)=w(x+\nu(\lambda))$, $\beta(\lambda w(x))=\beta(w(x))+\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)=w^{-1}(\beta)(x)+\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$, and $\beta(w \lambda(x))=w^{-1}(\beta)(x+\nu(\lambda))=$ $w^{-1}(\beta)(x)+w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)$.

Corollary 5.10. We have, for $(\lambda, w) \in \Lambda \times W_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell(\lambda w)=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|+\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)-1|, \\
& \ell(w \lambda)=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|+\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)+1| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Propositions 5.7 and 5.9 imply the above equality of $\ell(\lambda w)$ and

$$
\ell(w \lambda)=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)}\left|w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)\right|+\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)}\left|w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)-1\right| .
$$

Replace $w^{-1}(\beta)$ by $\beta$ in the first sum and by $-\beta$ in the second sum.
Corollary 5.11. We have $\Lambda \cap \Omega=\operatorname{Ker} \nu$ and for $\lambda \in \Lambda, w \in W_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell(\lambda) & =\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+}}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|, \\
\ell(w) & =\ell\left(w^{-1}\right)=\left|\Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)\right|, \\
\ell(w \lambda) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\ell(\lambda)+\ell(w) & \text { if and only if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \geqslant 0 & \text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{-}\right), \\
\ell(\lambda)-\ell(w) & \text { if and only if } & \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)<0 \\
\text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{-}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
\ell(\lambda w) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\ell(\lambda)+\ell(w) & \text { if and only if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \leqslant 0 & \text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right), \\
\ell(\lambda)-\ell(w) & \text { if and only if } & \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)>0 \\
\text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
\ell(\lambda w) & =0 \quad \text { if and only if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{+}\right), \\
1 & \text { for } \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap w\left(\Sigma^{-}\right) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare with [Vig06, Appendix].
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A Weyl chamber of $V$ is the open set of $x \in V$ with $\beta(x)>0$ for all $\beta$ in a basis of $\Sigma$. A closed Weyl chamber is the closure of a Weyl chamber.

Example 5.12. For $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda, \ell\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\ell(\lambda)+\ell\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ if $\nu(\lambda), \nu\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the same closed Weyl chamber.

Proof. If $x, x^{\prime} \in V$ belong to the same closed Weyl chamber, then $\beta(x) \beta\left(x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ for all $\beta \in \Sigma$. Then $\left|\beta\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)\right|=|\beta(x)|+\left|\beta\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Apply $\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|=2 \ell(\lambda)$.

For $w \in W$, we recall $\mathbf{q}_{w}$ from Definition 4.14.
Proposition 5.13. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the element $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}$ depends only on the $W$-orbit of $\lambda$. In particular, $\ell(\lambda)$ depends only on the $W$-orbit of $\lambda$.

The length equality follows from the $\mathbf{q}_{*}$-equality, but is also a consequence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \circ \nu\left(w \lambda w^{-1}\right)=w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda), \quad \text { for } \beta \in \Sigma, w \in W_{0} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $2 \ell\left(w \lambda w^{-1}\right)=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma}\left|w^{-1}(\beta) \circ \nu(\lambda)\right|=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma}|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|=2 \ell(\lambda)$.
If the elements of $S^{\text {aff }}$ are $W^{\text {aff }}$-conjugate (Remark 4.9(c)) the length equality implies the $\mathbf{q}_{*}$-equality.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{\Sigma}$. We denote $s_{\alpha}(\lambda)=s_{\alpha} \lambda s_{\alpha} \in \Lambda$. We will prove that $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}=\mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$. This implies the proposition because the group $W_{0}$ is generated by $s_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{\Sigma}$ and the $W_{0}$-orbit of $\lambda$ is equal to the $W$-orbit of $\lambda \in \Lambda$, as $W=\Lambda \rtimes W_{0}$ and $\Lambda$ is commutative.

We have $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}} \mathbf{q}(\tau)$ (Definition 4.14).
We apply Lemma 5.6 to compare $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$. Let $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\beta \neq \alpha$, then $s_{\alpha}(\beta) \in \Sigma^{+}$ and $\ell_{\beta}\left(s_{\alpha}(\lambda)\right)=\ell_{s_{\alpha}(\beta)}(\lambda)$ (Proposition 5.9(1)). The affine hyperplane $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k) \in \mathfrak{H}$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $\lambda(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ker}\left(s_{\alpha}(\beta)+k\right)$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $s_{\alpha}(\lambda)(\mathfrak{C})$. Hence $s_{\beta+k} \in \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}$ if and only if $s_{\alpha}(\beta)+k \in \mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$. We have $s_{s_{\alpha}(\beta)+k}=s_{\alpha} s_{\beta+k} s_{\alpha}$.

We have $\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)($ Proposition 5.9(1)).
We suppose first that $\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda) \neq 0$. We have $\ell_{\alpha}\left(s_{\alpha}(\lambda)\right)=\ell_{-\alpha}(\lambda)=-\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)$.
$\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+k)$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $\lambda . \mathfrak{C}$ if and only if $k$ in $\left[0,-\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)-1\right]$ or in $\left[-\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda),-1\right]$, depending on the sign of $\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)$.
$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha+k^{\prime}\right)$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $s_{\alpha}(\lambda)(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if $k^{\prime}$ in $\left[0, \ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)-1\right]$ or in $\left[\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda),-1\right]$, depending on the sign of $\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)$.

We have $s_{\alpha} s_{\alpha+k} s_{\alpha}=s_{\alpha-k}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+k)$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $\lambda(\mathfrak{C})$ if and only if $-k$ in $\left[1, \ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)\right]$ or in $\left[\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)+1,0\right]$, depending on the sign of $\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)$.

Hence if $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}=\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \sqcup\{s\}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}=\mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime} \sqcup\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime}=s_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime} s_{\alpha}, \\
\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)=\left(s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha+\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)}\right) \quad \text { if } \ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)>0, \quad\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)=\left(s_{\alpha+\ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)}, s_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \ell_{\alpha}(\lambda)>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)=0$, no affine hyperplane $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+k)$ separates $\mathfrak{C}$ from $\lambda(\mathfrak{C})$ or from $s_{\alpha}(\lambda)(\mathfrak{C})$. Setting $\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}=\mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ we deduce

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime}=s_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime} s_{\alpha} .
$$

In general $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}$ is equal to

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)=0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)>0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}\left(s_{\alpha+\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)}\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)<0 .
$$
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where $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}^{\prime}:=\prod_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime}} \mathbf{q}(\tau)$, and similarly $\mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$ is equal to

$$
\mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)=0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}\left(s_{\alpha-\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)}\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)>0, \quad \mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)<0
$$ as $\alpha \circ \nu\left(s_{\alpha}(\lambda)\right)=-\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$. We recall that $\mathbf{q}(\tau)$ depends only on the $W$-orbit of $\tau$ (Remark 4.9(e), (f)). Applying Lemma 5.2 and $\mathfrak{T}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}^{\prime}=s_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{\lambda}^{\prime} s_{\alpha}$, we obtain $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda}=\mathbf{q}_{s_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$.

Proposition 5.14. Let $\beta \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$. Then $(\beta \circ \nu)\left(\Lambda^{\text {aff }}\right)=2 \mathbb{Z}$ when $\Sigma_{j}$ has rank 1 , or when $\beta$ is a long root of $\Sigma_{j}$ and $\Sigma_{j}$ has type $C_{n}, n \geqslant 2$. Otherwise $(\beta \circ \nu)\left(\Lambda^{\text {aff }}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. The translation subgroup $\Lambda^{\text {aff }}$ of $W^{\text {aff }}$ is generated by $s_{\gamma} s_{\gamma+1}$ for $\gamma \in \Sigma^{+}$, and $\beta \circ$ $\nu\left(s_{\gamma} s_{\gamma+1}\right)=\beta\left(\gamma^{\vee}\right)=n(\beta, \gamma)$ is a Cartan integer. The group $\beta \circ \nu\left(\Lambda^{\text {aff }}\right)$ is generated by the Cartan integers $n(\beta, \gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Sigma$ and contains $2=n(\beta, \beta)$. When $\gamma$ does not belong to the irreducible component $\Sigma_{j}$ we have $0=n(\beta, \gamma)$.

On the Cartan matrix [Bou68, VI, Planches], we see that $n(\beta, \gamma) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma \in \Sigma_{j}$ if and only if $\Sigma_{j}$ has a single element, or $\Sigma_{j}$ is of type $C_{n}$ and $\beta$ is a long root.

We recall that for $\beta \in \Sigma,(\beta \circ \nu)(\Lambda)=\delta \mathbb{Z}$ with $\delta \in\{1,2\}$ and $\delta=1 \Leftrightarrow s_{\beta-1}$ is conjugate to $s_{\beta}$ by $\Lambda$ (Remark 5.3). For $\beta \in \Sigma_{j}$ as in Proposition 5.14, $\delta=2$ implies that $\Sigma_{j}$ has rank 1, or $\beta$ is a long root of $\Sigma_{j}$ and $\Sigma_{j}$ has type $C_{n}, n \geqslant 2$.

We recall the element $\mu_{\beta}=s_{\beta+1} s_{\beta}=s_{\beta} s_{\beta-1} \in \Lambda^{\text {aff }}$ (after Lemma 5.2).
Lemma 5.15. Let $\beta \in \Delta_{\Sigma_{j}}$ such that $(\beta \circ \nu)\left(\Lambda^{\text {aff }}\right)=2 \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{\beta} \in \Sigma_{j}$ be the highest positive root of $\Sigma_{j}$.

There exists $s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}, w \in W_{0}$ such that

$$
s_{\beta-1}=w s^{\prime} w^{-1}, \quad \ell\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=2 \ell(w)+2 .
$$

If $\Sigma_{j}$ has rank 1 , then $w=1$ and $s^{\prime}=s_{\beta-1}=s_{\tilde{\beta}-1}$.
If $\Sigma_{j}$ has type $C_{n}, n \geqslant 2$, and $\beta$ is a long root of $\Sigma_{j}$, then $s^{\prime}=s_{\tilde{\beta}-1}$ and $w(\tilde{\beta})=\beta$.
Proof. By Lemma 5.6, the hyperplanes $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, separating $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu_{\beta}(\mathfrak{C})$ are $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+1)$. As $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu_{\beta}(\mathfrak{C})$ are not on the same side of $\operatorname{Ker} \beta$, we have $\ell\left(s_{\beta-1}\right)<\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)$ [Bou68, V.3.2, Theorem 1], hence

$$
\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=\ell\left(s_{\beta-1}\right)+1 .
$$

We choose a reduced decomposition $s_{\beta-1}=s_{1} \ldots s_{n}$ with $s_{j} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n=\ell\left(s_{\beta-1}\right)$. By the strong exchange condition [Kum02, Theorem 1.3.11(c)], there exists a unique integer $i$ such that $1=s_{1} \ldots \hat{s_{i}} \ldots s_{n}$. Set

$$
s^{\prime}=s_{i}, \quad w=s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}=\left(s_{i+1} \ldots s_{n}\right)^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
s_{\beta-1}=w s^{\prime} w^{-1} \quad \text { with } \ell\left(s_{\beta-1}\right)=2 \ell(w)+1 .
$$

We deduce $\mu_{\beta}=s_{\beta} w s^{\prime} w^{-1}, \ell\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=2 \ell(w)+2$.
If $\Sigma_{j}$ has rank $1, w=1, s^{\prime}=s_{\beta-1}$ and $\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=2$.
If $\Sigma_{j}$ has type $C_{n}, n \geqslant 2$, the long roots of $\Sigma_{j}$ are $W_{0}$-conjugate to the highest positive root $\tilde{\beta}$. If $\beta$ is a long root, let $w \in W_{0}$ such that $w(\tilde{\beta})=\beta$. Then $w\left(s_{\tilde{\beta}-1}\right)=s_{w(\tilde{\beta}-1)}=s_{\beta-1}$. No element of $S^{\text {aff }}-\left\{s_{\tilde{\beta}-1}\right\}$ is conjugate to $\tilde{\beta}-1^{\text {in }} W^{\text {aff }}$ (see [Bor76, 3.3] and [Bou68, VI, Planche III]). We deduce $s^{\prime}=s_{\tilde{\beta}-1}$.

### 5.2 Alcove walk

By [Gör07, Definition 2.3.1], an orientation $o$ of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$ is given by distinguishing, for each affine hyperplane $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, a positive half-space among the two half-spaces which form the complement of $H$ in $V$ (the non-positive half-space is called negative) such that for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, either
(1) for any finite subset of $\mathfrak{H}$, the intersection of the negative half-spaces is non-empty, or
(2) for any finite subset of $\mathfrak{H}$, the intersection of the positive half-spaces is non-empty.

The group $W(1)$ acts on the orientations of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$. The image by $w \in W(1)$ of an orientation $o$ is the orientation $o \bullet w$ is such that the $o \bullet w$-positive side of $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ is the image by $w^{-1}$ of the $o$-positive side of $w(H)$. The action of $W(1)$ factorizes through an action of $W$.

The group $W_{0}$ acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers (the connected components of $V-\bigcup_{\beta \in \Sigma} \operatorname{Ker} \beta$ ), hence on the bases of $\Sigma$, and on the alcoves (the connected components of $\left.V-\bigcup_{(\beta, k) \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}} \operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)\right)$ of vertex 0 . The basis $\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}$ associated to the Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}$ is the set of $\beta \in \Sigma$ taking positive values on $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $s_{\beta}$ is a wall of $\mathfrak{D}$. The Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}$ is called $\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}$-dominant. The action of $W_{0}$ inflates to an action of $W_{0}(1)$.

Definition 5.16. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be a basis of $\Sigma$ associated to a Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$. For $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ there exists a unique pair $(\beta, k) \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ with $H=\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$ and $\beta$ is positive on $\Delta^{\prime}$. The orientation $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ such that the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive side of $H$ is the set of $x \in V$ where $\beta(x)+k>0$, for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, is called a spherical orientation.
 lies infinitely far in the $\Delta^{\prime}$-antidominant Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}_{-\Delta^{\prime}}=-\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta^{\prime}}$. The $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-negative side of $H$ contains a quartier of the form $y+\mathfrak{D}_{-\Delta^{\prime}}$.

The spherical orientations $o_{\Delta_{\Sigma}}$ and $o_{-\Delta_{\Sigma}}$ are respectively called dominant and antidominant, as the bases $\Delta_{\Sigma}=\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}^{+}},-\Delta_{\Sigma}=\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}^{-}}$of $\Sigma$ are respectively associated to the dominant and antidominant Weyl chambers $\mathfrak{D}^{+}($containing $\mathfrak{C})$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{-}=-\mathfrak{D}^{+}$.

Proposition 5.17. A spherical orientation $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ is fixed by $\Lambda(1)$ and $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet w=o_{w^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}$ for $w \in$ $W_{0}(1)$.

Conversely one can prove that an orientation fixed by $\Lambda(1)$ is a spherical orientation.
Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda, x \in V, \beta \in \Sigma, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We suppose that $\beta$ is $\Delta^{\prime}$-positive. Then $x$ belongs to the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet \lambda$-positive side of $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$ if $x+\nu(\lambda)$ belongs to the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive side of $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)+\nu(\lambda)$. We have $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)+\nu(\lambda)=$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k-(\beta \circ \nu)(\lambda))$ and $\beta(x+\nu(\lambda))+k-(\beta \circ \nu)(\lambda)=\beta(x)+k$. Therefore $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet \lambda=o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$.

We suppose that $\beta$ is $w^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$-positive, that is, $w(\beta)$ is $\Delta^{\prime}$-positive, and that $x$ belongs to the $o_{w^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}$-positive side of $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$. We have $\beta(x)+k>0$ and $\beta(x)=w(\beta)(w \cdot x)$. Hence $w \cdot x$ belongs to the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive side of $\operatorname{Ker}(w(\beta)+k)$. We have $\operatorname{Ker}(w(\beta)+k)=w \cdot \operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$. Hence $x$ belongs to the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet w$-positive side of $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$.

Let $o$ be an orientation of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$. We say that we cross $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ in the $o$-positive direction if we go from the $o$-negative side to the $o$-positive side (in the $o$-negative direction otherwise). Let $(w, s) \in W \times S^{\text {aff }}$. When we walk from the alcove $w \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ to the alcove $w s . \mathfrak{C}$, we cross the affine hyperplane $H_{w s w^{-1}} \in \mathfrak{H}$ fixed by $w s w^{-1}$.
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Definition 5.18. Let $o$ be an orientation of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$ and let $(w, s) \in W \times S^{\text {aff }}$. Let

- $\quad \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1$ if $w \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ belongs to the $o$-negative side of $H_{w s w^{-1}}$,
- $\quad \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=-1$ if $w . \mathfrak{C}$ belongs to the $o$-positive side of $H_{w s w^{-1}}$.

Let $\epsilon_{o}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{s})=\epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ for $\tilde{w}, \tilde{s} \in W(1)$ lifting $w, s$.
When we walk from w.C to $w s \cdot \mathfrak{C}$, we cross $H_{w s w^{-1}}$ in the $o$-positive (respectively, o-negative) direction if $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1$ (respectively, -1 ). We say that we cross $H_{w s w^{-1}}$ in the $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ direction with respect to $o$.

Let $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ in $S^{\text {aff }}$. The walk from $\mathfrak{C}$ to $s_{1} \ldots s_{n}(\mathfrak{C})$ following the gallery $\mathfrak{C}, s_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{C}$, $s_{1} s_{2} \cdot \mathfrak{C}, \ldots, s_{1} \ldots s_{n} \cdot \mathfrak{C}$, crosses the hyperplanes

$$
H_{s_{1}}=H_{\tau_{1}}, \quad s_{1} \cdot H_{s_{2}}=H_{\tau_{2}}, \ldots, s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{n-1} \cdot H_{s_{n}}=H_{\tau_{n}},
$$

where $\mathfrak{T}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)(66)$, in the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{o}\left(1, s_{1}\right), \epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right), \ldots, \epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right), \ldots, \epsilon_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

directions with respect to $o$.
Example 5.19. For $J \subset \Delta$, let $S_{J}=\left\{s_{\beta} \mid \beta \in J\right\}$, let $W_{J} \subset W_{0}$ be the subgroup generated by $S_{J}$ and let $w_{J}$ be the element of maximal length in $W_{J}$.

For $w \in W_{J}, s \in S_{J}$, we have $\epsilon_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}(w, s)=1$ if and only if $\ell(w s)=\ell(w)+1$.
Proof. Let $\Sigma_{J} \subset \Sigma$ be the root system generated by $J$. Let $\beta \in J$ such that $s=s_{\beta}$. We have $H_{w s w^{-1}}=\operatorname{Ker} w(\beta)$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{C}$. The alcove $w \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ is contained in the $o_{w_{J}(\Delta)^{-}}$-negative side of $H_{\text {sws }}{ }^{-1}$ if and only if $w(\beta)$ is $w_{J}(\Delta)$-negative because $w(\beta)(w \cdot x)=\beta(x)$ is positive. The root $w(\beta)$ belongs to $\Sigma_{J}$; hence $w(\beta)$ is $w_{J}(\Delta)$-negative, if and only if $w_{J} w(\beta)$ is $\Delta$-negative, if and only if $w(\beta)$ is $\Delta$-positive, if and only if $\ell\left(w s_{\beta}\right)>\ell(w)$.
Lemma 5.20. Let $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ with $s s^{\prime}$ of finite order $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$. Then the sequences with $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$ terms

$$
\left(\epsilon_{o}(1, s), \epsilon_{o}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right), \epsilon_{o}\left(s s^{\prime}, s\right), \ldots\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\epsilon_{o}\left(1, s^{\prime}\right), \epsilon_{o}\left(s^{\prime}, s\right), \epsilon_{o}\left(s^{\prime} s, s^{\prime}\right), \ldots\right)
$$

are equal to $(1,1, \ldots, 1,-1,-1 \ldots-1)$ and $(-1,-1, \ldots,-1,1,1 \ldots 1)$, or to $(-1,-1, \ldots,-1,1$, $1 \ldots 1)$ and $(1,1, \ldots, 1,-1,-1 \ldots-1)$, where $(1,1 \ldots, 1)$ have the same length $k, 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$, in both sequences.

Proof. [Gör07, Proof of Theorem 3.3.1].
Lemma 5.21. For ( $w, w^{\prime}, s, u$ ) in $W \times W \times S^{\text {aff }} \times \Omega$, we have $\epsilon_{o}(w s, s) \neq \epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ and

$$
\epsilon_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}, s\right)=\epsilon_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}, s\right), \quad \epsilon_{o}(w u, s)=\epsilon_{o}\left(w, u s u^{-1}\right), \quad \epsilon_{o} \bullet u(w, s)=\epsilon_{o}\left(u w u^{-1}, u^{-1} s u\right) .
$$

In particular, $\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \neq \epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)$.
Proof. (1) $w s(\mathfrak{C})$ and $w(\mathfrak{C})$ are on different sides of $H_{w s w^{-1}}$.
(2) $\epsilon_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}, s\right)=1$ if and only if $w^{\prime} \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ is contained in the $o \bullet w$-negative side of $H_{w^{\prime} s w^{\prime-1}}$. The $o \bullet w$-negative side of $H_{w^{\prime} s w^{\prime-1}}$ is the image by $w^{-1}$ of the $o$-negative side of $H_{w w^{\prime} s w^{\prime-1} w^{-1}}$. Hence $\epsilon_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}, s\right)=1$ if and only if $w w^{\prime} \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ is contained in the $o$-negative side of $H_{w w^{\prime} s w^{\prime-1} w^{-1}}$, if and only if $\epsilon_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}, s\right)=1$.
(3) We have $u \cdot \mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}$. We have $\epsilon_{o}(w u, s)=1$ if and only if $w u \cdot \mathfrak{C}=w \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ is contained in the $o$-negative side of $H_{w u s u^{-1} w^{-1}}$ if and only if $\epsilon_{o}\left(w, u s u^{-1}\right)=1$.
(4) We compute $\epsilon_{o} \bullet u(w, s)=\epsilon_{o}(u w, s)=\epsilon_{o}\left(u w u^{-1}, u^{-1} s u\right)$.
(5) $\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s)=\epsilon_{o}(s, s) \neq \epsilon_{o}(1, s)$.
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### 5.3 Alcove walk bases

We use notation as in Theorem 4.7 and Definition 5.16. We will associate to any orientation $o$ of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$ a basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ of the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of $W(1)$ with parameters $\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)}$.

Definition 5.22. For $(w, s) \in W(1) \times S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ and an orientation $o \in(V, \mathfrak{H})$, and for $T_{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}\right.$, $c_{s}$ ), we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(w, s)}=T_{s} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=1, \quad T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(w, s)}=T_{s}^{*}=T_{s}-c_{s} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{o}(w, s)=-1 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ is defined in Definition 5.18. For $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ in $S^{\text {aff }}(1), u, u^{\prime} \in \Omega(1)$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}\left(u, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u^{\prime}\right)=T_{u} T_{s_{1}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(u, s_{1}\right)} \ldots T_{s_{i}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(u s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right)} \ldots T_{s_{n}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(u s_{1} \ldots s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right)} T_{u^{\prime}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that $E_{o}(s)=T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(1, s)}, E_{o \bullet}(s)=T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o} \bullet s(s)=E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s) c_{s}, \quad E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(s)=q_{s} s^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Remark 4.12 and Lemma 5.21).
We suppose first that $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$. In this case $T_{s}^{*}=T_{s^{-1}}^{-1}$.
Proposition 5.23. When $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim, E_{o}(w)=E_{o}\left(u, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u^{\prime}\right)$ depends only on the product $w=u s_{1} \ldots s_{n} u^{\prime}$.

Proof. [Gör07, Theorem 3.3.1], [Sch09, Theorem 3.3.19].
(a) Let $s, s^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}$ of finite order $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$ and $s(1), s^{\prime}(1) \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ satisfying (b3) (this is the only place where (b3) is needed). We show

$$
E_{o}\left(s(1), s^{\prime}(1), \ldots\right)=E_{o}\left(s^{\prime}(1), s(1), \ldots\right)
$$

By symmetry we can suppose that the sequences in Lemma 5.20 are $(1,1, \ldots, 1, *, *, \ldots, *)$ and $(*, *, \ldots, *, 1,1, \ldots, 1)$ with $k$ terms equal to 1 . We decompose accordingly the products $s(1) s^{\prime}(1) \ldots=w_{k} w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}, s^{\prime}(1) s(1) \ldots=w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{\prime} w_{k}^{\prime}$. By the braid relations,

$$
E_{o}\left(s(1), s^{\prime}(1), \ldots\right)=T_{w_{k}} T_{w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{-1}}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{o}\left(s^{\prime}(1), s(1), \ldots\right)=T_{w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{\prime-1}}^{-1} T_{w_{k}^{\prime}} .
$$

The element $w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{\prime-1} w_{k}=w_{k}^{\prime} w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{-1}$ has length $n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$ because $w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{\prime-1}$ ends by $s^{\prime}(1)^{-1}$ while $w_{k}$ begins with $s(1)$. The additivity of the lengths is satisfied and by the braid relations $T_{w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{\prime-1}} T_{w_{k}}=T_{w_{k}^{\prime}} T_{w_{n\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)-k}^{-1}}$. We deduce $E_{o}\left(s(1), s^{\prime}(1), \ldots\right)=E_{o}\left(s^{\prime}(1), s(1), \ldots\right)$.
(b) Let $t_{1} \ldots t_{n} \in Z_{k}$ such that $s_{1} \ldots s_{n}=s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{n}^{\prime}$ where $s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i} t_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The equality

$$
E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u\right)=E_{o}\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}, u\right)
$$

is obvious by the braid relations using the fact that the elements of $Z_{k}$ have length 0 , act trivially on $V$, and $Z_{k}$ is normal in $W(1)$.
(c) We suppose that $s_{i+1}=s_{i}^{-1}$. We have

$$
E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u\right)=E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+2}, \ldots, s_{n}, u\right)
$$
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because $T_{s}^{\epsilon_{o}(w, s)} T_{s^{-1}}^{\epsilon_{0}\left(w s, s^{-1}\right)}=1$. This follows from $\epsilon_{o}(w, s) \neq \epsilon_{o}(w s, s)$ (Lemma 5.21); we recall that $\epsilon_{o}\left(w s, s^{-1}\right)=\epsilon_{o}(w s, s)$ and that $q_{s}=1$.
(d) As the elements of the group $\Omega(1)$ normalize $S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ and have length 0 , the equality

$$
E_{o}\left(u, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}\left(u s_{1} u^{-1}, \ldots, u s_{n} u^{-1}, u u^{\prime}\right)
$$

follows from $T_{u} T_{s}^{*}=T_{u s u^{-1}}^{*} T_{u}$ and $\epsilon_{o} \bullet u(w, s)=\epsilon_{o}\left(u w u^{-1}, u s u^{-1}\right)$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), w \in W(1)$, $u \in \Omega(1)$ (Proposition 4.13(5)).
(e) The proposition follows from (a)-(d) and [Bou68, IV.1.5, Proposition 5].

Proposition 5.24. When $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$, we have the product formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(w, w^{\prime} \in W(1)\right) . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} u, w^{\prime}=s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{m}^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ with $s_{i}, s_{j}^{\prime} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), u^{\prime} \in \Omega(1)$. From Proposition 5.23 we have

$$
E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, u s_{1}^{\prime} u^{-1}, \ldots, u s_{m}^{\prime} u^{-1}, u u^{\prime}\right)
$$

From $\epsilon_{o} \bullet w(1, s)=\epsilon_{o}(w, s)$ for $(w, s) \in W(1) \times S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ (Lemma 5.21), the right-hand side is equal to

$$
E_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{n}\right) E_{o \bullet w}\left(u s_{1}^{\prime} u^{-1}, \ldots, u s_{m}^{\prime} u^{-1}, u u^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $E_{o} \bullet w\left(u s_{1}^{\prime} u^{-1}, \ldots, u s_{m}^{\prime} u^{-1}, u u^{\prime}\right)=E_{o} \bullet w\left(u w^{\prime}\right)=T_{u} E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ from Proposition 5.23. Hence $E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{n}\right) T_{u} E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)$.

We recall $q_{w, w^{\prime}}=\left(q_{w} q_{w^{\prime}} q_{w w^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1)$ (Definition 4.14).
Theorem 5.25. Let o be an orientation of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$, let $w, w^{\prime} \in W(1)$, and let there be a reduced decomposition $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)} u, u \in \Omega(1), s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell(w)$. Then

$$
E_{o}(w)=E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell(w)}, u\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)
$$

depends only on $w$ and $E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)=q_{w, w^{\prime}} E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. As in $\S 4.5$, the $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ satisfies Propositions 5.23 and 5.24. Let $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ and $u \in \Omega(1)$. The elements $T_{s}, T_{u}, T_{s}^{*}=T_{s}-\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}$ and $E_{o}(w)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ are sent by the isomorphism $h \mapsto \tilde{h}(70)$ to elements of $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{s}^{\sim}=\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} T_{s}, \quad T_{u}^{\sim}=T_{u}, \quad\left(T_{s}^{*}\right)^{\sim}=\tilde{T}_{s}-\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}=\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right)=\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} T_{s}^{*}, \\
\tilde{E}_{o}(w)=\left(T_{s_{1}}^{\epsilon_{o}\left(1, s_{1}\right)}\right)^{\sim} \ldots\left(T_{s_{i}}^{\epsilon_{0}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right)}\right)^{\sim} \ldots\left(T_{s_{\ell(w)}}^{\epsilon_{\ell}\left(\ldots s_{1}\right.},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
\tilde{E}_{o}(w)=\mathfrak{q}_{w}^{-1} E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell(w)}, u\right)
$$

In $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, the product $\mathfrak{q}_{w} \tilde{E}_{o}(w)$ depends only on $w$ hence the same is true for $E_{o}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell(w)}, u\right)$. The product formula in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ implies the product formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}} E_{o}\left(w w^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathbf{q}_{w, w^{\prime}}=\mathfrak{q}_{w} \mathfrak{q}_{w^{\prime}} \mathfrak{q}_{w w^{\prime}}^{-1} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. The product formula holds true in the generic $R\left[\mathbf{q}_{s}\right]$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\mathbf{q}_{s}\right]}$ $\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. By specialization, it holds true in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.
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The Bruhat partial order $<$ on ( $W^{\text {aff }}, S^{\text {aff }}$ ) extends to $W=W^{\text {aff }} \rtimes \Omega: w u<w^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ for $w$, $w^{\prime} \in W^{\text {aff }}, u, u^{\prime} \in \Omega$ if $w<w^{\prime}$ and $u=u^{\prime}$. The extended Bruhat partial order $<$ on $W$ inflates to $W(1): \tilde{w}<\tilde{w}^{\prime}$ for $\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}^{\prime} \in W(1)$ lifting $w, w^{\prime} \in W$ if $w<w^{\prime}$.

Corollary 5.26. $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ is an $R$-basis of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ satisfying the triangular decomposition: for $w \in W(1), E_{o}(w)=T_{w}+\sum_{w^{\prime}<w} a_{w^{\prime}} T_{w^{\prime}}$ with $w^{\prime} \in W(1), a_{w^{\prime}} \in R$.

Proof. $E_{o}(w)-T_{w}$ is a finite sum of elements $T_{w^{\prime}}$ where $w^{\prime}=s_{1}^{\prime} \ldots s_{r}^{\prime} t u$ for $t \in Z_{k}, u \in \Omega(1)$, and $\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ extracted from the sequence $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ with $r<n$.

Corollary 5.27. Let $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{r} u$ with $s_{i} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1), u \in \Omega(1)$ be a reduced decomposition. Then

$$
E_{o}(w)=E_{o}\left(s_{1}\right) E_{o} \bullet s_{1}\left(s_{2}\right), \ldots, E_{o} \bullet s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{r-1}\left(s_{r}\right) T_{u}
$$

Proof. $q_{w, w^{\prime}}=1$ when $\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)($ Lemma 4.16).
One does not need to change the orientation $o$ in the product formula in $E_{o}(\Lambda(1))$ when $\Lambda(1)$ fixes $o$. By Proposition 5.17 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.28. Let o be a spherical orientation of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$. The $R$-submodule of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of basis $\left(E_{o}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda(1)}$ is an $R$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{o}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$, with product

$$
E_{o}(\lambda) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=q_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}} E_{o}\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(1)\right) .
$$

The $R$-algebras $\mathcal{A}_{o}, \mathcal{A}_{o^{\prime}}$ associated to two spherical orientations $o, o^{\prime}$, are isomorphic by the linear map sending $E_{o}(\lambda)$ to $E_{o^{\prime}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$.

For each (open) Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}$ of $V$, let $\Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}}(1)$ the monoid of elements $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ such that $\nu(\lambda)$ belongs to the closure $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$ of $\mathfrak{D}$. For $w \in W_{0}(1)$, the $R$-linear map $\lambda \mapsto w(\lambda):=w \lambda w^{-1}$ is an isomorphism $R\left[\Lambda(1)_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}}\right] \rightarrow R\left[\Lambda(1)_{w(\overline{\mathfrak{D}})}\right]$.

Let $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda(1)$. We have $\mathbf{q}_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}=1$ if and only if $\ell\left(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\ell(\lambda)+\ell\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)($ Lemma 4.16) if and only if $\nu(\lambda), \nu\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the same closed Weyl chamber (Example 5.12). We deduce the following result.

Corollary 5.29. Let o be a spherical orientation of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$ and let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a Weyl chamber of $V$. Then the monoid $R$-algebra $R\left[\Lambda_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}}(1)\right]$ embeds in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ by the linear map such that

$$
\lambda \mapsto E_{o}(\lambda) \quad(\lambda \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}(1))
$$

Example 5.30. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be a basis of $\Sigma$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda, t \in \operatorname{Ker} \nu$ of lift $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{t} \in \Lambda(1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\tilde{\lambda}) & =T_{\tilde{\lambda}} \text { if } \nu(\lambda) \text { belongs to the closed } \Delta^{\prime} \text {-dominant Weyl chamber, } \\
E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\tilde{\lambda}) & =T_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{*} \text { if } \nu(\lambda) \text { belongs to the closed }-\Delta^{\prime} \text {-dominant Weyl chamber, } \\
E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{t}) & =E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\tilde{\lambda}) T_{\tilde{t}}=T_{\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{t} \tilde{\lambda^{-1}}} E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\tilde{\lambda})
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. When we walk from the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ to the alcove $\mathfrak{C}+\nu(\lambda)$ we cross hyperplanes in the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive (respectively, negative) direction because we walk away from (respectively, toward) the most $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-negative point of $V$ which lies infinitely deep in the $-\Delta^{\prime}$ dominant Weyl chamber. When $t \in \operatorname{Ker} \nu$ we have $q_{\lambda t}=q_{\lambda t}=q_{\lambda, t}=q_{t . \lambda}=1$ because $\operatorname{Ker} \nu \subset \Omega$ (Corollary 5.11) and $q_{w u}=q_{u w}$ for $w \in W, u \in \Omega$ (Definition 4.14).
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We recall the involutive automorphism $\iota$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ such that $\iota\left(T_{w}\right)=(-1)^{\ell(w)} T_{w}^{*}$ for $w \in W(1)$.

Lemma 5.31. $\iota\left(E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(w)\right)=(-1)^{\ell(w)} E_{o_{-\Delta^{\prime}}}(w)$ for $w \in W(1)$.
Proof. The $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive side and the $o_{-\Delta^{\prime}}$-negative side of any hyperplane $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ are equal. We have $\iota\left(T_{s}\right)=-T_{s}^{*}$ and $\iota\left(T_{s}^{*}\right)=-T_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$.

Example 5.32. With the notation of Example 5.19, for $J \subset \Delta$ and $w \in W_{J}(1)$ we have

$$
E_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}(w)=T_{w}, \quad E_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)} \bullet w^{-1}}(w)=T_{w}^{*}, \quad E_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}(w) E_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)} \bullet w}\left(w^{-1}\right)=q_{w} .
$$

In particular, for $w \in W_{0}(1)$,

$$
E_{o_{-\Delta}}(w)=E_{o_{\Delta} \bullet w^{-1}}(w)=T_{w}, \quad E_{o_{-\Delta} \bullet w^{-1}}(w)=E_{o_{\Delta}}(w)=T_{w}^{*} .
$$

Proof. (1) We have $\epsilon_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}(w, s)=1$ for $(w, s) \in W_{J} \times S_{J}$ with $\ell(w s)>\ell(w)$ (Example 5.19). Applying this to $\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right)$ if $w=s_{1} \ldots s_{\ell(w)}$ is a reduced expression for $w$, we get $E_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}(w)=T_{w}$.
(2) $\epsilon_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}} \bullet w^{-1}\left(s_{1} \ldots s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right)=\epsilon_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}\left(s_{n} \ldots s_{i}, s_{i}\right) \neq \epsilon_{o_{w_{J}(\Delta)}}\left(s_{n} \ldots s_{i+1}, s_{i}\right)=1$ (Lemma 5.21).
(3) Propositions 4.13 and 4.22 .

Example 5.33. For $s \in S(1), \tilde{s} \in S^{\mathrm{aff}}(1)-S(1), w \in W_{0}(1)$, we have

$$
E_{o_{-\Delta}}(\tilde{s})=T_{\tilde{s}}^{*}, \quad E_{o_{-\Delta} \bullet w}(s)=T_{s} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \ell(w s)>\ell(w) .
$$

Proof. (a) Let $x \in \mathfrak{C}$. We have $\epsilon_{o}(1, \tilde{s})=-1$ if and only if $x$ belongs in the $o_{-\Delta}$-positive part of $H_{\tilde{s}}$. We have $H_{\tilde{s}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\tilde{\beta}_{j}+1\right)$ where $\tilde{\beta}_{j}$ is the longest root of an irreducible component $\Delta_{j}$ of $\Delta$, and $-\tilde{\beta}_{i}(x)+1>0$. As $-\tilde{\beta}_{i}$ is $-\Delta$ positive, $x$ belongs in the $o_{-\Delta}$-positive part of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(-\tilde{\beta}_{j}+1\right)$.
(b) $\epsilon_{o_{-} \Delta} \bullet w(1, s)=\epsilon_{o_{-\Delta}}(w, s)$ by Lemma 5.21. Then use Example 5.19.

Lemma 5.34. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be a basis of $\Sigma, \beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap \pm \Delta^{\prime}$ and $w \in W(1)$ such that $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$. Then

$$
E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet s_{\beta}}(w)=E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(w)
$$

Proof. If $\beta \in \pm \Delta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma-\{ \pm \beta\}$, then the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive and $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet s_{\beta}$-positive sides of $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha+$ $n$ ), for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are equal.

If $\beta \in \Sigma^{+}$, then $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$ if and only if the alcoves $\mathfrak{C}, w(\mathfrak{C})$ are on the same side of $H_{\beta+n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Lemma 5.6). This means that no $H_{\beta+n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, belongs to $\mathfrak{T}_{w}$ (Definition 4.14).

We deduce that if $\beta \in \Sigma^{+} \cap \pm \Delta^{\prime}, \ell_{\beta}(w)=0$, then the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}}$-positive side of $H_{\tau}$ is equal to the $o_{\Delta^{\prime}} \bullet s_{\beta^{\prime}}$-positive side of $H_{\tau}$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{w}$, or equivalently, $E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}} \bullet s_{\beta}(w)=E_{o_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(w)$.

For the rest of this article, we consider only spherical orientations of $(V, \mathfrak{H})$.
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### 5.4 Bernstein relations

Let $o$ be a spherical orientation of Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$ associated to the basis $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Sigma$ formed by the set of $\beta \in \Sigma$ positive on $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$ and such that $\operatorname{Ker} \beta$ is a wall of $\mathfrak{D}_{o}$ (Definition 5.16). We denote the corresponding Coxeter system by ( $W_{o}, S_{o}$ ), where $S_{o}:=\left\{s_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_{o}\right\}$. We have $S_{o}=S$ when $o$ is dominant or antidominant. For $w \in W(1)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ we have $w(\lambda):=w \lambda w^{-1} \in \Lambda(1)$.

Proposition 5.35. $E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)=E_{o}\left(s \lambda s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s)$ if $\lambda \in \Lambda(1), s \in W_{o}(1)$ and $s^{2} \in Z_{k}$.
Proof. As $s^{2} \in Z_{k}$, the product formula implies (Theorem 5.25)

$$
E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)=q_{s, \lambda} E_{o}(s \lambda), \quad E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s)=q_{s(\lambda), s} E_{o}(s \lambda)
$$

and

$$
q_{s, \lambda}=q_{s(\lambda), s}
$$

because $q_{s, \lambda}^{2}=q_{s} q_{\lambda} q_{s \lambda}^{-1}$ and $q_{s \lambda s^{-1}, s}^{2}=q_{s \lambda s^{-1}} q_{s} q_{s \lambda}^{-1}$ by Definition 4.14, and $q_{s \lambda s^{-1}}=q_{\lambda}$ as $s \in W_{o}(1)$ by Proposition 5.13.

We denote by $\Lambda^{s}(1)$ the group of $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ such that $\nu(\lambda)$ is fixed by $s \in W_{o}(1)$; note that if $s$ lifts $s_{\beta}, \beta \in \Sigma$, then $\lambda \in \Lambda^{s}(1)$ is equivalent to $(\beta \circ \nu)(\lambda)=0$.

Definition 5.36. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(1), s \in W_{o}(1), s^{2} \in Z_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)=E_{o}\left(s \lambda s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be called a Bernstein element. Note that when $\lambda \in \Lambda^{s}(1), s \in S_{o}(1)$, the Bernstein element vanishes because $E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)=0$ by Lemma 5.34.

When $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$ we will show that the Bernstein element (82) belongs to the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{o}$ (Corollary 5.28); its explicit expansion in the alcove walk basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ is called a Bernstein relation.

Notation 5.37. We suppose $s \in s_{\beta}(1), \beta \in \Delta,(\beta \circ \nu)(\lambda) \neq 0$. We denote by $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \in\{1,-1\}$ the sign of $\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)$. By Corollary 5.10,

$$
\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(s \lambda)<\ell(\lambda), \quad \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(s \lambda)>\ell(\lambda) .
$$

The image of $\beta \circ \nu$ is $\delta \mathbb{Z}$ with $\delta \in\{1,2\}$ (Remark 5.3). Let $n_{\beta}(\lambda)$ be the positive integer such that

$$
\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)=\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \delta n_{\beta}(\lambda) .
$$

We choose $\lambda_{s} \in \Lambda(1)$ with $\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=-\delta$. If $\delta=1$ there is no other condition on $\lambda_{s}$. If $\delta=2$, we suppose that $\lambda_{s}$ is a lift of $\mu_{\beta}=s_{\beta+1} s_{\beta} \in \Lambda^{\text {aff }}$ as in Lemma 5.15. Hence

$$
\lambda_{s}=s w \tilde{s} w^{-1}, \quad \ell\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=2 \ell(w)+2
$$

where $w \in W^{\text {aff }}(1), \tilde{s} \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ lifts $s_{-\tilde{\beta}+1}$ for the highest root $\tilde{\beta}$ of the irreducible component $\Sigma_{j}$ of $\Sigma$ containing $\beta$. Note that $\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=-\beta^{\vee}$ and that the image of $s w \tilde{s} w^{-1} s^{-1}$ in $W$ is $s_{\beta} s_{\beta-1} s_{\beta}=s_{\beta+1}$.
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We define elements $B_{o, n} \in \mathcal{A}_{o}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. For $n=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{o, 1}:=(\delta-1)\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) s^{2} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}^{-1}\right)+c_{s}, \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the term containing $\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right)$ appears only when $\delta=2$. For $n \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{o, n}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right)\right) B_{o, 1} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}^{-k}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geqslant 1$, the inner $k$ th term

$$
E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right)\right) B_{o, 1} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}^{-k}\right)=E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right)\right)\left((\delta-1)\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) s^{2} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}^{-1}\right)+c_{s}\right) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}^{-k}\right)
$$

is the sum of $\delta=1,2$ terms

$$
(\delta-1) c(2 k+1) E_{o}(\mu(2 k+1))+c(\delta k) E_{o}(\mu(\delta k))=(\delta-1) E_{o}(\mu(2 k+1)) c^{\prime}(2 k+1)+E_{o}(\mu(\delta k)) c^{\prime}(\delta k),
$$

where $\mu(k) \in \Lambda(1)$ and $c(k), c^{\prime}(k)$ in $R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ depend on $\lambda_{s}$ and are defined, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$
\mu(\delta k)=s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right) \lambda_{s}^{-k}, \quad c(\delta k)=s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right) \bullet c_{s}, \quad c^{\prime}(\delta k)=\lambda_{s}^{k} \bullet c_{s}
$$

and if $\delta=2$,

$$
\mu(2 k+1)=s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right) \lambda_{s}^{-k-1}, \quad c(2 k+1)=s\left(\lambda_{s}^{k}\right) \bullet c_{\tau}, \quad c^{\prime}(2 k+1)=\lambda_{s}^{k+1} \bullet c_{\tau}
$$

where $\tau=w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}} s^{2}=\tilde{w} c_{\tilde{s}} s^{2} \tilde{w}^{-1}$ for any $\tilde{w} \in W(1)$ lifting $w$.
We obtain the expansions

$$
B_{o, n}=\sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} c(k) E_{o}(\mu(k))=\sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} E_{o}(\mu(k)) c^{\prime}(k) .
$$

We recall the signs $\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \neq \epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)$ (Lemma 5.21).
The Bernstein relations when $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$ are given by the following theorem.
Theorem 5.38. We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.
Let $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)-\Lambda^{s}(1)$. The Bernstein element (82) is equal to

$$
\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) B_{o, n_{\beta}(\lambda)} E_{o}(\lambda) \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1, \quad \epsilon_{o}(1, s) E_{o}\left(s \lambda s^{-1}\right) B_{o, n_{\beta}(\lambda)} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1,
$$

where $s \in s_{\beta}(1), \beta \in \Delta$.
The proof is divided into steps given as lemmas. We use the notation of (5.37).
Lemma 5.39. We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.
When $\delta=1, s \in S(1)$, we have

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)
$$
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Proof. We have $\beta \in s_{\beta}\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)$because $\beta$ is positive. The hypothesis $\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=-1$ implies $\ell_{\beta}\left(s \lambda_{s}\right)=$ $-\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-1=0$ by Proposition 5.9(3) and $E_{o}\left(s \lambda_{s}\right)=E_{o} \bullet s\left(s \lambda_{s}\right)$ by Lemma 5.34. Applying the product formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o \bullet}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right) & =E_{o}\left(s \lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) \\
& =E_{o \bullet}\left(s \lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) \\
& =E_{o \bullet}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) \\
& =\left(E_{o} \bullet s(s)-E_{o}(s)\right) E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from $s \in S(1)$ and (79).
Lemma 5.40. We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$.
When $\delta=2, s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$, we have

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)\left[\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) s^{2}+c_{s} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right] .
$$

Proof. Applying the product formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) & =E_{o}\left(s w \tilde{s} w^{-1}\right)=E_{o}(s) E_{o \bullet s}(w) E_{o \bullet s w}(\tilde{s}) E_{o \bullet s w \tilde{s}}\left(w^{-1}\right), \\
E_{o \bullet s}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) & =E_{o \bullet s}(s) E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet w(\tilde{s}) E_{o \bullet w \tilde{s}}\left(w^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\ell_{\beta}(w)=0$ because $w^{-1}(\beta)=\tilde{\beta} \in \Sigma^{+}$(Lemma 5.15 and the remark before Lemma 5.6). We note that $o \bullet s w \tilde{s}=o \bullet w$ because $s w \tilde{s}=\lambda_{s} w$ and the orientation $o$ is spherical. We deduce (the first equality follows from Lemma 5.34):

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o} \bullet(w) & =E_{o}(w), \quad E_{o \bullet s w \tilde{s}}\left(w^{-1}\right)=E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{-1}\right), \\
E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) & =E_{o}(s) E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet s w(\tilde{s}) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $E_{o} \bullet s\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)$ is the sum of $\left(E_{o} \bullet s(s)-E_{o}(s)\right) E_{o}(w) E_{o} \bullet s w(\tilde{s}) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{-1}\right)$ and $E_{o} \bullet s(s) E_{o}(w)\left(E_{o} \bullet w(\tilde{s})-E_{o} \bullet s w(\tilde{s})\right) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{-1}\right)$. By (79) and $s \in S(1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o} \bullet s\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right) & =\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s) c_{s} E_{o} \bullet s\left(w \tilde{s} w^{-1}\right)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet w(1, \tilde{s}) E_{o} \bullet s(s w) c_{\tilde{s}} E_{o} \bullet w \\
& =\epsilon_{o \bullet}(1, s)\left(c_{s} E_{0} \bullet \bullet\left(w \tilde{s} w^{-1}\right)+\left(s w \bullet c_{\tilde{\tilde{s}}}\right) E_{o \bullet s}(s w) E_{o} \bullet w\left(w^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{o \bullet}(1, s)\left(c_{s} E_{o \bullet s}\left(w \tilde{s} w^{-1}\right)+\left(s w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) E_{o \bullet s}(s)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second line, we note that $s_{\beta} \in S_{o}$ permutes the $o$-positive roots of $\Sigma$ different from $\pm \beta$. The $o$-positive side is equal to the $o \bullet s$-positive side for the affine hyperplanes of the form $\operatorname{Ker}(\gamma+k), k \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \Sigma-\{ \pm \beta\}$, between $\mathfrak{C}, \lambda_{s}(\mathfrak{C})$. The affine hyperplanes of the form $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, separating $\mathfrak{C}, \lambda_{s}(\mathfrak{C})$, are $\operatorname{Ker} \beta, \operatorname{Ker}(\beta+1)$ (Lemma 5.6). We cross the hyperplanes $\operatorname{Ker} \beta$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\beta+1)$ in the same sense when we go from $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\lambda_{s}(\mathfrak{C})=\mathfrak{C}+\nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)$, hence $\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)=$ $\epsilon_{o} \bullet w(1, \tilde{s})$.

Multiplying on the left by $E_{o}(s)$, we obtain

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(\lambda_{s}\right)-E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)\left(c_{s} E_{o}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)+\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) s^{2}\right),
$$

using the product formula, $E_{o}(s) c=(s \bullet c) E_{o}(s)$ for $c \in R\left[Z_{k}\right], s \bullet c_{s}=c_{s}$ and (79).
We summarize Lemmas 5.34, 5.39, and 5.40: for $y \in \Lambda^{s}(1), x=\lambda_{s}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o} \bullet s(y)-E_{o}(y) & =0, \\
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o \bullet s}(x)-E_{o}(x)\right) & =\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s)\left((\delta-1)\left(w \bullet c_{\tilde{s}}\right) s^{2}+c_{s} E_{o}(x)\right)=\epsilon_{o \bullet}(1, s) B_{o, 1} E_{o}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $B_{o, 1}$ defined by (83). By a formal computation we will deduce the expansion of the Bernstein element at any $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$. First, we take $\lambda=x^{-1}$.
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Lemma 5.41. We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$. When $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$,

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(x^{-1}\right)-E_{o}\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{o}(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) B_{o, 1} .
$$

Proof. We recall that $E_{o}(w)$ is invertible for $w \in W(1)$ because $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$ and that the inverse of $E_{o}(\lambda)$ is $E_{o}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)$ because the orientation $o$ is spherical. We multiply the equality $E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(x)-E_{o}(x)\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) B_{o, 1} E_{o}(x)$ on the left by

$$
E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(x)^{-1} E_{o}(s)^{-1}=E_{o}(s) E_{o \bullet s}\left(x^{-1}\right) E_{o \bullet s}\left(s^{-1}\right)=E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-1}\right)\right),
$$

and on the right by $E_{o}(x)^{-1}=E_{o}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ to obtain

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o \bullet s}\left(x^{-1}\right)-E_{o}\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)=-\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) B_{o, 1}
$$

and we use $\epsilon_{o}(1, s)=-\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)$.
Now we relate the Bernstein element at $z \in \Lambda(1)$ to the Bernstein element at $z^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.
Lemma 5.42. We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$. When $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$,

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(z^{n}\right)-E_{o}\left(z^{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o}\left(s z^{k} s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(z)-E_{o}(z)\right) E_{o}\left(z^{n-1-k}\right)
$$

Proof. Using that the orientations $o$ and $o \bullet s$ are fixed by $z \in \Lambda(1)$, we have
$E_{o \bullet}\left(z^{n}\right)-E_{o}\left(z^{n}\right)=E_{o \bullet s}\left(z^{n-1}\right)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(z)-E_{o}(z)\right)+\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(z^{n-1}\right)-E_{o}\left(z^{n-1}\right)\right) E_{o}(z) . \quad$ By induction on $n$,

$$
E_{o \bullet s}\left(z^{n}\right)-E_{o}\left(z^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o \bullet s}\left(z^{k}\right)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(z)-E_{o}(z)\right) E_{o}\left(z^{n-1-k}\right)
$$

We multiply this equality on the left by $E_{o}(s)$, and we observe that

$$
E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s\left(z^{k}\right)=E_{o}\left(s z^{k}\right)=E_{o}\left(s z^{k} s^{-1} s\right)=E_{o}\left(s z^{k} s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s) .
$$

We now conclude the proof of Theorem 5.38. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, by Lemma 5.42 applied to $z=x$ and $z=x^{-1}$, the Bernstein element (82) at $x^{n}$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{k}\right)\right) B_{o, 1} E_{o}(x) E_{o}\left(x^{n-1-k}\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) B_{o, n} E_{o}\left(x^{n}\right)
$$

with $B_{o, n}$ defined in (84), and the Bernstein element (82) at $x^{-n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, is equal to

$$
\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n+k+1}\right)\right) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-1}\right)\right) B_{o, 1} E_{o}\left(x^{-k}\right)=\epsilon_{o}(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right)\right) B_{o, n}
$$

As $x=\lambda_{s},(\beta \circ \nu)\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=-\delta$, an arbitrary $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$ is equal to

$$
\lambda= \begin{cases}x^{n} y & \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1 \\ y x^{-n} & \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1\end{cases}
$$
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where $y \in \Lambda^{s}(1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We multiply on the right by $E_{o}(y)$ the Bernstein element at $x^{n}$ to obtain the Bernstein element at $\lambda=x^{n} y$,

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) B_{o, n} E_{o}(\lambda) .
$$

We have $E_{o}\left(s y s^{-1}\right) E_{o}(s)=E_{o}(s y)=E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(y)=E_{o}(s) E_{o}(y)$. We multiply on the left by $E_{o}\left(\right.$ sys $\left.^{-1}\right)$ the Bernstein element at $x^{-n}$ to obtain the Bernstein element at $\lambda=y x^{-n}$,

$$
E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)=E_{o}(s(y)) E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s\left(x^{-n}\right)-E_{o}\left(x^{-n}\right)\right)=\epsilon_{o}(1, s) E_{o}(s(\lambda)) B_{o, n}
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 5.38.
Corollary 5.43. When $q_{s}=1$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}$, with Notation 5.37 and the hypothesis of Theorem 5.38, the Bernstein element (82) is equal to

$$
\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda))=\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)) c^{\prime}(k, \lambda)
$$

where $c(k, \lambda), c^{\prime}(k, \lambda), \mu(k, \lambda)$, which depend on $c(k), c^{\prime}(k), \mu(k)$ and hence on $\lambda_{s}$, are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c(k, \lambda)=c(k), \quad c^{\prime}(k, \lambda)=\lambda^{-1} \bullet c^{\prime}(k), & \mu(k, \lambda)=\mu(k) \lambda \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1 \\
c(k, \lambda)=s(\lambda) \bullet c(k), \quad c^{\prime}(k, \lambda)=c^{\prime}(k), & \mu(k, \lambda)=s(\lambda) \mu(k) \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{o, n} E(\lambda) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(k) E_{o}(\mu(k) \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o}(\mu(k) \lambda)\left(\lambda^{-1} \bullet c^{\prime}(k)\right), \\
E_{o}(s(\lambda)) B_{o, n} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s(\lambda) \bullet c(k) E_{o}(s(\lambda) \mu(k))=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{o}(s(\lambda) \mu(k)) c^{\prime}(k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling Notation 5.37, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\mu(k))=k \beta^{\vee}=\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-k}\right), \quad \beta \circ \nu(\mu(k, \lambda))=2 k-|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|, \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

because when $\delta=1, \nu\left(s \lambda_{s} s^{-1}\right)=s\left(\nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right)=\nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)+\beta^{\vee}$ because $\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=-1$, and when $\delta=2$, $\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=-\beta^{\vee}, \nu\left(s \mu_{\beta} s^{-1}\right)=\beta^{\vee}$. For $k \neq k^{\prime}$ we have $Z_{k} \mu(k, \lambda) \neq Z_{k} \mu\left(k^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$. Corollary 5.43 gives the coefficients of the expansion of (82) in the basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$.

We pass from the case $q_{s}=1$ to the general case using the method explained in § 4.5. The Bernstein relations in the $R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$, are given by Corollary 5.43 where $c_{s}$ and $c_{\tilde{s}}$ are replaced by $\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{\tilde{s}}^{-1} c_{\tilde{s}}$ in the formula for $c(k)$. A quick inspection shows that this means replacing $c(\delta k)$ by $\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c(\delta k)$, and, when $\delta=2$, replacing $c(2 k+1)$ by $\mathfrak{q}_{\tilde{s}}^{-1} c(2 k+1)$.

Lemma 5.44. The isomorphism $h \mapsto \tilde{h}: \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ (see (68) and (70)) sends $E_{o}(w), w \in W(1)$, to

$$
\tilde{E}_{o}(w)=\mathfrak{q}_{w}^{-1} E_{o}(w) \in \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)
$$
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Proof. Let $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. The image of $T_{s}^{*}=T_{s}-\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s} \in \mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(1, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}\right)$ is $\tilde{T}_{s}-\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} c_{s}=$ $\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\left(T_{s}-c_{s}\right)=\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1} T_{s}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathfrak{q}_{s}, \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.

We multiply $\tilde{E}_{o}(s)\left(\tilde{E}_{o \bullet s}(\lambda)-\tilde{E}_{o}(\lambda)\right)$ by $\mathfrak{q}_{s} \mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}$ and we note that $\mathfrak{q}_{s(\lambda)}=\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}$ (Proposition 5.13). Corollary 5.43 implies the following result.

Proposition 5.45 (Bernstein relations in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathrm{q}_{s}, \mathrm{q}_{s}^{-1}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ ). Let $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1), \lambda \in \Lambda(1)$. With Notation 5.37, the Bernstein element (82) is 0 if $\lambda \in \Lambda^{s}(1)$. Otherwise, it is equal to

$$
\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda))
$$

where $\mathbf{q}(\delta k, \lambda)=\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} \mathfrak{q}_{\mu(\delta k, \lambda)}^{-1}$ and, when $\delta=2, \mathbf{q}(2 k+1, \lambda)=\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} \mathfrak{q}_{\mu(2 k+1, \lambda)}^{-1} \mathfrak{q}_{s} \mathfrak{q}_{\tilde{s}}^{-1}$ depends on $s$.
They are also the Bernstein relations in the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ because this is the expansion of $E_{o}(s)\left(E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(\lambda)\right)$ in the basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$. We deduce

$$
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) \in R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)\right]\left[Z_{k}\right] \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant k<|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)| .
$$

This is true for any choice of $R$ and $\left(c_{s}\right)_{s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)}$ satisfying (a6) of $\S 4.3$. We may choose $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{s} \neq 0$ for all $s$. Then $c(k, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not 0 , therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda)=\prod_{s \in S_{\text {aff }} / \sim} \mathbf{q}_{s}^{m_{k, \lambda}(s)} \quad\left(m_{k, \lambda}(s) \in \mathbb{N}\right) . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will later (in Proposition 5.49) give more properties of $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda)$. The Bernstein relations in the $R$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ are obtained by specialization of the Bernstein relations in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ by the map $\mathbf{q}_{s} \rightarrow q_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$. We denote by $q(k, \lambda)$ the specialization of $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda)$.

Theorem 5.46 (Bernstein relations in $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ ). For $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1), \lambda \in \Lambda(1)$, the Bernstein element (82) belongs to the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{o}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ of basis $\left(E_{o}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda(1)}$. It vanishes when $\lambda \in \Lambda^{s}(1)$, otherwise it is equal to

$$
\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} q(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)),
$$

with Notation 5.37 and also the notation of Proposition 5.45.
For the dominant and antidominant spherical orientation $o$ we have $S=S_{o}$; for the antidominant orientation $o$ we have also (Example 5.32)

$$
E_{o}(w)=T_{w} \quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}(1)
$$

Writing $E(w)=E_{o}(w)$ where $o$ is the antidominant orientation, we obtain a presentation of the generic algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$.

Corollary 5.47 (Bernstein presentation of the generic algebra). The $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-algebra

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)
$$

is the free $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-module of basis $\left(E_{o}(w)\right)_{w \in W(1)}$ endowed with the unique $R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$-algebra structure satisfying:
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- braid relations for $w, w^{\prime} \in W_{0}(1), E(w) E\left(w^{\prime}\right)=E\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$ if $\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$;
- quadratic relations for $s \in S(1), E(s)^{2}=\mathbf{q}_{s} s^{2}+c_{s} E(s)$;
- product formula for $\lambda \in \Lambda(1), w \in W(1), E(\lambda) E(w)=\mathbf{q}_{\lambda, w} E(\lambda w)$;
- Bernstein relations for $s \in S(1), \lambda \in \Lambda(1)$,

$$
E(s(\lambda)) E(s)=E(s) E(\lambda)
$$

when $\nu(\lambda)$ is fixed by $s$, and

$$
E(s(\lambda)) E(s)-E(s) E(\lambda)=\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E(\mu(k, \lambda))
$$

when $\nu(\lambda)$ is not fixed by $s$.

### 5.5 Variants of the Bernstein relations

This section is motivated by applications to the theory of smooth representations of $G$ over a field $C$ of characteristic $p$.

In the Bernstein relations in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ (Proposition 5.45), we move the term with $k=0$ from the right-hand side to the left-hand side, which (using (82)) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda)-\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \epsilon_{o}(1, s) \mathbf{q}(0, \lambda) c(0, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(0, \lambda)) . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.48 (Variant of the Bernstein relations in $\mathcal{H}_{R\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]}\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}, c_{s}\right)$ ). With the hypothesis of Proposition 5.45, (87) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1, \quad E_{o}( s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s)-\left(E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet s\right. \\
&\left.=\mathbf{q}_{s}\left(E_{o}(s \lambda)-E_{o}\right) c_{s}\right) E_{o}(\lambda) \\
&\left.=-\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}\right) \\
& \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)), \\
& E_{o}(s(\lambda))\left(E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s}\right)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) \\
&=\epsilon_{o}(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) c(k, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(k, \lambda)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\sum_{k=1}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1}$ appears only if $|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|>1$ and $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$ if $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1$.
Proof. The term with $k=0$ in the Bernstein relation (Theorem 5.46) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda) \epsilon_{o}(1, s) q(0, \lambda) c(0, \lambda) E_{o}(\mu(0, \lambda)) & =-\epsilon_{o}(1, s) c_{s} E_{o}(\lambda) \\
& =\epsilon_{o} \bullet(1, s) c_{s} E_{o}(\lambda) \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1 \\
& =\epsilon_{o}(1, s)\left(s(\lambda) \bullet c_{s}\right) E_{o}(s(\lambda)) \\
& =-\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}(s(\lambda)) c_{s} \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

using $\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s)+\epsilon_{o}(1, s)=0$, Corollary 5.43, Proposition 5.45, property (a5), and Lemma 5.21.
Case $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1$. Then (87) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda)-\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s} E_{o}(\lambda) & =E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s \\
& \left.=E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s(\lambda)-E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda, s) c_{s}\right) E_{o}(\lambda) \\
& =\mathbf{q}_{s}\left(E_{o}(s \lambda)-E_{o} \bullet s(s \lambda)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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using $\ell(s \lambda)=\ell(\lambda)-1, \mathbf{q}_{s, \lambda}=\mathbf{q}_{s}\left(\right.$ proof of Proposition 5.35, $E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s}=E_{o \bullet s}(s)(79)$ ). When $R=\mathbb{Z}, c(1, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not 0 , hence the Bernstein relations imply $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left(\mathbf{q}_{s}\right)\right]$.

Case $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1$. Then (87) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}(s(\lambda)) c_{s} & =E_{o}(s(\lambda))\left(E_{o}(s)+\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) c_{s}\right)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) \\
& =E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o} \bullet s(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda) \\
& =E_{o}(s \lambda)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

using $\ell(s \lambda)=1+\ell(\lambda), \mathbf{q}_{s, \lambda}=\mathbf{q}_{s(\lambda), s}=1$ (proof of Proposition 5.35).
By specialization, the proposition is valid in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R}\left(q_{s}, c_{s}\right)$. We now study the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda)=\prod_{s \in S_{\text {aff }} / \sim} \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{2 m_{k, \lambda}(s)}=\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} \mathfrak{q}_{\mu(k, \lambda)}^{-1} x, \quad m_{k, \lambda}(s) \in \mathbb{N}, x \in\left\{1, \mathfrak{q}_{s} \mathfrak{q}_{\tilde{s}}^{-1}\right\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (86) and Proposition 5.45, for $\mathfrak{q}_{s}^{2}=\mathbf{q}_{s}$ for $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ and $x=1$ if and only if $\delta=1$ or $k$ is even.

Proposition 5.49. Let $\beta \in \Delta, \lambda \in \Lambda, k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ with $k<|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|$. Then $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \neq 1$. If $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=$ -1, we have:
(a) $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s_{\beta}}^{-1} \neq 1$ for $1<k<|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1$;
(b) $\mathbf{q}(1, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s_{\beta}}^{-1}=1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}(|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s_{\beta}}^{-1}=1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-1} \lambda\right)=2$;
(c) if $\lambda \in \Lambda^{-}$then $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-1} \lambda\right)=2$.

Proof. The proof relies on four claims:
(1) $\sum_{s \in S_{\text {aff }} / \sim} 2 m_{k, \lambda}(s)=\ell(\lambda)-\ell(\mu(k, \lambda))$;
(2) $\ell(\mu(k, \lambda))=\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)}\right)$ and $\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)-k} \lambda\right)$;
(3) if $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1$ then $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2 \operatorname{Min}(k, \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)-k) \geqslant 2$;
(4) if $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$then $\mu_{\beta} \lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$.

From (88) and Claim 1, $\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(\lambda) \neq \ell(\mu(k, \lambda))$. This is always true by Claims 2 and 3.

Suppose now $\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1$. By Proposition 5.48 and Claims 1 and 2 ,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s_{\beta}}^{-1}=1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{-1}\right)=2, \\
\mathbf{q}(k, \lambda) \mathbf{q}_{s_{\beta}} \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow \ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{-1}\right)>2 .
\end{array}
$$

We have $\ell(\lambda)=\ell\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{\beta}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)=1$. We deduce from Claim 2 that

$$
\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta} \lambda^{-1}\right)=\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1} \lambda^{-1}\right) .
$$

We deduce from Claim 3 that $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{-1}\right)>2$ if $1<k<|\beta \circ \nu(\lambda)|-1$.
Let $\rho$ be the half-sum of the positive roots of $\Sigma$. If $\gamma \in \Sigma$ is positive, $\rho\left(\gamma^{\vee}\right) \geqslant 1$ with equality if and only if $\gamma$ is a simple root [Bou68, Proposition 29(iii)]. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^{-}$, we have $\ell(\lambda)=-2 \rho(\nu(\lambda))$ (Corollary 5.10), $\mu_{\beta}^{-1} \lambda \in \Lambda^{-}$by Claim 4, and $-\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=\beta^{\vee}$ (85). We obtain $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-1} \lambda\right)=$ $-2 \rho \circ \nu(\lambda)+2 \rho \circ \nu\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-1} \lambda\right)=-2 \rho \circ \nu\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)=2 \rho\left(\beta^{\vee}\right)=2$.
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It remains to prove the claims. Claim 4 follows from $\nu\left(\mu_{\beta} \lambda\right)=-\beta^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)$ and $\beta\left(-\beta^{\vee}+\right.$ $\nu(\lambda))=-2+\beta \circ \nu(\lambda) \geqslant 0$ because $0<k<\beta \circ \nu(\lambda),-\alpha\left(\beta^{\vee}\right)$ and $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$ are non-negative for all simple roots $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}-\{\beta\}$ because $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$.

We prove the remaining three claims. Claim 1 is easy and is valid without restriction on $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mu(k, \lambda)$ and $m_{k, \lambda}(s)(88)$ are well defined for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 5.50. $2 \sum_{s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim} m_{k, \lambda}(s)=\ell(\lambda)-\ell(\mu(k, \lambda))$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Choosing reduced decompositions of $\lambda$ and $\mu(k, \lambda)$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} \mathfrak{q}_{\mu(k, \lambda)}^{-1}=\prod_{s \in S^{\text {aff } / \sim}} \mathfrak{q}_{s}^{n_{s}(k)}, \quad n_{s}(k) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{s \in S_{\text {aff }}^{\text {and }}} n_{s}(k)=\ell(\lambda)-\ell(\mu(k, \lambda)) .
$$

We compare with (88).
We now prove Claim 2. It is valid without restriction on $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The second formula is valid for any root $\alpha \in \Sigma, \mu_{\alpha}=s_{\alpha+1} s_{\alpha} \in \Sigma^{\text {aff }}$ with $\nu\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)=-\alpha^{\vee}$.

LEMMA 5.51. $\ell(\mu(k, \lambda))=\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)}\right)$ and $\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\alpha \circ(\lambda)-k} \lambda\right)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \Sigma$.
Proof. Recalling (85) and Corollary 5.43, the value of $\nu(\mu(k, \lambda))$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu(\mu(k))+\nu(\lambda)=\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-k} \lambda\right) \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=-1, \\
\nu(\mu(k))+\nu(s(\lambda))=\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-k} s(\lambda)\right)=s\left(\nu\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda\right)\right) \quad \text { if } \epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
s_{\alpha}\left(\nu\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)\right)=s_{\alpha}\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)=(k-\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)) \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)=\nu\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k} \lambda\right) .
$$

The length of $x \in \Lambda$ depends only on $\nu(x) \in V$ (Corollary 5.10), is constant on the $W_{0}$-orbit of $x$, is stable by taking inverse, and the homomorphism $\nu: \Lambda \rightarrow V$ is $W_{0}$-equivariant. Hence $\ell(\mu(k, \lambda))=\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{-k} \lambda^{-\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)}\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{\beta}^{k} \lambda^{\epsilon_{\beta}(\lambda)}\right)$ and $\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k} \lambda\right)$.

We prove Claim 3. It is valid for any root $\alpha \in \Sigma$.
Lemma 5.52. For $\alpha \in \Sigma, \lambda \in \Lambda, k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that $k<\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$, we have

$$
\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2 \min (k, \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k) .
$$

Proof. (a) Reduction to $\nu(\lambda)$ dominant.
There exists $w \in W_{0}$ such that $w(\lambda) \in \Lambda^{+}$. By $W_{0}$-invariance of $\nu$, we have $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)=w(\alpha) \circ$ $\nu(w(\lambda))$. We have $w\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)=w s_{\alpha+1} s_{\alpha} w^{-1}=\mu_{w(\alpha)}$. By $W_{0}$-invariance of $\ell, \ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{w(\alpha)}^{k} w(\lambda)\right)$. The lemma is true for $(w(\alpha), w(\lambda))$ if and only if it is true for the pair $(\alpha, \lambda)$. From now on, we suppose that $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$.
(b) By $[\operatorname{Kum} 02$, 1.3.22 Corollary and 1.4.2 Proposition $], \nu(\lambda)-w(\nu(\lambda)) \in \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{\Sigma}} \mathbb{N} \gamma^{\vee}$. Hence

$$
2 \rho(\nu(\lambda)-w(\nu(\lambda))) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { with equality if and only if } \nu(\lambda)=w(\nu(\lambda)) .
$$
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(c) For $w \in W_{0}$ such that $w\left(\nu\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)\right)=w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)$ is dominant, we have $\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=$ $2 \rho\left(w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)\right)$ as the length is $W_{0}$-invariant. Hence

$$
\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=2 \rho(\nu(\lambda)-w(\nu(\lambda)))+2 k \rho\left(w\left(\alpha^{\vee}\right)\right) .
$$

We deduce that (1) $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2 k$ if there exists $w \in W_{0}$ such that $w(\alpha)$ is positive and $w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)$ is dominant; and (2) $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=2 k$ if there exists $w \in W_{0}$ fixing $\nu(\lambda)$ such that $w(\alpha)$ is a simple root and $w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)$ is dominant.
(d) Suppose $2 k=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$, or equivalently $-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)$ fixed by $s_{\alpha}$. For $w \in W_{0}, w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\right.$ $\nu(\lambda))=w s_{\alpha}\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)$ and either $w(\alpha)$ or $w s_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is positive. We deduce from our first deduction in (c) that $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\gamma}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2 k$. The lemma is proved when $2 k=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$.
(e) Suppose $2 k<\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$. For $w \in W_{0}$ such that $w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)$ is dominant, $w(\alpha)$ is positive because $w(\alpha)\left(w\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)\right)=\alpha\left(-k \alpha^{\vee}+\nu(\lambda)\right)=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-2 k$ is positive by hypothesis. A root which takes a positive value on a point in the dominant Weyl chamber of $V$ is positive. We deduce again from our first deduction in (c) that $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2 k$. The lemma is proved in this case.
(f) Suppose $\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)<2 k<2 \alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)$. We have $\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right)=\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k} \lambda\right)$ by Lemma 5.51. We deduce from (e) applied to $k^{\prime}=\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k$ that $\ell(\lambda)-\ell\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{k} \lambda\right) \geqslant 2(\alpha \circ \nu(\lambda)-k)$. This ends the proof of the lemma.

Corollary 5.53. We suppose that $q_{s}=0$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda(1)$, o is a spherical orientation and $\beta \in \Delta$ are such that $s_{\beta} \in S_{o}$ and $s \in S^{\text {aff }}(1)$ lifts $s_{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)=0, \quad E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s) & =E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda),  \tag{89}\\
\text { if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)<0, \quad E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o}(s) & =E_{o} \bullet s(s) E_{o}(\lambda),  \tag{90}\\
\text { if } \beta \circ \nu(\lambda)>0, \quad E_{o}(s(\lambda)) E_{o} \bullet s(s) & =E_{o}(s) E_{o}(\lambda), \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sets $\left\{E_{o}(s), E_{o} \bullet s(s)\right\}=\left\{T_{s}, T_{s}^{*}\right\}$ are equal.
Proof. Use Propositions 5.48 and 5.49, (79), and the remark following (82).
Corollary 5.54. If $o$ is the dominant or anti-dominant spherical orientation and $w \in W_{0}(1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{o}\left(w \lambda w^{-1}\right) E_{o}(\tilde{w})-E_{o}(\tilde{w}) E_{o}(\tilde{\lambda}) \in \sum_{v<w} T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o} . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{o} T_{\tilde{w}} \subset \sum_{v \leqslant w} T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o}$.
Proof. As $S=S_{o}$, the Bernstein relations (Theorem 5.46) imply $E_{o}(\tilde{s}(\tilde{\lambda})) E_{o}(\tilde{s})-E_{o}(\tilde{s}) E_{o}(\tilde{\lambda}) \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{o}$ for any $s \in S$. We prove the lemma by induction on $\ell(w)$. Let $w \in W_{0}, w \neq 1$, and $s \in S$ such that $\ell(s w)=1+\ell(w)$ and (92) is true for $s$ and $v \leqslant w$. Hence the element

$$
E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w}(\tilde{\lambda})) E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w})-E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w}) E_{o}(\tilde{\lambda})=E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w}(\tilde{\lambda})) E_{o}(\tilde{s}) E_{o}(\tilde{w})-E_{o}(\tilde{s}) E_{o}(\tilde{w}) E_{o}(\tilde{\lambda})
$$

lies in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E_{o}(\tilde{s}) E_{o}(\tilde{w}(\tilde{\lambda}))+\mathcal{A}_{o}\right) E_{o}(\tilde{w})-E_{o}(\tilde{s})\left(E_{o}(\tilde{w}(\tilde{\lambda})) E_{o}(\tilde{w})+\left(\sum_{v<w} T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{A}_{o} E_{o}(\tilde{w})+\sum_{v<w} E_{o}(\tilde{s}) T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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The triangular expression for $E_{o}(\tilde{w})$ in the Iwahori-Matsumoto basis (Corollary 5.26) and (92) for $v \leqslant w$ imply $\mathcal{A}_{o} E_{o}(\tilde{w}) \subset \sum_{v \leqslant w} T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o}$. The product formula implies that $E_{o}(\tilde{s}) T_{\tilde{v}} \in \sum_{\tilde{x} \leqslant \tilde{w}} R T_{\tilde{x}}$ if $v<w$. Therefore, we have

$$
E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w}(\tilde{\lambda})) E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w})-E_{o}(\tilde{s} \tilde{w}) E_{o}(\tilde{\lambda}) \in \sum_{v \leqslant w} T_{\tilde{v}} \mathcal{A}_{o}
$$

In particular (92) is true for $\tilde{s} \tilde{w}$.
We give the proof of another variant of the Bernstein relations when $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$ using the end of the proof of Theorem 5.38 after the Lemma 5.42. When $o$ is the anti-dominant orientation, this variant was discovered by Abe.

As in Notation 5.37, for $s \in S(1)$, let $\lambda_{s} \in \Lambda(1)$, let $\beta \in \Delta_{\Sigma}$ such that $s$ lifts $s_{\beta}$ and let $\delta \in\{1,2\}$ such that $\beta \circ \nu(\Lambda)=\delta \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=-\delta$, and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\mu(k) \in \Lambda(1)$ and $c(k), c^{\prime}(k) \in R\left[Z_{k}\right]$ depending on $s, \lambda_{s}, k$ defined in Notation 5.37.

As in Definitions 5.18 and 5.22, for an orientation $o$, we have $E_{o}(s)=T_{s}$ if $\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s)=1$, meaning that the alcove $\mathfrak{C}$ belongs to the o-negative side of $H_{s}$, and $E_{o}(s)=T_{s}-c_{s}$ if $\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s)$ $=-1$.

Proposition 5.55 (Variant of the Bernstein relations). We suppose that $q_{s}=1$ for all $s \in$ $S^{\text {aff }} / \sim$. Let $s \in\left(S \cap S_{o}\right)(1)$, and let $\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-} \in \Lambda(1)$ such that $\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda^{+}\right)=-\beta \circ \nu\left(\lambda^{-}\right)=\delta n>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{-}\right) & =\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \mu(-k)\right) c^{\prime}(-k) \\
& =-\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) \sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} c(k) E_{o}(\mu(k) \lambda) \\
E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{+}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{+}\right) & =\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} c(-k) E_{o}\left(\mu(-k) \lambda^{+}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{o \bullet s}(1, s) \sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \mu(k)\right) c^{\prime}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $x=\lambda_{s}$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{s}(1)$ such that

$$
\lambda^{-}=x^{n} y=y^{\prime} x^{n}, \quad \lambda^{+}=y x^{-n}=x^{-n} y^{\prime} .
$$

The Bernstein element (82) at $x^{n}$, computed after Lemma 5.42, multiplied on the left by $E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is the Bernstein element at $\lambda^{-}=y^{\prime} x^{n}$ because $E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)=E_{o}(s) E_{o} \bullet s\left(y^{\prime}\right)=E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{-}\right) & =E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{n}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(x^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) B_{o, n} E_{o}\left(x^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the Bernstein element at $x^{-n}$, computed after the Lemma 5.42, multiplied on the right by $E_{o}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is the Bernstein element at $\lambda^{+}=x^{-n} y^{\prime}$, and we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{+}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{+}\right) & =\left(E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(x^{-n}\right)\right) E_{o}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{o \bullet} \bullet(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right)\right) B_{o, n} E_{o}\left(y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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The explicit expansion of $B_{o, n}$ given after (84) gives $B_{o, n} E_{o}\left(x^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} c(k) E_{o}\left(\mu(k) x^{n}\right)$; we compute the product in the inner terms $c(k) E_{o}\left(\mu(k) x^{n}\right)=E_{o}\left(\mu(k) x^{n}\right)\left(\mu(k) x^{n} \bullet c(k)\right)$, and we replace $k$ by $\delta n-k$ to obtain

$$
B_{o, n} E_{o}\left(x^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} E_{o}\left(\mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}\right)\left(\left(\mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}\right)^{-1} \bullet c(\delta n-k)\right) .
$$

Multiplying on the left by $\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)$, we get
$E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{-}\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} E_{o}\left(s\left(y^{\prime}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}\right)\left(\left(\mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}\right)^{-1} \bullet c(\delta n-k)\right)$.
Analogously, the second explicit expansion of $B_{o, n}$ given after (84) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right)\right) B_{o, n} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1} E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(k)\right) c^{\prime}(k) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\delta n-1}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(k) \bullet c^{\prime}(k)\right) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(k)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\delta n}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) \bullet c^{\prime}(\delta n-k)\right) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying on the right by $\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) E_{o}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, we get
$E_{o}\left(s\left(\lambda^{+}\right)\right) E_{o}(s)-E_{o}(s) E_{o}\left(\lambda^{+}\right)=\epsilon_{o} \bullet s(1, s) \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) \bullet c^{\prime}(\delta n-k)\right) E_{o}\left(s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) y^{\prime}\right)$.
Recalling the values of $\mu(k)$ and $c(k)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have: if $k=\delta r$, then $\mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}=s\left(x^{n-r}\right) x^{r}$ and $s(x)^{-n} \mu(\delta n-k)=s\left(x^{-r}\right) x^{r-n}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
s\left(y^{\prime}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}=s\left(y^{\prime} x^{n-r}\right) x^{r}=s\left(\lambda^{-}\right) s(x)^{-r} x^{r}=s\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \mu(-k), \\
\left(\mu(\delta n-k) x^{n}\right)^{-1} \bullet c(\delta n-k)=x^{-r} \bullet c_{s}=c^{\prime}(-k), \\
s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) y^{\prime}=s\left(x^{-r}\right) x^{-n} y^{\prime}=s(x)^{-r} x^{r} \lambda^{+}=\mu(-k) \lambda^{+}, \\
s(x)^{-n} \mu(\delta n-k) \bullet c^{\prime}(n-k)=s\left(x^{-r}\right) \bullet c_{s}=c(-k) ;
\end{gathered}
$$

if $\delta=2, k=2 r-1$, then $\mu(2 n-k) x^{n}=\mu(2(n-r)+1) x^{n}=s\left(x^{n-r}\right) x^{r-1}$ and $s(x)^{-n} \mu(\delta n-k)=$ $s\left(x^{-r}\right) x^{r-n-1}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
s\left(y^{\prime}\right) \mu(2 n-k) x^{n}=s\left(\lambda^{-}\right) s(x)^{-r} x^{r-1}=s\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \mu(-k), \\
\left(\mu(2 n-k) x^{n}\right)^{-1} \bullet c(2 n-k)=x^{1-r} \bullet c_{\tau}=c^{\prime}(-k), \\
s\left(x^{-n}\right) \mu(\delta n-k) y^{\prime}=s\left(x^{-r}\right) x^{r-1} \lambda^{+}=\mu(-k) \lambda^{+}, \\
s(x)^{-n} \mu(\delta n-k) \bullet c^{\prime}(n-k)=s\left(x^{-r}\right) \bullet c_{\tau}=c(-k) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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