

GENERIC RESULTS FOR COCYCLES WITH VALUES IN A SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT

KARMA DAJANI

ABSTRACT Let $A \rtimes B$ be the semidirect product of two local compact Hausdorff topological groups. We prove that for a nonsingular ergodic automorphism T of a Lebesgue probability space, a generic cocycle taking values in $A \rtimes B$ is nontrivial and recurrent.

0. Introduction. Let A and B be two second countable locally compact (necessarily countably generated) Hausdorff topological groups, each with a translation invariant metric. We denote both metrics on A and B by d to be understood from the context which metric is under consideration. The group operation on A is denoted by multiplication, the identity by 1 and the inverse of $a \in A$ by a^{-1} . The group B is assumed to be abelian and noncompact; the group operation is denoted by addition, the identity by 0, and the inverse of $b \in B$ by $-b$. The group A acts on B by group automorphisms; for simplicity we shall denote the action by multiplication: $b \xrightarrow{a} ab$. Furthermore, the map $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ is assumed to be uniformly jointly continuous, that is for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(ab, a'b') < \epsilon$ whenever $d(a, a') < \delta_1$ and $d(b, b') < \delta_2$. Let $A \rtimes B$ be the semidirect product of B by A relative to the given action. That is, the elements have the form $(a, b) \in A \times B$, and group operation \circ defined as follows:

$$(a, b) \circ (a', b') = (aa', b + ab').$$

The identity element is $(1, 0)$, and $(a, b)^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -a^{-1}b)$.

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a Lebesgue probability space, and G a countable group (with identity e) that acts nonsingularly, ergodically, and freely on X . We denote this action by multiplication: $x \xrightarrow{g} gx$. We shall consider cocycles on X taking values in the semidirect product $A \rtimes B$. That is, we shall consider measurable functions $F: G \times X \rightarrow A \rtimes B$ with the property that

$$(1) \quad F(g'g, x) = F(g, x) \circ F(g', gx).$$

The above identity is called the *cocycle identity* and it implies that $F(e, x) = (1, 0)$. We let $\psi: G \times X \rightarrow A$ and $f: G \times X \rightarrow B$ denote the projections of F onto the first and second coordinates respectively. Then $F(g, x) = (\psi(g, x), f(g, x)) \equiv (\psi, f)(g, x)$ and together with (1) imply

Received by the editors January 3, 1991
AMS subject classification 28A05, 28C10
© Canadian Mathematical Society 1993

- (i) $\psi(g'g, x) = \psi(g, x)\psi(g', gx)$ and $\psi(e, x) = 1$ i.e., ψ is a multiplicative A valued cocycle,
 (ii) $f(g'g, x) = f(g, x) + \psi(g, x)f(g', gx)$ and $f(e, x) = 0$; i.e., f is a ψ -cocycle (or twisted) B valued cocycle.

Throughout this paper (ψ, f) will always mean that ψ is an A valued cocycle and f a B valued ψ -cocycle. All equalities are understood to hold a.e.

We study generic properties of nontrivial and recurrent cocycles (ψ, f) in terms of their coordinate functions; this work is a generalization of the results in [D]. In Section 1, we define the notion of ψ -cohomology for ψ -cocycles, and investigate its connection with the recurrence properties and cohomology of cocycles taking values in the semidirect product $A \rtimes B$. In Section 2 we define the essential range, $\bar{E}_\psi(f)$, of a ψ -cocycle f to be a certain closed subgroup of \bar{B} , the one point compactification of B . We give sufficient conditions for triviality (being a coboundary) and recurrence of (ψ, f) in terms of $\bar{E}_\psi(f)$. Let $\bar{E}(\psi, f)$ and $E(\psi, f)$ denote the essential range and finite essential range of the cocycle (ψ, f) (see [K], [S1], and [S3]). We show that $E(\psi, f)$ is always an extension of the abelian group $E_\psi(f)$ by $E_f(\psi)$, where $E_\psi(f) = \bar{E}_\psi(f) \cap B$ and $E_f(\psi)$ consists of all elements in the finite essential range of ψ that appear as a first coordinate of some element in $E(\psi, f)$. We give sufficient conditions under which this extension is split, that is, $E(\psi, f)$ is a semidirect product. We topologize the set of ψ -cocycles by extending appropriately the topology of convergence in measure. In Section 3 we prove that orbit equivalence induces a topological group isomorphism between the corresponding sets of twisted cocycles which preserve triviality, the notions of recurrence, full essential range, and infinity in the essential range. In Section 4 we prove that if T is a nonsingular ergodic automorphism, then for a certain class of A valued cocycles ψ which simultaneously recur with the cocycle of the Radon-Nikodym derivative, there is a dense G_δ set of ψ -cocycles f whose essential range contains infinity and for which the cocycle (ψ, f) is recurrent. Using techniques similar to those in [PS] (see also [D]) this is first done for cocycles of a particular countable group action Γ on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (see §4 for a definition) which is orbit equivalent to the action of \mathbb{Z} by powers of T ([S1] §8), then orbit equivalence (see §3) allows us to transfer the results back to T .

1. ψ -Cohomology.

DEFINITION 1.1. Two cocycles F and H on X taking values in $A \rtimes B$ are said to be *cohomologous* if there exists a measurable function $K: X \rightarrow A \rtimes B$ such that $F(g, x) = K(x) \circ H(g, x) \circ K(gx)^{-1}$ for $g \in G$ and a.e. $x \in X$. The function K is called a *transfer function*. If $F(g, x) = K(x) \circ K(gx)^{-1}$ (i.e., F is cohomologous to the constant function $(1, 0)$), then F is called a *coboundary*. Similar definitions hold for two A valued cocycles ψ and ϕ on X .

DEFINITION 1.2. Two ψ -cocycles f and h on X are said to be *ψ -cohomologous* if there exists a measurable function $\beta: X \rightarrow B$ such that $f(g, x) = \beta(x) + h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)$. The function β is called a *ψ -transfer function*. If f is ψ -cohomologous to the constant function 0, then f is called *ψ -coboundary*.

PROPOSITION 1.3. A cocycle (ψ, f) is a coboundary with transfer function (α, β) if and only if ψ is a coboundary with transfer function α and f is a ψ -coboundary with ψ -transfer function β .

REMARK 1.4. Let ψ and ϕ be two A valued cohomologous cocycles with transfer function α , i.e., $\psi(g, x) = \alpha(x)\phi(g, x)\alpha(gx)^{-1}$. Let h be a ϕ -cocycle, then the function $\alpha h: G \times X \rightarrow B$ defined by $\alpha h(g, x) = \alpha(x)h(g, x)$ is a ψ -cocycle.

PROPOSITION 1.5. Two cocycles (ψ, f) and (ϕ, h) are cohomologous with transfer function (α, β) if and only if ψ and ϕ are cohomologous with transfer function α , and f and αh are ψ -cohomologous with ψ -transfer function β .

PROOF. Let $(\psi, f)(g, x) = (\alpha(x), \beta(x)) \circ (\phi, h)(g, x) \circ (\alpha(gx)^{-1}, -\alpha(gx)^{-1}\beta(gx))$. Then

$$\psi(g, x) = \alpha(x)\phi(g, x)\alpha(gx)^{-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(g, x) &= \beta(x) + \alpha(x)h(g, x) - \alpha(x)\phi(g, x)\alpha(gx)^{-1}\beta(gx) \\ &= \beta(x) + \alpha(x)h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx). \end{aligned}$$

That is, ψ and ϕ are cohomologous with transfer function α , and f and αh are ψ -cohomologous with ψ -transfer function β . The converse is proved by reversing the above steps. ■

COROLLARY 1.6. If the group A is abelian, then (ψ, f) and (ψ, h) are cohomologous with transfer function (α, β) if and only if α equals a constant α_0 and f is ψ -cohomologous to $\alpha_0 h$ with ψ -transfer function β .

PROOF. Suppose (ψ, f) and (ψ, h) are cohomologous with transfer function (α, β) , from the above proposition we only need to show that α is a constant. Since A is abelian it follows that $\alpha(x) = \alpha(gx)$ and hence by ergodicity of the G action, α is equal to some constant α_0 . Conversely, suppose $\alpha(x) \equiv \alpha_0$ and $f(g, x) = \beta(x) + \alpha(x)h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)$. Since A is abelian, it follows that $\psi(g, x) = \alpha(x)\psi(g, x)\alpha(gx)^{-1}$ so that $(\psi, f)(g, x) = (\alpha(x), \beta(x)) \circ (\psi, h)(g, x) \circ (\alpha(x), \beta(x))^{-1}$. ■

DEFINITION 1.7. A cocycle (ψ, f) is said to be recurrent if for every $C \in \mathcal{B}$ of positive measure, and for each neighborhood $U \subseteq A$ of 1 and $V \subseteq B$ of 0, there exists $g \in G$ different from the identity such that

$$\mu(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \{x : \psi(g, x) \in U\} \cap \{x : f(g, x) \in V\}) > 0.$$

Similar definitions hold for the coordinate functions ψ and f .

REMARK 1.8. $(\psi, 0)$ is recurrent if and only if ψ is recurrent.

PROPOSITION 1.9. *If (ψ, f) and (ϕ, h) are cohomologous cocycles, then (ψ, f) is recurrent if and only if (ϕ, h) is recurrent.*

PROOF. Assume (ϕ, h) is recurrent and let $\psi(g, x) = \alpha(x)\phi(g, x)\alpha(gx)^{-1}$, and $f(g, x) = \beta(x) + \alpha(x)h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that $d(ab, a', b') < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(a, a') < \delta_1$ and $d(b, b') < \delta_2$. Choose sequences $\{a_n\}$ in A and $\{b_n\}$ in B such that the sequences of neighborhoods $U_n = \{a \in A : d(a, a_n) < \frac{\delta_1}{4}\}$ and $V_n = \{b \in B : d(b, b_n) < \frac{\delta_2}{2}\}$ cover A and B respectively. Now, let $C \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(C) > 0$. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_{n,m} = \{x \in C : \alpha(x) \in U_n \text{ and } \beta(x) \in V_m\}$. Since $C = \bigcup_{n,m} C_{n,m}$ there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(C_{n,m}) > 0$. By recurrence of (ϕ, h) there exist $g \in G, g \neq e$ such that

$$\mu\left(C_{n,m} \cap g^{-1}C_{n,m} \cap \left\{x : d(\phi(g, x), 1) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\right\} \cap \left\{x : d(h(g, x), 0) < \delta_2\right\}\right) > 0.$$

Since,

$$C_{n,m} \cap g^{-1}C_{n,m} \cap \left\{x : d(\phi(g, x), 1) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\right\} \cap \left\{x : d(h(g, x), 0) < \delta_2\right\} \subseteq C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \left\{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon\right\} \cap \left\{x : d(f(g, x), 0) < \epsilon\right\}$$

we have that $\mu\left(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \left\{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon\right\} \cap \left\{x : d(f(g, x), 0) < \epsilon\right\}\right) > 0$. Therefore, (ψ, f) is recurrent. The converse is proved similarly. ■

PROPOSITION 1.10. *If ψ is recurrent and f is a ψ -coboundary, then the cocycle (ψ, f) is recurrent.*

PROOF. From Proposition 1.5, we have that (ψ, f) and $(\psi, 0)$ are cohomologous with transfer function $(1, \beta)$, where β is the ψ -transfer function of f . Remark 1.8 implies that $(\psi, 0)$ is recurrent, and hence by Proposition 1.9 (ψ, f) is recurrent. ■

2. Essential range. Let $(\psi, f): G \times X \rightarrow A \rtimes B$ be a cocycle, and consider its essential range $\bar{E}(\psi, f)$ which is a subgroup of $(A \rtimes B)^-$, the one point compactification of $A \rtimes B$. Let $E(\psi, f) = \bar{E}(\psi, f) \cap (A \rtimes B)$, the finite essential range which is a subgroup of $A \rtimes B$. Similarly the essential range and the finite essential range of ψ are denoted by $\bar{E}(\psi)$ and $E(\psi)$ respectively (see [S1] and [S3]). Let $\bar{B} = B \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of B . For $\lambda \in B$ let $B_\epsilon(\lambda) = \{b \in B : d(b, \lambda) < \epsilon\}$, and $B_\epsilon(\infty) = \{b \in B : d(b, 0) > 1/\epsilon\}$. We define the essential range of f to be the set $\bar{E}_\psi(f)$ consisting of all $\lambda \in \bar{B}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every subset C of X of positive measure, there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$\mu\left(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \left\{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon\right\} \cap \left\{x : f(g, x) \in B_\epsilon(\lambda)\right\}\right) > 0.$$

That is, $\lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$ if and only if $(1, \lambda)$ belongs to the essential range of the cocycle (ψ, f) in the usual sense. Let $E_\psi(f) = \bar{E}_\psi(f) \cap B$. Since 0 is trivially an element of $E_\psi(f)$, it follows that $E_\psi(f) \neq \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. $E_\psi(f)$ is a closed subgroup of B .

PROOF. Assume that $\lambda, \lambda' \in E_\psi(f)$, we want to show that $\lambda + \lambda' \in E_\psi(f)$. Assume with no loss of generality that $\lambda, \lambda' \neq 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $C \subseteq X$ with $\mu(C) > 0$. By joint continuity of the action of A on B there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that $d(ab, \lambda') < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(a, 1) < \delta_1$ and $d(b, \lambda') < \delta_2$. Since $\lambda' \in E_\psi(f)$ there exists $g' \in G$ such that

$$\mu\left(C \cap g'^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g', x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g', x), \lambda') < \delta_2\}\right) > 0.$$

Let $D = C \cap g'^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g', x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g', x), \lambda') < \delta_2\}$. Then $\mu(D) > 0$ and there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\mu\left(D \cap g^{-1}D \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), \lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}\right) > 0$. Since,

$$D \cap g^{-1}D \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), \lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \subseteq C \cap (g'g)^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g'g, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(f(g'g, x), \lambda + \lambda') < \epsilon\},$$

it follows that

$$\mu\left(C \cap (g'g)^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g'g, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(f(g'g, x), \lambda + \lambda') < \epsilon\}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore, $\lambda + \lambda' \in E_\psi(f)$. Now, let $\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$. Note that $\psi(g^{-1}, gx) = \psi(g, x)^{-1}$, and $f(g^{-1}, gx) = -\psi(g, x)^{-1}f(g, x)$ for all $g \in G$. So that $d(\psi(g^{-1}, gx), 1) = d(\psi(g, x)^{-1}, 1) = d(1, \psi(g, x))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(g^{-1}, gx), -\lambda) &= d(-\psi(g, x)^{-1}f(g, x), -\lambda) \\ &\leq d(-\psi(g, x)^{-1}f(g, x), -\psi(g, x)^{-1}\lambda) + d(-\psi(g, x)^{-1}\lambda, -\lambda) \\ &= d(\psi(g, x)^{-1}f(g, x), \psi(g, x)^{-1}\lambda) + d(\psi(g, x)^{-1}\lambda, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\delta_1, \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that $d(ab, \lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(a, 1) < \delta_1$ and $d(b, \lambda) < \delta_2$. For any set C in X of positive measure and for all $g \in G$, we have

$$g\left(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), \lambda) < \delta_2\}\right) \subseteq C \cap gC \cap \{x : d(\psi(g^{-1}, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g^{-1}, x), -\lambda) < \epsilon\}$$

Since $\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$ and the G action is nonsingular, there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$\mu\left(C \cap gC \cap \{x : d(\psi(g^{-1}, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g^{-1}, x), -\lambda) < \epsilon\}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore, $-\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$. The fact that $E_\psi(f)$ is closed is clear. ■

PROPOSITION 2.2. If f and h are ψ -cohomologous ψ -cocycles, then $\bar{E}_\psi(f) = \bar{E}_\psi(h)$.

PROOF. Suppose $f(g, x) = \beta(x) + h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)$, where $\beta: X \rightarrow B$ is a measurable function. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and choose $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ such that $d(b, ab) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$

whenever $d(1, a) < \delta$. Since B is a Lindelöf space, there exist a sequence $\{b_n\}$ in B and a countable cover $\{U_n\}$ of X with $U_n = \{b \in B : d(b, b_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{6}\}$. Let $C \subseteq X$ with $\mu(C) > 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_n = \{x \in X : \beta(x) \in U_n\}$. Since $C = \bigcup_n C_n$, it follows that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(C_n) > 0$. For any $\lambda \in B$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} d(f(g, x), \lambda) &= d(\beta(x) + h(g, x) - \psi(g, x)\beta(gx), \lambda) \\ &\leq d(h(g, x), \lambda) + d(\beta(x), \beta(gx)) + d(\beta(gx), \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\lambda \in E_\psi(h)$. There exists $g \in G$ such that

$$\mu\left(C_n \cap g^{-1}C_n \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta\} \cap \{x : d(h(g, x), \lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}\right) > 0.$$

It follows from (*) that

$$\mu\left(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), \lambda) < \epsilon\}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore, $\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$, i.e., $E_\psi(g) \subseteq E_\psi(f)$. The reverse containment is proved similarly, so that $E_\psi(g) = E_\psi(f)$. Now, let $\infty \in \bar{E}_\psi(g)$ and $\epsilon_1 > 0$ be so that $\frac{\epsilon_1}{1-\epsilon_1^2} < \epsilon$. Choose $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < \epsilon$ so that $d(b, ab') < \epsilon_1$ whenever $d(a, 1) < \delta_1$ and $d(b, b') < \delta_2$. Let $C \in \mathcal{B}$ be of positive measure, we can find for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an element $b_n \in B$ so that the set $C_n = \{x \in C : d(\beta(x), b_n) < \frac{\delta_2}{2}\}$ has positive measure. Let $g \in G$ be such that

$$\mu\left(C_n \cap g^{-1}C_n \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(h(g, x), 0) > \frac{1}{\epsilon_2}\}\right) > 0.$$

Since

$$d(f(g, x), 0) \geq d(h(g, x), 0) - d(\beta(x), \psi(g, x)\beta(gx)) > \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} - \epsilon_1 > \frac{1}{\epsilon},$$

it follows that

$$\mu\left(C \cap g^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), 0) > \frac{1}{\epsilon}\}\right) > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, then (ψ, f) is recurrent.*

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(C) > 0$. Choose $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ so that $d(ab, \lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(a, 1) < \delta_1$ and $d(b, \lambda) < \delta_2$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$ there exists $g' \in G$, $g' \neq e$ such that

$$\mu\left(C \cap g'^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g', x), 1) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \cap \{x : d(f(g', x), \lambda) < \delta_2\}\right) > 0.$$

Let $D = C \cap g'^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g', x), 1) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \cap \{x : d(f(g', x), \lambda) < \delta_2\}$. By Rohlin lemma we can choose a subset D' of D of positive measure such that $D' \cup g'D' \subseteq D$ and $\mu(D' \cap g'D') = \mu(D' \cap g'^{-1}D') = 0$. Since $-\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, there exists $g \notin \{e, g', g'^{-1}\}$ such that

$$\mu\left(D' \cap g^{-1}D' \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), -\lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}\right) > 0.$$

Now, for $x \in D' \cap g^{-1}D' \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \delta_1\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), -\lambda) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$, we have

- (i) $x \in C \cap (g'g)^{-1}C$,
- (ii) $d(\psi(g'g, x), 1) = d(\psi(g, x)\psi(g', gx), 1) \leq d(\psi(g', gx), 1) + d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \epsilon$,
- (iii) $d(f(g'g, x), 0) = d(f(g, x) + \psi(g, x)f(g', gx), 0) \leq d(f(g, x), -\lambda) + d(\psi(g, x)f(g', gx), \lambda) < \epsilon$.

Thus,

$$\mu\left(C \cap (g'g)^{-1}C \cap \{x : d(\psi(g'g, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(f(g'g, x), 0) < \epsilon\}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore, (ψ, f) is recurrent. ■

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If ψ is cohomologous to ϕ with transfer function α and f is a ψ -cocycle, then $E_\psi(f) = B$ if and only if $E_\phi(\alpha^{-1}f) = B$, and $\infty \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$ if and only if $\infty \in \bar{E}_\phi(\alpha^{-1}f)$.*

PROOF. Let $\lambda \in B$ be any element, and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There exist $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that $d(ab, a'b') < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(a, a') < \delta_1$ and $d(b, b') < \delta_2$. Choose a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in A such that sequence of neighborhoods $\{V_n\}$, with $V_n = \{a \in A : d(a, a_n) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\}$, covers A . Let $C \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(C) > 0$; there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $C_n = \{x \in C : \alpha^{-1}(x) \in V_n\}$ has positive measure. Since $a_n^{-1}\lambda \in E_\psi(f)$ there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$\mu\left(C_n \cap g^{-1}C_n \cap \left\{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\right\} \cap \left\{x : d(f(g, x), a_n^{-1}\lambda) < \delta_2\right\}\right) > 0.$$

For $x \in C_n \cap g^{-1}C_n \cap \{x : d(\psi(g, x), 1) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\} \cap \{x : d(f(g, x), a_n\lambda) < \delta_2\}$ we have

$$d(\alpha^{-1}(x)f(g, x), \lambda) \leq d(\alpha^{-1}(x)f(g, x), \alpha^{-1}(x)a_n^{-1}\lambda) + d(\alpha^{-1}(x)a_n^{-1}\lambda, \lambda) < \epsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi(g, x), 1) &= d(\alpha(x)^{-1}\psi(g, x)\alpha(gx), 1) \\ &\leq d(\psi(g, x), 1) + d(\alpha^{-1}(x), \alpha^{-1}(gx)) < \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \delta_1 < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lambda \in E_\phi(\alpha^{-1}f)$. The converse is proved similarly. Also a similar proof shows that $\infty \in E_\phi(f)$ if and only if $\infty \in E_\psi(\alpha^{-1}f)$. ■

We now look at the algebraic connection between $E(\psi, f)$, $E_\psi(f)$, and $E(\psi)$. We first consider the split exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\iota} A \rtimes B \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 1$, where $\iota(b) = (1, b)$ and $\pi(a, b) = a$. Let $E_f(\psi) = \pi(E(\psi, f)) = \{a \in E(\psi) : (a, b) \in E(\psi, f) \text{ for some } b \in B\}$, and $E'_f(\psi) = \{a \in E(\psi) : (a, 0) \in E(\psi, f)\}$. Both $E_f(\psi)$ and $E'_f(\psi)$ are subgroups of $E(\psi)$. We now give an equivalent definition of $E'_f(\psi)$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *$a \in E'_f(\psi)$ if and only if $(a, b) \in E(\psi, f)$ for all $b \in E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. Let $a \in E'_f(\psi)$, then $(a, 0) \in E(\psi, f)$. For any $b \in E_\psi(f)$ we have $(1, b) \in E(\psi, f)$. Since $E(\psi, f)$ is a group, then $(a, b) = (1, b) \circ (a, 0) \in E(\psi, f)$. The converse is trivial since $0 \in E_\psi(f)$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The group $E(\psi, f)$ is an extension of $E_f(\psi)$ by $E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. We need to show that the sequence $0 \rightarrow E_\psi(f) \xrightarrow{\iota} E(\psi, f) \xrightarrow{\pi} E_f(\psi) \rightarrow 1$ is short exact. Here ι and π denote the restrictions to the appropriate subgroups. By definition $E_f(\psi) = \pi(E(\psi, f))$, so that π is surjective. Clearly ι is injective and $\pi\iota(b) = b$ for all $b \in E_\psi(f)$. ■

The following lemma shows that the group $E_f(\psi)$ acts on the group $E_\psi(f)$, and the action is inherited from that of A on B .

LEMMA 2.7. *If $a \in E_f(\psi)$ and $b \in E_\psi(f)$, then $ab \in E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. We need to show that $(1, ab) \in E(\psi, f)$. Since $a \in E_f(\psi)$, there exists $b' \in B$ such that $(a, b') \in E(\psi, f)$. Also $(1, b) \in E(\psi, f)$, so that $(1, ab) = (a, b') \circ (1, b) \circ (a, b')^{-1} \circ (1, b')^{-1} \in E(\psi, f)$. ■

NOTATION. We denote by $E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$, the semidirect product of $E_\psi(f)$ by $E_f(\psi)$ relative to the above inherited action.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If $E_f(\psi) = E'_f(\psi)$, then $E(\psi, f) = E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. For this it suffices to show that the sequence $0 \rightarrow E_\psi(f) \xrightarrow{\iota} E(\psi, f) \xrightarrow{\pi} E_f(\psi) \rightarrow 1$ is split exact. From the given, we have that $(a, 0) \in E(\psi, f)$ for every $a \in E_f(\psi)$. Define $\alpha: E_f(\psi) \rightarrow E(\psi, f)$ by $\alpha(a) = (a, 0)$. Then, $\pi\alpha(a) = a$ for all $a \in E_f(\psi)$, and hence the above sequence splits. Therefore, $E(\psi, f) = E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$. ■

COROLLARY 2.9. *If $E_\psi(f) = B$, then $E(\psi, f) = E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. For any $(a, b) \in E(\psi, f)$, we have $(1, b) \in E(\psi, f)$ so that $(a, 0) = (1, b)^{-1} \circ (a, b) \in E(\psi, f)$. This shows that $E_f(\psi) = E'_f(\psi)$ and hence by Proposition 2.8, $E(\psi, f) = E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$. ■

COROLLARY 2.10. *If $E'_f(\psi) = A$, then $E(\psi, f) = E_f(\psi) \rtimes E_\psi(f)$.*

PROOF. Follows immediately from Proposition 2.8, since in this case $E'_f(\psi) = E_f(\psi)$. ■

Let $Z_\psi(X, G, B, \mu)$ be the set of ψ -cocycles which is a group under pointwise addition. Let $B_\psi(X, G, B, \mu)$ be the subgroup of ψ -coboundaries (we identify those that agree μ a.e.). We topologize Z_ψ and B_ψ by defining the following notion of convergence: $f^{(n)} \rightarrow f$ if and only if for each $g \in G, f^{(n)}(g, \cdot) \rightarrow f(g, \cdot)$ in measure. It is well known that the topology of convergence in measure is given by the metric: $\bar{d}(\beta, \beta') = \int_X \frac{d(\beta(x), \beta'(x))}{1+d(\beta(x), \beta'(x))} d\mu$, where $\beta, \beta': X \rightarrow B$ are measurable. Let $M(X, G, A, \mu)$ be the set of equivalence classes of multiplicative A valued cocycles on X .

Let $C = \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a countable dense collection in the measure algebra. The following lemma gives a necessary condition for an element of B to be in the essential range of a ψ -cocycle by reducing the verifications to members of C only (see [CHP], [D]). This will be used in Section 4. Denote by ω , the Radon-Nikodym derivative μ i.e., $\omega(g, x) = \frac{d\mu \circ g}{d\mu}(x)$, where $\mu \circ g(A) = \mu(gA)$. Let $[G]$ denote the full group of G . That is,

[G] consists of all bimeasurable automorphisms $V: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for each $x \in X$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $Vx = gx$. For $V \in [G]$, set $\omega(V, x) = \omega(g, x)$, $\psi(V, x) = \psi(g, x)$, and $f(V, x) = f(g, x)$ where $Vx = gx$.

LEMMA 2.11. *If there exists a $0 < K < 1$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$*

$$\sup_{V \in [G]} \mu \left(C \cap V^{-1} C \cap \{x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \{x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : f(V, x) \in B_\epsilon(\lambda)\} \right) > K\mu(C),$$

then $\lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$.

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $E \subseteq X$ with $\mu(E) > 0$. Let $c(\epsilon, K) = \frac{(1-\epsilon)K}{(1-\epsilon)(K+1)+1}$. Choose $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mu(E\Delta C) < c(\epsilon, K)\mu(E)$. By hypothesis, there exists $V \in [G]$ such that

$$\mu \left(C \cap V^{-1} C \cap \{x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \{x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : f(V, x) \in B_\epsilon(\lambda)\} \right) > K\mu(C).$$

Let $\bar{C} = C \cap V^{-1} C \cap \{x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : f(V, x) \in B_\epsilon(\lambda)\}$, then

$$\mu(\bar{C}) > K\mu(C) \geq K\mu(E \cap C) \geq K(\mu(E) - \mu(E\Delta C)) > \mu(E)(K - Kc(\epsilon, K)) > 0.$$

Let $\bar{E} = C \cap \bar{C}$. Then $\mu(\bar{E}) = \mu(\bar{C}) - \mu(\bar{C} \setminus E) \geq \mu(\bar{C}) - \mu(C\Delta E) > \mu(E)(K - (K+1)c(\epsilon, K)) > 0$, and $\mu(V\bar{E}) \geq (1-\epsilon)\mu(\bar{E}) > (1-\epsilon)\mu(E)(K - (K+1)c(\epsilon, K))$. Since $V\bar{E} \subseteq C$, we have

$$\mu(E \cap V\bar{E}) = \mu(V\bar{E}) - \mu(V\bar{E} \setminus E) \\ \geq \mu(V\bar{E}) - \mu(C\Delta E) \\ > \mu(E) \left((1-\epsilon)K - c(\epsilon, K)((1-\epsilon)(K+1)+1) \right) \geq 0.$$

by nonsingularity of μ with respect to V , it follows that $\mu(V^{-1}E \cap \bar{E}) > 0$ and hence

$$\mu \left(E \cap V^{-1} E \cap \{x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \{x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : f(V, x) \in B_\epsilon(\lambda)\} \right) > 0.$$

Therefore, $\lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$. ■

LEMMA 2.12. *For each $\lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$ and for each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map*

$$f: \rightarrow \sup_{V \in [G]} \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1} C_k \cap \left\{ x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \left\{ x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap \{x : f(V, x) \in B_{1/n}(\lambda)\} \right)$$

is lower semicontinuous.

PROOF. The result follows from the fact that for each $V \in [G]$ the map

$$f: \rightarrow \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1} C_k \cap \left\{ x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \left\{ x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap \{ x : f(V, x) \in B_{1/n}(\lambda) \} \right)$$

is continuous (see [D]). ■

3. Invariance under orbit equivalence.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G_i be a nonsingular free action on $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. If the actions of G_1 and G_2 are orbit equivalent, then there exists a topological group isomorphism $\Lambda: M(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1) \rightarrow M(X_2, G_2, A, \mu_2)$ such that for every $\psi \in M(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1)$ the following hold:*

(a) *If ϕ is cohomologous to $\Lambda(\psi)$, then $Z_\psi(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1) \cong Z_\phi(X_2, G_2, A, \mu_2)$ and $B_\psi(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1) \cong B_\phi(X_2, G_2, A, \mu_2)$ (as topological groups),*

(b) *under the isomorphism of (a), recurrence, ∞ in the essential range, and full essential range are preserved.*

PROOF. Let $F: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ denote the isomorphism that gives the orbit equivalence. For g_2, G_2 and $x_2 \in X_2$, set $\Lambda(\psi)(g_2, x_2) = \psi(g_1, x_1)$, where $x_2 = F(x_1)$ and $g_2 F(x_1) = F(g_1 x_1)$. Let $\psi \in M(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1)$ and $\phi(g_2, x_2) = \alpha(x_2) \Lambda(\psi)(g_2, x_2) \alpha(g_2 x_2)^{-1}$, where $\alpha: X_2 \rightarrow A$ is measurable. For $f \in Z_\psi(X_1, G_1, A, \mu_1)$, set $\tilde{f}(g_2, x_2) = \alpha(x_2) f(g_1, x_1)$. Then \tilde{f} is a ϕ -cocycle. Since if $g_2, g'_2 \in G_2$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, then there exists an $x_1 \in X_1$ and $g_1, g'_1 \in G_1$ such that $F(x_2) = x_1, F(g_1 x_1) = g_2 x_2$, and $F(g'_1 g_1 x_1) = g'_2 g_2 x_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(g'_2 g_2, x_2) &= \alpha(x_2) f(g'_1 g_1, x_1) \\ &= \alpha(x_2) (f(g_1, x_1) + \psi(g_1, x_1) f(g'_1, g_1 x_1)) \\ &= \alpha(x_2) f(g_1, x_1) + \alpha(x_2) \Lambda(\psi)(g_2, x_2) f(g'_1, g_1 x_1) \\ &= \alpha(x_2) f(g_1, x_1) + \phi(g_2, x_2) \alpha(g_2 x_2) f(g'_1, g_1 x_1) \\ &= \tilde{f}(g_2, x_2) + \phi(g_2, x_2) \tilde{f}(g'_2, g_2 x_2). \end{aligned}$$

If $f(g_1, x_1) = \beta(x_1) - \psi(g_1, x_1) \beta(g_1 x_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(g_2, x_2) &= \alpha(x_2) f(g_1, x_1) = \alpha(x_2) (\beta(x_1) - \psi(g_1, x_1) \beta(g_1 x_1)) \\ &= \alpha(x_2) \beta(F^{-1} x_2) - \phi(g_2, x_2) \alpha(g_2 x_2) \beta(F^{-1} g_2 x_2) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., \tilde{f} is a ϕ -coboundary with ϕ -transfer function βF^{-1} . This proves (a). For part (b), proofs similar to those of Propositions 1.2, 2.2, and 2.4 show that (ψ, f) is recurrent if and only if (ϕ, \tilde{f}) is recurrent, $\infty \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)$ if and only if $\infty \in \bar{E}_\phi(\tilde{f})$, and $E_\psi(f) = B$ if and only if $E_\phi(\tilde{f}) = B$. ■

4. **A generic model: the binary odometer.** Let $X = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}_l$, which is a group under addition, and let \mathcal{F} be the Borel σ -algebra. Let Γ be the subgroup of X consisting of all those sequences with finitely many nonzero coordinates only. Then Γ acts on X by coordinatewise addition ($x \xrightarrow{\gamma} \gamma + x$). Let μ be any nonsingular measure on X which is ergodic with respect to the Γ action. It is well known that the action of Γ on X is orbit equivalent to the binary odometer with respect to the measure μ , and for any nonsingular ergodic hyperfinite action of a countable group G on a Lebesgue probability space Y , there exists a measure μ on X which is nonsingular and ergodic for the Γ action such that the actions of G on Y and Γ on X are orbit equivalent (see [S1] §8).

Let $S: X \rightarrow X$ be the left shift, and for $n \geq 0$ let Γ_n be the finite subgroup of Γ whose members consist of all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_m = 0$ for all $m > n$ ($\Gamma_0 = \{\bar{0} = (0, 0, \dots)\}$). Denote by $\bar{\Gamma}_n$ the subgroup of Γ consisting of all those elements whose first n coordinates are all zeros. For $x \in X$, let $x^{(n)} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $x_{(n)} = (0, \dots, 0x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$, then $x^{(n)} \in \Gamma_n$, $x_{(n)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_n$ and $x = x^{(n)} + x_{(n)}$. For $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ we denote the product $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ by $\prod_{l=1}^n a_l$. The following proposition is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [SP] for A abelian.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For any cocycle $\psi: \Gamma \times X \rightarrow A$ there exists a sequence of measurable maps $\alpha_k: X \rightarrow A$ such that for each $n \geq 1$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$,*

$$(*) \quad \psi(\gamma, x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma + x) \right)^{-1}.$$

Conversely, for any sequence of measurable maps α_k , $(*)$ defines a cocycle.

PROOF. For $n \geq 1$, let $\psi_n(x) = \psi(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)})$. Note that if $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, then $\gamma^{(n)} = \gamma$ and $\gamma_{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots)$.

CLAIM (i). For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, $\psi(\gamma, x) = \psi_n(x)^{-1} \psi_n(\gamma + x)$.

PROOF OF CLAIM (i). Note that $(\gamma + x)^{(n)} = \gamma + x^{(n)}$ and $(\gamma + x)_{(n)} = x_{(n)}$; hence the cocycle identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n^{-1}(x) \psi_n(\gamma + x) &= \psi(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)})^{-1} \psi((\gamma + x)^{(n)}, (\gamma + x)_{(n)}) \\ &= \psi(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)})^{-1} \psi(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)}) \psi(\gamma, x^{(n)} + x_{(n)}) \\ &= \psi(\gamma, x^{(n)} + x_{(n)}) = \psi(\gamma, x). \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM (ii). For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ we have,

$$\psi_n(\gamma + x) \psi_{n+1}(\gamma + x)^{-1} = \psi_n(x) \psi_{n+1}(x)^{-1}.$$

PROOF OF CLAIM (ii).

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1}(x)^{-1} \psi_{n+1}(\gamma + x) &= \psi(x^{(n+1)}, x_{(n+1)})^{-1} \psi((\gamma + x)^{(n+1)}, (\gamma + x)_{(n+1)}) \\ &= \psi(x^{(n+1)}, x_{(n+1)})^{-1} \psi(\gamma + x^{(n+1)}, x_{(n+1)}) \\ &= \psi(\gamma, x^{(n+1)} + x_{(n+1)}) = \psi(\gamma, x) = \psi_n(x)^{-1} \psi_n(\gamma + x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\psi_n(\gamma+x)\psi_{n+1}(\gamma+x)^{-1} = \psi_n(x)\psi_{n+1}(x)^{-1}$. Thus for each $n \geq 1$, the function $\psi_n\psi_{n+1}^{-1}$ is independent of the first n coordinates, and hence there exists a measurable function $\alpha_n: X \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha_n \circ S^n(x) = \psi_n(x)\psi_{n+1}(x)^{-1}$. Set $\alpha_0(x) = \psi_1(x)^{-1}$, then for $n \geq 1$ and any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ we have

$$\psi(\gamma, x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right)^{-1}.$$

Conversely, let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be a sequence of measurable maps defined on X with values in A . For $n \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ set $\psi(\gamma, x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right)^{-1}$, and let $\psi(\bar{0}, x) = 1$. We claim that ψ is a cocycle. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ and $\gamma' \in \Gamma_m$. Assume with no loss of generality that $m \geq n$, then $\gamma' + \gamma \in \Gamma_m$. Also for each $i > n - 1$ we have $\alpha_i \circ S^i(x) = \alpha_i \circ S^i(\gamma+x)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\gamma' + \gamma, x) &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma' + \gamma + x) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right) \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{k=n}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma' + \gamma + x) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma' + \gamma + x) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \psi(\gamma, x)\psi(\gamma', \gamma+x). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. We refer to the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ as the sequence associated with ψ .

LEMMA 4.2. Let ψ be an A valued cocycle, let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be its associated sequence. If $n \geq 1$ and $\beta: X \rightarrow B$ is a measurable map satisfying $\beta(x) = \psi(\gamma, x)\beta(\gamma+x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, then there exists a measurable map $\beta': X \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\beta(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \beta' \circ S^n(x).$$

Conversely, suppose $\beta(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \beta' \circ S^n(x)$ for some measurable function β' , then $\beta(x) = \psi(\gamma, x)\beta(\gamma+x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$.

PROOF. Suppose that $\beta(x) = \psi(\gamma, x)\beta(\gamma+x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, Proposition 4.1 gives

$$\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right)^{-1} \beta(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma+x) \right)^{-1} \beta(\gamma+x).$$

Then the function $(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k \circ S^k)^{-1} \beta$ is independent of the first n coordinates of $x \in X$, hence there exists a measurable function $\beta': X \rightarrow B$ such that $(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x))^{-1} \beta(x) = \beta' \circ S^n(x)$. This shows that

$$\beta(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \beta' \circ S^n(x).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\beta(x) = (\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x)) \beta' \circ S^n(x)$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, $\beta' \circ S^n(x) = \beta' \circ S^n(\gamma + x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\gamma, x) \beta(\gamma + x) &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma + x) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(\gamma + x) \right) \beta' \circ S^n(\gamma + x) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \beta' \circ S^n(x) = \beta(x). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *If $f: \Gamma \times X \rightarrow B$ is a ψ -cocycle, then there exists a sequence of measurable maps $\beta_n: X \rightarrow B$ such that for $n \geq 1$,*

$$(**) \quad \psi(\gamma, x) \beta_n(\gamma + x) = \beta_n(x) \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma_n$$

and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$(***) \quad f(\gamma, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(\gamma, x) \beta_n(\gamma + x) - \beta_n(x).$$

Conversely, if $\beta_n: X \rightarrow B$ is a sequence of measurable maps satisfying (**), then (***) defines a ψ -cocycle.

PROOF. Let $\{\alpha_k: X \rightarrow A\}$ be the sequence associated with the cocycle ψ . Let f be a ψ -cocycle, for $n \geq 1$ set

$$f_n(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x_{(n)}) \right)^{-1} f(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)}).$$

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, then $\gamma^{(n)} = \gamma$ and $\gamma_{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\gamma, x) f_n(\gamma + x) - f_n(x) &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x_{(n)}) \right)^{-1} f(\gamma + x^{(n)}, x_{(n)}) \\ &\quad - \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x_{(n)}) \right)^{-1} f(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x_{(n)}) \right)^{-1} \psi(x^{(n)}, x_{(n)}) f(\gamma, x) \\ &= f(\gamma, x). \end{aligned}$$

Using similar calculations as the above, one can show that for $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$

$$\psi(\gamma, x)f_{n+1}(\gamma + x) - f_{n+1}(x) = f(\gamma, x) = \psi(\gamma, x)f_n(\gamma + x) - f_n(x).$$

So that for each $n \geq 1$, the function $f_{n+1} - f_n$ satisfies

$$\psi(\gamma, x)(f_{n+1}(\gamma + x) - f_n(\gamma + x)) = f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x).$$

By Lemma 4.2 for each $n \geq 1$ there exists a measurable function β'_n such that

$$f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x) \right) \beta'_n \circ S^n(x).$$

Let $\beta_n(x) = f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x)$, then for $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, $\psi(\gamma, x)\beta_n(\gamma + x) = \beta_n(x)$. Set $\beta_0(x) = \beta'_0(x) = f_1(x)$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(\gamma, x)\beta_k(\gamma + x) - \beta_k(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(\gamma, x)\beta_k(\gamma + x) - \beta_k(x) \\ &= \psi(\gamma, x)f_1(\gamma + x) - f_1(x) \\ &\quad + \psi(\gamma, x) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f_{k+1}(\gamma + x) - f_k(\gamma + x)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f_{k+1}(x) - f_k(x)) \\ &= \psi(\gamma, x)f_1(\gamma + x) - f_1(x) \\ &\quad + \psi(\gamma, x)(f_n(\gamma + x) - f_1(\gamma + x)) \\ &\quad - (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \\ &= \psi(\gamma + x)f_n(\gamma + x) - f_n(x) = f(\gamma, x). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $\{\beta_k: X \rightarrow B\}$ be a sequence of measurable maps satisfying (**). Let f be as defined in (***) and let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. There exists $m \geq 1$ such that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_m$, then $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2, x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \psi(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2, x)\beta_n(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + x) - \beta_n(x) \\ &= \psi(\gamma_1, x) \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (\psi(\gamma_2, \gamma_1 + x)\beta_n((\gamma_2 + (\gamma_1 + x)) - \beta_n(\gamma_1 + x)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (\psi(\gamma_1, x)\beta_n(\gamma_1 + x) - \beta_n(x)) \\ &= \psi(\gamma_1, x)f(\gamma_2, \gamma_1 + x) + f(\gamma_1, x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (***) defines a ψ -cocycle. ■

NOTATION. Let f be a ψ -cocycle and $\{\beta_n\}$ the sequence satisfying (**) and (***). Then by Lemma 4.2 for each $n \geq 1$ there exists a measurable map β'_n such that $\beta_n(x) =$

$(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \circ S^k(x))\beta'_n \circ S^n(x)$, and $\beta_0 = \beta'_0$. We refer to $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\beta'_n\}$ as the sequence and tail sequence of f respectively. Let $Z'_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$ be the subgroup of all ψ -cocycles f such that each member in the tail sequence of f depends on finitely many coordinates only. We denote by $F(X, \mu, B)$ the set of all equivalence classes of measurable maps on X with values in B (two functions are identified if they agree μ a.e.). We let $F'(X, \mu, B)$ be the subset consisting of the measurable maps depending on finitely many coordinates only. We give $F(X, \mu, B)$ the topology of convergence in measure.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The set $Z'_\psi(X, \Gamma, \mu)$ is dense in $Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, \mu)$.*

PROOF. Let f be any ψ -cocycle and $\{\beta_n\}, \{\beta'_n\}$ its associated sequence and tail sequence. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, by joint continuity of the A action on B there exist sequences of real numbers $\{\delta_n\}$ and $\{\delta'_n\}$ such that

- (i) for $n \geq 0, 0 < \delta_n < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{4+n}}$,
- (ii) for $n \geq 0, d(ab, ab') < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{4+n}}$ whenever $d(b, b') < \delta_n$.

Since $F'(X, \mu, B)$ is dense in $F(X, \mu, B)$, it follows that for any finite set $\{\gamma^{(i)} \in \Gamma : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ there exists a sequence $\{\tilde{\beta}_k\}$ of measurable maps each depending on finitely many coordinates only such that

- (i) $\bar{d}(\beta_0, \tilde{\beta}_0) < \delta_0$ and $\bar{d}(\beta_0 \circ \gamma^{(i)}, \tilde{\beta}_0 \circ \gamma^{(i)}) < \delta_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$,
- (ii) $\bar{d}(\beta'_k \circ S^k, \tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k) < \delta_k$ and $\bar{d}(\beta'_k \circ S^k \circ \gamma^{(i)}, \tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k \circ \gamma^{(i)}) < \delta_k$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $k \geq 1$.

Thus, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $k \geq 1$ we have

- (a) $\bar{d}(\psi(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)\beta_0 \circ \gamma^{(i)}, \psi(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)\tilde{\beta}_0 \circ \gamma^{(i)}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^4}$,
- (b) $\bar{d}((\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j)\beta'_k \circ S^k, (\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j)\tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{4+k}}$,
- (c) $\bar{d}(\psi(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j \circ \gamma^{(i)})\beta'_k \circ S^k \circ \gamma^{(i)}, \psi(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j \circ \gamma^{(i)})\tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k \circ \gamma^{(i)}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{4+k}}$.

Then the measurable function $\beta(x) = (\beta_0(x) - \tilde{\beta}_0(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j(x))(\beta'_k \circ S^k(x) - \tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k(x))$ is well defined. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\gamma, x) &= \psi(\gamma, x)\tilde{\beta}_0(\gamma + x) - \tilde{\beta}_0(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(\gamma, x) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j(\gamma + x) \right) \tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k(\gamma + x) \\ &\quad - \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \circ S^j(x) \right) \tilde{\beta}_k \circ S^k(x), \end{aligned}$$

then \tilde{f} defines a ψ -cocycle. Also $f(\gamma, x) = \tilde{f}(\gamma, x) + \psi(\gamma, x)\beta(\gamma + x) - \beta(x)$, and for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$\bar{d}(f(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot), \tilde{f}(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)) = \bar{d}(\psi(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)\beta \circ \gamma^{(i)}, \beta) < \epsilon. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 4.5. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense collection in the measure

algebra and fix some $0 < K < 1$. For $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \neq \lambda \in \bar{B}$, set

$$N_\lambda(k, m, n; \psi) = \left\{ f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : \sup_{V \in \Gamma} \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1} C_k \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \cap \left\{ x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m} \right\} \cap \left\{ x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \cap \left\{ x : f(V, x) \in B_{1/n}(\lambda) \right\} \right) > K\mu(C_k) \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.12, $N_\lambda(k, m, n; \psi)$ is open. Note that Lemma 2.11 implies

$$\bigcap_{k,m,n} N_\lambda(k, m, n; \psi) = \{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : \lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)\}.$$

If $\{\lambda_p : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a dense sequence in B , then

$$\bigcap_{k,m,n,p} N_{\lambda_p}(k, m, n; \psi) = \{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, \mu) : E_\psi(f) = B\}.$$

This shows that $\{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : \lambda \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)\}$ and $\{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, \mu) : E_\psi(f) = B\}$ are G_δ sets in $Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$.

NOTATION. We denote by $M'(X, \Gamma, A, \mu)$ the set of cocycles $\psi \in M(X, \Gamma, A, \mu)$ that recurs simultaneously with ω , the Radon-Nikodym derivative, and whose associated sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ depends on finitely many coordinates only. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for any $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(C) > 0$, there exist a $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \neq \bar{0}$ such that $\mu \left(C \cap \gamma^{-1} C \cap \{x : |\omega(\gamma, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(\psi(\gamma, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \right) > 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.6. For each $\psi \in M'(X, \Gamma, A, \mu)$ and for each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $N_\infty(k, m, n; \psi)$ is dense in $Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$.

PROOF. Let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be the sequence associated with ψ , where each α_k depends on finitely many coordinates only. Choose a positive sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}$ such that $\epsilon_n < \frac{1}{n}$, and $d(ab, b) < \frac{1}{n}$ whenever $d(a, 1) < \epsilon_n$. Let U be any nonempty open set in $Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$, then by Proposition 4.4 there exists $f \in Z'_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$ with $f \in U$. Since f is an interior point of U there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(K)} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$W = \left\{ h \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : \bar{d}(h(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot), f(\gamma^{(i)}, \cdot)) < \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq K \right\} \subseteq U.$$

Let $\{\beta'_k\}$ be the tail sequence of f . Since $f \in Z'_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$ each β'_k depends only on finitely many coordinates, and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$f(\gamma, x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \psi(\gamma, x) \beta_n(\gamma + x) - \beta_n(x).$$

where $\beta_k(x) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x) \right) \beta'_k \circ S^k(x)$ depends only on finitely many coordinates. Then we can find integers $M_1 < M_2$ such that for each $0 \leq j < M_1$ and every $1 \leq i < K$ we have $\alpha_j \circ S^j, \beta_j$ depend only on the first M_2 coordinates

$$f(\gamma^{(i)}, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\gamma^{(i)}, x) \beta_j(\gamma^{(i)} + x) - \beta_j(x).$$

Using the simultaneous recurrence of ω and ψ and Rohlin lemma, we can find $\delta^{(1)} \in \Gamma$ different from the identity and a subset $B_1 \subseteq C_k$ of positive measure such that: $\delta^{(1)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{M_2}$, $B_1 \cap \delta^{(1)}B_1 = \emptyset$, $B_1 \cup \delta^{(1)}B_1 \subseteq C_k$, and for $x \in B_1 \cup \delta^{(1)}B_1$ we have $|\omega(\delta^{(1)}, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m}$, and $d(\psi(\delta^{(1)}, x), 1) < \epsilon_1 < 1$. Since $\delta^{(1)} \neq \bar{0}$ there exist positive integers k_1, N_1 such that $M_2 < k_1 \leq N_1$, $\delta^{(1)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{M_2} \cap \Gamma_{N_1}$, and $(\delta^{(1)})_{k_1} = (\delta^{(1)})_{N_1} = 1$. By hypothesis, we can find an integer $\bar{N}_1 > N_1$ such that $\alpha_j \circ S^j$ depends on the first \bar{N}_1 coordinates only for $j \leq N_1$. If $\mu(C_k \setminus B_1 \cup \delta^{(1)}B_1) > 0$, then using again the simultaneous recurrence of ω and ψ and Rohlin lemma, we can find $\delta^{(2)} \in \Gamma$ different from the identity, and a subset $B_2 \subseteq C_k \setminus B_1 \cup \delta^{(1)}B_1$ of positive measure such that: $\delta^{(2)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{N}_1}$, $B_2 \cap \delta^{(2)}B_2 = \emptyset$, $B_2 \cup \delta^{(2)}B_2 \subseteq C_k \setminus B_1 \cup \delta^{(1)}B_1$, and for $x \in B_2 \cup \delta^{(2)}B_2$ we have $|\omega(\delta^{(2)}, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m}$, and $d(\psi(\delta^{(2)}, x), 1) < \epsilon_2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\delta^{(2)} \neq \bar{0}$ there exist positive integers k_2, N_2 such that $\bar{N}_1 < k_2 \leq N_2$, $\delta^{(2)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{N}_1} \cap \Gamma_{N_2}$, and $(\delta^{(2)})_{k_2} = (\delta^{(2)})_{N_2} = 1$. Let $\bar{N}_2 > N_2$ be such that $\alpha_j \circ S^j$ depends on the first \bar{N}_2 coordinates only $j \leq N_2$. We continue by an exhasutive argument to find a sequence $\{B_r\}$ of subsets of C_k , sequences of positive integers $\{k_r\}$, $\{N_r\}$, $\{\bar{N}_r\}$, and a sequence $\{\delta^{(r)}\}$ in Γ such that:

- (i) $\bar{N}_{r-1} < k_r \leq N_r < \bar{N}_r; \bar{N}_0 = M_2$,
- (ii) for $0 \leq j \leq N_r$, $\alpha_j \circ S^j$ depends only on the first \bar{N}_r coordinates,
- (iii) $\delta^{(r)} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\bar{N}_{r-1}} \cap \Gamma_{N_r}$, and $(\delta^{(r)})_{k_r} = (\delta^{(r)})_{N_r} = 1$,
- (iv) $B_r \cap \delta^{(r)}B_r = \emptyset, B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r \subseteq C_k \setminus \bigcup_{j < r} B_j \cup \delta^{(j)}B_j$, and $\mu(C_k \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^\infty B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r) = 0$,
- (v) For $x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r$, we have $|\omega(\delta^{(r)}, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m}$, and $d(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x), 1) < \epsilon_n < \frac{1}{n}$.

Define $V \in [\Gamma]$ by

$$Vx = \begin{cases} \delta^{(r)} + x & \text{if } x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r \text{ for some } r \geq 1 \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using condition (ii) above, we can choose for each $j \geq 1$ an element $b_j \in B$ such that for $x \in X$, $d\left(\left(\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x)\right)b_j, 0\right) > n + \frac{3}{n}$. Then for any $a \in A$ such that $d(a, 1) < \epsilon_n$ we have $d\left(a\left(\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x)\right)b_j, 0\right) > n + \frac{2}{n}$. For $j \geq 1$, let $\beta^j: X \rightarrow B$ be given by

$$\beta^j(x) = \begin{cases} b_j & \text{if } x_1 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $\rho_j(x) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x)\right)\beta^j \circ S^j(x)$. Define $h \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, \mu)$ by

$$h(\gamma, x) = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \psi(\gamma, x)\rho_{N_{r-1}}(\gamma + x) - \rho_{N_r}(x).$$

For a.e. $x \in C_k$ we have that $x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r$ for some $r \geq 1$. Now, either $\rho_{N_{r-1}}(\delta^{(r)} + x) = 0$ and $\rho_{N_r}(x) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{N_r-2} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x)\right)b_{N_r}$, or $\rho_{N_r}(x) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{N_r-2} \alpha_i \circ S^i(x)\right)b_{N_r}$ and $\rho_{N_{r-1}}(x) = 0$. Also, for $1 \leq l \leq r - 1$, $\rho_{N_l}(x) = \rho_{N_{l-1}}(x)$ so that

$$h(V, x) = h(\delta^{(r)}, x) = \sum_{l=1}^r \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\rho_{N_{l-1}}(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \rho_{N_l}(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(h(V, x), 0) &\geq d(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\rho_{N_{r-1}}(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \rho_{N_{r-1}}(x), 0) \\
 &\quad - d\left(\sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\rho_{N_{l-1}}(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \rho_{N_{l-1}}(x), 0\right) \\
 &= d(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\rho_{N_{r-1}}(\delta^{(r)} + x), \rho_{N_{r-1}}(x)) \\
 &\quad - d\left(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x) \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \rho_{N_{l-1}}(x), \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \rho_{N_{l-1}}(x)\right) \\
 &> n + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n} = n + \frac{1}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, for each $1 \leq i \leq K$, we have $h(\gamma^{(i)}, x) = 0$. Let

$$\bar{f}(\gamma, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\gamma, x)\beta_j(\gamma + x) - \beta_j(x) + h(\gamma, x).$$

Then, for $1 \leq i \leq K$ $\bar{f}(\gamma^{(i)}, x) = f(\gamma^{(i)}, x)$ so that $\bar{f} \in U$. Let $x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r$, since $M_2 \leq \bar{N}_{r-1}$ we have for $1 \leq j \leq M_1$ $\beta_j(\delta^{(r)} + x) = \beta_j(x)$. Hence,

$$d\left(\sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\beta_j(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \beta_j(x), 0\right) = d\left(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x) \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \beta_j(x), \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \beta_j(x)\right) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus,

$$d(\bar{f}(V, x), 0) \geq d(h(\delta^{(r)}, x), 0) - d\left(\sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\beta_j(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \beta_j(x), 0\right) > n.$$

This shows that $\bar{f} \in N_\infty(k, m, n; \psi) \cap U$ and therefore, $N_\infty(k, m, n; \psi)$ is dense. ■

COROLLARY 4.7. *If $\psi \in M'(X, \Gamma, A, \mu)$, then the set $\{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : \infty \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)\}$ is a dense G_δ .*

Corollary 4.7, Theorem 3.1, and the orbit equivalence of the \mathbb{Z} action by powers of T with the Γ action above ([S1] §8), together give the following theorem:

THEOREM 4.8. *Let T be a nonsingular ergodic automorphism of a Lebesgue probability space (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) . Then for each $\psi \in M'(Y, \mathbb{Z}, A, \nu)$, the set $\{f \in Z_\psi(Y, \mathbb{Z}, B, \nu) : \infty \in \bar{E}_\psi(f)\}$ is a dense G_δ .*

REMARK 4.9. (i) Using similar techniques and notation as in Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12 one can show that:

- (a) If for $\epsilon > 0$ and for every C_k (in a countable dense collection in the measure algebra)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{V \in \Gamma} \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1}C_k \cap \{x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \epsilon\} \right. \\
 \left. \cap \{x : d(f(V, x), 0) < \epsilon\} \cap \{x : \forall x \neq x\} \right) > K\mu(C_k),
 \end{aligned}$$

then (ψ, f) is recurrent.

(b) For each $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map

$$f \rightarrow \sup_{V \in \Gamma} \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1} C_k \cap \left\{ x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \left\{ x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap \left\{ x : d(f(V, x), 0) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \{x : Vx \neq x\} \right),$$

is lower semicontinuous,

(ii) Let $R(k, m, n; \psi)$ be the set of $f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu)$ such that

$$\sup_{V \in \Gamma} \mu \left(C_k \cap V^{-1} C_k \cap \left\{ x : |\omega(V, x) - 1| < \frac{1}{m} \right\} \cap \left\{ x : d(\psi(V, x), 1) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \cap \left\{ x : d(f(V, x), 0) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap \{x : Vx \neq x\} \right) > K\mu(C_k),$$

Then (i) part (b) implies that $R(k, m, n; \psi)$ is open, and hence the set

$$\{f \in Z_\psi(X, \Gamma, B, \mu) : (\psi, f) \text{ is recurrent}\} = \bigcap_{k, m, n} R(k, m, n; \psi)$$

is a G_δ .

(iii) If in the proof of Proposition 4.6 we define $h_1(\gamma, x) = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \psi(\gamma, x)\rho_{N_r}(\gamma + x) - \rho_{N_r}(x)$, then for $x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r$ we have

$$d(h_1(V, x), 0) = d(h_1(\delta^{(r)}, x), 0) = d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\rho_{N_j}(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \rho_{N_j}(x), 0\right) \\ = d\left(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x) \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \rho_{N_j}(x), \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \rho_{N_j}(x)\right) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Also,

$$d\left(\sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\delta^{(r)}, x)\beta_j(\delta^{(r)} + x) - \beta_j(x), 0\right) = d\left(\psi(\delta^{(r)}, x) \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \beta_j(x), \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \beta_j(x)\right) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Set

$$\bar{f}_1(\gamma, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{M_1} \psi(\gamma, x)\beta_j(\gamma + x) - \beta_j(x) + h_1(\gamma, x).$$

For $x \in B_r \cup \delta^{(r)}B_r$, $d(\bar{f}_1(V, x), 0) = d(\bar{f}_1(\delta^{(r)}, x), 0) < \frac{1}{n}$; thus $\bar{f}_1 \in R(k, m, n; \psi) \cap U$. Therefore, $R(k, m, n; \psi)$ is dense. Again using orbit equivalence to the Γ action this proves:

THEOREM 4.10. *Let T be a nonsingular ergodic automorphism of a Lebesgue probability space (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) . Then for each $\psi \in M'(Y, \mathbb{Z}, A, \nu)$ the set $\{f \in Z_\nu(Y, \mathbb{Z}, \nu) : (\psi, f)$ is recurrent and $\infty \in \tilde{E}_\psi(Y, \mathbb{Z}, \nu)\}$ is a dense G_δ .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank Professor Robert Zimmer for suggesting this work. Also Professor E. A. Robinson for his encouragement and time during the preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [**CHP**] J R Choksi, J M Hawkins and V S Prasad, *Abelian cocycles for nonsingular transformations and genericity of type III₁ transformations*, *Mh Math* **102**(1987), 187–205
- [**D**] D G Dajani, *Genericity of nontrivial H-superrecurrent H-cocycles*, *Trans Amer Math Soc* **323**(1991), 111–132
- [**HO**] T Hamachi and M Osikawa, *Ergodic groups of automorphisms and Krieger's Theorem*, *Sem Math Sci* **3**(1981)
- [**K**] W Krieger, *On the Araka-Wood asymptotic ratio set and nonsingular transformations of a measure space*, *Contributions to ergodic theory and probability*, *Lect Notes Math* **160**(1970), 158–177
- [**MF**] C Moore and J Feldman, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology and von Neumann algebras I*, *Trans Amer Soc* **234**(1977), 289–324
- [**PS**] K R Parthasarathy and K Schmidt, *On the cohomology of hyperfinite action*, *Mh Math* **84**(1977), 37–48
- [**S1**] K Schmidt, *Cocycles of ergodic transformation group*, *MacMillan Lectures in Math New Delhi* MacMillan, India, (1977)
- [**S2**] ———, *On Recurrence*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw Geb* **68**(1984), 75–95
- [**S3**] ———, *Algebraic Ideas in Ergodic Theory*, *AMS Regional Conference Series in Math* **76**(1990)
- [**Z**] R J Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, *Monographs in Math*, Birkhauser, (1984)

*University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill North Carolina 27599–3250*

*University of South Alabama
Mobile, Alabama 36608*