

**CONVOLUTIONS OF DISTRIBUTIONS WITH
EXPONENTIAL AND SUBEXPONENTIAL TAILS:
CORRIGENDUM**

DAREN B. H. CLINE

(Received 13 February 1989)

The proof of Lemma 2.3(ii) as originally given is incomplete since we cannot recursively apply (2.1) with a fixed t_0 . This is corrected below. In addition, we are able to extend the result so the conclusion is that $H \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. The statement of Lemma 2.3(ii) is thus as follows:

*Assume $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n (\bar{F}(0))^n < \infty$. If $F \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $H = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n F^{*n}$, then $H \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$.*

PROOF. We may assume without loss that $\lambda_1 > 0$; the proof otherwise differs slightly. Following Embrecht and Goldie's (1980) proof of (2.1), we obtain by recursion, for $t \geq nt_0$,

$$\bar{F}^{*n}(t-u) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} \bar{F}^{*n}(t).$$

Hence, for $t \geq nt_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(t-u) &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \bar{F}^{*j}(t) + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \lambda_j \bar{F}(0)^{j-1} \bar{F}(t) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{\delta(n)}{\lambda_1 \bar{F}(0)} \right) e^{\alpha u} \bar{H}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(n) = \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \lambda_j \bar{F}(0)^j.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{H}(t-u)}{\bar{H}(t)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(1 + \frac{\delta(n)}{\lambda_1 \bar{F}(0)} \right) e^{\alpha u}.$$

Since both ε and n are arbitrary,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{H}(t-u)}{\bar{H}(t)} \leq e^{\alpha u}.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then it follows that $H \in \mathcal{L}_0$ since \bar{H} is nonincreasing. Assuming $\alpha > 0$, choose ε to satisfy $(1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} > 1$. Following the proof of Lemma 2.3(ii), we may find an increasing sequence t_n such that for $t \geq t_n$,

$$\bar{F}^{*n}(t - u) \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} \bar{F}^{*n}(t).$$

Then for $t \geq t_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(t - u) &\geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \bar{F}^{*j}(t) + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \bar{F}^{*j}(t) \\ &\geq \left((1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} - ((1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} - 1) \frac{\delta(n)}{\lambda_1 \bar{F}(0)} \right) \bar{H}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{H}(t - u)}{\bar{H}(t)} \geq \left((1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} - ((1 - 2\varepsilon)e^{\alpha u} - 1) \frac{\delta(n)}{\lambda_1 \bar{F}(0)} \right).$$

Again, since both ε and n are arbitrary,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{H}(t - u)}{\bar{H}(t)} \geq e^{\alpha u},$$

and this proves $H \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Professor E. Omey for pointing out the incompleteness of the original proof.

References

- Daren B. H. Cline (1987), 'Convolutions of distributions with exponential and subexponential tails,' *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* **43**, 347–365.
- P. Embrechts and C. M. Goldie (1980), 'On closure and factorization properties of subexponential and related distributions,' *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* **43**, 243–256.

Department of Statistics
Texas A & M University
College Station, Texas 77843-3143
U.S.A.