

# GROUP RINGS WHOSE TORSION UNITS FORM A SUBGROUP\*

by SÔNIA P. COELHO and C. POLCINO MILIES

(Received 19th February 1992)

In this note, we determine fields  $K$  and groups  $G$  that are either nilpotent or FC and such that the set of torsion elements of the group ring  $KG$  forms a subgroup.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 20C07.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $U(KG)$  denote the group of units of the group ring of a given group  $G$  over a field  $K$ . Also, we shall denote by  $T = T(G)$  and  $TU(KG)$  the set of elements of finite order in  $G$  and  $U(KG)$  respectively.

In this note, we shall consider groups  $G$  that are either nilpotent or FC and determine conditions on  $G$  and  $K$  for  $TU(KG)$  to be closed under multiplication, i.e. to be a subgroup of  $U(KG)$ . This question was first studied in [4] but the answer was incomplete because it depended on the fact that every idempotent of  $KT$  is central in  $KG$ , a condition not fully understood at that time. Using the results in [1, 2], we are able to give a complete answer to this question. In particular, we do not need a technical hypothesis assumed in [4, Theorem 4.4] and we correct a gap in [4, Theorem 5.2]. In what follows, if a ring  $R$  is such that its torsion units form a subgroup, we shall say, briefly, that  $R$  has the t.p.p. (*torsion product property*).

## 2. Group rings in characteristic $p > 0$

We remark first that, if  $G$  is either a nilpotent or FC group, then  $T$  is locally finite and that if  $G \neq T$ , then  $G$  contains a central element of infinite order (see [5, 5.2.22 and 14.5.6]). We denote the Jacobson radical of a given ring  $R$  by  $J(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $T = T(G)$  is locally finite, and assume that either  $G$  contains a central element of infinite order or  $K$  is not algebraic over its prime field  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ . If  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup then, for every finite subgroup  $T_1 \subset T$ , the quotient ring  $KT_1/J(KT_1)$  is a direct sum of fields.*

\*Both authors were partially supported by a research grant from CNPq.

**Proof.** Let  $x$  denote be a central element of infinite order in  $G$ . Denote by  $KT_1[x]$  the smallest subring of  $KG$  containing  $KT_1$  and  $\{x\}$  and let  $\phi: (KT_1)[x] \rightarrow (KT_1/J(KT_1))[x]$  the epimorphism induced by the natural map  $KT_1 \rightarrow KT_1/J(KT_1)$ . Since  $J(KT_1)$  is nilpotent and  $x$  is central, it follows that  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = J(KT_1)[x]$  is a nilpotent ideal. Hence,  $\phi$  induces, by restriction, epimorphisms of the respective unit groups and also of the respective sets of torsion elements. Then, it is easily seen that  $(KT_1/J(KT_1))[x]$  also has the t.p.p.

Since  $KT_1/J(KT_1)$  is semisimple artinian, we have that

$$\frac{KT_1}{J(KT_1)} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i),$$

where  $D_i$  is a division ring containing  $K$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

For each index  $i$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{n_i}(D_i))[x] &\cong (M_{n_i}(D_i) \otimes_K K)[x] \\ &\cong (D_i \otimes_K M_{n_i}(K) \otimes K)[x] \cong D_i \otimes_K M_{n_i}(K[x]). \end{aligned}$$

Then, also  $M_{n_i}(K[x])$  has the t.p.p. It follows from [4, Prop. 2.2] that  $n_i = 1$ . Then:

$$\frac{KT_1}{J(KT_1)} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t D_i.$$

Given any two elements  $x, y \in T_1$  we have that  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t TU(D_i)$  and [4, Prop. 2.1] shows they are central. Hence,  $KT_1/J(KT_1)$  is commutative and the result follows.

A similar argument proves the statement in the case where  $K$  contains an element  $x$  which is transcendental over  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $K$  and  $G$  be as in the previous lemma. If  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup, then  $P$ , the set of  $p$ -elements in  $G$ , is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $T' \subset P$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\alpha$  is a  $p$ -element. Then, for some integer  $n \geq 1$  we have that  $(\alpha - 1)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} - 1 = 0$  i.e.  $\alpha - 1$  is a nilpotent element. We set  $T_1 = \langle \text{supp}(\alpha) \rangle$ . Then  $T_1$  is finite and the image  $\overline{\alpha - 1}$  in  $KT_1/J(KT_1)$  is also nilpotent. Then, Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\alpha \in 1 + J(KT_1)$ .

Given two  $p$ -elements  $\alpha, \beta \in G$ , put  $T_1 = \langle \text{supp}(\alpha), \text{supp}(\beta) \rangle$ ; then we have that  $\alpha\beta \in 1 + J(KT_1)$ , which is a  $p$ -group.

Given  $x, y \in T$ , Lemma 2.1 shows that  $K\langle x, y \rangle/J(K\langle x, y \rangle) \cong \bigoplus_i D_i$ , a direct sum of fields; hence,  $(x, y) - 1 = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} - 1 \in J(K\langle x, y \rangle)$ . Thus, there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $(x, y)^{p^n} = 1$ . Consequently  $T' \subset P$ . □

In what follows, we shall denote by  $\Delta(G:P)$  the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $KG \rightarrow K(G/P)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent or FC group and let  $K$  be a field with  $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ . Then  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i)  $G$  is abelian.

(ii)  $G = T$  and  $K$  is algebraic over its prime field  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ .

(iii) *The set  $P$  of  $p$ -elements in  $G$  is a subgroup,  $T' \subset P$  and if  $T/P$  is non central in  $G/P$  then  $\Omega$ , the algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  in  $K$ , is finite and, for all  $x \in G$  and all  $p$ -elements  $a \in T$ , we have that  $xax^{-1}$  is of the form  $xax^{-1} = a^{r'}y$ , where  $r \geq 0$  and  $y \in P$ . Furthermore, for every such an exponent  $r$  we have that  $[\Omega : \mathcal{P}(K)] \mid r$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup, that  $G$  is not abelian and that either  $G \neq T$  or  $K$  is not algebraic over  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ . From Lemma 2.2 we see that  $P$  is a subgroup and that  $T' \subset P$ .

Since  $\Delta(G:P)$  is a locally nilpotent ideal, it follows that  $K(G/P)$  also has the t.p.p. Since  $T/P$  contains no  $p$ -elements, [7, Lemma VI.3.12] shows that if there exists a non central idempotent  $e \in K(T/P)$ , then  $U(K(G/P))$  contains a subgroup which is isomorphic to  $GL(m, K)$  with  $m > 1$ . If  $K$  is not algebraic over  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  this yields a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $G \neq T$ , then [4, Theorem 4.1] shows directly that every idempotent of  $K(T/P)$  is central in  $K(G/P)$ .

In both cases, [1] shows that (iii) holds.

To prove sufficiency, we observe that both (i) and (ii) imply readily that  $KG$  has the t.p.p. Thus, assume that (iii) holds. Then, [1] shows that every idempotent of  $K(T/P)$  is central in  $K(G/P)$  and, as in [4, Theorem 4.4] we see that  $KG$  has the t.p.p. also in this case. □

### 3. Group rings in characteristic 0

Our first result holds in a slightly more general setting.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $T(G)$  is locally finite and let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0. If  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup, then  $T$  is abelian.*

**Proof.** To prove our statement, we can assume that  $T$  is finite. Then, we can write  $KT \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(D_i)$ . Since  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  does not have the t.p.p. (see, for example [6, p. 20]), it follows immediately that  $n_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .

Thus,  $KT \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t D_i$  contains no nilpotent elements, so [7, Theorem VI.1.11] shows that  $T_1$  is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group. Finally, if  $T_1$  is Hamiltonian, it contains a subgroup of the form

$$\mathcal{Q} = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, bab^3 = a^3 \rangle.$$

Let  $p$  be any prime and denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the prime ideal  $(p)$ . It was shown in [3, Theorem 2] that  $\alpha = x + ya$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, p \nmid x, p \mid y$ , is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\mathcal{Q}$ ,

and therefore in  $QG$  and that  $b(b^{-1})^\alpha = (b, \alpha)$  is not an element of finite order. Hence,  $T_1$  must be abelian. □

We can now correct [4, Theorem 5.2], which should be stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent or FC group and let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0. Then,  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $T$  is abelian.
- (ii) For each  $t \in T$  and each  $x \in G$  there exists a positive integer  $i$  such that  $xtx^{-1} = t^i$  and, for each non central element  $t \in T$ ,  $K$  contains no root of unity of order  $o(t)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $TU(KG)$  is a subgroup. We know, from the lemma above, that  $T$  is abelian.

Also, every idempotent in  $KT$  is central in  $KG$ , since, if this is not the case, as before, [7, Lemma VI.3.12] shows that  $U(KG)$  contains a copy of  $GL(m, K)$ , with  $m > 1$ . This yields a contradiction, because  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  does not have the t.p.p.

Now, [2] shows that, for each  $t \in T$  and each  $x \in G$  we have that  $xtx^{-1} = t^i$ , as stated, and that for every non central element  $t \in T$ ,  $K$  contains no root of unity of order  $o(t)$ .

To prove sufficiency, notice that we may suppose that  $G$  is finitely generated and, therefore, that  $T$  is finite. Thus  $KT = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t K_i$ , a direct sum of fields. Let  $S$  be a transversal of  $T$  in  $G$ . Then, we know from [7, Lemma VI.3.22] that every unit  $u \in KG$  can be written in the form  $u = \sum_i f_i g_i$  where  $0 \neq f_i \in K_i, g_i \in S, 1 \leq i \leq t$ .

Since [2] show that the conditions in the statement of our theorem imply that every idempotent of  $KT$  is central in  $KG$ , we have that  $g_i f_i = f'_i g_i$ , for some  $f'_i \in K, 1 \leq i \leq t$ . Hence:

$$u^m = \sum_{i=1}^t \bar{f}_i g_i^m,$$

where  $\bar{f}_i \in K_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$ . Thus,  $u \in TU(KG)$  if and only if there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $g_i^m = 1, 1 \leq i \leq t$ , i.e. if and only if  $u \in U(KT)$ . Since  $T$  is abelian, it follows easily that  $KG$  has the t.p.p. □

#### REFERENCES

1. S. P. COELHO, A note on central idempotents in group rings, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **30** (1987), 69–72.
2. S. P. COELHO and C. POLCINO MILIES, A note on central idempotents in group rings II, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **31** (1988), 211–215.
3. M. M. PARMENTER and C. POLCINO MILIES, Group rings whose torsion units form a nilpotent or FC group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 247–248.
4. C. POLCINO MILIES, Group rings whose torsion units form a subgroup II, *Comm. Algebra* **9** (1981), 699–712.

5. D. J. S. ROBINSON, *A Course in the Theory of Groups* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).

6. J. J. ROTMAN, *The Theory of Groups: An Introduction*, 2nd ed. (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973).

7. S. K. SEHGAL, *Topics in Group Rings* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978).

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA  
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO  
CAIXA POSTAL 20570—AG. IGUA TEMI  
01452—990—SÃO PAULO—BRASIL