S288 ePoster Presentations

How does self-report of anxiety symptoms compare with observer assessments after acquired brain injury?

Alex Seelochan^{1*}, Mark Paramlall², Himanshu Tyagi³, Rohan Kandasamy⁴, Ida Bakar⁵, Cameron Holloway⁶, Samantha Harding⁷ and Anna Gadhvi²

¹Northern Ontario School of Medicine; ²North Bristol NHS Trust, Frenchay Brain Injury Rehabilitation Centre; ³The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, University College London; ⁴The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Frenchay Brain Injury Rehabilitation Centre; ⁵Frenchay Brain Injury Rehabilitation Centre, North Bristol NHS Trust; ⁶Frenchay Brain Injury Rehabilitation Centre and ⁷North Bristol NHS Trust *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.765

Aims. Comorbid anxiety and mood disorders occur in 30% and 60% of individuals post-ABI (acquired brain injury), respectively (Juengst et al, 2014). The presence of psychiatric symptoms correlate to poorer outcomes in post-stroke rehabilitation, worsened quality of life (QoL), and deficits in memory, attention, and processing speed that persists years following the index event. Despite this, it is unclear whether to what degree anxiety impacts cognition. Furthermore, the literature on this topic is inconsistent when comparing subjective and clinician measurements. This study seeks to ameliorate this gap in literature by analyzing how clinicians' measures of anxiety and cognitive performance correlate with subjective assessments of patient's own anxiety symptoms.

Method. Individuals with an ABI who were seen in a clinical neuropsychiatry outpatient clinic between 2019 and 2020 completed a GAD-7 (Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7) questionnaire (patient's self-report of the severity of anxiety symptoms) and an observer completed a Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPIQ) including a subscale for anxiety (NPIQ-A). Participants also underwent a formal cognitive examination with the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). A total of 24 ABI patients (depressed ABI and nondepressed ABI) were analyzed for variation, statistical agreement and correlation. Here, total anxiety scores (using GAD-7 scores), anxiety severity (correlating category based on total GAD-7 score) were compared against the objective measures for anxiety (NPI-QA) and cognition (MoCA). In order to standardize MoCA scores, z scores were used in the statistical analysis.

Result. The patient's subjective raw scores of anxiety were statistically significantly different from the corresponding scores from objective observers on Wilcoxon-Rank Sum tests (p < 0.01), however, there was a statistical correlation between GAD (categorized by severity level) and NPI-QA (p = 0.75). Spearman Rank Correlation did show positive, but, statistically insignificant correlation between dyads of these independent variables (including GAD7/NPIQ-A, GAD 7 categorised/NPIQ-A, GAD7/MoCA, GAD 7 categorised/MoCA).

Conclusion. These findings indicate (1) self-reported measures of anxiety (GAD7) in ABI were inconsistent with objective measures of anxiety in this cohort, (2) anxiety measures did not demonstrate significant correlation when compared to objective measures for cognitive function, and (3) ABI patients did not display good insight into the severity of their anxiety symptoms as measured by the GAD7. Further research should focus on utilizing other subjective measurement tools for anxiety and/or clinician evaluation tools with NPIQ-A.

Psychological morbidity and associated factors among perinatal patients referred for psychiatry assessments at a tertiary care centre in Sri Lanka

Saumya Madhri Senanayake*, Iresha Perera, Janith Galhenage and Raveen Hanwella

Professorial Psychiatry Unit, National Hospital of Sri Lanka *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.766

Aims. Our objective was to study the psychological morbidity and associated risk factors among antenatal and postnatal patients referred for the psychiatric assessment at University Psychiatry Unit of National Hospital of Sri Lanka.

Method. All the Clinic records of perinatal referrals from 1st January 2019 to 31st December 2019 were assessed. Sociodemographic details, delivery details, health of the newborn, past and present psychiatry illness related details were obtained using a questionnaire. Data were analysed using SPSS.

Result. Total of 161 perinatal referrals were studied. Mean age of the mothers were 28.7 years (SD = 6.60). About 18 (11.8%) were not legally married, partner passed away or estranged. Above Ordinary level education was having 34.5% of participants. Majority were postnatal mothers (61.5%). Some mothers (32.3%) have reported the pregnancy was unexpected whilst 20 (32.3%) and 49(30.8%) have experienced delivery complications and neonatal illnesses respectively. Past mental illnesses were found among 31(20.7%) of mothers. Out of whole perinatal referrals maternity blues (28.9%) was the commonest current psychiatry diagnosis. Among antenatal mothers, adjustment disorder (28.8%) and depressive disorder (17.3%) were the commonest. Schizophrenia, Schizophreniform disorder and bipolar illness were found among 8(5%), 6(3.7%) and 3(1.9%) mothers respectively. Major psychoactive substance use disorder was found among 4 (2.5%) mothers. Presence of pregnancy related complications were significantly associated with postpartum metal illnesses(p = 0.008).

Conclusion. Commonest perinatal mental illness was the maternity blues. Depressive disorder was the commonest major mental illness and neonatal complications were associated with psychological morbidity in postnatal mothers.

Attitudes surrounding the disclosure of mental illness

Brishti Sengupta^{1*} and Pritha Dasgupta²

¹Dollar Academy and ²Stratheden Hospital, NHS Fife *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.767

Aims. To survey the effect of COVID-19 on mental health of both medical professionals and the general population, as well as attitudes surrounding the disclosure of mental illness.

Method. An online survey comprised of two questionnaires, one for medical professionals and one for the general population, were conducted via social media. Both questionnaires asked respondents of the effect of COVID-19 on their mental health, and the former asked respondents about the effect of COVID-19 on their patient group's mental health. The questionnaires went on to ask respondents about their attitudes to mental health disclosure in various scenarios, to varying groups of people. The general population group was also asked how they would react if someone else disclosed their mental illness to them.