

The Journal of Agricultural Science

### cambridge.org/ags

# **Animal Research Paper**

Cite this article: Büyükkılıç Beyzi S, Gorgulu M, Kutlu HR, Konca Y (2020). The effects of dietary lipids and roughage level on dairy goat performance, milk physicochemical composition, apparent transfer efficiency and biohydrogenation rate of milk fatty acids. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 158, 288–296. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859620000568

Received: 22 February 2019 Revised: 13 June 2020 Accepted: 6 July 2020

First published online: 28 July 2020

#### Kev words:

Atherogenicity index; conjugated linoleic acid; fish oil; goat milk; palm oil

#### Author for correspondence:

S. Büyükkılıç Beyzi, E-mail: sbuyukkilic@erciyes.edu.tr The effects of dietary lipids and roughage level on dairy goat performance, milk physicochemical composition, apparent transfer efficiency and biohydrogenation rate of milk fatty acids

S. Büyükkılıç Beyzi<sup>1</sup>, M. Gorgulu<sup>2</sup>, H. R. Kutlu<sup>3</sup> and Y. Konca<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey; <sup>2</sup>Retired Professor, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey and <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

#### **Abstract**

The study was conducted to investigate the effects of fish or palm oil diets with different roughage levels on dairy performance, milk physicochemical composition and apparent transfer efficiency of fatty acids (FA) in goat milk. The experiment was conducted with 40 Aleppo goats with a mean parity of  $2.53 \pm 0.8$  (multiparous), mean initial body weight of 47.23 kg and  $25 \pm 5$ days in milk which were allocated to four  $(2 \times 2)$  experimental diets with two oil sources (fish or palm oil) at 25.6 g/kg of dietary dry matter and forage levels (400 or 600 g/kg). The experimental data were analysed by repeated measures analysis, using the MIXED procedure. The concentrations of saturated FA decreased with high forage level and fish oil diets; however, the fish oil diets caused an increase in C14 saturated FA. Fish oil diets with high roughage levels more efficiently increased conjugated linoleic acid, n-6 (18:2), and n-3 (20:5). The apparent transfer efficiency of 18:1, 18:2, 18:3 and 20:5 decreased and the transfer efficiency of 22:6 increased with the use of fish oil in the diet. The roughage level did not affect the apparent transfer efficiency of 18:1 and 18:2, but the low roughage level increased the apparent transfer efficiency of 20:5. High roughage diets improved milk quality parameters through increasing eicosapentaenoic acid, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), PUFA/saturated FA and atherogenicity index, thus it was concluded that dietary roughage level could be considered as an important designator of milk quality when a supplement of fish oil and palm oil was supplied to goats.

## Introduction

Goat milk has various benefits to human health and thus has become an alternative food for people who are allergic to cow's milk (Lock and Bauman, 2004; Tudisco et al., 2014). In particular, goat milk has about 20% short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) and 55% medium-chain fatty acid (MCFA) contents (Sanz Sampelayo et al., 2007), and therefore can easily be digested in the human body (Jenness, 1980). Goat milk is also rich in conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), however CLA can be produced in the human body if trans-18:1 is available in the diet. CLA has anti-carcinogenic, anti-catabolic and antioxidant characteristics and efficiently enhances the immune system and reduces cholesterol level (Devery et al., 2001). In addition, CLA increases insulin sensitivity and accelerates the transfer of fatty acids (FA) and glucose from the fat tissue to muscle tissue, thus reduces body fat (Ip et al., 1996; Pariza et al., 1999; MacDonald, 2000; Belury et al., 2003). About 400 mg CLA per day is recommended to have health benefits; however, usual diets may supply less than 200 mg CLA per day (Ritzenthaler et al., 2001). Therefore, increasing CLA content is an important issue for ruminant-originated foods. Dietary manipulation was reported to be a good strategy for increasing CLA and other beneficial FA (n-3 FA) in milk fat (Kitessa et al., 2001; Chilliard et al., 2003; Bernard et al., 2009; Toral et al., 2010; Martínez Marín et al., 2011). The dietary factors that influence milk CLA and trans11-18:1 (vaccenic acid, VA) composition are gathered under two main categories: (1) diets providing lipid precursors (C18:2 or C18:3) for CLA and/or trans-18:1 formation in the rumen; (2) diets that modify the microbial activity associated with PUFA hydrogenation in the rumen (Chilliard and Ferlay, 2004). Combinations of these factors induce large variations in milk CLA, and VA concentrations and strong interactions are encountered among forages, starchy concentrates and lipid supplements (Griinari et al., 1998). On the other hand, dietary forage level affects ruminal biohydrogenation and FA composition (Piperova et al., 2002). Linoleic acid and linolenic acid are the predominant unsaturated FA in forages (Harfoot and Hazlewood, 1997), with  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press



concentrations as high as 50–75% of the total lipid fraction (Hawke, 1973). Fish oils are rich in *n*-3 FA and inhibit ruminal reduction of VA and promote the outflow of VA that is subsequently desaturated into *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 (rumenic acid, RA) in the mammary gland (Lock and Bauman, 2004). Hydrogenated palm oil or calcium soaps of palm oil have C18: 2, a precursor of cis-9 *trans*-11 CLA and VA in the rumen and have any *n*-3 FA. These oils are usually used as dietary supplements in intensive dairy sheep and goat farming systems. Thus, an oil source with a low PUFA concentration (palm oil) was used in this study to better elucidate the effects of forage levels on the fatty acid composition of milk.

It was hypothesized that supplementation of diets with fish oil rich in *n*-3 may improve the fatty acid composition of milk through increasing CLA and *n*-3 PUFA concentrations. Also, it was hypothesized that increasing forage levels may also improve the fatty acid composition of milk through increasing CLA and *n*-3 PUFA concentrations. The objective of the study was set to determine the effects of different roughage levels and oil sources on performance, milk composition and FA profile of dairy goats.

### Materials and methods

## Animals, experimental diets and management

In the experiment, the animals were selected from a herd with 200 caprine and were allocated to treatment groups based on milk yield, body weight (BW), age and lactation stage. The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Erciyes University in Turkey.

For the experiment, 40 Aleppo goats with a  $47.23\pm1.7$  BW, mean parity of  $2.53\pm0.8$  (multiparous) and  $25\pm5$  days in lactation at the beginning of the experiment were used. The goats were allocated to four experimental diet groups (10 animals/diet) based on alfalfa hay and concentrate feeds. Experimental diets were arranged as 600 g/kg dry matter (DM) alfalfa with palm oil (25.6 g/kg) or with fish oil (25.6 g/kg); and 400 g/kg DM alfalfa with palm oil (25.6 g/kg) or with fish oil (25.6 g/kg) (Table 1). The fatty acid composition of oils is shown in Table 2. The goats were fed with the experimental diets for three-weeks of adaptation period and six weeks of the experimental period.

Throughout the experiment, the goats were fed individually. They were housed in a barn with paddocks that included individual metabolic crates ( $2 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m}$  size) and ground litter. The diets were offered *ad libitum*. The oils were added in the concentrate diet and mixed with a mixer immediately before mixing the total mixed ratio (TMR) weekly, and clean water was always available with automatic waterers. The experiment was conducted during the summer season (from May through the end of July).

#### Measurements and sampling procedures

Throughout the experiment, BW, body weight gain (BWG), milk yield and feed intake were determined weekly after the adaptation period. The feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as the average daily dry matter intake (DMI) divided by average daily milk yield (g/g). Representative roughage and concentrate samples were collected every week during the experiment, and subsamples were used to determine the DM content after 48 h at 103 °C. Sub-samples were also subjected to chemical composition and FA analyses. Goats were milked twice a day at 08.00 and 18.00 h and milk yields were recorded as a group based.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

	Diets						
	Palr	n oil	Fish	n oil			
Roughage level (g/kg)	600	400	600	400			
Ingredients (g/kg DM)							
Alfalfa hay	600	400	600	400			
Maize grain	89.2	89.2	89.2	89.2			
Barley grain	64.0	166	64.0	166			
Sunflower meal, 28% CP	97.4	154	97.4	154			
Wheat bran	107	107	107	107			
Oil							
Palm oil	25.6	25.6	-	-			
Fish oil	-	-	25.6	25.6			
Salt	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70			
Dicalcium phosphate	-	9.50	-	9.50			
Limestone	3.80	34.9	3.80	34.9			
Minerals-vitamins <sup>a</sup>	8.00	9.00	8.00	9.00			
Chemical composition (g/kg D	M)						
Dry matter	952	947	947	947			
Organic matter	905	887	912	896			
Neutral detergent fibre	363	351	339	326			
Acid detergent fibre	240	203	247	207			
Crude protein	154	155	150	150			
Ether extract	37.3	36.5	35.8	35.6			
Crude cellulose	259	260	265	268			
Net energy for lactation <sup>b</sup> (MJ/kg DM)	7.61	7.51	7.61	7.5			
Ca/P	3	3.1	3	3.1			
Fatty acid composition (g/100	g FA)						
C14:0	0.91	0.87	1.68	1.63			
C16:0	54.5	56.5	14.3	16.6			
C16:1	4.68	5.74	2.43	2.31			
C18:1n9	19.7	19.7	29.9	31.5			
C18:2n6	14.9	13.4	34.6	33.2			
C18:3n3	2.20	1.89	8.32	7.10			
C20:5 <i>n</i> 3, EPA	0.53	0.47	2.22	1.57			
C22:6 <i>n</i> 3, DHA	1.28	0.89	4.52	4.47			

<sup>a</sup>Minerals-vitamins mix (Kavimix VM602 Kayseri, Turkey) declared as containing (per kg pre-mix): Vit-D3, 1.500.000 IU; Vit-A, 12.000.000 IU; Vit-E, 30.000 mg; Se, 200 mg; Mn, 50.000 mg; Co, 200 mg; 1, 800 mg; Fe, 50.000 mg; Zn, 50.000 mg; Cu, 10.000 mg.

<sup>b</sup>Calculated according to TSE.

Milking was performed in a vacuum line system, equipped with milking units per individual crates. The individual goat milk yields were recorded (over three consecutive milking per week) and individual milk sub-samples were collected during 42-day experimental period for chemical composition (totally 14 times every third day). The milk samples were stored at 4 °C with a preservative tablet (one tablet (18:8 mg Bronopol and 0.30 mg

**Table 2.** Fatty acid compositions of oils used in the experiment

Fatty acids methyl esters	Fish oil <sup>a</sup> (g/100 g)	Palm oil <sup>b</sup> (g/100 g)
C14:0	2.60	1.18
C16:0	13.9	60.0
C16:1	3.84	-
C18:0	3.38	2.10
C18:1n9	30.8	29.8
C18:2n6	21.4	6.90
C18:3n3	1.62	
C20:5n3, EPA	4.09	-
C22:6n3, DHA	13.8	-
Others	4.25	
Σ	100	100
∑ n-3	19.5	-
∑ <i>n</i> -6	21.4	6.90
∑ SFA	19.8	63.3
∑ MUFA	34.6	29.8
∑ PUFA	37.9	6.90
PUFA/SFA	1.91	0.11
Total	100.0	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>lt is originated from salmon. Colour: dark yellow, structure: in liquid form.

<sup>b</sup>Colour: light yellow, structure: mini pearls, Basic/substance: fractionated triglycerides of palm fat.

Natamycin)/40 ml milk) until they were analysed. The total solids (TS), solid non-fat (SNF), fat, protein, lactose, casein, urea, density, acidity, free fatty acid (FFA), citric acid and freezing point depression (FPD) were analysed by mid-infrared spectrophotometry (Milko Scan, Foss Electric, Hillerod, Denmark). Additional aliquots of unpreserved milk samples were stored at  $-20\,^{\circ}$ C, freeze-dried and composed in accordance with an a.m. and p.m. milk production to determine the FA composition (totally seven times every sixth day during the experiment). Goat BW were measured biweekly throughout the experiment.

### Chemical analysis

Feed ingredients were analysed for organic matter, ether extract, neutral detergent fiber (NDF) (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991), acid detergent fiber (ADF) (AOAC, 1990; method 973.18) and total N (AOAC, 1990; method 988.05). The NDF was assayed without sodium sulphite and  $\alpha$ -amylase, and it was expressed with residual ash (the latter was also used for the ADF).

For milk FA composition analysis, the lipid in 100 mg of freeze-dried milk was directly methylated using 2 ml of 0.5 M sodium methoxide in anhydrous methanol with 1 ml of hexane, 1 ml of methanol/HCl (95:5 vol/vol) was added. The FAME was recovered in 1.5 ml of hexane, washed with 3 ml of aqueous (6% wt/wt)  $K_2 CO_3$ , and analysed using gas chromatography. The FAME profile for a 0.6  $\mu$ l sample at a split ratio of 1:50 was generated using a gas chromatograph (Schimadzu, GC 2010 plus) equipped with a flame ionization detector (Schimadzu), split injection on a 60 m, i.d. = 0.53 mm RTX-200 (Restek) column, and  $H_2$  as the carrier and fuel gas. The FAME were

separated using a temperature gradient program (Chilliard *et al.*, 2013), and the peaks were identified based on comparisons of retention times with authentic standard (Supelco #37, Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA, USA and CLA standard, cat # 16413 Sigma-Aldrich).

## Calculations and statistical analyses

The apparent transfer efficiency of total fat, C18:1, C18:2, C18: 3n-3 C20:5n-3 (eicosapentaenoic acid, EPA), and C22:6n-3 (docosahexaenoic acid, DHA) was calculated as follows:

Transfer efficiency of total fat = [(g milk fat yield  $\times$  % fat in milk)/(g DM intake  $\times$  % fat in the diet)]  $\times$  100.

Transfer efficiency of FA =  $[(g \text{ milk fat yield} \times \% \text{ FA in milk})/ (g DM intake <math>\times \% \text{ FA in the diet})] \times 100 \text{ (Wright } et \text{ } al., 1999).$ 

This experiment used a  $2\times 2$  factorial design with 'oil source' and 'forage level' as the two factors and experimental data were analysed by repeated measures analysis, using the MIXED procedure of SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and using a covariance structure based on Schwarz's Bayesian information model fit criteria. Analysis of variance for repeated measurements was performed according to the following model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_k(\alpha_i + \beta_i) + \delta_{ij} + \zeta_l + E_{ijkl}$$

In this model,  $Y_{ijkl}$  represents the tested variable,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $\alpha_i$  is the fixed effect of roughage level<sub>i</sub> (400, 600 g/kg),  $\beta_j$  is the fixed effect of oil source<sub>j</sub> (fish oil, palm oil),  $\gamma_k$  ( $\alpha_i + \beta_j$ ) is the random effect of the goat<sub>j</sub> (i,j=1 to 10) nested in the treatment,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the interaction between roughage level and oil source,  $\zeta_l$  is the day within the week as a repeated factor l(l=1 to 7, 14), and  $E_{ijkl}$  is the residual error. Significant differences were declared at P < 0.05, and tendencies at P < 0.10.

## Results

The effects of dietary roughage levels and oil sources on DMI and animal performance are provided in Table 3. The DMI, milk yield, FCR and BWG were not affected significantly by the dietary treatments and their interactions.

The effects of dietary roughage levels and oil sources of diet on milk physicochemical composition are provided in Table 4. The milk TS, lactose and citric acid yields were not affected significantly by the treatments. On the other hand, the milk fat and urea content significantly decreased with fish oil diet, but the milk density significantly increased with fish oil supplemented diet. Milk urea contents decreased with increasing roughage levels of the diet. The SNF, protein, casein, acidity, FFA, FPD and density were not significantly affected by the oil sources and roughage levels of the diet, however, effects of interactions on these parameters were found to be significant.

As shown in Table 5, the inclusion of fish or palm oil into diets with different roughage levels altered the milk FA composition. As compared to palm oil diets, concentrations of C14:0 and C15:0 saturated FA increased significantly with fish oil diet. The C14:1 and C17:1 concentration in milk decreased with fish oil diet. Considering *trans* FA, the concentration of 18:1 increased by low roughage diet with palm oil. No differences were observed between the treatment groups for C6, C8, C16,  $\sum t18:2$ , 18:2 n-6 and  $\sum MUFA$  FA. Contrarily, the fish oil in high-level roughage diet more efficiently increased CLA.

Table 3. Effects of diet roughage levels and oil sources on animal performance

	Palm	Palm oil <sup>a</sup>		oil <sup>a</sup>				
		Roughage level (g/kg)					P value*	
	600	400	600	400	SEM	Oil source	Roughage level	Interaction
Dry matter intake (g/day)	2.47	2.38	2.45	2.30	0.047	0.494	0.191	0.673
Milk yield (g/day)	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	0.41	0.481	0.984	0.745
Feed conversion ratio <sup>b</sup>	1.49	1.50	1.49	1.32	0.032	0.171	0.243	0.181
Body weight gain (kg)	-2.5	-0.7	-1.8	-1.0	0.44	0.895	0.189	0.604

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fatty acid composition presented in Table 2.

Table 4. Effects of diet roughage levels and oil sources on milk physicochemical composition

	Palm oil <sup>a</sup> Fish oil <sup>a</sup>							
	Roughage level (g/kg)					P value*		
	600	400	600	400	SEM	Oil source	Roughage level	Interaction
Total solid (g/100 g)	13.0	13.4	13.3	13.0	0.20	0.653	0.894	0.091
Solid non-fat (g/100 g)	8.5	8.9	9.0	8.8	0.12	0.142	0.491	0.012
Fat (g/100 g)	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.9	0.12	0.012	0.613	0.694
Protein (g/100 g)	3.37	3.66	3.73	3.53	0.079	0.154	0.582	<0.01
Lactose (g/100 g)	4.38	4.47	4.49	4.48	0.046	0.191	0.471	0.304
Casein (g/100 g)	2.69	2.93	3.01	2.85	0.068	0.081	0.560	<0.01
Urea (g/100 g)	0.84	0.88	0.76	0.77	0.001	<0.01	0.010	0.740
Acidity, SH	7.7	8.5	8.1	7.4	0.25	0.140	0.881	<0.01
Free fatty acid (mMol/l)	5.8	4.1	4.5	5.1	0.32	0.614	0.094	<0.01
Freezing point (-°C)	0.47	0.50	0.50	0.48	0.007	0.503	0.554	0.010
Citric acid (g/kg)	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.030	0.492	0.523	0.833
Specific gravity (Density)	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	0.004	0.041	0.251	<0.01

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fatty acid composition showed that Table 2.

The effects of different roughage levels and oil sources of diet on some FA intake, yield and apparent transfer efficiency are provided in Table 6. Since the same amount of fat was used in the study, the differences in total fat intakes were not significant, but significant changes were observed in FA intakes (18:1, 18: 2, 18:3, 20:5 and 22:6) depending on the FA profiles of fish and palm oil. The average yield for total fat, 18:1, 18:2 were not affected by the treatment groups, however, EPA increased with high roughage diet, and DHA increased with fish oil diet. The EPA yields were significantly affected by interactions. Effects of the dietary roughage levels and oil sources on the total fat transfer efficiency were not found to be significant, however, the transfer efficiency of 18:1, 18:2, 18:3 and EPA FA decreased and the transfer efficiency of DHA increased with fish oil diet. The roughage levels did not have significant effects on the transfer efficiency of 18:1 and 18:2 FA, but the low roughage diet increased the transfer efficiency of EPA. The rate of biohydrogenation of DHA decreased with fish oil diet.

## Discussion

#### The effects of dietary lipids

In this study, DMI, milk yield and FCR were not affected by the dietary lipids. Present fish oil intakes varied between 58.7 and 62.8 g/day. Previous studies showed that DMI was negatively affected by fish oil doses of the diets (Keady *et al.*, 2000). Doreau and Chilliard (1997) reported a lower DMI at a high dosage of fish oil supplements (400 ml/day) in dairy cows. It was reported in previous studies that milk yield of cows and ewes were not affected by fish oil (Capper *et al.*, 2007; Toral *et al.*, 2010). Otaru *et al.* (2011) reported that an addition of 4% palm oil in concentrate diet increased daily milk production by 29%.

In this study, milk fat content decreased with fish oil diets (3.91–4.31, g/100 g). Several previous studies (Donovan *et al.*, 2000; Keady *et al.*, 2000) reported decreasing milk fat content with fish oil supplemented diet at different doses. This can be explained by the change in the conditions of the rumen and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The data calculated as: average daily dry matter intake/average daily milk yield.

<sup>\*</sup>P value for main effects of oil sources (palm, fish), roughage levels (400, 600) and the interactions between oil source and roughage level; n = 10/treatment.

<sup>\*</sup>P value for main effects of oil source (palm, fish), roughage level (400, 600) and the interaction between oil source and roughage level; n = 10×14/treatment.

Table 5. Effects of diet roughage levels and oil sources on milk fatty acid (FA) composition (g/100 g FA)

Fatty acids (FA)	Paln	n oil <sup>a</sup>	Fish	oil <sup>a</sup>				
		Roughage	level (g/kg)			P value*		
	600	400	600	400	SEM	Oil source	Roughage level	Interactio
4:0	2.4	1.9	2.7	1.8	0.23	0.515	0.080	0.314
6:0	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.4	0.29	0.528	0.964	0.641
8:0	1.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	0.26	0.179	0.179	0.186
10:0	6.4	7.3	6.5	4.5	0.66	0.071	0.633	0.050
12:0	4.2	4.7	5.0	2.4	0.47	0.402	0.079	<0.01
13:0	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.009	0.042	0.125	0.241
14:0	10.2	9.49	11.6	11.7	0.45	<0.01	0.702	0.187
15:0	0.55	0.60	0.66	0.77	0.062	0.034	0.293	0.946
16:0	34	34	31	34	1.7	0.352	0.189	0.567
17:0	0.82	0.90	1.01	0.80	0.063	0.218	0.428	0.030
18:0	12.0	10.8	8.24	12.6	0.72	0.322	0.101	<0.01
∑ cis-MUFA								
14:1	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.23	0.036	0.041	0.031	0.085
15:1	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.002	0.345	0.625	0.241
16:1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.18	0.212	0.528	0.396
17:1	0.24	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.045	<0.01	0.125	0.233
18:1	18	15	18	17	1.2	0.514	0.274	0.312
20:1	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.802	0.358	0.689
∑ trans FA								
∑ t18:1	0.7	2.1	1.8	1.7	0.17	0.070	<0.01	<0.01
∑ t18:2	0.82	0.69	1.01	0.82	0.094	0.402	0.149	0.770
c9, t11-CLA	0.9	2.3	2.2	1.9	0.16	<0.01	0.034	<0.01
t10, c12-CLA	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.18	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
∑ <i>n</i> -6 FA								
18 : 2 <i>n</i> -6	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	0.30	0.528	0.647	0.539
18:3 <i>n</i> -6	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.001	0.052	0.241	0.632
20 : 2 <i>n</i> -6	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.001	0.048	0.841	0.574
20 : 4 <i>n</i> -6	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.010	0.152	0.254	0.345
∑ n-3 FA								
18:3 <i>n</i> -3	0.15	0.12	0.32	0.31	0.032	<0.01	0.054	0.147
20 : 3 <i>n</i> -3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.154	0.254	0.392
20:5 <i>n</i> -3, EPA	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.357	0.180	0.021	0.020
22:6 <i>n</i> -3, DHA	0.19	0.15	0.73	0.64	0.015	0.817	<0.01	0.506
∑ CLA	1.5	2.9	3.0	2.7	0.19	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
∑ SCFA	2.4	1.9	2.7	1.8	0.23	0.515	0.080	0.314
Σ MCFA	14.0	16.1	15.4	10.2	0.50	0.021	0.201	0.314
Σ LCFA	84	82	82	88	1.2	0.005	0.098	0.457
$\Sigma$ SFA	74	78	67	69	1.1	0.352	0.049	0.914
Σ MUFA	22.6	18.6	20.6	20.5	0.57	0.214	0.625	0.062
Σ PUFA	3.92	6.20	8.16	5.75	0.008	0.322	0.053	0.664

(Continued)

Table 5. (Continued.)

	Palm	Palm oil <sup>a</sup> Fish oil <sup>a</sup>						
		Roughage level (g/kg)					P value*	
Fatty acids (FA)	600	400	600	400	SEM	Oil source	Roughage level	Interaction
PUFA/SFA	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.225	0.518	0.033	0.061
Atherogenicity index <sup>b</sup>	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.2	0.25	0.054	<0.01	0.362
n-6/n-3 PUFA	7.2	8.8	2.9	2.7	0.94	<0.01	0.084	0.102

CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; MCFA, medium chain fatty acids; LCFA, long chain fatty acids.

Table 6. Effects of diet roughage levels and oil sources on average intake, yield and the apparent transfer efficiency of fatty acids

	Palm	n oil <sup>a</sup>	Fish	ı oil <sup>a</sup>				
		Roughage	level (g/kg)			P value*		
	600	400	600	400	SEM	Oil source	Roughage level	Interaction
Average intake (g/	/day)							
Total fat	92	87	87	82	9.7	0.101	0.110	0.851
18:1	18	17	26	26	3.4	<0.01	0.302	0.874
18:2	14	12	30	27	7.8	<0.01	<0.01	0.354
18:3	2	2	7	6	2.2	<0.01	<0.01	0.584
20:5, EPA	0.5	0.4	1.9	1.3	0.64	<0.01	<0.01	0.102
22:6, DHA	1	1	4	4	1.5	<0.01	<0.01	0.661
Average yield (g/d	lay)							
Total fat	73	73	69	69	12.4	0.485	0.741	0.512
18:1	13	11	12	12	2.3	0.584	0.658	0.351
18:2	1.9	1.8	2.1	1.7	0.34	0.544	0.641	0.604
18:3	0.11	0.09	0.22	0.21	0.003	<0.01	0.050	0.201
20:5, EPA	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.001	0.241	<0.01	<0.01
22:6, DHA	0.14	0.09	0.50	0.44	0.022	<0.01	0.044	0.074
Apparent transfer	efficiency (%)							
Total fat	80	83	79	84	15.8	0.798	0.305	0.458
18:1	74	65	47	46	15.9	<0.01	0.685	0.541
18:2	14	16	7	6	4.4	<0.01	0.154	0.205
18:3	5	5	3	4	1.1	<0.01	0.104	0.301
20:5, EPA	3.0	3.2	1.4	1.6	0.33	<0.01	<0.01	0.108
22:6, DHA	11.8	11.2	12.7	12.0	0.88	<0.01	0.258	0.344

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fatty acid composition showed in Table 2.

change in the amount of unsaturated FA in the diet (Griinari and Bauman, 1999). Eknæs *et al.* (2017) reported increasing milk fat contents with palm oil diets in goats. In this study, the fish oil diet decreased the milk urea content. The reduction of milk urea content was attributed to fish oil-induced decrease in proteolyzes and the number of proteolytic bacteria in the rumen.

Loor *et al.* (2005) reported that when the lactating dairy cows were fed with 2.5% fish oil, ruminal protozoa counts were higher as compared to 5% linseed + soybean and 5% sunflower oil. Oil resulted in lower proteolytic bacteria counts possibly resulting from an increase in protozoa as observed in cows fed with linseed or soybean oil compared to fish oil (Loor *et al.*, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Fatty acid composition showed in Table 2.

bAtherogenicity index: (12:0+4×14:0+16:0)/ (MUFA+PUFA), (Ulbricht and Southgate, 1991).

<sup>\*</sup>P value for main effects of oil source (palm, fish), roughage level (400, 600) and the interaction between oil source and roughage level; n = 10×7/treatment.

<sup>\*</sup>P value for main effects of oil source (palm, fish), roughage level (400, 600) and the interaction between oil source and roughage level; n = 10×7/treatment.

In this study, the total concentration of MCFA decreased and long-chain FA (LCFA) increased with fish oil diets and an increase was also achieved in PUFA/SFA ratio with fish oil diets. Feeding with an increased amount of dietary LCFA was reported to increase the concentration of LCFA in milk fat and inhibit the *de novo* synthesis of SCFA and MCFA in the mammary gland (Palmquist *et al.*, 1993). The atherogenicity index tended to decrease and *n*-6/*n*-3 PUFA ratio decreased (from 8.01 to 2.81) with fish oil diet. The low *n*-6/*n*-3 PUFA ratio in milk fat in fish oil diets agrees well with the results of Martínez Marín *et al.* (2011). It is recommended that the *n*-6/*n*-3 PUFA ratio be lower than 4 (Simopoulos, 2008) in fats for human consumption.

In this study, the apparent transfer efficiency of C18:1, 18:2, 18:3 n3 and EPA decreased with fish oil diet. The apparent transfer efficiency of EPA and DHA were low (1.42-12.72%) thus it was considered that these FA were extensively biohydrogenated. There are few studies reporting the effects of diet roughage levels on EPA and DHA of goat milk. The apparent transfer efficiency of these two FA from diet to milk was low (4-5%) because of high ruminal biohydrogenation (Kitessa et al., 2001). The extent of C18:3 n-3 biohydrogenation in animals has been reported to be similar to a number of other studies where values of between 85 and 100% were reported (Murphy et al., 1987; Klusmeyer and Clark, 1991). However, since this FA is one of the FA that undergoes the most intensive biohydrogenation, it reduces the transfer efficiency of the milk fat (Harfoot and Hazlewood, 1997). It was also reported that the C18:3 n-3 FA had the lowest apparent transfer efficiency (Glasser et al., 2008). Similar to the literature, the apparent transfer efficiency of EPA was very low (1.42-3.17%).

## The effects of roughage levels

Roughage level may affect the performance parameters, however, in this study, the diets were prepared as isocaloric and isonitrogenous. A study showed that DMI was not affected by two different forage/concentrate ratios (75/25 and 60/40 DM) in ewes (Mele et al., 2006). Schmidely and Andrade (2011) reported similar DMI for low (45% diet DM) and high (65% diet DM) concentrate diets. Also, the milk yield was not affected by the roughage level. It was reported in a previous study that milk yield of cows and ewes were not affected by different forage: concentrate ratio in the diet (Gama et al., 2008).

In this study, diet roughage levels did not affect milk fat concentration. Similar diets with high forage level (60% of grass silages on total DM) did not have significant effects on milk fat (Shingfield *et al.*, 2003). Lock and Shingfield (2004) reported that milk fat was affected by over 60% of the concentrate level in the diet. Milk urea content decreased with high roughage diet. However, milk protein fractions and casein did not vary between the treatments.

In this study, the concentrations of EPA and DHA in milk fat were significantly higher in high roughage diet than in high concentrate diets. The effects of the forage level were more remarkable in fish oil-supplemented diets. The milk fat SFA concentration was positively affected by high roughage diets, but fish oil diet did not have significant effects on the milk fat SFA concentrations. Also, PUFA and PUFA/SFA tended to increase with high roughage diets. The atherogenicity index was significantly reduced in high roughage diets because of a decrease in total SFA levels. Similar to our results, Pirondini *et al.* (2015) found

that the greatest SFA concentration in cow milk with low concentrate diets

The apparent transfer efficiency of 18:1, 18:2, 18:3 and DHA FA were not influenced by the roughage levels of the diet. The apparent transfer efficiency of EPA increased with a high concentrate diet. It was reported that a high concentrate diet reduces the biohydrogenation of this FA (Harfoot and Hazlewood, 1997). In another study, Doreau and Ferlay (1994) found no relationship of the dietary forage/concentrate ratio with the milk fat C18:3 *n*-3 concentration and the ruminal biohydrogenation rate of this FA.

The apparent transfer efficiency of EPA was lower in low roughage diet, which could be explained by the effects of the forage level on the rumen bacterial community (Weimer *et al.*, 2010). It is reported that fish oil and easily degradable starch in the diet reduce the apparent transfer efficiency of FA by ruminal biohydrogenation (Bernard *et al.*, 2010). In another study, the apparent transfer rates of *n*-3 FA from fish oil to milk varied with the type and level of dietary starch and higher apparent transfer rates of *n*-3 FA from fish oil to milk were observed in fed with the easily degradable starch source (Toral *et al.*, 2014). Overall, the results indicated relevant interactions between oils and forage level on transfer efficiency and biohydrogenation of FA; however, the available information remains limited, and further research is warranted.

The effects of the interaction between lipid and roughage levels

Dietary fish oil or roughage level did not affect protein contents. However, interactions (oil source and roughage level) had significant effects on milk protein and protein fractions (casein) (P = 0.03), with a more pronounced increase in high roughage diet with fish oil than high roughage diet with palm oil. Similar results were also reported by Keady et al. (2000) for fish oil diets with different starch concentrations. Similar interaction effects of dietary oil source and forage level were also reported by Pirondini et al. (2015) and Mir et al. (1999). Sutton (1989) showed that oil supplementation to the diet generally caused a decrease in milk protein concentrations since the increase in milk yield was not supported by sufficient availability of amino acids in the mammary gland (Wu and Huber, 1994). In this study, fish oil diet increased the density of milk and interactions (oil source and roughage level) had significant effects on milk density. Milk density largely depends on protein and fat-free dry matter; thus, the use of fish oil was thought to decrease milk fat content. Similar results were also reported by Chornobai et al. (1999). It is also known that the density of milk could vary with milk protein content and immunoglobulin levels (Morin et al., 2001).

Milk fat t18:1 and c9, t11-CLA concentrations increased with low roughage diet and interactions with fish oil diet had significant effects on milk fat t18:1, c9, t11-CLA, t10, c12-CLA and  $\Sigma$ CLA concentrations. Also, fish oil was found to be more effective in increasing milk fat  $\Sigma$ CLA concentration than the roughage level in the diet. In this study, c9 t11-CLA, t10 c12-CLA, and  $\Sigma$ CLA increased with fish oil diet since fish oil contains high PUFA concentrations. Franklin et al. (1999) reported that total CLA in milk fat increased with the supplementation of unprotected marine algae as compared to the control diet. It was previously shown that supplements of plant oils in combination with fish oil was more effective in increasing t11-18:1 and c9, t11-CLA concentrations of goat milk (Bernard et al., 2016).

Mosley *et al.* (2007) reported that the concentrations of *c*9, *t*11 CLA and *trans* 18:1 isomer in milk fat linearly decreased with the addition of palm oil to the diet. Overall, in many other previous studies and this study, interactions (oils and the roughage levels) had significant effects on milk fat *trans* FA concentrations in ruminant species (Toral *et al.*, 2014). The interaction had significant effects on milk fat EPA concentration. Milk fat DHA concentration was higher in high concentrate diets with fish oil. Shingfield *et al.* (2005) showed that milk EPA, DHA and 18: 3*n*-3 decreased and milk 18: 2*n*-6 increased with the forage type and oil source interactions.

#### **Conclusions**

Fish oil can be used with different roughage levels to improve milk FA composition without affecting DMI, milk production and animal performance. Dietary roughage level had no clear effect on milk FA composition; however, for increasing CLA in milk, fish oil with high concentrate diets was found to be more effective than the other treatments. Diets with added fish oil resulted in higher apparent transfer efficiency of DHA in milk than in diets without fish oil. On the other hand, high roughage diet improved milk quality parameters through increasing EPA, DHA, SFA, PUFA, PUFA/SFA and atherogenicity index. However, the low roughage diet increased the apparent transfer efficiency of EPA. In conclusion, the dietary roughage level was considered as an important designator of milk quality when a supplement of fish oil and palm oil was supplied to goats.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Kevser Kahraman (Abdullah Gül University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Food Engineering) who helped to get dry milk samples with freeze-dryer. They also thank Erciyes University Agricultural Faculty Animal Science Department 2015 summer internship students and ERÜTAM (Erciyes University Agricultural Research Center) for their support and help in the preparation of TMR and care of goats throughout the experiments. This paper is a part of the PhD thesis of Selma Büyükkılıç Beyzi.

**Financial support.** The study was supported by the Scientific Research Projects Department of Erciyes University (with the Ph.D. project number: FDK-2015-5922).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical standards.** The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Erciyes University (EUHADYEK) with 15/58 decision number.

### **References**

- AOAC (1990) Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 15th Edn. Arlington, VA, USA: AOAC International.
- Belury MA, Mahon A and Banni S (2003) The conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomer, t10c12–CLA, is inversely associated with changes in body weight and serum leptin in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Nutrition* 133, 257–260.
- Bernard L, Shingfield KJ, Rouel J, Ferlay A and Chilliard Y (2009) Effect of plant oils in the diet on performance and milk fatty acid composition in goats fed diets based on grass hay or maize silage. British Journal of Nutrition 101, 213–224.
- Bernard L, Mouriot J, Rouel J, Glasser F, Capitan P, Pujos-Guillot E, Chardigny JM and Chilliard Y (2010) Effects of fish oil and starch added to a diet containing sunflower-seed oil on dairy goat performance, milk fatty acid composition and in vivo Δ9-desaturation of [<sup>13</sup>C] vaccenic acid. British Journal of Nutrition 104, 346–354.

- Bernard L, Toral P, Rouel J and Chilliard Y (2016) Effects of extruded linseed and level and type of starchy concentrate in a diet containing fish oil on dairy goat performance and milk fatty acid composition. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 222, 31–42.
- Capper JL, Wilkinson RG, Mackenzie AM and Sinclair LA (2007) The effect of fish oil supplementation of pregnant and lactating ewes on milk production and lamb performance. *Animal: An International Journal of Animal Bioscience* 1, 889–898.
- Chilliard Y and Ferlay A (2004) Dietary lipids and forages interactions on cow and goat milk fatty acid composition and sensory properties. Reproduction Nutrition Development 44, 467–492.
- Chilliard Y, Ferlay A, Rouel J and Lamberett G (2003) A review of nutritional and physiological factors affecting goat milk lipid synthesis and lipolysis. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 1751–1770.
- Chilliard Y, Rouel J and Guillouet P (2013) Goat alpha-s1 casein genotype interacts with the effect of extruded linseed feeding on milk fat yield, fatty acid composition, and post-milking lipolysis. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 185, 140–149.
- Chornobai CA, Damasceno JC, Visentainer JV, De Souza NE and Matsushita M (1999) Physical-chemical composition of in natura goat milk from cross Saanen throughout lactation period. *Archivos latinoamericanos de nutrición* **49**, 283–286.
- Devery R, Miller A and Stanton C (2001) Conjugated linoleic acid and oxidative behaviour in cancer cells. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 29, 341–345.
- Donovan DC, Schingoethe DJ, Baer RJ, Ryali J, Hippen AR and Franklin ST (2000) Influence of dietary fish oil on conjugated linoleic acid and other fatty acids in milk fat from lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83, 2620–2628.
- **Doreau M and Chilliard Y** (1997) Digestion and metabolism of dietary fat in farm animals. *British Journal of Nutrition* **78**, 15–35.
- **Doreau M and Ferlay A** (1994) Digestion and utilization of fatty acids by ruminants. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **45**, 379–396.
- Eknæs M, Chilliard Y, Hove K, Inglingstad RA, Bernard L and Volden H (2017) Feeding of palm oil fatty acids or rapeseed oil throughout lactation: effects on energy status, body composition, and milk production in Norwegian dairy goats. *Journal of Dairy Science* 100, 7588–7601.
- Franklin ST, Martin KR, Baer RJ, Schingoethe DJ and Hippen AR (1999)
  Dietary marine algae (Schizochytrium sp.) increases concentrations of conjugated linoleic, docosahexaenoic and transvaccenic acids in milk of dairy cows. *Journal of Nutrition* 129, 2048–2054.
- Gama MAS, Garnsworthy PC, Griinari JM, Leme PR, Rodrigues PHM, Souza LWO and Lanna DPD (2008) Diet-induced milk fat depression: association with changes in milk fatty acid composition and fluidity of milk fat. *Livestock Science* 115, 319–331.
- Glasser F, Ferlay A, Doreau M, Schmidely P, Sauvant D and Chilliard Y (2008) Long-chain fatty acid metabolism in dairy cows: a meta-analysis of milk fatty acid yield in relation to duodenal flows and de novo synthesis. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 2771–2785.
- Griinari JM and Bauman DE (1999) Biosynthesis of Conjugated Linoleic Acid and its Incorporation Into Meat and Milk in Ruminants. Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. Champaign, IL: AOCS Press, pp. 180–200.
- Griinari JM, Dwyer DA, McGuire MA, Bauman DE, Palmquist DL and Nurmela KVV (1998) Trans-octadecenoic acids and milk fat depression in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 81, 1251–1261.
- **Harfoot CG and Hazlewood GP**. (1997) Lipid metabolism in the rumen. In Hobson PN and Hobson CS (eds). *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 285–426.
- Hawke JC (1973) Lipids. In Butler GW, Bailey RW (eds), Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage. New York: Academic Press, pp. 213–263.
- Ip C, Briggs SP, Haegele AD, Thompson HJ, Storkson J and Scimeca JA (1996) The efficacy of conjugated linoleic acid in mammary cancer prevention is independent of level or type of fat in the diet. *Carcinogenesis* 17, 1045–1050
- Jenness R (1980) Composition and characteristics of goat milk: review 1968 -1979. Journal of Dairy Science 63, 1605-1630.
- **Keady TW, Mayne CS and Fitzpatrick DA** (2000) Effects of supplementation of dairy cattle with fish oil on silage intake, milk yield, and milk composition. *Journal of Dairy Research* **67**, 137–153.

Kitessa SM, Gulati SK, Ashes JR, Fleck E, Scott TW and Nichols PD (2001) Utilization of fish oil in ruminants – II. Transfer of fish oil fatty acids into goats' milk. Animal Feed Science and Technology 89, 201–208.

- **Klusmeyer TH and Clark JH** (1991) Effects of dietary fat and protein on fatty acid flow to the duodenum and in milk produced by dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **74**, 3055–3067.
- Lock AL and Bauman DE (2004) Modifying milk fat composition of dairy cows to enhance fatty acids beneficial to human health. *Lipids* 39, 1197–1206.
- Lock AL and Shingfield KJ (2004) Optimising milk composition. BSAP Occasional Publication 29, 107–188.
- Loor JJ, Ueda K, Ferlay A, Chilliard Y and Doreau M (2005) Intestinal flow and digestibility of trans fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) in dairy cows fed a high-concentrate diet supplemented with fish oil, linseed oil, or sunflower oil. Animal Feed Science and Technology 119, 203–225.
- Macdonald HB (2000) Conjugated linoleic acid and disease prevention: a review of current knowledge. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 19, 111–118.
- Martínez Marín AL, Gómez-Cortés P, Gómez Castro AG, Juárez M, Pérez Alba LM, Pérez Hernández M and de la Fuente MA (2011) Animal performance and milk fatty acid profile of dairy goats fed diets with different unsaturated plant oils. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 5359–5368.
- Mele M, Buccioni A, Petacchi F, Serra A, Banni S, Antongiovanni M and Secchiari P (2006) Effect of forage/concentrate ratio and soybean oil supplementation on milk yield, and composition from Sarda ewes. *Animal Research* 55, 273–285.
- Mir Z, Goonewardene LA, Okine E, Jaegar S and Sceer HD (1999) Effect of feeding canola oil on constituents, conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and long chain fatty acids in goat milk. Small Ruminant Research: The Journal of the International Goat Association 33, 137–143.
- Morin DE, Constable PD, Maunsell FP and McCoy GC (2001) Factors associated with colostral specific gravity in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84, 937–943.
- Mosley SA, Mosley EE, Hatch B, Szasz JI, Corato A, Zacharias N and McGuire MA (2007) Effect of varying levels of fatty acids from palm oil on feed intake and milk production in Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **90**, 987–993.
- Murphy M, Uden P, Palmquist DL and Wiktorsson H (1987) Rumen and total diet digestibilities in lactating cows fed diets containing full-fat rape-seed. *Journal of Dairy Science* **70**, 1572–1582.
- Otaru SM, Adamu AM, Ehoche OW and Makun HJ (2011) Effects of varying the level of palm oil on feed intake, milk yield and composition and postpartum weight changes of Red Sokoto goats. Small Ruminant Research 96, 25–35.
- Palmquist DL, Beaulieu AD and Barbano DM (1993) Feed and animal factors influencing milk fat composition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 76, 1753–1771
- Pariza MW, Park Y and Cook ME (1999) Conjugated linoleic acid and the control of cancer and obesity. *Toxicological Sciences* 52, 107–110.
- Piperova LS, Sampugna J, Teter BB, Kalscheur KF, Yurawecz MP, Ku Y and Erdman RA (2002) Duodenal and milk trans octadecenoic acid and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers indicate that post absorptive synthesis is the predominant source of cis-9-containing CLA in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Nutrition* 132, 1235–1241.
- Pirondini M, Colombini S, Mele M, Malagutti L, Rapetti L, Galassi G and Crovetto GM (2015) Effect of dietary starch concentration and fish oil

- supplementation on milk yield and composition, diet digestibility, and methane emissions in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **98**, 357–372.
- Ritzenthaler KL, McGuire MK, Falen R, Shultz TD, Dasgupta N and McGuire MA (2001) Estimation of conjugated linoleic acid intake by written dietary assessment methodologies underestimates actual intake evaluated by food duplicate methodology. *Journal of Nutrition* 131, 1548–1554.
- Sanz Sampelayo MR, Chilliard Y, Schmidely P and Boza J (2007) Influence of type of diet on the fat constituents of goat and sheep milk. Small Ruminant Research: The Journal of the International Goat Association 68, 42-63
- Schmidely P and Andrade PVD (2011) Dairy performance and milk fatty acid composition of dairy goats fed high or low concentrate diet in combination with soybeans or canola seed supplementation. Small Ruminant Research 99, 135–142.
- Shingfield KJ, Ahvenjärvi S, Toivonen V, Äröla A, Nurmela KVV, Huhtanen P and Griinari JM (2003) Effect of dietary fish oil on biohydrogenation of fatty acids and milk fatty acid content in cows. *Animal Science* 77, 165–179.
- Shingfield KJ, Reynolds CK, Lupoli B, Toivonen V, Yurawecz MP, Delmonte P, Griinari JM, Grandison AS and Beever DE (2005) Effect of forage type and proportion of concentrate in the diet on milk fatty acid composition in cows given sunflower oil and fish oil. Animal Science 80, 225–238.
- **Simopoulos AP** (2008) The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio in cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* **233**, 674–688.
- Sutton JD (1989) Altering milk composition by feeding. Journal of Dairy Science 72, 2801–2814.
- Toral PG, Frutos P, Hervás G, Gómez-Cortés P, Juárez M and de la Fuente MA (2010) Changes in milk fatty acid profile and animal performance in response to fish oil supplementation, alone or in combination with sunflower oil, in dairy ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science* 93, 1604–1615.
- **Toral PG, Rouel J, Bernard L and Chilliard Y** (2014) Interaction between fish oil and plant oils or starchy concentrates in the diet: effects on dairy performance and milk fatty acid composition in goats. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **198**, 67–82.
- Tudisco R, Grossi M, Addi L, Musco N, Cutrignelli MI, Calabrò S and Infascelli F (2014) Fatty Acid Profile and CLA Content of Goat Milk: Influence of Feeding System. J Food Res 3, 93.
- Ulbricht TLV and Southgate DAT (1991) Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors. Lancet (London, England) 338, 985–992.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74, 3583–3597.
- Weimer P, Stevenson D and Mertens D (2010) Shifts in bacterial community composition in the rumen of lactating dairy cows under milk fat-depressing conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science* 93, 265–278.
- Wright TC, Holub BJ and McBride BW (1999) Apparent transfer efficiency of docosahexaenoic acid from diet to milk in dairy cows. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 79, 565–568.
- Wu Z and Huber JT (1994) Relationship between dietary fat supplementation and milk protein concentration in lactating cows: a review. *Livestock Production Science* 39, 141–155.