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#### Abstract

A $\Sigma$-group is an abelian group on which is given a collection of infinite sums having properties suggested by those of absolutely convergent series in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$. It is shown that the usual decomposition of a torsion abelian group into its $p$-components carries over to the case of $\Sigma$-groups when the property of being torsion is replaced by an appropriate uniform version. For a certain class of $\Sigma$-groups, it turns out that being torsion is already sufficient for primary decomposition to hold.


1. Introduction. A $\Sigma$-group is an abelian group on which is given a collection of infinite sums having properties abstracted from certain of the properties of absolutely convergent series in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{C}$. The notion is originally due to Wylie [5]. Later, in [2] and [3], a somewhat narrower notion was considered (ihe $\Sigma$-groups of [2] and [3] coincide with those $\Sigma$-groups in the present sense which, in terms of concepts defined later in this note, are complete and regular). The present definition was suggested to us by Fleischer (this was prior to our learning of Wylie's work). Happily, it turns out to be equivalent to Wylie's (the only difference being quite inessential: Wylie's formulation is in terms of the series which sum to 0 ).

After introducing the relevant notions in Section 2, we show in Section 3 that the usual decomposition of a torsion abelian group into its $p$-components carries over to the case of $\Sigma$-groups when the property of being torsion is replaced by a uniform version, which we call ' $\Sigma$-torsion'. For a certain class of $\Sigma$-groups (the regular adic $\Sigma$-groups) it turns out that torsion and $\Sigma$-torsion are equivalent.
2. $\Sigma$-groups. A series on an abelian group $A$ is a family $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ of elements of $A$. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ be a series on $A$. Then $-\mathbf{x}$ is the series $\left(-x_{i}: i \in I\right)$, a subseries of $\mathbf{x}$ is a series of the form ( $x_{i}: i \in I^{\prime}$ ) where $I^{\prime} \subseteq I$, a contraction of $\mathbf{x}$ is a series of the form $\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} x_{i}: j \in J\right)$ where $\left(I_{j}: j \in J\right)$ is a partition of $I$ into finite sets $I_{j}$, and an addiction of $\mathbf{x}$ is a series of the form
$\left(x_{\theta(j)}: j \in J\right)$ where $\theta: J \rightarrow I$ is a function such that $\theta^{-1}(i)$ is finite for all $i$ in $I$. If $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{j}: j \in J\right)$ is also a series on $A$ then $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$ is the series $\left(z_{k}: k \in K\right)$ where $K$ is the disjoint union of $I$ and $J$ and $z_{i}=x_{i}$ for $i$ in $I, z_{j}=y_{j}$ for $j$ in $J$.

A $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is an abelian group $A$ together with a class $S$ of series on $A$ and a function $\Sigma: S \rightarrow A$ such that:
( $S$ ) $S$ contains every series on $A$ consisting of a single term and $S$ is closed under the operations $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},-\mathbf{x}$, contraction, and the insertion/deletion in a series of arbitrarily many 0 's;
( $\Sigma$ ) If $\mathbf{x}$ consists of a single term $a$ in $A$ then $\Sigma(\mathbf{x})=a$, if $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are in $S$ then $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})=\Sigma(\mathbf{x})+\Sigma(\mathbf{y})$ and $\Sigma(-\mathbf{x})=-\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$, and if $\mathbf{x}$ is in $S$ and $\mathbf{z}$ is a contraction of $S$ then $\Sigma(\mathbf{z})=\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$.

Every Hausdorff topological abelian group $A$ becomes a $\Sigma$-group when a series $\mathbf{x}$ on $A$ is taken to be in $S$ with $\Sigma(\mathbf{x})=a$ if and only if the net of finite subsums of $\mathbf{x}$ converges to $a$. (This is unconditional summability; see Bourbaki [1, Ch. III, Section 5] for a survey.)

Let $(A, S, \Sigma)$ be a $\Sigma$-group. For $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ in $S$, it is convenient to write $\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ as $\sum_{i} x_{i}$. If $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ and ( $\left.y_{i}: i \in I\right)$ are in $S$ then $\left(x_{i}+y_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is in $S$ with $\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}+y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{i} y_{i}$, and similarly for $\left(x_{i}-y_{i}: i \in I\right)$ and ( $n x_{i}: i \in I$ ) where $n$ is any integer (in which case $\sum_{i} n x_{i}=n \sum_{i} x_{i}$ ). Also, any series on $A$ which consists entirely of 0 's is in $S$ and has $\Sigma=0$.

A $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is discrete if $S$ consists precisely of the series on $A$ with only finite many non-zero terms, $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is complete if every subseries of a series in $S$ is in $S$, and $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is adic if every addiction of a series in $S$ is in $S$. Finally, $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is regular if, for every series $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ in $S$ and partition ( $I_{j}: j \in J$ ) of $I$ such that each of the series $\left(x_{i}: i \in I_{j}\right)$ is in $S$, it is the case that the series $\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} x_{i}: j \in J\right)$ is in $S$ and $\sum_{j \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} x_{i}$. It is easy to see that finite $\Rightarrow$ discrete $\Rightarrow$ adic $\Rightarrow$ complete, also that discrete $\Rightarrow$ regular, and simple examples show that none of these implications can be reversed. For any property $P$ of abelian groups, say that a $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ has $P$ if $A$ has $P$.

If $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is a $\Sigma$-group and $B$ is a subgroup of $A$ then $B$ becomes a $\Sigma$-group ( $B, T, \Sigma^{\prime}$ ), called a $\Sigma$-subgroup of $(A, S, \Sigma)$, if we take $T$ to consist of the series $\mathbf{x}$ on $B$ such that $\mathbf{x}$ is in $S$ and $\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ is in $B$, putting $\Sigma^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})=\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ for such $\mathbf{x} . B$ is $\Sigma$-closed in $A$ if, for every series $\mathbf{x}$ on $B$ such that $\mathbf{x}$ is in $S, \Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ is in $B$.

A morphism from a $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ to a $\Sigma$-group $(B, T, \Sigma)$ is a function $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that, for each ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) in $S,\left(f\left(x_{i}\right): i \in I\right)$ is in $T$ and $f\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)$. The kernel of such a morphism $f$ is evidently a $\Sigma$-closed subgroup of $A$.

The product $\Pi_{j}\left(A_{j}, S_{j}, \Sigma\right)$ of a family of $\Sigma$-groups is the $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ with $A=\prod_{j} A_{j}$, a series $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ on $A$ being in $S$ if and only if $\left(x_{i}(j): i \in I\right)$ is in $S_{j}$ for each $j$, with $\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)(j)=\sum_{i} x_{i}(j)$ for each $j$.

A $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is the direct sum of subgroups $A_{j}, j$ in $J$, of $A$ if
(1) $A=\oplus_{j} A_{j}$ as abelian groups,
(2) each $A_{j}$ is a $\Sigma$-closed subgroup of $A$,
and (3) for each ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) in $S$, there exists a finite subset $J_{1}$ of $J$ such that
(a) $\pi_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$ in $I$ and $j$ in $J \backslash J_{1}$, and (b) $\left(\pi_{j}\left(x_{i}\right): i \in I\right)$ is in $S$ for all $j$ in $J_{1}$ ( $\pi_{j}$ is the projection of $A$ onto $A_{j}$ relative to the decomposition $A=\oplus_{j} A_{j}$.

This definition can be seen to give an internal description of $(A, S, \Sigma)$ as the coproduct of its $\Sigma$-subgroups ( $A_{j}, S_{j}, \Sigma$ ); see [2, 4.2.3]. It is easily verified that if each $\left(A_{j}, S_{j}, \Sigma\right)$ is discrete then so is $(A, S, \Sigma)$, and likewise for completeness, adicity, and regularity. Note that if infinitely many of the $A_{j}$ 's are non-zero then the 'direct sum' $\Sigma$-structure on $A=\oplus_{j} A_{j}$ is strictly smaller than the 'product' $\Sigma$-structure on $\oplus_{j} A_{j}$ induced by regarding $\oplus_{j} A_{j}$ as a $\Sigma$-subgroup of $\Pi_{j}\left(A_{j}, S_{j}, \Sigma\right)$ via the embedding $\oplus_{j} A_{j} \rightarrow \prod_{j} A_{j}$ : if $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ are non-zero elements of $A_{j_{0}}, A_{j_{1}}, A_{j_{2}}, \ldots$, where $j_{0}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots$ are distinct, then the series $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}-a_{0}, a_{2}-a_{1}, \ldots\right)$, for example, is in the latter $S$ but not in the former.
3. Primary decomposition. Let $(A, S, \Sigma)$ be a torsion $\Sigma$-group. If $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is the direct sum of the $p$-components $A_{p}$ of $A$ then we say that primary decomposition holds for $(A, S, \Sigma)$. It does not hold for all torsion $\Sigma$-groups, for take $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ with the $\Sigma$-structure of unconditional summability with respect to the quotient topology on $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ ( $\mathbf{Q}$ with the usual topology). Then the $p$-components of $\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ are not even $\Sigma$-closed since $1 / 2-1 / 4+1 / 8 \ldots=1 / 3$ for instance. An example of the failure of primary decomposition in which the $p$-components are $\Sigma$-closed is obtained by taking $\oplus_{p} Z(p)$ with the product $\Sigma$-structure as defined in Section $2(Z(n)$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n)$; in this case primary decomposition fails since, although each $\left(Z(p), S_{p}, \Sigma\right)$ is necessarily a discrete $\Sigma$-group, the whole $\Sigma$-group isn't.

A condition which does ensure that primary decomposition holds is the following. Say that a $\Sigma$-group $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is $\Sigma$-torsion if for every series $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ in $S$ there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $n x_{i}=0$ for all $i$ in $I$. Clearly every $\Sigma$-torsion $\Sigma$-group is torsion and every discrete torsion $\Sigma$-group is $\Sigma$-torsion, likewise every $\Sigma$-group of bounded exponent. It is also clear that the property of being $\Sigma$-torsion is inherited by $\Sigma$-subgroups and direct sums.

## Theorem 1. Primary decomposition holds for all $\Sigma$-torsion $\Sigma$-groups.

Proof. Let $(A, S, \Sigma)$ be a $\Sigma$-torsion $\Sigma$-group. Then $A=\oplus_{p} A_{p}$. To see that each $A_{p}$ is $\Sigma$-closed in $A$, let ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) be a series in $S$ with each term $x_{i}$ in $A_{p}$. Since $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is $\Sigma$-torsion, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $n x_{i}=0$ for all $i$ in $I$. The smallest such $n$ will be of the form $p^{k}$ for some $k$ and so $p^{k} \sum_{i} x_{i}=\sum_{i} p^{k} x_{i}=0$, whence $\sum_{i} x_{i}$ is in $A_{p}$. To verify condition (3) in the definition of direct sum, let ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) be in $S$ and let $n$ be such that $n x_{i}=0$ for all $i$ in $I$, where $n=p_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{m_{k}}$ say. Then certainly $\pi_{p}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$ in $I$ and all $p \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\}$. Put $q_{j}=n / p_{j}^{m_{j}}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$ and let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$ be integers such that $q_{1} r_{1}+\ldots+q_{k} r_{k}=1$. Then for any $x$ in $A$ for which
$n x=0$ we have $\pi_{p_{j}}(x)=q_{j} r_{j} x, j=1, \ldots, k$. Hence from $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ in $S$ it follows that ( $\pi_{p_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right): i \in I$ ) is in $S$ for all $j=1, \ldots, k$. Thus condition (3) holds and Theorem 1 is proved.

It is not true that if primary decomposition holds for a $\Sigma$-group then that $\Sigma$-group is necessarily $\Sigma$-torsion. For example, consider $\oplus_{n} Z\left(2^{n}\right)$ with the product $\Sigma$-structure; as we have seen in Section 2, this $\Sigma$-group has some genuinely infinite sums and it is easy to find such a sum in which the terms are of unbounded order.

We wish to show that $\Sigma$-torsion holds for all regular adic torsion $\Sigma$-groups, and need:

Lemma. In a metric group $A$ with the $\Sigma$-structure of unconditional summability, a subgroup $B$ is topologically closed if and only if it is $\Sigma$-closed.

Proof. $B$ topologically closed clearly implies $B \quad \Sigma$-closed without the assumption of metrizability. For the converse, let $B$ be $\Sigma$-closed, let $x$ be a point in the closure of $B$, and let ( $x_{n}: n \in \omega$ ) be a sequence of points in $B$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. We may assume without loss of generality that $d\left(x, x_{n}\right)<1 / 2^{n}$ for all $n$, from which it follows that if we put $y_{0}=x_{0}, y_{n+1}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$ then $\Sigma_{n} y_{n}$ is unconditionally summable to $x$ (we are assuming here that $A$ carries an invariant metric, which we may by [1, Ch. IX, Section 3, Proposition 2] ). Since each $y_{n}$ is in $B, x$ is in $B$.

Theorem 2. Every regular adic torsion $\Sigma$-group is $\Sigma$-torsion.
Proof. Let $(A, S, \Sigma)$ be an adic torsion $\Sigma$-group. Since $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is complete, it is sufficient to show that if ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) is in $S$ where $I$ is countable then the $x_{i}$ 's are of bounded order. For each $i$ in $I$, let $n_{i}$ be the order of $x_{i}$, let $\Pi=\Pi_{i} Z\left(n_{i}\right)$ have the product $\Sigma$-structure, and define $f: \Pi \rightarrow A$ by $f(t)=\sum_{i} t(i) x_{i}$. The fact that $(A, S, \Sigma)$ is adic ensures that $f$ is everywhere-defined. To see that $f$ is a $\Sigma$-morphism, let $\Sigma_{j} t_{j}$ exist in $\Pi$. Then $\left\{j: t_{j}(i) \neq 0\right\}$ is finite for each $i$ in $I$ and hence, by the adicity of $(A, S, \Sigma)$, the existence of $\Sigma_{i} x_{i}$ implies that of $\sum_{i, j} t_{j}(i) x_{i}$. Now on the one hand, as would hold in any $\Sigma$-group, $\sum_{i, j} t_{j}(i) x_{i}=\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j} t_{j}(i) x_{i}\right)$, and on the other, by the regularity of $(A, S, \Sigma)$, $\sum_{i, j} t_{j}(i) x_{i}=\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{i} t_{j}(i) x_{i}\right)$. Thus

$$
f\left(\sum_{j} t_{j}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j} t_{j}(i) x_{i}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{i} t_{j}(i) x_{i}\right)=\sum_{j} f\left(t_{j}\right)
$$

as required. Since $f$ is a $\Sigma$-morphism, its kernel, $K$ say, is a $\Sigma$-closed subgroup of $\Pi$.

Now $\Pi$, as a countable product of finite groups with the discrete topology, is a compact metric group; also the $\Sigma$-structure of unconditional summability on $\Pi$ coincides with the product $\Sigma$-structure on $\Pi$ [1, Ch. III, Section 5, No. 4]. By
the above lemma, $K$ is a topologically closed subgroup of $\Pi$. Hence $\Pi / K$ is a compact group, which is also torsion since it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $A$. It follows that $\Pi / K$ is of bounded exponent (see Morris [4, Theorem 18] for example). This implies that the $x_{i}$ 's are of bounded order, as required.

From Theorems 1 and 2, we have immediately:
Theorem 3. Primary decomposition holds for all regular adic torsion $\Sigma$-groups.

We have been unable to determine whether Theorems 2 and 3 continue to hold when adicity is replaced by completeness.
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