

## COMPACT SETS IN $C_p(X)$ AND CALIBERS

N. D. KALAMIDAS AND G. D. SPILIOPOULOS

**ABSTRACT.** This presentation concerns the relation of chain conditions on a space  $X$ , with the weights of compact sets in  $C_p(X)$ , generalizing up to the class of  $d\sigma$ -bounded spaces, or stable spaces. In the last case, stronger results are obtained for Corson compact subsets of  $C_p(X)$ .

**1. Introduction.** All the spaces under consideration are assumed to be Tychonoff. Notations, terminology and cardinal inequalities left unexplained, could be found in [1] and [6]. If  $X$  is a space, then  $C_p(X)$  is the space of all continuous real-valued functions with the topology of pointwise convergence and  $C_p^*(X) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f \text{ is bounded}\}$ . It is clear, that the family of sets  $V(x; G) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(x) \in G\}$  where  $G$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , is an open subbase of  $C_p(X)$ .

For any cardinal function  $\varphi$  we put  $h\varphi = \sup\{\varphi(Y) : Y \text{ is a subspace of } X\}$  and  $h\varphi$  is called the *hereditary version* of  $\varphi$ .

Let  $A$  be an index set and  $\mathbb{R}^A$  the usual product of  $|A|$  real lines. We set  $\Sigma_*(|A|) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^A : \{a \in A : |f(a)| \geq \varepsilon\} \text{ is finite for every } \varepsilon > 0\}$  and  $\Sigma(\omega) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^A : |\{a \in A : f(a) \neq 0\}| \leq \omega\}$ .

A compact space  $X$  is *Eberlein (Corson) compact* if and only if  $X$  is homeomorphic to a compact subspace of  $\Sigma_*(|A|)$  ( $\Sigma(\omega)$ ). It is apparent, that every Eberlein compact space is Corson compact.

A supersequence is the one-point compactification of any infinite discrete space. We put  $\alpha(X) = \sup\{\tau : \text{there is a supersequence } Y \text{ in } X, \text{ such that } |Y| = \tau\}$ . It is known (see [5]) that  $\Sigma_*(\tau)$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p(A)$  for every supersequence  $A$ ,  $|A| = \tau$ , where  $\Sigma_*(\tau) = \Sigma_*(|A|)$ .

The cardinal  $\min\{\tau : \tau^+ \text{ is a caliber of } X\}$  is denoted by  $\text{sh}(X)$  and the point finite cellularity of  $X$ , by  $p(X)$ .

A space  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -pseudocompact ( $\sigma$ -bounded), if  $X$  is the union of countably many pseudocompact (bounded) subsets. ■

It is well known the fact proved by Arkhangel'skii (see [3]), that the Suslin number of any compact space  $X$  is the least upper bound of the weights of compact sets lying in  $C_p(X)$ . But when  $F$  is a compact subset of  $C_p(X)$ , where  $X$  is pseudocompact,  $F$  can be considered, using arguments of [3], as a subset of  $C_p(\beta X)$  where  $c(X) = c(\beta X)$ , obtaining this way the following:

---

Received by the editors August 14, 1990; revised May 5, 1992 .

AMS subject classification: 54C40, 54A25, 54C35.

Key words and phrases: caliber, point finite cellularity, supersequence, stability, monolithicity, Corson compact, pseudocompact, Banach space, Šhanin number, spread, tightness.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1992.

PROPOSITION 1. *For every pseudocompact space  $X$ ,  $c(X) = \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is compact set in } C_p(X)\}$ .* ■

REMARK 1. Let  $X$  be a non-metrizable Eberlein compact space. Then, after Proposition 7.1 of [3],  $C_p(X)$  contains a dense and obviously with countable cellularity  $\sigma$ -compact subspace  $Y$ . Since  $X$  embeds in  $C_p(Y)$ , if the above proposition was valid for  $\sigma$ -compact spaces, the space  $X$  would be metrizable contradicting the hypothesis. Below, other “stronger” cardinal functions appear as upper bounds for the weights of compact sets in  $C_p(X)$ , when  $X$  is  $d\sigma$ -pseudocompact ( $d\sigma$ -bounded), i.e. contains a dense  $\sigma$ -pseudocompact ( $\sigma$ -bounded) subspace. ■

REMARK 2. We cannot extend Proposition 1 to pseudocompact subsets of  $C_p(X)$ . Indeed, let  $X$  be a Šakhmatov space ( $X$  is infinite), i.e. a pseudocompact space where all countable subspaces are closed and  $C^*$ -embedded. Then  $C_p(X, I)$  is pseudocompact, where  $I$  is the closed unit interval of the real line, has a countable cellularity and does not have a  $G_\delta$  diagonal ([8]). In view of the fact that  $X$  is embedded in  $C_p C_p(X, I)$ , if  $w(X) \leq c(C_p(X, I))$ , then  $X$  would be compact and metrizable. But, if  $X$  is (infinite) compact and metrizable, then  $X$  cannot be a Šakhmatov space. ■

COROLLARY 1.1. *For every pseudocompact space  $X$ ,  $p(X) = c(X)$ .*

PROOF. It is known ([2]) that for every space  $X$ ,  $p(X) = \alpha(C_p(X))$ . Let now  $p(X) = \tau$ . It is immediate from Proposition 1, that  $c(X) \geq \tau$ . The reverse inequality is obvious. ■

COROLLARY 1.2. *Consider the pseudocompact spaces  $X, Y$  and a continuous, 1-1, function  $\theta$  from  $C_p(X)$  into  $C_p(Y)$ . If  $Y$  satisfies  $\tau$ . c. c, where  $\tau > \omega$ , then so does  $X$ .* ■

We may return now, to the promise given in Remark 1. Let  $s(Y) = \sup\{|Z| : Z \text{ is a discrete subspace of } Y\}$ , the “spread” of the space  $Y$ . It is known (see [7]), that for every space  $Y$ ,  $c(Y) \leq s(Y)$ . Then, the following is valid.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $X$  be a  $d\sigma$ -pseudocompact space. Then,  $s(X) \geq \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ .*

PROOF. The statement in question, trivially reduces to the case when  $X = \bigoplus\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  with each  $D_n$  pseudocompact. As  $C_p(X) = \prod\{C_p(D_n) : n \in \omega\}$  it is immediate that  $\sup\{w(F) : F \subset C_p(X) \text{ and } F \text{ is compact}\} = \sup_{n < \omega} \sup\{w(F) : F \subset C_p(D_n) \text{ and } F \text{ is compact}\}$  and this finishes the proof. ■

NOTE. We wish to thank the referee who suggested the above proof.

Let  $F$  be a subset of  $C_p(X)$ . Obviously the induced function  $e_F$  from  $X$  to  $C_p(F)$ , such that for every  $x$  in  $X$  and  $f$  in  $F$ ,  $e_F(x)(f) = f(x)$ , is continuous. If  $F$  separates the points of  $X$ , then  $e_F$  is also 1-1.

The next lemma is easy to prove. The basic idea comes from [9].

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  be a space. If  $A \subset C_p(X)$  separates points in  $X$  then the algebra generated by  $A$  is dense in  $C_p(X)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $X$  be a space such that there exists a set  $F \subset C_p(X)$  with  $t(C_p(F)) = \omega$  and  $d(C_p(F)) = \tau$  where  $cf\tau > \omega$ . Then  $X$  has no  $cf\tau$  caliber.

PROOF. Consider  $\{\mu_j : j < \tau\}$ , a dense subset of  $C_p(F)$ . Lemma 3 implies that for every  $i < \tau$ , there are  $f_i, g_i \in F, f_i \neq g_i$ , such that  $\mu_j(f_i) = \mu_j(g_i)$  for all  $j < i$ . Thus, for every  $i < \tau$  there exist  $r_i \in Q, \delta_i > 0$ , such that

$$f_i^{-1}(-\infty, r_i) \cap g_i^{-1}(r_i + \delta_i, +\infty) \neq \emptyset, \text{ or}$$

$$g_i^{-1}(-\infty, r_i) \cap f_i^{-1}(r_i + \delta_i, +\infty) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $cf\tau > \omega$ , we may suppose without loss of generality, that there are  $A \subset \tau, |A| = \tau$ , and  $r \in Q, \delta > 0$  such that

$$V_i = f_i^{-1}(-\infty, r) \cap g_i^{-1}(r + \delta, +\infty) \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } i \in A.$$

Let  $\{i_n : n < cf\tau\} \subset A$  where  $i_n < i_{n'}$ , if  $n < n' < cf\tau$  and  $\sup_{n < cf\tau} i_n = \tau$ .

Suppose that  $X$  has  $cf\tau$  caliber. Then, there is a cofinal set  $B \subset \{i_n : n < cf\tau\}$  with  $|B| = cf\tau$ , such that  $\bigcap \{V_i : i \in B\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap \{V_i : i \in B\}$ . Since  $t(C_p(F)) = \omega$  there exist  $i_0 \in B$  such that  $e_F(x) \in \overline{\{\mu_i : i < i_0\}}$ . Choose  $i_1 < i_0$  such that  $|f_{i_0}(x) - \mu_{i_1}(f_{i_0})| < \delta/4$  and  $|g_{i_0}(x) - \mu_{i_1}(g_{i_0})| < \delta/4$ . We have  $\mu_{i_1}(f_{i_0}) = \mu_{i_1}(g_{i_0})$  and therefore  $|f_{i_0}(x) - g_{i_0}(x)| < \delta/2$  contradicting the fact that  $i_0 \in B$ . ■

COROLLARY 3.2 ([2]). Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $w(X) = \tau$ . If  $\lambda = cf\tau > \omega$ , then  $\lambda$  is not a caliber of  $C_p(X)$ . ■

COROLLARY 3.3 ([2]). Suppose that  $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ . Then the following are valid:

- (a) If  $X$  has  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  calibers, then every compact subset of  $C_p(X)$  is metrizable.
- (b) Every compact space  $X$  such that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are calibers of  $C_p(X)$  is metrizable.

COROLLARY 3.4 (GCH). If  $B$  is a Banach space such that  $(B, w)$  has  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  calibers, then  $B$  is separable.

PROOF. It is well known that  $(S_{B^*}, w^*)$ , the unit ball of  $B^*$  with the  $w^*$ -topology, is contained homeomorphically into  $C_p(B, w)$ . Since  $B$  is contained isometrically into  $C(S_{B^*}, w^*)$ , the proof is completed using Corollary 3.3. ■

Recall that a space  $X$  is  $\tau$ -monolithic if  $nw(A) \leq \tau$  for every  $A \subset X$  with  $|A| \leq \tau$ .  $X$  is called *monolithic* when it is  $\tau$ -monolithic, for every cardinal  $\tau$ .

We can avoid the set theoretic assumptions in Corollary 3.3 enriching  $X$  or  $F$  properly. Indeed if  $X$  is stable, meaning that  $iw(Y) = nw(Y)$  for each continuous image  $Y$  of  $X$ , keeping also in mind that this happens if and only if  $C_p(X)$  is monolithic ([1]), we obtain the following results.

PROPOSITION 4. For every space  $X$ ,  $\text{sh}(X) \geq \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a monolithic compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ . ■

PROOF. Let  $F$  be a compact subset of  $C_p(X)$ . If  $d(F) > \tau$ , where  $\tau = \text{sh}(X)$  then there is a left separated subset  $A$  of  $F$ , such that  $|A| = \tau^+$ . But  $w(A) = d(C_p(A)) = \tau^+$  contradicting the hypothesis since Proposition 3.1 is valid. Hence  $d(F) = w(F) \leq \tau$ . ■

COROLLARY 4.1. Let  $X$  be a  $d\sigma$ -bounded space. Then,  $\text{sh}(X) \geq \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $F$  be a compact subset of  $C_p(X)$ . Then, according to Theorem 9.23 of [3],  $F$  is Eberlein compact and the proof is completed. ■

PROPOSITION 4.2. For every stable space  $X$ ,  $\text{sh}(X) \geq \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ . ■

LEMMA 4.3. For every compact space  $X$ ,  $w(X) = \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a compact subset of } C_p C_p(X)\}$ .

PROOF. It is known (see [1]) that  $w(X) = d(C_p(X)) = iw(C_p C_p(X))$ . But  $iw(F) = w(F) \leq iw(C_p C_p(X))$  for every compact subset  $F$  of  $C_p C_p(X)$ . Since  $X$  embeds in  $C_p C_p(X)$ , the proof is completed. ■

COROLLARY 4.4. If  $X$  is a monolithic compact space, then  $\text{sh}(C_p(X)) = w(X)$ .

PROOF. Since  $C_p(X)$  is stable, it is immediate from Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4 that  $\text{sh}(C_p(X)) \geq w(X)$ . The reverse inequality comes true since  $w(X) = d(C_p(X))$ . ■

COROLLARY 4.5. For every monolithic compact space  $X$ , the cardinal  $\tau^+$ , where  $\tau \geq t(X)$ , is a caliber of  $X$  if and only if it is a caliber of  $C_p(X)$ .

PROOF. In view of Corollary 4.4 sufficiency is obvious. However, Šapirovsii has proved (see [7]) that for every compact space  $X$  the condition:  $(*) \tau^+$  caliber and  $\tau \geq t(X)$  means that  $\pi w(X) < \tau^+$  and the necessity comes true. ■

Baturov has proved (see [1]), that  $l(Y) = e(Y)$  for  $Y \subset C_p(X)$ , where  $e(Y) = \sup\{|A| : A \text{ is a closed discrete subspace of } Y\}$ . Therefore,  $s(Y) \geq l(Y)$ . Hence,  $s(C_p(X)) \geq hl(C_p(X))$ . But,  $d(X) \leq hl(C_p(X))$  (see [1]). Since  $X$  is monolithic compact,  $w(X) \leq hl(C_p(X))$ . Keeping in mind that  $w(X) = nw(X) = nw(C_p(X)) \geq s(C_p(X))$  the following is valid.

PROPOSITION 5. If  $X$  is a monolithic compact space, then a)  $w(X) = s(C_p(X))$  and b)  $\text{sh}(C_p(X)) = s(C_p(X))$ . ■

Arkhangel'skii proves in [4] that for a space  $X$ ,  $C_p(X)$  is  $2^{l(X)}$  monolithic where  $l(X)$  is the Lindelöf degree of  $X$ . Hence, under GCH we can state the following.

PROPOSITION 6 (GCH). *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $l(X) = \tau$ . If  $\tau^+$  is a caliber of  $X$ , then  $w(F) \leq \tau$  for every compact subset  $F$  of  $C_p(X)$ .* ■

LEMMA 7. *Let  $F$  be a compact set in  $C_p(X)$ . Then  $d(e_F(X)) = w(F)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $e_F(X)$  separates the points of  $F$ , the induced function  $e^*$  from  $F$  to  $C_p(e_F(X))$  such that for every  $f$  in  $F$  and  $g$  in  $e_F(X)$ ,  $e^*(f)(g) = g(f)$ , is a homeomorphic embedding. Thus,  $w(F) = nw(F) \leq nw(C_p(e_F(X))) = nw(e_F(X))$ , provided that for every space  $Y$  the equality  $nw(Y) = nw(C_p(Y))$  is valid (see [1], Theorem 1, p. 14). But  $e_F(X)$  is monolithic ([3]). Hence,  $d(e_F(X)) = nw(e_F(X)) \leq nw(C_p(F)) = nw(F) = w(F)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Let  $X$  be stable. Then  $p(X) = \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a Corson compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ .*

PROOF. Since every supersequence is a Corson compact space,  $p(X) \leq \sup\{w(F) : F \text{ is a Corson compact subset of } C_p(X)\}$ . Now, let  $F$  be a Corson compact subset of  $C_p(X)$ , such that  $w(F) = \lambda$ . Then, there is a function  $\theta$  from  $C_p(F)$  to a  $\Sigma_*(\tau)$  continuous, linear and 1-1, ([5]). Thus, there is a supersequence  $A$  in  $C_p C_p(F)$  which separates the points of  $C_p(F)$  ([2], Proposition 2.9). Therefore,  $A$  separates the points of  $Y = e_F(X)$ . Hence  $B = \pi_Y(A)$ , where  $\pi_Y$  is the natural projection from  $C_p C_p(F)$  to  $C_p(Y)$  such that  $\pi_Y(g) = g|_Y$ , is a supersequence in  $C_p(Y)$  separating the points of  $Y$ . Thus,  $nw(Y) \geq nw(B) = w(B)$  and  $iw(Y) \leq w(C_p(B)) = |B| = w(B)$ , since  $e_B$  from  $Y$  to  $C_p(B)$  is continuous and 1-1. From the stability of  $Y$ , we get that  $nw(Y) = w(B)$ . But, Lemma 4.3 implies that  $nw(Y) = w(F)$ . Hence,  $w(B) = |B| = \lambda$ , meaning that  $Y$  and accordingly  $X$ , has no  $(\lambda, \omega)$  caliber. ■

COROLLARY 7.2. *If  $X$  is a Corson compact space, then (a)  $w(X) = p(C_p(X))$  and (b)  $\text{sh}(C_p(X)) = p(C_p(X)) = s(C_p(X))$ .*

PROOF. (a) Since  $X$  is monolithic, then  $C_p(X)$  is stable. Thus  $w(X) \leq p(C_p(X))$ . However, in view of Proposition 7.1, Lemma 4.3 gives  $w(X) \geq p(C_p(X))$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *A survey on  $C_p$ -theory*, Q and A in General Topology, 5(1987), Special Issue.
2. A. V. Arkhangel'skii and V. V. Tkachuk, *Calibers and point finite cellularity of the space  $C_p(X)$  and some questions of S. Gul'ko and M. Husek*, Topology and its applications 23(1986), 63–73.
3. A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *Function spaces in the topology of pointwise convergence, and compact sets*, Russian Math. Surveys (5) 39(1984), 9–56.
4. ———, *Topological properties of function spaces: Duality theorems*, Soviet Math. Dokl. (2) 27(1983), 470–473.
5. S. P. Gul'ko, *On the structure of spaces of continuous functions and their complete paracompactness*, Russian Math. Surveys (6) 34(1979), 36–44.
6. W. W. Comfort and S. Negreponis, *Chain Conditions in Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
7. I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in Topology—Ten years later*, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.

8. V. V. Tkachuk, *Calibers of spaces of functions and the metrization problem for compact subsets of  $C_p(X)$* , Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta Matematika (3) **43**(1988), 21–24.
9. ———, *The smallest subring of the ring  $C_p(C_p(X))$  containing  $X \cup \{1\}$  is everywhere dense in  $C_p(C_p(X))$* , Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta Matematika (1) **42**(1987), 20–23.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Athens*  
*GR-15781 Panepistimiopolis*  
*Athens, Greece*