

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ORDERS OF REGRESSIVE $\omega$ -GROUPS

MATTHEW J. HASSETT

(Received 26 February 1970, revised 21 April 1971)

Communicated by J. N. Crossley

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_R$  denote the collections of all non-negative integers, isols and regressive isols respectively. An  $\omega$ -group is a pair  $(\alpha, p)$  where (1)  $\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , (2)  $p(x, y)$  is a partial recursive group multiplication for  $\alpha$  and (3) the function which maps each element of  $\alpha$  to its inverse under  $p$  has a partial recursive extension. If  $G = (\alpha, p)$  is an  $\omega$ -group, we call the recursive equivalence type of  $\alpha$  the *RET* or *order* of  $G$  (written  $o(G)$ ). Let  $G_R = \{T \in \Lambda_R \mid T = o(G) \text{ for some } \omega\text{-group } G\}$ . It follows from the version of the Lagrange Theorem given in [4] that  $\Lambda_R - G_R$  is non-empty and has cardinality  $c$ . In this paper we characterise the isols in  $G_R$  as follows: *A regressive isol  $T$  belongs to  $G_R$  if and only if  $T \in E$  or  $T$  is infinite and there exist a regressive isol  $U \leq T$  and a function  $a_n$  from  $E$  into  $E - \{0\}$  such that  $U \leq_* a_n$  and  $T = \prod_U a_n$ .* (The " $\leq_*$ " relation is defined in [2]). In presenting the proof of this result, we shall assume that the reader is familiar with either [3] or [4]. The proof that, given  $a_n$  and  $U \leq_* a_n$ , a group of order  $\prod_U a_n$  exists is based on the natural trick—one constructs a direct product of disjoint cyclic groups of order  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  indexed by elements of a set of RET  $U$ . The proof that any regressive group  $G$  has order of the form  $\prod_U a_n$  is trivial for finite groups; the proof for infinite regressive groups is based upon the construction of an ascending chain of finite subgroups  $G_i$  of  $G$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} G_i = G$  and

$$o(G) = o(G_1) \cdot \underbrace{\frac{o(G_2)}{o(G_1)} \cdot \frac{o(G_3)}{o(G_2)} \cdots \frac{o(G_{n+1})}{o(G_n)} \cdots}_U$$

## 2. Characterization of $G_R$

In the following we shall write  $pf$  to denote the range of a function  $f$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G = (\tau, p)$  be an  $\omega$ -group of order  $T$ , where  $T \in \Lambda_R$ . Then*

there exist a regressive isol  $U \leq T$  and a function  $a_n$  from  $E$  to  $E - \{0\}$  such that  $U \leq_* a_n$  and  $T = \Pi_U a_n$ .

PROOF. We need consider only  $T \in \Lambda_R - E$ . Let  $t_n$  be a regressive function such that  $\tau = \rho t_n$ . Let  $q(x)$  be a regressing function for  $t_n$ . (We assume without loss of generality that  $t_0$  is the identity element of  $G$ .) We shall give simultaneous inductive definitions of an increasing function  $d(n)$ , an increasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of finite subgroups of  $G$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty G_n = G$  and a function  $a_n$  such that  $t_{d(n)} \leq_* a_n$ . We will then show that  $v \upharpoonright \tau - v$ , where  $v = \rho t_{d(n)}$ , and complete the proof by showing that  $T = \Pi_U a_n$ , where  $U = \text{Req}(v)$ .

We first note that given a finite subset  $\sigma$  of  $\tau$  if we alternately apply  $q$  and take the group closure we can effectively enumerate the smallest subgroup  $G(\sigma)$  of  $G$  containing  $\sigma$  and closed under  $q$  and the group operation. Since  $\tau$  is isolated  $G(\sigma)$  is finite and we can decide when  $G(\sigma)$  has been obtained. We now proceed with the necessary definitions.

$$G_{-1} = \phi$$

- $i = 0$  (1)  $d(0) = 1$
- (2)  $G_0 = G(\{t_1\})$
- (3)  $a_0 = o(G_0)$

- $i = n + 1$  (1)  $d(n + 1) = (\mu y)[t_y \notin G_n]$
- (2)  $G_{n+1} = G(\{t_{d(n+1)}\})$
- (3)  $a_{n+1} = \frac{o(G_{n+1})}{o(G_n)}$ .

It follows immediately from the definition above that both the function  $d(n)$  and the sequence of sets  $\{G_n\}$  are increasing. Given  $t_{d(n)}$  one can find  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{d(n)}$  and determine all elements of each  $G_i, 0 \leq i \leq n$ . This information is sufficient for the computation of  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Hence  $t_{d(n)} \leq_* a_n$ . Similarly given  $x = t_k \in \tau$ , there is a number  $m$  such that  $x \in G_m - G_{m-1}$  and one can use the definition above to compute  $t_{d(0)}, \dots, t_{d(m)}$ . This information is sufficient to determine whether or not  $x \in v$ . Hence  $v \upharpoonright \tau - v$ .

We now prove that  $o(G) = \Pi_U a_n$ . We use the notation of [3]. Let  $\gamma$  denote the set of all indices of finite functions  $f$  such that  $\delta_e f \subseteq v$  and  $(\forall n)[f(t_{d(n)}) < a_n]$ . Since  $\text{Req}(\gamma) = \Pi_U a_n$ , we need only show that  $\gamma \simeq \tau$ . Using Proposition 1 of [1], we shall prove this by describing a one-to-one function  $\alpha(x)$  mapping  $\tau$  onto  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(x)$  have partial recursive extensions.  $\alpha(x)$  is defined as follows: For each  $m \in E$ , let

$$\gamma_m = \{n \mid n \in \gamma \text{ and } t_{d(m)} \in \delta_e r_n \text{ and } [t_{d(k)} \in \delta_e r_n \Rightarrow k \leq m]\}.$$

We write  $G$  and  $\gamma$  as disjoint unions of finite sets as follows:

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n \cup \dots$$

$$G = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{d(1)-1}\} \cup \{t_{d(1)}, \dots, t_{d(2)-1}\} \cup \dots \cup \{t_{d(n)}, \dots, t_{d(n+1)-1}\} \cup \dots$$

We observe that the  $n$ th finite set in the decomposition of  $G$  is merely  $G_n - G_{n-1}$ . It is easily seen that the cardinality of the  $n$ th set in each decomposition above is  $(a_0 a_1 \dots a_n) - (a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1})$  for  $n > 1$  and  $a_0$  for  $n = 0$ . Furthermore, given any element of either  $\gamma_n$  or  $G_n - G_{n-1}$ , we can (uniformly) effectively recover  $t_{d(n)}$  and list all elements of both  $\gamma_n$  and  $G_n - G_{n-1}$  in increasing order. Let  $\alpha(x)$  be the function from  $\tau$  to  $\gamma$  which pairs the elements of each set  $G_n - G_{n-1}$  with the elements of  $\gamma_n$  in increasing order. The preceding discussion shows that both  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(x)$  have partial recursive extensions. This completes the proof.

Let  $a_n$  be a sequence of positive integers.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $T \leq_* a_n$  and  $T \in \Lambda_R - E$ , then  $\Pi_T a_n \in \Lambda_R$ .*

PROOF. Left to the reader.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $T \in \Lambda_R - E$  and let  $a_n$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $T \leq_* a_n$ . Then there exists a regressive  $\omega$ -group of order  $\Pi_T a_n$ .*

PROOF. Let  $B_E$  be the group of all permutations of  $E$  which leave all but finitely many numbers fixed, and let  $f \leftrightarrow f^*$  be any Gödel numbering of  $B_E$  which is one-to-one and bi-effective. It was shown in [5] that  $P(E) = \{f^* \mid f \in B_E\}$  is an  $\omega$ -group under the induced multiplication  $f^* \cdot g^* = (f \circ g)^*$ . We shall construct a subgroup of  $P(E)$  of order  $\Pi_T a_n$ . We will use the recursive pairing function  $j(x, y)$  and associated projection functions  $k(x)$  and  $l(x)$  defined in [1]. Let  $t_n$  be any regressive function such that  $\text{range}(t_n) \in T$ . Let  $\gamma_n$  denote the cyclic permutation

$$(j(t_n, 0), j(t_n, 1), \dots, j(t_n, a_n - 1)).$$

Let  $[\gamma_n^*]$  denote the cyclic subgroup of  $P(E)$  generated by  $\gamma_n^*$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $P(E)$  generated by  $\{\gamma_n^* \mid n \in E\}$ .

Since the permutations  $\gamma_n$  move disjoint sets of numbers,  $G$  is the weak direct product of the cyclic groups  $[\gamma_n^*]$ . Thus  $G$  is an  $\omega$ -group with non-trivial elements of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_{n_i}^{*l_i}$ , where  $0 < l_i < a_{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $\alpha(x)$  be the function with domain  $G$  which maps  $x = \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_{n_i}^{*l_i}$  to the index of the finite function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} l_i, & x = t_{n_i} \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq k \\ 0, & x \notin \{t_{n_1}, \dots, t_{n_k}\} \end{cases}$$

and maps the identity permutation to the constant function  $f(x) \equiv 0$ . It is readily seen that  $\alpha$  maps  $G$  one-to-one onto the set

$$\beta = \{n \mid \delta_e r_n \subseteq \rho t_k \text{ and } (\forall k)[r_n(t_k) < a_k]\}.$$

Since the RET of  $\beta$  is  $\Pi_1 a_n$ , we need only show that  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(x)$  have partial recursive extensions to complete the proof. We leave this straightforward verification to the reader.

#### References

- [1] J. C. E. Dekker, *Infinite series of Isols* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., V (1962), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I.).
- [2] J. C. E. Dekker, 'The Minimum of Two Regressive Isols', *Math. Zeitschr.* 83 (1964), 345–366.
- [3] E. Ellentuck, 'Infinite Products of Isols', *Pacific J. Math.* 14 (1964), 49–52.
- [4] D. Ferguson, 'Infinite Products Recursive Equivalence Types', *J. Symbolic Logic* 33 (1968), 221–230.
- [5] M. Hassett, 'Recursive Equivalence Types and Groups', *J. Symbolic Logic* 34 (1969), 13–20.
- [6] J. Myhill, 'Recursive Equivalence Types and Combinatorial Function', *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 64 (1958), 373–376.
- [7] A. Nerode, 'Extensions to Isols', *Annals of Math.* 73 (1961), 362–403.

Arizona State University  
 Tempe, Arizona  
 U.S.A.