

ON A THEOREM OF OSIMA AND NAGAO

J. S. FRAME AND G. DE B. ROBINSON

1. Introduction. If we define the *weight* b of a Young diagram containing n nodes to be the number of removable p -hooks where $n = a + bp$, then three fundamental theorems stand out in the modular representation theory of the symmetric group S_n .

1.1 *Two irreducible representations of S_n belong to the same block if and only if they have the same p -core.*

This has been proved in various ways (1; 5; 7).

1.2 *The number l_b of ordinary irreducible representations in a block of weight b is independent of the p -core and is given by*

$$l_b = \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_p} p_{b_1} p_{b_2} \dots p_{b_p} \quad \left(\sum_1^p b_i = b, 0 \leq b_i \leq b \right).$$

The enumeration here is based on the 1-1 correspondence holding (5; 8) between the representations $[\alpha]$ with a given p -core and the associated star diagrams $[\alpha]_p^*$.

1.3 *The number l'_b of modular irreducible representations (indecomposables of the regular representation of S_n)*

- (i) *is independent of the p -core, and*
- (ii) *is given by*

$$l'_b = \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}} p_{b_1} p_{b_2} \dots p_{b_{p-1}} \quad \left(\sum_1^{p-1} b_i = b, 0 \leq b_i \leq b \right).$$

Theorem 1.3 (ii) was recently proven by Osima (6) assuming 1.3 (i) (8); Nagao (4) obtained 1.3 (i) and (ii) directly. We give here another version of Osima's proof which yields, in addition, generating functions for the number of p -cores containing a nodes and the number of blocks (1) to which the representations of S_n belong.

2. Proof of 1.3(ii). The partition generating function

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(x) &= 1 + p_1x + p_2x^2 + p_3x^3 + \dots \\ &= \{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3) \dots\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is well known (2, p. 272). It follows from 1.2 that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(x) = 1 + l_1x + l_2x^2 + \dots = [\mathcal{P}(x)]^p.$$

Received October 10, 1953.

If we write

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{C}(x) = 1 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots,$$

when c_a is the number of p -cores containing a nodes, then we may enumerate the ordinary representations of S_n lying in all the blocks in the following manner:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{C}(x) \mathcal{L}(x^p) = \mathcal{C}(x) [\mathcal{P}(x^p)]^p = \mathcal{P}(x),$$

using 2.2 and the fact that $n = a + bp$. On the other hand, assuming 1.3 (i), we may write

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}'(x) = 1 + l_1x + l_2x^2 + \dots$$

Since the total number of modular irreducible representations is equal to the number of p -regular classes of S_n , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{C}(x) \mathcal{L}'(x^p) = \mathcal{P}(x) / \mathcal{P}(x^p).$$

From 2.4 and 2.6 it follows immediately that

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{L}'(x^p) = [\mathcal{P}(x^p)]^{p-1},$$

or

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}'(x) = [\mathcal{P}(x)]^{p-1},$$

which is precisely the relation 1.3 (ii).

3. The number of p -regular classes. We can say a little more, however. Setting

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(x) = 1 + m_1x + m_2x^2 + \dots,$$

where m_n is the number of distinct blocks associated with S_n , we have

$$(3.2) \quad m_i = c_i + c_{i-p} + c_{i-2p} + \dots,$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(x) = \mathcal{C}(x) / (1 - x^p) = \mathcal{P}(x) / (1 - x^p) [\mathcal{P}(x^p)]^p,$$

from 2.4.

In this connection it is worth remarking that the generating function on the right hand side of 2.6, namely,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\mathcal{P}(x)}{\mathcal{P}(x^p)} = \frac{(1 - x^p)(1 - x^{2p}) \dots}{(1 - x)(1 - x^2) \dots},$$

can be interpreted in two ways. We may cancel each factor of $\mathcal{P}(x^p)$ with an equal factor of $\mathcal{P}(x)$ and conclude that $\mathcal{P}(x) / \mathcal{P}(x^p)$ generates the number of partitions of n into summands not divisible by p , which is the number of p -regular classes. Or we may divide the k th factor $(1 - x^k)^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{P}(x)$ into the k th factor $(1 - x^{kp})^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{P}(x^p)$ and generate the number of partitions into summands no one of which appears as many as p times. Hence we have:

3.5 *The number of p -regular classes of S_n is equal to the number of partitions of n in which no summand appears as many as p times.*

This result is of interest in the study of the indecomposables of the regular representation of S_n ; such partitions may indeed characterize them.

REFERENCES

1. R. Brauer, *On a conjecture by Nakayama*, Trans. Royal Soc. Canada, III, 41 (1947), 11–19.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *The theory of numbers* (Oxford, 1945).
3. D. E. Littlewood, *Modular representations of symmetric groups*, Proc. Royal Soc. London (A) 209 (1951), 333–353.
4. H. Nagao, *Note on the modular representations of symmetric groups*, Can. J. Math. 5 (1953), 356–363.
5. T. Nakayama and M. Osima, *Note on blocks of symmetric groups*, Nagoya Math. J. 2 (1951), 111–117.
6. M. Osima, *Some remarks on the characters of the symmetric group*, Can. J. Math. 5 (1953), 336–343.
7. G. de B. Robinson, *On a conjecture by Nakayama*, Trans. Royal Soc. Canada, III, 41 (1947), 20–25.
8. ———, *On a conjecture by J. H. Chung*, Can. J. Math., 4 (1952), 373–380.

Michigan State College