## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor,

## On conditional passage-time structure of birth-death processes

Sumita (1984) has given an analytic proof of the interesting fact that, for any continuous-time Markov birth and death process $\{X(t), t \geqq 0\}$ on $[0,1, \cdots)$ with strictly positive birth and death rates, any $1 \leqq m<n<\infty$, the conditional first-passage times ${ }^{(m-1)} T_{m, n+1}$ and ${ }^{(n+1)} T_{n, m-1}$ have the same distribution. (Here ${ }^{(k)} T_{i j}$ denotes the time it takes to reach $j$ from $i$ given that $j$ is reached before $k$.) He also states that this identity has 'no clear probabilistic interpretation'. He appears to have overlooked the following elementary argument.

Let ${ }^{(k)} N_{i j}$ denote the analogous conditional first-passage times for the corresponding jump-chain $\left\{X_{r}, r \geqq 0\right\}$, which is a Markov chain on $[0,1, \cdots$ ) with $p_{i, i+1}=p_{i}, p_{i, i-1}=q_{i}, 0<p_{i}<1, p_{i}+q_{i}=1, i=1,2, \cdots, p_{01}=1$. (Thus ( $X_{r}, r \geqq 0$ ) is a discrete birth and death process, or a generalized random walk.) Take fixed $1 \leqq m<n<\infty$ and set $N={ }^{(m-1)} N_{m, n+1}, \tilde{N}={ }^{(n+1)} N_{n, m-1}$ and let $\bar{p}_{i j}^{(r)}$ denote the $r$-step transition probabilities with taboo set $\{m-1, n+1\}$. Then clearly

$$
P\{N=r\}=\alpha_{r} / \sum_{1}^{\infty} \alpha_{r}, \quad P\{\tilde{N}=r\}=\tilde{\alpha}_{r} / \sum_{1}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{r}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{r}=p_{n} \cdot \bar{p}_{m, n}^{(r-1)}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_{r}=q_{m} \cdot \bar{p}_{n, m}^{(r-1)}
$$

By associating with each path of length $j$ starting at $m$, finishing at $n$ and not visiting $m-1$ or $n+1$ the reversed path starting at $n$ and finishing at $m$, it is easily seen that for all $j$ such that $\bar{p}_{m, n}^{(j)}>0$,

$$
\bar{p}_{m, n}^{(j)}=\left\{p_{m} p_{m+1} \cdots p_{n-1} / q_{n} q_{n-1} \cdots q_{m+1}\right\} \cdot \bar{p}_{n, m}^{(j)}
$$

Hence $\alpha_{r}=c \tilde{\alpha_{r}}$ for all $r$, where $c=\prod_{m}^{n}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)$, and $N$ and $\tilde{N}$ have the same distribution.

To extend this to $\{X(t), t \geqq 0\}$, notice that the above argument assigns to each path of $\left\{X_{r}, r \geqq 0\right\}$ from $m$ to $n+1$ which has positive probability, conditional on not hitting $m-1$, a path from $n$ to $m-1$ which has the same probability, conditional on not hitting $n+1$. Furthermore the correspondence is such that both paths visit each state $l$ the same number of times, for each $m \leqq l \leqq n$. Thus the times spent by $\{X(t), t \geqq 0\}$ in traversing the two paths will have the same distribution, and it follows easily that ${ }^{(m-1)} T_{m, n+1}$ and ${ }^{(n+1)} T_{n, m-1}$ have the same distribution.

In the case that the birth rates and death rates are constant, Sumita also established the stronger result that for each $0 \leqq k \leqq n-m,{ }^{(m-1)} T_{m+k, n+1}$ and ${ }^{(n+1)} T_{n-k, m-1}$ have the same distribution. This is 'also susceptible to a similar elementary proof, based on a consideration of the reflected process $\tilde{X}_{n}=$ $m+n-X_{n}$.

Statistical Laboratory,<br>Yours sincerely,<br>Department of Mathematics,<br>R. A. Doney University of Manchester
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