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In a recent issue of this Journal, Pu [3] has given an interesting construction of a nonmeasurable subset $A$ of $R$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(A \cap I)=\lambda(I) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all intervals $I$ in $R$. [Throughout this note, the symbol $\lambda$ denotes Lebesgue outer measure on $R$ or Haar outer measure on a general locally compact group.] This solves a problem stated in [2], p. 295, Exercise (18.30).

We present in this note two examples, different from Pu's, that have properties like (1).

First consider any nonmeasurable [additive] subgroup $B$ of $R$. There are many such subgroups: see for example [1], (16.13). A specific construction is the following. Let $H$ be any Hamel basis for $R$ over the rationals $Q$, let $a$ be an element of $H$, and let $B$ be the set of all linear combinations $\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} h_{j}$ where $r_{j} \in Q$ and no $h_{j}$ is equal to $a$. Steinhaus's theorem ([2], (10.43)) shows that $B$ is nonmeasurable. Note that every measurable subset $F$ of $B$ has measure 0 : if $F \subset B$ and $\lambda(F)>0$, Steinhaus's theorem shows that $F-F$ contains an interval $J$ about 0 , and so $J \subset F-F \subset B-B=B$. This implies of course that $B=R$. Now if $I$ is any open interval and $U$ is an open set such that $I \backslash B \subset U \subset I$, then we have $\lambda(U)=\lambda(I)$, since otherwise we would have

$$
0<\lambda(I \backslash U) \text { and } I \backslash U \subset B .
$$

Hence the complement $R \backslash B$ has property (1).
Our second example is somewhat different. Following Sierpiński [4], we construct a set $C$ of irrational numbers such that if $x, y$ are irrational and $x+y$ is rational, then exactly one of $x$ and $y$ is in $C$. Well order the set $S$ of irrational numbers in any fashion, by an ordering $\leqq$. Let the first element be in $C$. Now suppose that for all $x<y$, we have determined whether or not $x \in C$. If $y=-x+r$ for some $x<y, x \in C$, and rational $r$, then $y$ is not in $C$. Otherwise, $y$ is in $C$. If $u, v \in S$ and $u+v \in Q$, then plainly not both $u$ and $v$ are in $C$.

Assume that neither $u$ nor $v$ is in $C$. Then there are a $u^{\prime}<u$ and a $v^{\prime}<v$ such that $u^{\prime}+u$ and $v^{\prime}+v$ are in $Q$, and $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ are in $C$. We may suppose that $u^{\prime} \leqq v^{\prime}$. Then $\left(u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}\right)+u+v$ is in $Q$, so that $u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ is in $Q$, an evident contradiction.

Next consider any rational number $r$ and consider the mapping $\tau_{r}$ of $R$ onto $R$ defined by $\tau_{r}(x)=2 r-x$ [reflection in the point $r$ ]. It is clear that $\tau_{r}$ preserves Lebesgue outer measure: $\lambda\left(\tau_{r}(X)\right)=\lambda(X)$ for all $X \subset R$. Now consider any interval $I=] r-\alpha, r+\alpha[$, for $\alpha>0$. It is simple to show that

$$
\tau_{r}(I \cap C)=(I \backslash C) \cup(Q \cap I)
$$

and so $\lambda(I \cap C)=\lambda(I \backslash C)$. Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\frac{\lambda(I)}{2 \alpha} \leqq \frac{\lambda(I \cap C)+\lambda(I \backslash C)}{2 \alpha} \\
& =\frac{2 \lambda(I \cap C)}{2 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\lambda(I \cap C) \geqq \frac{1}{2} \lambda(I)
$$

Now given an arbitrary interval ] $x, x+h$ [, where $h>0$, consider any $h^{\prime}$ such that $0<h^{\prime}<h$ and $x+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime}$ is rational. Then $] x, x+h^{\prime}[$ is an interval of the type $I$, so that we have

$$
\frac{\lambda(C \cap] x, x+h^{\prime}[)}{h^{\prime}} \geqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

We may also write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda(C \cap] x, x+h[)}{h} & \geqq \frac{\lambda(C \cap] x, x+h^{\prime}[)}{h^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{h^{\prime}}{h} \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{h^{\prime}}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h^{\prime} / h$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1 , we see that

$$
\frac{\lambda(C \cap] x, x+h[)}{h} \geqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for all $x \in R$ and $h>0$. Exactly the same argument shows that

$$
\frac{\lambda(] x, x+h[\backslash C)}{h} \geqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for all $x \in R$ and $h>0$.
Plainly then $C$ is nonmeasurable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(I \cap C) \geqq \frac{1}{2} \lambda(I) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all intervals $I$.
Finally we note that our first exmaple admits a generalization to any locally compact Hausdorff group $G$ with left Haar measure $\lambda$ that contains a non $\lambda$-measurable subgroup $B$. [For example, every nondiscrete Abelian $G$ contains such a subgroup: see [1], (16.13.c).] Then if $E$ is a $\lambda$-measurable subset of $G$ and $\lambda(E)<\infty$, the equality $\lambda(E \backslash B)=\lambda(E)$ holds.
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