## Elastomeric respirators: Expanding the "E" in PPE

Michael P. Koster MD n and Leonard A. Mermel DO, ScM, AM

Department of Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Rhode Island Hospital and Hasbro Children's Hospital of Lifespan, 593 Eddy St, Providence, RI 02903

To the Editor—The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recently acknowledged that transmission severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) may include smallparticle aerosols over greater distances, necessitating institutions to seek more appropriate respiratory protection for their patient-facing staff.1 Elastomeric respirators are an alternative to provide the needed level of protection that N95 respirators currently provide. Unlike N95 respirators that are traditionally single use, elastomeric respirators are intended for extended use, and with appropriate cleaning processes, for reuse.<sup>2</sup> Given the increase in cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) across the United States and the fractured supply chains for personal protective equipment such as N95 respirators, we performed an informal survey of academic institutions to determine whether their staff are currently using elastomeric respirators when caring for patients with COVID-19 infection.

We surveyed hospital epidemiologists from 45 institutions via e-mail, and we received 9 responses (~20% response rate) across 7 states: Colorado, Massachusetts, Maryland, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Utah, and Virginia. All 9 institutions invested in elastomeric respirators for their hospital employees, some specifically for certain departments (ie, respiratory therapy, anesthesiology, otolaryngology, and general surgery). A few had already been using elastomeric respirators in operating rooms before the COVID-19 pandemic, with initial use after the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. Due to asymptomatic spread and need for source control in the COVID-19 pandemic, all of these institutions reported

that they require a surgical mask be placed over the exhalation valve of the elastomeric respirator. Notably, not unique to elastomeric respirators, users described communication interference.<sup>3</sup> Still, we believe that elastomeric respirators are an additional tool many institutions are utilizing and others should be exploring. The next step at our institution will be rolling out elastomeric respirators, fit-testing them, and requiring that the exhalation valve be covered with a surgical mask while awaiting newly designed valve covers from the manufacturer.

## Acknowledgments.

**Financial support.** No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

**Conflicts of interest.** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

## References

- Scientific brief: SARS-CoV-2 and potential airborne transmission. Center for Disease Control website. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/more/ scientific-brief-sars-cov-2.html. Published 2020. Accessed November 3, 2020
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Reusable Elastomeric Respirators in Health Care: Considerations for Routine and Surge Use. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2019.
- Radonovich LJ Jr, Yanke R, Cheng J, Bender B. Diminished speech intelligibility associated with certain types of respirators worn by healthcare workers. J Occup Environ Hyg 2010;7:63–70.

Author for correspondence: Michael P. Koster, E-mail: michael\_koster@brown.edu
Cite this article: Koster MP and Mermel LA. (2022). Elastomeric respirators:
Expanding the "E" in PPE. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 43: 129, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1377

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.