

Original Article

\*Equal contribution.

**Cite this article:** Wong SMY *et al* (2022). Prevalence and correlates of suicidal behaviours in a representative epidemiological youth sample in Hong Kong: the significance of suicide-related rumination, family functioning, and ongoing population-level stressors. *Psychological Medicine* 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722001519>

Received: 4 February 2022

Revised: 28 April 2022

Accepted: 6 May 2022

**Key words:**










COVID-19; epidemiological study; family functioning; risk factors; suicidal behaviours; suicide-related rumination; youth mental health

**Author for correspondence:**

Eric Y. H. Chen,

E-mail: [eyhchen.hk@gmail.com](mailto:eyhchen.hk@gmail.com)

# Prevalence and correlates of suicidal behaviours in a representative epidemiological youth sample in Hong Kong: the significance of suicide-related rumination, family functioning, and ongoing population-level stressors

Stephanie M. Y. Wong<sup>1,\*</sup> , Charlie H. Ip<sup>1,\*</sup>, Christy L. M. Hui<sup>1</sup> , Y. N. Suen<sup>1</sup> ,  
Corine S. M. Wong<sup>1</sup> , W. C. Chang<sup>1,2</sup> , Sherry K. W. Chan<sup>1,2</sup> ,  
Edwin H. M. Lee<sup>1</sup> , Simon S. Y. Lui<sup>1</sup> , K. T. Chan<sup>1</sup>, Michael T. H. Wong<sup>1</sup> and  
Eric Y. H. Chen<sup>1,2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Medicine, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong and <sup>2</sup>The State Key Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

## Abstract

**Background.** Young people are most vulnerable to suicidal behaviours but least likely to seek help. A more elaborate study of the intrinsic and extrinsic correlates of suicidal ideation and behaviours particularly amid ongoing population-level stressors and the identification of less stigmatising markers in representative youth populations is essential.

**Methods.** Participants ( $n = 2540$ , aged 15–25) were consecutively recruited from an ongoing large-scale household-based epidemiological youth mental health study in Hong Kong between September 2019 and 2021. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt were assessed, alongside suicide-related rumination, hopelessness and neuroticism, personal and population-level stressors, family functioning, cognitive ability, lifetime non-suicidal self-harm, 12-month major depressive disorder (MDD), and alcohol use.

**Results.** The 12-month prevalence of suicidal ideation, ideation-only (no plan or attempt), plan, and attempt was 20.0, 15.4, 4.6, and 1.3%, respectively. Importantly, multivariable logistic regression findings revealed that suicide-related rumination was the only factor associated with all four suicidal outcomes (all  $p < 0.01$ ). Among those with suicidal ideation (two-stage approach), intrinsic factors, including suicide-related rumination, poorer cognitive ability, and 12-month MDE, were specifically associated with suicide plan, while extrinsic factors, including coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) stressors, poorer family functioning, and personal life stressors, as well as non-suicidal self-harm, were specifically associated with suicide attempt.

**Conclusions.** Suicide-related rumination, population-level COVID-19 stressors, and poorer family functioning may be important less-stigmatising markers for youth suicidal risks. The respective roles played by not only intrinsic but also extrinsic factors in suicide plan and attempt using a two-stage approach should be considered in future preventative intervention work.

## Introduction

Large-scale population-level crises, such as the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and social unrest, are increasingly common in today's global society (Marani, Katul, Pan, & Parolari, 2021; Ni *et al.*, 2020). Apart from their consequences on mental health (Torales, O'Higgins, Castaldelli-Maia, & Ventriglio, 2020; Wong *et al.*, 2021a), concerns have been raised regarding their impacts on suicidal risks (Gunnell *et al.*, 2020). Most existing studies, however, tended to focus on intrinsic vulnerabilities and personal life stressors and rarely accounted for the influences of ongoing societal circumstances. Notably, suicide remains to be one of the leading causes of mortality and disability, with young people being particularly vulnerable to suicidal thoughts and behaviours (O'Connor & Nock, 2014; World Health Organization, 2021). Self-disclosure of suicidal behaviours and help-seeking are, nonetheless, disproportionately suboptimal due to stigma (Calear, Batterham, & Christensen, 2014; Sheehan, Corrigan, & Al-Khouja, 2017). With the persisting challenges in predicting suicidal risks (Turecki & Brent, 2016), the identification of novel markers that are less stigmatising is crucial for facilitating early risk detection and intervention.

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt are among the three most commonly studied non-fatal suicidal behaviours that vary on a continuum of severity (Nock *et al.*, 2013; Turecki & Brent, 2016). While suicidal ideation is more common (Nock *et al.*, 2008), this milder form of suicidal behaviour is often considered to precede suicide planning and attempt (Hintikka *et al.*, 2009). Each of these outcomes thus represents important intervention targets (Turecki & Brent, 2016). Few studies, however, have examined how a range of personal and environmental risk factors may be similarly or differentially associated with all three outcomes in epidemiological youth samples.

Various individual-level risk factors for suicidal behaviours have been discussed, including younger age, higher levels of hopelessness and neuroticism, self-harm, as well as pre-existing psychiatric conditions, particularly depressive disorder and excessive substance use (Cha *et al.*, 2018; Mars *et al.*, 2019). Cognitive deficits, such as updating, have also been suggested to be associated with greater suicidal risks (Bredemeier & Miller, 2015). These factors are, nonetheless, either difficult to modify or are non-specific to suicidal outcomes. While self-harm and psychiatric conditions may be strong indicators of risk, young people are typically also less willing to disclose such experiences and seek help (Gulliver, Griffiths, & Christensen, 2010; Michelmore & Hindley, 2012; Rowe *et al.*, 2014).

One potentially important yet seldomly investigated risk factor is *suicide-related rumination*. Prior studies that examined rumination in mental health and suicidal outcomes have typically emphasised the “self-focused” depressive-type rumination, in which the thought content surrounds one’s distress symptoms and their causes and consequences (Miranda & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2007; Rogers & Joiner, 2017). Suicide-related rumination, in contrast, describes repetitive thoughts *about* suicide, which may hold greater relevance to the determination of suicidal risks. Indeed, a few initial studies have offered support for suicide-related rumination as an indicator of suicidal risks (Höller, Teismann, & Forkmann, 2021; Rogers & Joiner, 2018; Rogers, Gallyer, & Joiner, 2021). Notably, a recent study has found depressive rumination to be no longer predictive of lifetime ideation and attempt in both student and community adult samples when suicide-related rumination was accounted for (Rogers, Law, *et al.*, 2021), highlighting its potential relevance.

In addition, considering the roles of both proximal and distal environmental stressors can be important. For instance, the impact of exposure to personal stressful life events (SLEs) on suicide has generally been studied (Stewart *et al.*, 2019; Turecki and Brent, 2016). Family functioning has also been raised to be a key factor of youth suicide (Law & Shek, 2016; Leung, Kwok, & Ling, 2016), which is particularly relevant to Hong Kong since most young people tend to live with their family due to limited living space and high cost of living. How population-level environmental stressors, such as social unrest and COVID-19 – which have been associated with increased mental health risks (Ni *et al.*, 2020; Wong *et al.*, 2021b) – may additionally contribute to different suicidal outcomes in epidemiological samples remains to be studied.

Findings from a limited number of studies on this topic have suggested the influence of epidemics on suicidal behaviours (Leaune, Samuel, Oh, Poulet, & Brunelin, 2020; Zortea *et al.*, 2021). While mixed findings have been reported concerning suicidal risks before and during COVID-19 (Cheung, Lam, Lee, Xiang, & Yip, 2021; Sher, 2020), a recent study has found disproportionately higher rates of suicidal ideation during COVID-19

among young adults in Hong Kong compared to other populations (Schluter *et al.*, 2021). This finding was partly attributed by the researchers to the cumulative effects of the series of social unrest since June 2019 (which had only gradually subsided since the local COVID-19 pandemic in January 2020) and the pandemic (Schluter *et al.*, 2021). Indeed, the additive effects of social unrest-related traumatic events (TEs) and COVID-19 pandemic-related events (PEs) on psychopathological symptoms in young people have previously been reported (Wong *et al.*, 2021a).

The current study thus aimed to first provide an update on the prevalence of lifetime and 12-month suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt in a large representative epidemiological youth sample in Hong Kong. We also aimed to examine factors associated with these three key suicide-related outcomes using a comprehensive multifactorial model, covering aspects ranging from demographics to psychological and personality factors, interpersonal relationships, psychiatric conditions, as well as suicide-related rumination, cognitive ability, and both proximal and distal personal and population-level stressors.

## Method

### Population and study design

Participants were consecutively recruited between September 2019 and 2021 as part of the ongoing Hong Kong Youth Epidemiological Study of Mental Health (HK-YES). The HK-YES is an ongoing territory-wide, household-based epidemiological study of youth mental health in Hong Kong that adopts a stratified multistage cluster sampling design as in previous epidemiological studies (Lam *et al.*, 2015). Young people between the ages of 15 and 24 at the time of recruitment were invited via mail sent to addresses obtained from the local government, which were randomly selected and clustered by districts and housing types. All assessments were conducted through face-to-face interviews, with the option of online video conferencing using the same procedures during COVID-19. Domains including suicide-related outcomes, psychiatric symptoms and disorders, personality and psychological factors, external stress exposure, and sociodemographic characteristics were assessed in this study. During the data collection period, it was estimated that our invitation letters were able to reach 5035 valid addresses (invalid addresses were defined as ‘age range not met’, ‘unit not occupied’, or ‘false address’). Of these valid addresses, 2956 participants (58.7%) were recruited, among which 2540 (85.9%) provided complete data on the variables of interest and were included in this study.

Seven participants (0.3%) of this sample reported being neither female nor male. Due to the small sample size, main analyses of this study focused on the biological sex of participants. Proportions of young people with suicidal ideation and behaviours in the past 12 months across each identified gender group are provided in the online Supplementary Material Table S1.

### Measures

#### *Suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt*

Lifetime and 12-month suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt, respectively, were assessed using items adapted from the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS; Posner *et al.*, 2011) as in previous large-scale suicide research (e.g. Nock *et al.*

2014, 2018). Suicidal ideation was assessed using the items 'Did you ever in your life wish you were dead or would go to sleep and never wake up?' or 'Did you ever in your life have thought of killing yourself?'. Those who reported 'yes' to either of these items were further asked if they have ever made a suicide plan ['Did you ever think about how you might kill yourself (e.g. taking pills, shooting yourself) or work out a plan of how to kill yourself?'] and suicide attempt ['Have you ever made a suicide attempt (i.e. purposefully hurt yourself with at least some intent to die)?']. The scale has shown good validity for use in young people (Posner et al., 2011).

#### *Suicide-related rumination*

Among those with lifetime suicidal ideation, participants were asked to rate the degree to which they have *ruminated* about their suicidal thoughts using a modified item also from the C-SSRS (Posner et al., 2011) as in Nock et al. (2018): 'During that worst week, how easy was it for you to control those thoughts or push them out of your mind when you wanted to?' on a 5-point Likert scale ('easy' to 'impossible, unable to control the thoughts').

#### *Population-level and personal stressful events*

Three types of external stressful events – social unrest-related TEs, COVID-19 PEs, and personal SLEs – were assessed. First, exposure to TEs since June 2019 was assessed using a three-item binary checklist capturing key experiences during the social unrest as has been previously reported (Wong et al., 2021b), including 'crowd dispersal by the use of force', 'arrest or detention', and 'media viewing of others being physically attacked'.

COVID-19 PEs were asked using four items capturing stressful experiences related to COVID-19, including 'having sufficient protective gears' (reversed coded), 'increased personal and rest time due to remote work/school' (reversed coded), 'increased conflicts with family due to remote work/school', and 'increased work/studies hours due to remote work/school'. Each item was assessed using a 5-point Likert scale ('completely disagree' to 'completely agree') before November 2020 and in the form of a binary checklist from November 2020. For those who completed their assessments before November 2020, a rating of 'completely disagree' or 'disagree' for the items 'having sufficient gears' and 'personal and rest time increased' and a rating of 'agree' or 'completely agree' for the other two items were recoded into 1. A score of 0 was given to those whose data were collected prior to the first confirmed case in Hong Kong (23 January 2020).

Personal SLEs were assessed using an adapted version of the List of Threatening Experiences (Brugha, Bebbington, Tennant, & Hurry, 1985), which is a 12-item binary checklist that captures different personal life stressors during the past year, such as serious illness, death of a first-degree or second-degree relative, and loss of a steady relationship (Brugha et al., 1985; Rosmalen, Bos, & de Jonge, 2012). 'Expelled from school' and 'dropped out of school' were provided as alternatives to 'sacked from job' and 'unemployment', respectively, to adapt to the current youth population. An 'others' option was also added, yielding a final number of 13 SLEs. The items endorsed in each of the measures were independently summed to generate composite scores for TEs, PEs, and SLEs, respectively.

#### *Hopelessness and neuroticism*

Hopelessness during the past week was assessed using the 20-item Beck's Hopelessness Inventory (Beck, Weissman, Lester, &

Trexler, 1974). Items were rated on a binary checklist (true/false) and summed to generate a composite score. Neuroticism was assessed using the neuroticism subscale (eight items) of the 44-item Big Five Inventory (John & Srivastava, 1999). Items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ('disagree strongly' to 'agree strongly') and summed to generate a composite score ( $\alpha = 0.81$ ).

#### *Family functioning*

Family functioning was assessed using the 16-item Brief Family Relationship Scale (Fok, Allen, & Henry, 2014), which captures three key domains, including family cohesion (e.g. 'help and support each other'), expressiveness (e.g. 'can talk openly in our home'), and conflict (e.g. 'we argue a lot'), with each rated on a 4-point Likert scale ('a lot' to 'not at all'). A higher score suggests poorer family functioning ( $\alpha = 0.90$ ).

#### *Cognitive ability*

Working memory, as reflective of cognitive ability, was assessed using the Forward and Backward Digit Span Test (DS-F and DS-B, respectively) in the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, third edition (Wechsler, 1997). The DS-F requires participants to store the sequence of digits in their memory (more reflective of short-term memory), while the DS-B requires participants to process and reorganise information while storing the sequence of digits simultaneously (Grégoire & Van der Linden, 1997). A lower score on either of the tests suggests poorer working memory capability.

#### *Non-suicidal self-harm, depressive disorder, and alcohol use*

Lifetime non-suicidal self-harm was assessed using the item 'Did you ever do something to hurt yourself on purpose, without wanting to die (e.g. cutting yourself, hitting yourself, or burning yourself)?' from the Self-Injurious Thoughts and Behaviors Interview (Nock, Holmberg, Photos, & Michel, 2007). Any major depressive episode (MDE) during the past 12 months based on the DSM-V criteria was determined using items from the Composite International Diagnostic Interview–Screening Scales (Kessler et al., 2013). The degree of alcohol use was assessed using the 10-item Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (Saunders, Aasland, Babor, de la Fuente, & Grant, 1993), which captures aspects of hazardous alcohol use, dependence symptoms, and harmful use of alcohol, with each item rated on a 5-point Likert scale. A higher total score reflects higher risks in excessive alcohol use ( $\alpha = 0.80$ ).

#### *Childhood adversity*

Past adversity through the age of 17 was assessed using items adapted from the Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (World Health Organization, 2018) as in previous studies (e.g. Mall et al., 2018), capturing aspects including abuse (physical, emotional, sexual), neglect, and parental psychopathology using a 5-point Likert scale ('never' to 'very often'). Those who gave a rating of 3 (sometimes) to any of the experiences were categorised into a group denoting participants 'with experience of childhood adversity'.

#### *Statistical methods*

The prevalence of lifetime and 12-month suicidal ideation, ideation-only, plan, and attempt were each estimated with weighting adjustments according to age and sex data from the 2019 Hong Kong Census to improve representativeness.

Four separate multivariable logistic regression models were then applied to four key suicidal outcomes to examine their associated factors, namely 12-month suicidal ideation, ideation-only (i.e. excluding those without suicide plan or attempt), suicide plan, and suicide attempt. The variables included in each model included suicide-related rumination; exposure to TEs, PEs, and SLEs; hopelessness and neuroticism; family functioning; working memory (forward and backward digit span scores); lifetime non-suicidal self-harm (yes/no), 12-month MDE (yes/no), and 12-month alcohol use, and age, sex (female/male), and childhood adversity (yes/no). Online Supplementary analyses were performed to identify factors associated with 12-month ideation-only, plan, and attempt with age as a categorical variable (15–18/19–25 years) (see online Supplementary Material Table S3). Additionally, a two-stage design was applied to examine factors associated with 12-month suicide plan and attempt, respectively, among participants *with* suicidal ideation during the past 12 months. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were reported, with statistical significance set at the  $p < 0.05$  level. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0.

## Results

### *Prevalence of lifetime and 12-month suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt, suicide-related rumination, and non-suicidal self-harm among young people in Hong Kong*

Sample characteristics are presented in Table 1. After weighting adjustments, the lifetime prevalence of suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt in the Hong Kong youth population was 47.4, 14.6, and 5.5%, respectively. The weighted 12-month prevalence of suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt was 20.0, 4.6, and 1.3%, respectively. Suicidal ideation-only was reported in 15.4% of the local youth population (after weighting). A flowchart illustrating the 12-month prevalence of suicide plan and attempt among those with suicidal ideation are presented in Fig. 1.

Regarding suicide-related rumination, 5.8 and 3.4% of the participants (after weighting) reported the thoughts being ‘very difficult’ and ‘impossible’ to control even when they wanted to, respectively. Meanwhile, the weighted prevalence of lifetime non-suicidal self-harm was 14.0%.

### *Factors associated with 12-month suicidal ideation, ideation-only, plan, and attempt in the epidemiological youth sample*

Table 1 presents findings from the four respective multivariable logistic regression models for each of the 12-month suicidal outcomes. Some similarities and differences in the patterns of associations were observed. Summarised findings from the regression models are presented in online Supplementary Material Table S2 for ease of comparison.

#### *Shared factors associated with 12-month suicidal outcomes*

Among the variables, suicide-related rumination was among the only factor that was significantly associated with all four suicide-related outcomes, including suicidal ideation (OR 1.71, CI 1.58–1.86), ideation-only (OR 1.64, CI 1.51–1.79), plan (OR 1.55, CI 1.35–1.77), and attempt (OR 1.52, CI 1.18–1.95) (all  $p < 0.01$ ).

Meanwhile, poorer family functioning was associated with elevated odds of 12-month suicidal ideation and ideation-only

(OR 1.04, CI 1.02–1.06 for both) and attempt (OR 1.08 CI 1.02–1.13), but not with plan. Higher levels of hopelessness and having an MDE in the past 12 months were associated with elevated odds of suicidal ideation, ideation-only, and plan (all  $p < 0.05$ ), but not with attempt. Female sex was associated with both suicidal ideation and plan (both  $p < 0.05$ ). Meanwhile, self-harm was associated with suicide plan and attempt (both  $p < 0.05$ ), but not with ideation (Table 1).

#### *Unique contributing factors to 12-month suicidal outcomes*

Apart from the shared risk factors, a number of other factors showed specificity in their associations with the respective suicide-related outcomes. Personal background factors, such as higher degrees of neuroticism and younger age, were associated with elevated odds of suicidal ideation (OR 1.07, CI 1.05–1.10 and OR 0.93, CI 0.89–0.97, respectively) and ideation-only (OR 1.08, CI 1.05–1.11 and OR 0.93, CI 0.88–0.97, but not with plan or attempt (Table 1). Poorer working memory capacity, as reflected by forward digit span score, was associated specifically with suicide plan (OR 0.82, CI 0.72–0.94). Meanwhile, COVID-19 PEs were specifically associated with elevated odds of suicide attempt (OR 1.61, CI 1.07–2.41).

#### *Factors associated with 12-month suicide plan and attempt among those with ideation: a two-stage approach*

Further analyses were performed to determine factors associated with 12-month suicide plan and attempt among young people with suicidal ideation in the past 12 months ( $n = 533$ ).

Suicide-related rumination remained to be significantly associated with elevated odds of 12-month suicide plan (OR 1.24, CI 1.05–1.47) (Table 2). A non-significant positive trend was observed between suicide-related rumination and suicide attempt (OR 1.32, CI 0.98–1.78,  $p = 0.064$ ). Poorer working memory capacity (OR 0.84, CI 0.73–0.97) and 12-month MDE (OR 2.04, CI 1.27–3.28) were specifically associated with suicide plan. Meanwhile, with all other factors accounted for, COVID-19 PEs (OR 1.72, CI 1.13–2.62), poorer family functioning (OR 1.06, CI 1.01–1.12), self-harm (OR 3.60, 1.56–8.33), as well as personal SLEs (OR 1.32, CI 1.00–1.74), were among specifically associated with elevated odds of suicide attempt (Table 2).

## Discussion


Using data from a representative epidemiological sample of young people in Hong Kong, we found nearly half of the local youth population (47.3%) have had suicidal thoughts at least once or more in their lifetime, one in seven (14.6%) have made a plan, and one in 18 (5.5%) have ever made an attempt. The prevalence of 12-month suicidal ideation in the Hong Kong youth population was also as high as 20.0%, which is slightly higher than those reported in a previous local study among secondary school students (13.7% in Shek and Yu, 2012) and in other meta-analysis studies (18.8% among high school students in the United States between 1991 and 2017 in Lindsey, Sheftall, Xiao, and Joe, 2019; 18.7% among left-behind children in China in Qu *et al.*, 2021).

Importantly, our findings showed that suicide-related rumination was among the only factor associated not only with suicidal ideation but also plan and attempt. This observation extended findings from a number of recent works (Rogers & Joiner, 2018; Rogers, Gallyer, *et al.*, 2021; Rogers, Law, *et al.*, 2021) to

**Table 1.** Multivariable logistic regression models showing the associations between the range of intrinsic and extrinsic factors and 12-month suicidal ideation, ideation-only, suicide plan, and suicide attempt in the representative epidemiological youth sample in Hong Kong ( $n = 2540$ )

|  | Overall sample ( $n = 2540$ ) | 12-month suicidal ideation ( $n = 533$ ) |             |              |                  | 12-month suicidal ideation-only (no plan or attempt) ( $n = 387$ ) |             |              |                  | 12-month suicide plan ( $n = 125$ ) |             |              | 12-month suicide attempt ( $n = 34$ ) |             |             |              |              |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|------------------|--|-------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
|  |                               | OR                                       | 95% CI      | $p$          | OR               | 95% CI   | $p$         | OR           | 95% CI           | $p$                                 | OR          | 95% CI       | $p$                                   |             |             |              |              |
| <b>Suicide-related rumination</b>            |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Suicide-related rumination                   | 1.06 (1.43)                   | <b>1.71</b>                              | <b>1.58</b> | <b>-1.86</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.64</b>  | <b>1.51</b> | <b>-1.79</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.55</b>                         | <b>1.35</b> | <b>-1.77</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b>                      | <b>1.52</b> | <b>1.18</b> | <b>-1.95</b> | <b>0.001</b> |
| <b>Extrinsic stressful events</b>            |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Social unrest-related TEs                    | 0.93 (0.71)                   | 1.01                                     | 0.86        | -1.19        | 0.91             | 1.02   | 0.85        | -1.23        | 0.80             | 0.97                                | 0.73        | -1.29        | 0.83                                  | 1.20        | 0.74        | -1.95        | 0.47         |
| COVID-19 PEs                                 | 1.49 (0.96)                   | 0.90                                     | 0.79        | -1.02        | 0.095            | 0.88   | 0.77        | -1.01        | 0.068            | 0.97                                | 0.78        | 1.20         | 0.75                                  | <b>1.61</b> | <b>1.07</b> | <b>-2.41</b> | <b>0.021</b> |
| Personal SLEs                                | 0.82 (1.12)                   | 0.98                                     | 0.89        | -1.08        | 0.70             | 0.94   | 0.84        | -1.05        | 0.25             | 1.09                                | 0.93        | -1.27        | 0.31                                  | 1.23        | 0.95        | -1.59        | 0.11         |
| <b>Psychological and personality</b>         |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Hopelessness                                 | 6.84 (4.08)                   | <b>1.09</b>                              | <b>1.06</b> | <b>-1.13</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.08</b>  | <b>1.05</b> | <b>-1.12</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.11</b>                         | <b>1.05</b> | <b>-1.17</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b>                      | 1.02        | 0.93        | -1.12        | 0.72         |
| Neuroticism                                  | 25.68 (5.67)                  | <b>1.07</b>                              | <b>1.05</b> | <b>-1.10</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.08</b>  | <b>1.05</b> | <b>-1.11</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | 1.04                                | 1.00        | -1.09        | 0.076                                 | 1.00        | 0.92        | -1.09        | 0.97         |
| <b>Interpersonal factors</b>                 |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Poorer family functioning                    | 19.87 (7.16)                  | <b>1.04</b>                              | <b>1.02</b> | <b>-1.06</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.04</b>  | <b>1.02</b> | <b>-1.06</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | 1.03                                | 1.00        | -1.06        | 0.098                                 | <b>1.08</b> | <b>1.02</b> | <b>-1.13</b> | <b>0.006</b> |
| <b>Cognitive ability</b>                     |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Forward digit span                           | 12.71 (1.44)                  | 0.93                                     | 0.86        | -1.01        | 0.088            | 0.98   | 0.89        | -1.07        | 0.60             | <b>0.82</b>                         | <b>0.72</b> | <b>-0.94</b> | <b>0.004</b>                          | 0.91        | 0.73        | -1.15        | 0.44         |
| Backward digit span                          | 9.75 (2.97)                   | 1.02                                     | 0.98        | -1.06        | 0.43             | 1.01   | 0.96        | -1.05        | 0.75             | 1.05                                | 0.98        | -1.13        | 0.19                                  | 0.99        | 0.87        | -1.12        | 0.83         |
| <b>Self-harm and psychiatric condition</b>   |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| No lifetime non-suicidal self-harm, $n$ (%)  | 2160 (85)                     | Ref                                      |             |              |                  | Ref  |             |              |                  | Ref                                 |             |              | Ref                                   |             |             |              |              |
| Has lifetime non-suicidal self-harm, $n$ (%) | 380 (15)                      | 1.33                                     | 0.99        | -1.79        | 0.056            | 1.16   | 0.83        | -1.60        | 0.39             | <b>1.59</b>                         | <b>1.01</b> | <b>-2.49</b> | <b>0.045</b>                          | <b>4.03</b> | <b>1.71</b> | <b>-9.48</b> | <b>0.001</b> |
| No 12-month MDE, $n$ (%)                     | 2159 (85)                     | Ref                                      |             |              |                  | Ref  |             |              |                  | Ref                                 |             |              | Ref                                   |             |             |              |              |
| Has 12-month MDE, $n$ (%)                    | 381 (15)                      | <b>1.90</b>                              | <b>1.42</b> | <b>-2.53</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | <b>1.60</b>  | <b>1.16</b> | <b>-2.21</b> | <b>0.004</b>     | <b>2.73</b>                         | <b>1.75</b> | <b>-4.24</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b>                      | 1.99        | 0.87        | -4.54        | 0.10         |
| Alcohol use                                  | 2.12 (3.36)                   | 1.00                                     | 0.97        | -1.04        | 0.90             | 1.00   | 0.96        | -1.04        | 0.91             | 1.01                                | 0.95        | -1.06        | 0.86                                  | 1.02        | 0.93        | -1.11        | 0.74         |
| <b>Demographics and background</b>           |                               |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Age  | 19.84 (2.78)                  | <b>0.93</b>                              | <b>0.89</b> | <b>-0.97</b> | <b>0.001</b>     | <b>0.93</b>  | <b>0.88</b> | <b>-0.97</b> | <b>0.001</b>     | 0.96                                | 0.89        | -1.04        | 0.34                                  | 0.98        | 0.85        | -1.13        | 0.79         |
| Male, $n$ (%)                                | 1062 (41.8)                   |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Female, $n$ (%)                              | 1478 (58.2)                   | <b>1.39</b>                              | <b>1.09</b> | <b>-1.78</b> | <b>0.009</b>     | 1.29   | 0.99        | -1.69        | 0.056            | <b>1.66</b>                         | <b>1.04</b> | <b>-2.64</b> | <b>0.034</b>                          | 1.23        | 0.51        | -2.96        | 0.65         |
| No childhood adversity, $n$ (%)              | 1612 (63.5)                   |  |             |              |                  |  |             |              |                  |                                     |             |              |                                       |             |             |              |              |
| Has childhood adversity, $n$ (%)             | 928 (36.5)                    | 0.95                                     | 0.74        | -1.23        | 0.71             | 0.97   | 0.74        | -1.29        | 0.85             | 1.03                                | 0.65        | -1.64        | 0.90                                  | 0.66        | 0.28        | -1.54        | 0.34         |

MDE, major depressive episode; PEs, COVID-19 pandemic-related events; SLEs, personal stressful life events; TEs, social unrest-related traumatic events. Note. Statistics are presented in the form of mean (s.d.), unless stated otherwise. Values significant at the  $p < 0.05$  level are in boldface.



**Fig. 1.** Flowchart illustrating the proportions of young people from the local epidemiological sample with 12-month suicide plan and attempt among those with suicidal ideation in Hong Kong.

Note. Data are based on 2540 young people from the large epidemiological study in Hong Kong. Prevalence (%) is weighted according to age and sex data from the 2019 Hong Kong Census, with sample size (*n*) being unweighted.

suggest its utility in identifying young people at greater suicidal risks. Notably, we provided novel evidence to show the specific associations between COVID-19 stressors and suicide attempt beyond other previously reported intrinsic and personal-level extrinsic risk factors of suicide. The importance of accounting for the influences of suicide-specific intrinsic factors, as well as both personal and population-level extrinsic factors, to guide more accurate and effective suicide prevention and intervention work is highlighted.

### Significance of suicide-related rumination

Ruminative experiences related to suicidal thoughts may reflect a form of preservative cognition in which one's attention is disproportionately narrowed to suicide-related content (Whitmer & Gotlib, 2013). This would be in line with previous findings in suggesting attentional biases to and fixating on suicide-related contents could act as cognitive warning signs for suicidal behaviours (Cha, Najmi, Park, Finn, & Nock, 2010; Moscardini, Aboussouan, Bryan, & Tucker, 2020). The notion of 'cognitive rigidity' in the cognitive model of suicide (Ellis & Rutherford, 2008) may also be relevant. Notably, suicide-related rumination not only may be modifiable (Grierson, Hickie, Naismith, & Scott, 2016; Holdaway, Luebbe, & Becker, 2018; Watkins et al., 2011), but could also be understood as increased 'stickiness' of suicidal thoughts in one's mental representation (Joormann, Levens, & Gotlib, 2011), which may help to reduce the stigma associated with suicidal behaviours.

### Significance of non-suicidal self-harm

The finding that non-suicidal self-harm was a relatively robust risk factor for suicide attempt is consistent with the literature

(Castellví et al., 2017; O'Connor et al., 2018). Thoughts about self-harm have also been suggested to indicate risks for psychiatric conditions and have long-term consequences on physical health and functioning (Bjureberg et al., 2019; Turner, Chapman, & Layden, 2012). As with the tendency to conceal or deny suicidal behaviours, nonetheless, only a minority of young people would disclose their self-harm experiences and seek help due to the fear of being perceived as 'attention-seeking' (Michelmores & Hindley, 2012; Rowe et al., 2014). Considering other indicators that are less stigmatising (e.g. ever had suicide-related rumination, poor family functioning, exposure to population-level stressors) would thus also be important to confirm the level of risk among those who did and did not report no self-harm experience.

### Significance of personal and population-level external stressors

The observations that COVID-19 stressful events and poorer family functioning showed stronger associations with suicide attempt, rather than intrinsic vulnerabilities, are thus critical. As the common perceptions of suicidal behaviours tended to emphasise individual vulnerabilities (Batterham, Calear, & Christensen, 2013; Carpiniello & Pinna, 2017), placing greater weight on environmental influences may further help to reduce stigma and facilitate help-seeking. Importantly, in the case of not only Hong Kong but also many other societies, the effect of COVID-19 on suicidal behaviours could have been exacerbated by other prior or concurrent population-level stressors, such as social protests, financial crises, and environmental crises (Hou et al., 2021; Moutier, 2021; Wong et al., 2021c). The resulting societal, lifestyle, and psychosocial changes can make meaning-making and adaptations difficult in young people, who are simultaneously undergoing critical developmental transitions (Arain et al., 2013; Park,

**Table 2.** Factors associated with 12-month suicide plan and 12-month suicide attempt among those with suicidal ideation during the past 12 months ( $n = 533$ )

| Among those with 12-month suicidal ideation ( $n = 533$ ) | 12-month suicide plan ( $n = 125$ ) |             |              |              | 12-month suicide attempt ( $n = 34$ ) |             |              |              |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | OR                                  | 95% CI      | $p$          | OR           | 95% CI                                | $p$         |              |              |
| <b>Suicide-related rumination</b>                         |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Suicide-related rumination                                | <b>1.24</b>                         | <b>1.05</b> | <b>-1.47</b> | <b>0.014</b> | 1.32                                  | 0.98        | -1.78        | 0.064        |
| <b>External stressful events</b>                          |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Social unrest-related TEs                                 | 0.96                                | 0.71        | -1.28        | 0.77         | 1.26                                  | 0.76        | -2.08        | 0.38         |
| COVID-19 PEs  | 1.01                                | 0.80        | -1.29        | 0.92         | <b>1.72</b>                           | <b>1.13</b> | <b>-2.62</b> | <b>0.011</b> |
| Personal SLEs   | 1.13                                | 0.95        | -1.35        | 0.16         | <b>1.32</b>                           | <b>1.00</b> | <b>-1.74</b> | <b>0.047</b> |
| <b>Psychological and personality</b>                      |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Hopelessness  | 1.06                                | 1.00        | -1.12        | 0.055        | 0.96                                  | 0.86        | -1.06        | 0.37         |
| Neuroticism   | 1.01                                | 0.96        | -1.06        | 0.82         | 0.98                                  | 0.89        | -1.07        | 0.58         |
| <b>Interpersonal factors</b>                              |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Poorer family functioning                                 | 1.00                                | 0.97        | -1.04        | 0.88         | <b>1.06</b>                           | <b>1.01</b> | <b>-1.12</b> | <b>0.031</b> |
| <b>Cognitive ability</b>                                  |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Forward digit span  | <b>0.84</b>                         | <b>0.73</b> | <b>-0.97</b> | <b>0.016</b> | 0.96                                  | 0.76        | -1.20        | 0.70         |
| Backward digit span                                       | 1.07                                | 0.99        | -1.16        | 0.11         | 1.00                                  | 0.87        | -1.15        | 0.96         |
| <b>Self-harm and psychiatric condition</b>                |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| No lifetime self-harm attempt, $n$ (%)                    | Ref                                 |             |              |              | Ref                                   |             |              |              |
| Has lifetime self-harm attempt, $n$ (%)                   | 1.49                                | 0.94        | -2.38        | 0.091        | <b>3.60</b>                           | <b>1.56</b> | <b>-8.33</b> | <b>0.003</b> |
| No 12-month MDE, $n$ (%)                                  | Ref                                 |             |              |              | Ref                                   |             |              |              |
| Has 12-month MDE, $n$ (%)                                 | <b>2.04</b>                         | <b>1.27</b> | <b>-3.28</b> | <b>0.003</b> | 1.33                                  | 0.58        | -3.09        | 0.50         |
| Alcohol use   | 1.00                                | 0.94        | -1.06        | 0.99         | 1.02                                  | 0.94        | -1.11        | 0.61         |
| <b>Background factors</b>                                 |                                     |             |              |              |                                       |             |              |              |
| Age   | 1.02                                | 0.94        | -1.10        | 0.70         | 1.01                                  | 0.88        | -1.17        | 0.86         |
| Male  | Ref                                 |             |              |              | Ref                                   |             |              |              |
| Female  | 1.49                                | 0.91        | -2.45        | 0.12         | 1.18                                  | 0.48        | -2.87        | 0.72         |
| No childhood adversity                                    | Ref                                 |             |              |              | Ref                                   |             |              |              |
| Has childhood adversity                                   | 1.02                                | 0.63        | -1.66        | 0.93         | 0.61                                  | 0.26        | -1.45        | 0.26         |

MDE, major depressive episode; PEs, COVID-19 pandemic-related events; SLEs, personal stressful life events; TEs, social unrest-related traumatic events. Note. Values are presented in the form of mean (s.d.), unless stated otherwise.

2010). The role of the family in suicidal outcomes during youth may be even more pronounced in collectivistic cultures like Hong Kong, where family relationships are highly valued (Ho et al., 2016). Supporting parents or guardians in cultivating more open communications may help young people better cope with these circumstances and facilitate early risk detection and help-seeking.

#### Identifying those at greater suicidal risk using a two-stage approach

To further facilitate the identification of young people at greater suicidal risks in population and clinical settings, a two-stage design can be useful (i.e. selecting those with suicidal ideation). Based on our analyses, suicide-related rumination appeared to remain a strong indicator of suicidal risk (significant for suicide plan and positive trend for attempt). Interestingly, whereas

other intrinsic factors (poorer cognitive ability and history of depressive disorder) showed stronger associations with suicide plan, the experience of external triggers (COVID-19 stressors, poorer family functioning, and personal SLEs), as well as behavioural indicators (lifetime non-suicidal self-harm), may play more critical roles in suicide attempt. These observations shed light on the potential interplay of intrinsic-extrinsic factors across the various suicidal outcomes, which have implications both on our understanding of suicidality and intervention design.

Notably, during the period of social unrest and COVID-19, the disruptions to interpersonal relationships, education, and employment could have added to the cognitive load of young people. Suicide and 'self-sacrifice' were indeed common sentiments among young people on online platforms at the time (Yip & Pinkney, 2022). Young people with fewer cognitive resources (as reflected in general intellectual levels) could possibly be more susceptible to such influences (Bittár, Falkstedt, & Wallin,

2020). Coupled with difficulties in coping and identifying alternative solutions (Bredemeier & Miller, 2015), suicide may be viewed as the 'only option' (Na et al., 2020). The additional experiences of significant external stressors can possibly cause a shift to the 'already fragile' system and lead it to move past a 'tipping point' (de Beurs et al., 2021; Kalisch et al., 2019), resulting in suicide attempts.

### Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this was to date the first youth-specific epidemiological study on suicidal behaviours conducted in Hong Kong and among the first to be conducted during COVID-19 globally. Apart from presenting an update on the local prevalence of youth suicidal behaviours, the current study also offered insights into the markers and risk factors associated with youth suicidal ideation, plan, and attempt, respectively. In the hope to improve future suicide screening in the population and clinical decisions, a two-stage approach was further applied to identify factors associated with more severe suicidal behaviours (plan and attempt) among those at increased risk (with suicidal ideation). The differences in risk factors and potential underlying mechanisms across these suicidal outcomes are also suggested.

Some limitations of this study were nonetheless acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional nature of this study prevented the determination of the direction of associations among the putative factors and outcomes. For instance, while memory impairments may prevent appropriate retrieval of past experiences for identifying solutions to current issues, which contributes to risk for suicide plan (Richard-Devantoy, Berlim, & Jollant, 2015), it is also possible that prolonged engagement in suicide planning and continued ruminations could further deplete cognitive resources (Whitmer & Gotlib, 2013). It would be helpful for future studies to adopt a longitudinal study design to test our study findings.

Furthermore, while some of our observations were in line with previous findings, some differences were also noted. For instance, we did not find excessive drinking to be associated with suicidal outcomes as in previous overseas studies (Darvishi, Farhadi, Haghtalab, & Poorolajal, 2015). One reason may owe to the comparatively lower binge-drinking rate among young people in Hong Kong (Huang, Ho, Wang, Lo, & Lam, 2016; Rotheray et al., 2011). We also did not find gender minority to be associated with elevated suicidal risks (Horwitz et al., 2020; Jackman, Caceres, Kreuze, & Bockting, 2021), plausibly due to the small proportion of participants identifying as non-cis-gender in our epidemiological sample ( $n = 7$ ; 0.3%). Nonetheless, early detection and intervention work specifically targeted at this group may be helpful to best support these young individuals. Greater attention should also be given to this population in future suicide-related research.

We also note that the associated odds in our multivariable models were generally small, which may partly relate to the use of a population-based epidemiological rather than clinical sample. Indeed, the values were similar to those reported in a previous large community-based study (Fairweather, Anstey, Rodgers, & Butterworth, 2006). The wide-ranging factors we accounted for, as opposed to a limited set of factors, might also have contributed to such differences. It would be worthwhile for future studies to apply a similar model to test the patterns of associations across cultures and in different populations and settings.

Finally, while the single-item measure of suicide-related rumination can be beneficial in low-resource and time-limited

settings and in engaging young people (Wong et al., 2021d), a more detailed assessment would be helpful to improve our understanding of this phenomenon. Capturing the daily moment-to-moment experiences of suicide-related thoughts and rumination through the experience sampling method may also help to establish a more fine-grained picture of these experiences and determine their associations with momentary mood states in real-world contexts (Kleiman & Nock, 2018; Myin-Germeys et al., 2018).

### Conclusion

In the current global context of ongoing stress, preparing clinicians and other service providers for the early detection of young people at heightened risk for suicidal behaviours is ever more important. Suicide-related rumination revealed to be a promising marker that is not only modifiable but also less stigmatising. Efforts in conveying the message about the significance of not just personal but also other circumstantial and population-level influences in suicidal outcomes to the public can also be critical in reducing stigma and improving help-seeking. Developing strategies for reducing suicide-related rumination, mitigating the impact of COVID-19 stresses, and improving family functioning can be important in future suicide prevention work.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722001519>

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank all young people who participated in the HK-YES for their participation and contribution.

**Financial support.** The study was funded by Phase I of the Commissioned Study on Mental Health Survey, Food and Health Bureau, HKSAR Government (Reference number: MHS-P1 (Part 2)).

**Conflict of interest.** E. Y. H. Chen has received speaker honoraria from Otsuka and DSK BioPharma, research funding from Otsuka, participated in paid advisory boards for Janssen and DSK BioPharma, and received funding to attend conferences from Otsuka and DSK BioPharma. All other authors declare no competing interests.

### References

- Arain, M., Haque, M., Johal, L., Mathur, P., Nel, W., Rais, A., ... Sharma, S. (2013). Maturation of the adolescent brain. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 9, 449–461.
- Batterham, P. J., Calear, A. L., & Christensen, H. (2013). The stigma of suicide scale. Psychometric properties and correlates of the stigma of suicide. *Crisis*, 34(1), 13–21.
- Beck, A. T., Weissman, A., Lester, D., & Trexler, L. (1974). The measurement of pessimism: The hopelessness scale. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 42(6), 861–865.
- Bittar, N. H., Falkstedt, D., & Wallin, A. S. (2020). How intelligence and emotional control are related to suicidal behavior across the life course—A register-based study with 38-year follow-up. *Psychological Medicine*, 50(13), 2265–2271.
- Bjureberg, J., Ohlss, A., Ljótsson, B., D'Onofrio, B. M., Hedman-Lagerlöf, E., Jokinen, J., ... Hellner, C. (2019). Adolescent self-harm with and without suicidality: Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of a Swedish regional register. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 60(3), 295–304.
- Bredemeier, K., & Miller, I. W. (2015). Executive function and suicidality: A systematic qualitative review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 40, 170–183.
- Brugha, T., Bebbington, P., Tennant, C., & Hurry, J. (1985). The list of threatening experiences: A subset of 12 life event categories with considerable long-term contextual threat. *Psychological Medicine*, 15(1), 189–194.



- Calear, A. L., Batterham, P. J., & Christensen, H. (2014). Predictors of help-seeking for suicidal ideation in the community: Risks and opportunities for public suicide prevention campaigns. *Psychiatry Research*, *219*(3), 525–530.
- Carpiniello, B., & Pinna, F. (2017). The reciprocal relationship between suicidality and stigma. *Frontiers in Psychiatry/Frontiers Research Foundation*, *8*, 35.
- Castellví, P., Lucas-Romero, E., Miranda-Mendizábal, A., Parés-Badell, O., Almenara, J., Alonso, I., ... Alonso, J. (2017). Longitudinal association between self-injurious thoughts and behaviors and suicidal behavior in adolescents and young adults: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *215*, 37–48.
- Cha, C. B., Franz, P. J., Guzmán, M., Glenn, E., Kleiman, C. R., Nock, E. M., & Nock, M. K. (2018). Annual research review: Suicide among youth – epidemiology, (potential) etiology, and treatment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *59*(4), 460–482.
- Cha, C. B., Najmi, S., Park, J. M., Finn, C. T., & Nock, M. K. (2010). Attentional bias toward suicide-related stimuli predicts suicidal behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *119*(3), 616–622.
- Cheung, T., Lam, S. C., Lee, P. H., Xiang, Y. T., Yip, P. S. F., & International Research Collaboration on COVID-19. (2021). Global imperative of suicidal ideation in 10 countries amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*, 1558. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.588781
- Darvishi, N., Farhadi, M., Haghtalab, T., & Poorolajal, J. (2015). Alcohol-related risk of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and completed suicide: A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, *10*(5), e0126870. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126870
- de Beurs, D., Bockting, C., Kerkhof, A., Scheepers, F., O'Connor, R., Penninx, B., & van de Leemput, I. (2021). A network perspective on suicidal behavior: Understanding suicidality as a complex system. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, *51*(1), 115–126.
- Ellis, T. E., & Rutherford, B. (2008). Cognition and suicide: Two decades of progress. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, *1*(1), 47–68.
- Fairweather, A. K., Anstey, K. J., Rodgers, B., & Butterworth, P. (2006). Factors distinguishing suicide attempters from suicide ideators in a community sample: Social issues and physical health problems. *Psychological Medicine*, *36*(9), 1235–1245.
- Fok, C. C. T., Allen, J., & Henry, D., & People Awakening Team. (2014). The brief family relationship scale: A brief measure of the relationship dimension in family functioning. *Assessment*, *21*(1), 67–72.
- Grégoire, J., & Van der Linden, M. (1997). Effect of age on forward and backward digit spans. *Aging, Neuropsychology and Cognition*, *4*(2), 140–149.
- Grierson, A. B., Hickie, I. B., Naismith, S. L., & Scott, J. (2016). The role of rumination in illness trajectories in youth: Linking trans-diagnostic processes with clinical staging models. *Psychological Medicine*, *46*(12), 2467–2484.
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K. M., & Christensen, H. (2010). Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: A systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry*, *10*, 113.
- Gunnell, D., Appleby, L., Arensman, E., Hawton, K., John, A., Kapur, N., ... COVID-19 Suicide Prevention Research Collaboration. (2020). Suicide risk and prevention during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *7*(6), 468–471.
- Hintikka, J., Koivumaa-Honkanen, H., Lehto, S. M., Tolmunen, T., Honkalampi, K., Haatainen, K., & Viinamäki, H. (2009). Are factors associated with suicidal ideation true risk factors? A 3-year prospective follow-up study in a general population. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *44*(1), 29–33.
- Ho, H. C. Y., Mui, M., Wan, A., Ng, Y.-L., Stewart, S. M., Yew, C., ... Chan, S. S. (2016). Happy family kitchen II: A cluster randomized controlled trial of a community-based positive psychology family intervention for subjective happiness and health-related quality of life in Hong Kong. *Trials*, *17*, 367.
- Holdaway, A. S., Luebbe, A. M., & Becker, S. P. (2018). Rumination in relation to suicide risk, ideation, and attempts: Exacerbation by poor sleep quality? *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *236*, 6–13.
- Höller, I., Teismann, T., & Forkmann, T. (2021). Perseverative Thinking about Suicide Questionnaire (PTSQ): Validation of a new measure to assess suicide-specific rumination. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *112*, 152287. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsy.2021.152287
- Horwitz, A. G., Berona, J., Busby, D. R., Eisenberg, D., Zheng, K., Pistorello, J., ... King, C. A. (2020). Variation in suicide risk among subgroups of sexual and gender minority college students. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, *50*(5), 1041–1053.
- Hou, W. K., Hall, B. J., Liang, L., Li, T. W., Liu, H., & Galea, S. (2021). Probable depression and suicidal ideation in Hong Kong amid massive civil unrest. *Annals of Epidemiology*, *54*, 45–51.
- Huang, R., Ho, S. Y., Wang, M. P., Lo, W. S., & Lam, T. H. (2016). Sociodemographic risk factors of alcohol drinking in Hong Kong adolescents. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *70*(4), 374–379.
- Jackman, K. B., Caceres, B. A., Kreuze, E. J., & Bockting, W. O. (2021). Suicidality among gender minority youth: Analysis of 2017 youth risk behavior survey data. *Archives of Suicide Research*, *25*(2), 208–223.
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big-five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (pp. 102–138). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Joormann, J., Levens, S. M., & Gotlib, I. H. (2011). Sticky thoughts: Depression and rumination are associated with difficulties manipulating emotional material in working memory. *Psychological Science*, *22*(8), 979–983.
- Kalisch, R., Cramer, A. O., Binder, H., Fritz, J., Leertouwer, I., Lunansky, G., ... Van Harmelen, A. L. (2019). Deconstructing and reconstructing resilience: A dynamic network approach. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *14*(5), 765–777.
- Kessler, R. C., Calabrese, J. R., Farley, P. A., Gruber, M. J., Jewell, M. A., Katon, W., ... Wittchen, H.-U. (2013). Composite international diagnostic interview screening scales for DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, *43*(8), 1625–1637.
- Kleiman, E. M., & Nock, M. K. (2018). Real-time assessment of suicidal thoughts and behaviors. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *22*, 33–37.
- Lam, L. C. W., Wong, C. S. M., Wang, M. J., Chan, W. C., Chen, E. Y. H., Ng, R. M. K., ... Bebbington, P. (2015). Prevalence, psychosocial correlates and service utilization of depressive and anxiety disorders in Hong Kong: The Hong Kong Mental Morbidity Survey (HKMMS). *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *50*(9), 1379–1388.
- Law, B. M. F., & Shek, D. T. L. (2016). A 6-year longitudinal study of self-harm and suicidal behaviors among Chinese adolescents in Hong Kong. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, *29*(1, Suppl.), S38–S48.
- Leaune, E., Samuel, M., Oh, H., Poulet, E., & Brunelin, J. (2020). Suicidal behaviors and ideation during emerging viral disease outbreaks before the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic rapid review. *Preventive Medicine*, *141*, 106264. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2020.106264
- Leung, C. L. K., Kwok, S. Y. C. L., & Ling, C. C. Y. (2016). An integrated model of suicidal ideation in transcultural populations of Chinese adolescents. *Community Mental Health Journal*, *52*(5), 574–581.
- Lindsey, M. A., Sheftall, A. H., Xiao, Y., & Joe, S. (2019). Trends of suicidal behaviors among high school students in the United States: 1991–2017. *Pediatrics*, *144*(5), e20191187. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1187>.
- Mall, S., Mortier, P., Taljaard, L., Roos, J., Stein, D. J., & Lochner, C. (2018). The relationship between childhood adversity, recent stressors, and depression in college students attending a South African university. *BMC Psychiatry*, *18*(1), 1–10.
- Marani, M., Katul, G. G., Pan, W. K., & Parolari, A. J. (2021). Intensity and frequency of extreme novel epidemics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *118*(35), e2105482118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2105482118
- Mars, B., Heron, J., Klonsky, E. D., Moran, P., O'Connor, R. C., Tilling, K., ... Gunnell, D. (2019). Predictors of future suicide attempt among adolescents with suicidal thoughts or non-suicidal self-harm: A population-based birth cohort study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *6*(4), 327–337.
- Michelmores, L., & Hindley, P. (2012). Help-seeking for suicidal thoughts and self-harm in young people: A systematic review. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, *42*(5), 507–524.
- Miranda, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2007). Brooding and reflection: Rumination predicts suicidal ideation at 1-year follow-up in a community sample. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *45*(12), 3088–3095.

- Moscardini, E. H., Aboussouan, A. B., Bryan, C. J., & Tucker, R. P. (2020). Suicide-specific cognitions, attentional fixation, and worst-point suicidal ideation. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 50(4), 899–908.
- Moutier, C. (2021). Suicide prevention in the COVID-19 Era: Transforming threat into opportunity. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 78(4), 433–438. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3746
- Myin-Germeys, I., Kasanova, Z., Vaessen, T., Vachon, H., Kirtley, O., Viechtbauer, W., & Reininghaus, U. (2018). Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: New insights and technical developments. *World Psychiatry: Official Journal of the World Psychiatric Association*, 17(2), 123–132.
- Na, K. S., Park, S. C., Kwon, S. J., Kim, M., Kim, H. J., Baik, M., ... Lee, H. Y. (2020). Contents of the standardized suicide prevention program for gatekeeper intervention in Korea, version 2.0. *Psychiatry Investigation*, 17(11), 1149–1157.
- Ni, M. Y., Yao, X. I., Leung, K. S. M., Yau, C., Leung, C. M. C., Lun, P., ... Leung, G. M. (2020). Depression and post-traumatic stress during major social unrest in Hong Kong: A 10-year prospective cohort study. *The Lancet*, 395(10220), 273–284.
- Nock, M. K., Borges, G., Bromet, E. J., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M., Beautrais, A., ... Williams, D. (2008). Cross-national prevalence and risk factors for suicidal ideation, plans and attempts. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 192(2), 98–105.
- Nock, M. K., Green, J. G., Hwang, I., McLaughlin, K. A., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Kessler, R. C. (2013). Prevalence, correlates, and treatment of lifetime suicidal behavior among adolescents: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(3), 300–310.
- Nock, M. K., Holmberg, E. B., Photos, V. I., & Michel, B. D. (2007). Self-injurious thoughts and behaviors interview: Development, reliability, and validity in an adolescent sample. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(3), 309–317.
- Nock, M. K., Millner, A. J., Joiner, T. E., Gutierrez, P. M., Han, G., Hwang, I., ... Kessler, R. C. (2018). Risk factors for the transition from suicide ideation to suicide attempt: Results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 127(2), 139–149.
- Nock, M. K., Stein, M. B., Heeringa, S. G., Ursano, R. J., Colpe, L. J., & Fullerton, C. S., ... Army STARRS Collaborators. (2014). Prevalence and correlates of suicidal behavior among soldiers: Results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(5), 514–522.
- O'Connor, R. C., & Nock, M. K. (2014). The psychology of suicidal behaviour. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 1(1), 73–85.
- O'Connor, R. C., Wetherall, K., Cleare, S., Eschle, S., Drummond, J., Ferguson, E., ... O'Carroll, R. E. (2018). Suicide attempts and non-suicidal self-harm: National prevalence study of young adults. *BJPsych Open*, 4(3), 142–148.
- Park, C. L. (2010). Making sense of the meaning literature: An integrative review of meaning making and its effects on adjustment to stressful life events. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(2), 257–301.
- Posner, K., Brown, G. K., Stanley, B., Brent, D. A., Yershova, K. V., Oquendo, M. A., ... Mann, J. J. (2011). The Columbia–suicide severity rating scale: Initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 168(12), 1266–1277.
- Qu, G., Shu, L., Zhang, J., Wu, Y., Ma, S., Han, T., ... Sun, Y. (2021). Suicide ideation, suicide plan, and suicide attempt among left-behind children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 51(3), 515–527.
- Richard-Devantoy, S., Berlim, M. T., & Jollant, F. (2015). Suicidal behaviour and memory: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, 16(8), 544–566.
- Rogers, M. L., Gallyer, A. J., & Joiner, T. E. (2021). The relationship between suicide-specific rumination and suicidal intent above and beyond suicidal ideation and other suicide risk factors: A multilevel modeling approach. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 137, 506–513.
- Rogers, M. L., & Joiner, T. E. (2017). Rumination, suicidal ideation, and suicide attempts: A meta-analytic review. *Review of General Psychology*, 21(2), 132–142.
- Rogers, M. L., & Joiner, T. E. (2018). Suicide-specific rumination relates to lifetime suicide attempts above and beyond a variety of other suicide risk factors. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 98, 78–86.
- Rogers, M. L., Law, K. C., Houtsma, C., Tucker, R. P., Anestis, M. D., & Joiner, T. E. (2021). Development and initial validation of a scale assessing suicide-specific rumination: The suicide rumination scale. *Assessment: Advance online publication*. doi: 10.1177/107319112111033897
- Rosmalen, J. G. M., Bos, E. H., & de Jonge, P. (2012). Validation of the Long-term Difficulties Inventory (LDI) and the List of Threatening Experiences (LTE) as measures of stress in epidemiological population-based cohort studies. *Psychological Medicine*, 42(12), 2599–2608.
- Rotheray, K. R., Cattermole, G. N., Liow, E. C. H., Machin, P., Graham, C. A., & Rainer, T. H. (2011). Alcohol misuse is rare among Hong Kong medical students. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 30(6), 685–688.
- Rowe, S. L., French, R. S., Henderson, C., Ougrin, D., Slade, M., & Moran, P. (2014). Help-seeking behaviour and adolescent self-harm: A systematic review. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 48(12), 1083–1095.
- Saunders, J. B., Aasland, O. G., Babor, T. F., de la Fuente, J. R., & Grant, M. (1993). Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption-II. *Addiction*, 88(6), 791–804.
- Schluter, P. J., Généreux, M., Hung, K. K. C., Landaverde, E., Law, R. P., Mok, C. P. Y., ... Roy, M. (2021). Patterns of suicide ideation across eight countries in four continents during the COVID-19 pandemic era: Repeated cross-sectional study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 8(1), e32140. doi: 10.2196/32140
- Sheehan, L. L., Corrigan, P. W., & Al-Khouja, M. A., & Stigma of Suicide Research Team. (2017). Stakeholder perspectives on the stigma of suicide attempt survivors. *Crisis*, 38(2), 73–81.
- Shek, D. T. L., & Yu, L. (2012). Self-harm and suicidal behaviors in Hong Kong adolescents: Prevalence and psychosocial correlates. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2012, 932540. doi: 10.1100/2012/932540
- Sher, L. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on suicide rates. *QJM: Monthly Journal of the Association of Physicians*, 113(10), 707–712.
- Stewart, J. G., Shields, G. S., Esposito, E. C., Cosby, E. A., Allen, N. B., Slavich, G. M., ... Auerbach, R. P. (2019). Life stress and suicide in adolescents. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 47(10), 1707–1722.
- Torales, J., O'Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M., & Ventriglio, A. (2020). The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *The International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(4), 317–320.
- Turecki, G., & Brent, D. A. (2016). Suicide and suicidal behaviour. *The Lancet*, 387(10024), 1227–1239.
- Turner, B. J., Chapman, A. L., & Layden, B. K. (2012). Intrapersonal and interpersonal functions of non suicidal self-injury: Associations with emotional and social functioning. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 42(1), 36–55.
- Watkins, E. R., Mullan, E., Wingrove, J., Rimes, K., Steiner, H., Bathurst, N., ... Scott, J. (2011). Rumination-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy for residual depression: Phase II randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199(4), 317–322.
- Wechsler, D. (1997). *Wechsler adult intelligence scale* (3rd ed.). San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation.
- Whitmer, A. J., & Gotlib, I. H. (2013). An attentional scope model of rumination. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139(5), 1036–1061.
- Wong, S. M. Y., Hui, C. L. M., Suen, Y. N., Wong, C. S. M., Chan, S. K. W., Lee, E. H. M., ... Chen, E. Y. H. (2021a). The impact of social unrest and pandemic on mental health of young people in Hong Kong: The transdiagnostic role of event-based rumination. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(4), 376–384.
- Wong, S. M. Y., Hui, C. L. M., Wong, C. S. M., Suen, Y. N., Chan, S. K. W., Lee, E. H. M., ... Chen, E. Y. H. (2021c). Prospective prediction of PTSD and depressive symptoms during social unrest and COVID-19 using a brief online tool. *Psychiatry Research*, 298, 113773. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113773
- Wong, S. M. Y., Lam, B. Y. H., Wong, C. S. M., Lee, H. P. Y., Wong, G. H. Y., Lui, S. S. Y., ... Chen, E. Y. H. (2021d). Measuring subjective stress among young people in Hong Kong: Validation and predictive utility of the single-

- item subjective level of stress (SLS-1) in epidemiological and longitudinal community samples. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 30, e61. doi: 10.1017/S2045796021000445
- Wong, S. M. Y., Hui, C. L. M., Wong, C. S. M., Suen, Y. N., Chan, S. K. W., Lee, E. H. M., ... Chen, E. Y. H. (2021b). Mental health risks after repeated exposure to multiple stressful events during ongoing social unrest and pandemic in Hong Kong: The role of rumination: Risques pour la santé mentale après une exposition répétée à de multiples événements stressants d'agitation sociale durable et de pandémie à Hong Kong: Le rôle de la rumination. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 66(6), 577–585.
- World Health Organization. (2018). *Adverse childhood experiences international questionnaire (ACE-IQ)*. Geneva: World Health Organization. Retrieved from [https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/adverse-childhood-experiences-international-questionnaire-\(ace-iq\)](https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/adverse-childhood-experiences-international-questionnaire-(ace-iq)).
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Suicide worldwide in 2019: Global health estimates*. Geneva: World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240026643>.
- Yip, P. S., & Pinkney, E. (2022). Social media and suicide in social movements: A case study in Hong Kong. *Journal of Computational Social Science*, 5(1), 1–18.
- Zortea, T. C., Brenna, C. T. A., Joyce, M., McClelland, H., Tippett, M., Tran, M. M., ... Platt, S. (2021). The impact of infectious disease-related public health emergencies on suicide, suicidal behavior, and suicidal thoughts. *Crisis*, 42(6), 474–487.