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## STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH A FIXED COEFFICIENT

V.V. Anh

This paper establishes several conditions on the parameters $A, B, b$ for the exact radius of convexity of the class

$$
S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{k+1} z^{k+1}+a_{2 k+1} z^{2 k+1}+\ldots ; \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in P_{k, b}(A, B)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad P_{k, b}(A, B)=\left\{p(z)=1+b(A-B) z^{k}+p_{2 k} z^{2 k}+\ldots ; p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z^{k}}{1+B z^{k}}\right\}, \\
& k=1,2,3, \ldots,-1 \leqslant B<A \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

Let $P_{k}(A, B),-1 \leqslant B<A \leqslant 1, k=1,2,3, \ldots$, denote the class of functions $p(z)=1+p_{k} z^{k}+p_{2 k} z^{2 k}+\ldots$ defined by

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z^{k}}{1+B z^{k}}
$$

in the unit disc $\Delta=\{z ;|z|<1\}$, where $\prec$ means subordination. Then each $p(z)$ in $P_{k}(A, B)$ has a positive real part in $\Delta$. As is well-known, a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ to be univalent starlike in $\Delta$ is

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

This condition suggests that starlike functions can be defined in terms of functions of positive real part in the unit disc. In fact, a general class of starlike functions may be defined as

$$
S_{k}^{*}(A, B)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{k+1} z^{k+1}+a_{2 k+1} z^{2 k+1}+\ldots ; \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in P_{k}(A, B)\right\} .
$$

[^0]With $k=1$, the following special cases of $S_{k}^{*}(A, B)$ are familiar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{*}(1-2 \alpha,-1)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots ; \operatorname{Re}\left[z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right]>\alpha, 0 \leqslant \alpha<1\right\} \\
& S^{*}(1,1 / \alpha-1)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots ;\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)-\alpha\right|<\alpha, \alpha>1 / 2\right\} \\
& S^{*}(\alpha, 0)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots ;\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)-1\right|<\alpha, 0<\alpha \leqslant 1\right\} \\
& S^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)=\left\{f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots ;\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)-1\right| /\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)+1\right|<\alpha\right. \\
& \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha<1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These classes of functions have been studied by many authors, starting with Robertson [7] for starlike functions of order $\alpha$. The classes $S^{*}(1,1 / \alpha-1), S^{*}(\alpha, 0), S^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)$ were introduced by Janowski [2], MacGregor [3] and Padmanabhan [5] respectively.

In this paper, we study starlike functions with a fixed coefficient. For functions $p(z)=1+p_{k} z^{k}+p_{2 k} z^{2 k}+\ldots$ in $P_{k}(A, B)$, it is known that $\left|p_{k}\right| \leqslant A-B, k=1,2,3, \ldots$ (see Anh [1], Theorem 4.1). We may therefore define the following subclass of $P_{k}(A, B)$ :

$$
P_{k, b}(A, B)=\left\{p(z)=1+b(A-B) z^{k}+\ldots \in P_{k}(A, B), 0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

We shall then consider the corresponding class of $k$-fold symmetric starlike functions with a real nonnegative second coefficient:

$$
S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)=\left\{f(z)=z+(b(A-B) / k) z^{k+1}+\ldots ; z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)\right\}
$$

We shall investigate mainly how the second coefficient in the series expansion of functions in $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ affects their radius of convexity. This problem was studied in Tepper [8], McCarty [4], Tuan and Anh [9], among others. Tepper [8] obtained the radius of convexity of $S_{1, b}^{*}(1,-1)$. The results contained in McCarty [4] for $S_{1, b}^{*}(1-2 \alpha,-1)$ and Tuan and Anh $[9]$ for $S_{1, b}^{*}(A, B)$ are in fact achieved by functions in larger classes where the second coefficient is allowed to vary. It is more difficult to obtain sharp results within $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ where the second coefficient is assumed fixed, real and nonnegative. As far as we are aware, apart from Tepper's result for the simplest class $S_{1, b}^{*}(1,-1)$, no complete and accurate radius of convexity for any other class of starlike functions with a real fixed coefficient is available. It seems that the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ can be derived only with some restriction on the parameters $A, B, b$. In Section 2, we obtain the required conditions for an extremal problem on $P_{k, b}(A, B)$. The results play an essential rôle in the derivation of the radius of convexity for $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$. This is investigated in Section 3. The conditions of Section 2 are established in the hope that they would be satisfied for some simpler cases of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$. This is indeed the case for $S_{k, b}^{*}(1,1 / \alpha-1)$ whose radius of convexity is now completely determined. The radii of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)$ and $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha, 0)$ are also obtained for a certain range of $\alpha$.

## 2. An Extremal Problem on $P_{k, b}(A, B)$

By definition, the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ is the smallest root in $(0,1]$ of the equation

$$
\min _{f(z) \in S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)} \min _{|z|=r<1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=0 .
$$

From the definition of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$, we derive that

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}, \quad P(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)
$$

Thus the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ is obtained if we can solve the extremal problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)} \min _{|z|=r<1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem (2.1) is studied in this section. We first obtain the growth theorem for functions in $P_{k, b}(A, B)$, which is required in the solution of (2.1). We need the following result:

Lemma 1. For a given point $z$ in $\Delta$, let $F$ be regular in a neighbourhood of each point $p(z), p \in P_{k}(1,-1)$. Then the functional $\operatorname{Re} F(p(z)), z \in \Delta$, attains its maximum and minimum over the class $P_{k}(1,-1)$ only for functions of the form $\left(1+e^{-i \theta} z^{k}\right) /\left(1-e^{-i \theta} z^{k}\right)$.

Proof: See Pfaltzgraff and Pinchuk [6, Theorem 7.3].
Theorem 1. If $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$, then on $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|p(z)| & \leqslant \frac{1+b(1+A) r^{k}+A r^{2 k}}{1+b(1+B) r^{k}+B r^{2 k}}, \\
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} \geqslant & \geqslant \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1+b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}}{1+b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}}, & \text { for } k=1,3,5, \ldots \\
\frac{1-b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}}{1-b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}}, & \text { for } k=2,4,6, \ldots
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The results are sharp.
Proof: We require a representation formula for $P_{k, b}(A, B)$. Denote by $U$ the class of functions $\psi(z)$ regular in $\Delta$ and such that $|\psi(z)| \leqslant 1$ there. For $p(z) \in$ $P_{k, b}(A, B)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{k}} \frac{1-p(z)}{B p(z)-A} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\psi_{1}(z) \in U$ and $\psi_{1}(0)=b$. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}(z)=\frac{\psi_{1}(z)-b}{1-b \psi_{1}(z)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is therefore in $U$ and $\psi_{2}(0)=0$. Hence the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\frac{\psi_{2}(z)}{z^{k}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $U$. From (2.2)-(2.4), it follows that a function $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=z^{k} \cdot \frac{z^{k} \psi(z)+b}{1+b z^{k} \psi(z)}, \quad \psi(z) \in U \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $|\psi(z)| \leqslant 1$ in $\Delta$, we have $|w(z)| \leqslant C$ on $|z|=r<1$, where $C=r^{k}\left(r^{k}+b\right) /$ $\left(1+b r^{k}\right)$. An application of the subordination principle then implies that the image of $|z| \leqslant r$ under the transformation (2.5) is contained in the disc

$$
\left|p(z)-a_{k, b}\right| \leqslant d_{k, b}
$$

where

$$
a_{k, b}=\frac{1-A B C^{2}}{1-B^{2} C^{2}}, \quad d_{k, b}=\frac{(A-B) C}{1-B^{2} C^{2}}
$$

It follows immediately that for $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$ and on $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-A C}{1-B C} \leqslant \operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} \leqslant|p(z)| \leqslant \frac{1+A C}{1+B C} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound is sharp for the function $p(z)$ with $\psi(z)=1$ in (2.6) and at $z=r$. The lower bound is attained for the function $p(z)$ with $\psi(z)=-1$ in (2.6), at $z=-r$ and for $k=1,3,5, \ldots$ For $k$ even, this lower bound is not achieved by a function within $P_{k, b}(A, B)$. For the sharp lower bound in the case of $k$ even, we represent $p(z)$ in terms of function in $P_{k}(1,-1)$. As seen from (2.3), the function $\psi_{2}(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Schwarz's lemma. Therefore, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1+\psi_{2}(z)}{1-\psi_{2}(z)} \tag{2.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the unrestricted class $P_{k}(1,-1)$. From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.3a), a function $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{(1+b)\left(1+A z^{k}\right) q(z)+(1-b)\left(1-A z^{k}\right)}{(1+b)\left(1+B z^{k}\right) q(z)+(1-b)\left(1-B z^{k}\right)} \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(z) \in P_{k}(1,-1)$. An application of Lemma 1 now yields that $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}$ attains its mininum over $P_{k}(A, B)$ for a function of the form (2.3b), where $q(z)$ is given by $\left(1+\varepsilon z^{k}\right) /\left(1-\varepsilon z^{k}\right),|\varepsilon|=1$. For the time being, we consider a larger class, namely

$$
\tilde{P}_{k, b}(A, B)=\left\{p(z)=1+b(A-B) e^{i \theta} z^{k}+\ldots \in P_{k}(A, B), \theta \text { real }\right\}
$$

Then, since $P\left(e^{i \theta} z\right)$ belongs to $\tilde{P}_{k, b}(A, B)$ if $p(z)$ is in $\tilde{P}_{k, b}(A, B)$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that the minimum of $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}$ over $\bar{P}_{k, b}(A, B)$ is attained on the real axis at $z=-r$. Now, using (2.3b) with $q(z)=\left(1+\varepsilon z^{k}\right) /\left(1-\varepsilon z^{k}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(-r) & =\frac{1+b A r^{k}+\left(A r^{k}+b\right) \varepsilon r^{k}}{1+b B r^{k}+\left(B r^{k}+b\right) \varepsilon r^{k}} \\
& =\frac{1+b A r^{k}}{1+b B r^{k}} \cdot \frac{1+M \varepsilon r^{k}}{1+N \varepsilon r^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\left(A r^{k}+b\right) /\left(1+b A r^{k}\right), N=\left(B r^{k}+b\right) /\left(1+b B r^{k}\right)$. It can be checked that $-1 \leqslant N<M \leqslant 1$. Thus, the minimum of $\operatorname{Re}\{p(-r)\}$ corresponds to $\varepsilon=-1$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} \geqslant \frac{1-b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}}{1-b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}} \tag{2.3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $\bar{P}_{k, b}(A, B)$. However with $\varepsilon=-1$, the extremal function becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z) & =\frac{(1+b)\left(1+A z^{k}\right)\left(1-z^{k}\right) /\left(1+z^{k}\right)+(1-b)\left(1-A z^{k}\right)}{(1+b)\left(1+B z^{k}\right)\left(1-z^{k}\right) /\left(1+z^{k}\right)+(1-b)\left(1-B z^{k}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1-b(1-A) z^{k}-A z^{2 k}}{1-b(1-B) z^{k}-B z^{2 k}} \\
& =1+b(A-B) z^{k}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

which belongs to $P_{k, b}(A, B)$. Consequently, the bound given by (2.3c) is the best possible bound over $P_{k, b}(A, B), k=2,4,6, \ldots$

For the solution of (2.1), we require the following lemma. For $k=1,2,3, \ldots$, let $B_{k}$ be the class of functions $w(k)=b_{k} z^{k}+b_{2 k} z^{2 k}+\ldots$ regular in $\Delta$ and satisfying the conditions $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$ in $\Delta$.

Lemma 2. If $w(z) \in B_{k}$, then for $z \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z w^{\prime}(z)-k w(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{k\left(|z|^{2 k}-|w(z)|^{2}\right)}{1-|z|^{2 k}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have $|w(z)| \leqslant|z|^{k}$ for $w(z)=b_{k} z^{k}+b_{2 k} z^{2 k}+\ldots \in B_{k}$ in view of Schwarz's lemma. Therefore, we may write

$$
w(z)=z^{k} \psi\left(z^{k}\right), z \in \Delta
$$

for $\psi(z) \in U$. Then

$$
z w^{\prime}(z)-k w(z)=k z^{2 k} \psi^{\prime}\left(z^{k}\right)
$$

From Carathéodory's inequality

$$
\left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{1-|\psi(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}, z \in \Delta, \psi(z) \in U
$$

we obtain (2.8) directly. Equality in (2.8) occurs for functions of the form $z^{k}\left(z^{k}-c\right) /$ $\left(1-c z^{k}\right),|c| \leqslant 1$.

We now prove
Theorem 2. Let $\alpha \geqslant 0, \beta \geqslant 0, k=1,2,3, \ldots,|z|=r<1$, $L=\beta k(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right), K=\alpha(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)+\beta k(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)$. If $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$, then on $|z|=r$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)} \\
{\left[L \cdot \frac{1-B C}{1-A C}+K \cdot \frac{1-A C}{1-B C}-2 \beta k\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

under the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{K} \leqslant\left(\frac{1-A C}{1-B C}\right)^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof: From the representation formula (2.5), we may write

$$
\alpha p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\alpha \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)}+\beta \frac{(A-B) z w^{\prime}(z)}{[1+A w(z)][1+B w(z)]}
$$

$w(z)$ being defined by (2.6). Applying (2.8) to the second term of the right-hand side, we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant \operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)}+\beta \frac{(A-B) k w(z)}{(1+A w(z))(1+B w(z))}\right\} \\
-\frac{k \beta(A-B)\left(|z|^{2 k}-|w(z)|^{2}\right)}{\left(1-|z|^{2 k}\right)|1+A w(z)||1+B w(z)|} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.5), we also have

$$
w(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{A-B p(z)} .
$$

Hence, in terms of $p(z)$, the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} & \geqslant \beta k \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{A-B} \operatorname{Re}\left\{[\alpha(A-B)-\beta k B] p(z)-\frac{\beta k A}{p(z)}\right\}  \tag{2.11}\\
& -\frac{k \beta\left(r^{2 k}|A-B p(z)|^{2}-|p(z)-1|^{2}\right)}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)|p(z)|}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $p(z)=a_{k, b}+u+i v,|p(z)|=R$, and denote the $R H S$ of (2.11) by $S(u, v)$. Then, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2 k}|A-B p(z)|^{2}-|p(z)-1|^{2}= r^{2 k}\left(A^{2}-2 A B\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)+B^{2} R^{2}\right) \\
&-R^{2}+2\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)-1 \\
&=-\left(1-B^{2} r^{2 k}\right) R^{2}+2\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)\left(a_{k, b}+u\right) \\
&-\left(1-A^{2} r^{2 k}\right) \\
&=-\left(1-B^{2} r^{2 k}\right) R^{2}+2 a_{k, 1}\left(1-B^{2} r^{2 k}\right)\left(a_{k, b}+u\right) \\
&-\left(1-B^{2} r^{2 k}\right)\left(a_{k, 1}^{2}-d_{k, 1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(u, v)= & \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{A-B}\left\{[\alpha(A-B)-\beta k B]\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)-\frac{\beta k A\left(A_{k, b}+u\right)}{R^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\beta k \frac{\left(1-B^{2} r^{2 k}\right)}{1-r^{2 k}}\left[R-2 a_{k, 1} \frac{a_{k, b}+u}{R}+\frac{a_{k, 1}^{2}-d_{k, 1}^{2}}{R}\right]\right\} \\
= & \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{A-B}\left\{\left[\alpha(A-B)-\beta k B-\frac{\beta k A}{R^{2}}\right]\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\beta k \frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}} \cdot \frac{1}{R}\left[\left(a_{k, b}+u-a_{k, 1}\right)^{2}+v^{2}-d_{k, 1}^{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial v}=\frac{\beta k}{A-B} \cdot \frac{v}{R^{4}} T(u, v) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(u, v) & =2 A\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left[R^{3}-R\left(a_{k, 1}^{2}-2 a_{k, 1}\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)-d_{k, 1}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =2\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)\left(A+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}} a_{k, 1} R\right)+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left(R^{3}-R\left(a_{k, 1}^{2}-d_{k, 1}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d C}{d b}=\frac{r^{k}\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)}{\left(1+b r^{k}\right)^{2}}>0, \frac{d\left(a_{k, b}-d_{k, b}\right)}{d b}=-\frac{A-B}{(1-B C)^{2}} \cdot \frac{d C}{d b}<0 \\
\frac{d\left(a_{k, b}+d_{k, b}\right)}{d b}=\frac{A-B}{(1+B C)^{2}} \cdot \frac{d C}{d b}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

we have $a_{k, b}-d_{k, b} \geqslant a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}, a_{k, b}+d_{k, b} \geqslant a_{k, 0}-d_{k, 0}$. Also $R \geqslant a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & +\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}} \cdot a_{k, 1} R \leqslant A+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{(1+B)\left(1-A r^{k}\right)^{2}+(A-B)\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)}{\left(1-B r^{k}\right)^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of this inequality and the result that

$$
a_{k, b}+u=\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} \geqslant a_{k, b}-d_{k, b} \geqslant a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}
$$

we then have

$$
T(u, v) \geqslant G(R)
$$

where

$$
G(R)=2\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)\left[A+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}} a_{k, 1} R\right]+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left[R^{3}-R\left[a_{k, 1}^{2}-d_{k, 1}^{2}\right]\right]
$$

Now,

$$
\frac{d G}{d R}=\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left(\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)^{2}+3 R^{2}\right)>0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(R) \geqslant G\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right) & =2\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)\left[A+\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \geqslant 2\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)^{2}\left[A+\left(a_{k, 1}-d_{k, 1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& >0 \quad \text { as seen above. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up, we have $T(u, v)>0$, and it follows from (2.12) that the minimum of $S(u, v)$ on the disc $\left|p(z)-a_{k, b}\right| \leqslant d_{k, b}$ occurs at $v=0$ and for some $u$ in $\left[-d_{k, b}, d_{k, b}\right]$. Setting $v=0$ in the expression for $S(u, v)$, we get

$$
S(u, 0)=\beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)}\left[\frac{L}{a_{k, b}+u}+K\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)-2 \beta k \frac{1-A B r^{2 k}}{1-r^{2 k}}\right]
$$

where

$$
L=\beta k(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right), K=\alpha(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)+\beta k(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)
$$

It is seen that

$$
\frac{d S(u, 0)}{d u}=\frac{1}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)}\left[-\frac{L}{\left(a_{k, b}+u\right)^{2}}+K\right]
$$

vanishes at the point $u_{0}=(L / K)^{1 / 2}-a_{k, b}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{k, b}+u_{0}\right)^{2} & \leqslant \frac{(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right)}{(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)} \\
& <\frac{1+A r^{2 k}}{1+B r^{2 k}} \\
& =a_{k, 0}+d_{k, 0} \leqslant a_{k, b}+d_{k, b} \leqslant\left(a_{k, b}+d_{k, b}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u_{0}<d_{k, b}$. However, it is not necessary that $u_{0}>-d_{k, b}$. It is seen that the condition $u_{0} \leqslant-d_{k, b}$ is equivalent to $\left(u_{0}+a_{k, b}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(a_{k, b}-d_{k, b}\right)^{2}$; that is,

$$
\frac{L}{K} \leqslant\left[\frac{1-A C}{1-B C}\right]^{2}
$$

Thus, under the above condition, the minimum of $S(u, 0)$ occurs at the end point $u=-d_{k, b}$, its value being

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(-d_{k, b}, 0\right)=\beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{1}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)} \\
& {\left[L \cdot \frac{1-B C}{1-A C}+K \cdot \frac{1-A C}{1-B C}-2 \beta k\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have seen earlier that the lower bound $a_{k, b}-d_{k, b}$ of $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}$ is attained for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(z)=\frac{1-b(1-A) z^{k}-A z^{2 k}}{1-b(1-B) z^{k}-B z^{2 k}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $z=-r$ obtained by taking $\psi(z)=-1$ in (2.6). To show that the result of this theorem is sharp, we need only to show that inequality (2.10) is an equality for the same function $p_{0}(z)$ at $z=-r$. In fact, with $\psi(z)=-1$ in (2.6), direct calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
z w^{\prime}(z) & =k w(z)-\frac{k\left(1-b^{2}\right) z^{2 k}}{\left(1-b z^{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& =k w(z)-\frac{k\left(z^{2 k}-w(z)^{2}\right)}{1-z^{2 k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields equality in (2.10).
Remark 1. To obtain some condition simpler than (2.9), we note that in a more symmetrical form, condition (2.9) holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right)}{(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)} \leqslant\left[\frac{1-A C}{1-B C}\right]^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, as

$$
\frac{1+A r^{2 k}}{1+B r^{2 k}} \leqslant \frac{1+A}{1+B}
$$

condition (2.14) holds if

$$
\frac{1-A^{2}}{1-B^{2}} \leqslant\left[\frac{1-A C}{1-B C}\right]^{2}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+B-2(1+A B) C+(A+B) C^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, apart from the simple case $A=1, B=-1$, inequality (2.15) is not satisfied if $A+B \leqslant 0, A B \neq-1$. This has eliminated several interesting cases such as the class $S^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)$, where $A+B=0$. Our next theorem will give conditions which cover this case.

Remark 2. As mentioned in the proof of Theorem 2, the minimum of $S(u, 0)$ can occur within the interval $\left[-d_{k, b}, d_{k, b}\right]$. In that case, the minimum value is

$$
S\left(u_{0}, 0\right)=\beta \frac{A+B}{A-B}+\frac{2}{(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)}\left[(L K)^{1 / 2}-\beta k\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)\right]
$$

It seems that this bound is not achieved by any function in $P_{k, b}(A, B)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha \geqslant 0, \beta \geqslant 0, k=1,3,5, \ldots,|z|=r<1, D=$ $\left(r^{k}+b\right) /\left(1+b r^{k}\right), C=r^{k} D$. Under the following conditions:
(i) $A+B \geqslant 0, A B<A+B$,
(ii) $1-r^{2 k}+(A+B) r^{2 k}-2 r^{k}\left(1+A B r^{2 k}\right) D+r^{2 k}\left(A+B-A B\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)\right)$ $D^{2}>0,0<r<1$, we have for $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$ that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant \alpha \frac{1-A C}{1-B C}-\frac{(A-B) \beta k r^{k}}{1-r^{2 k}} \cdot \frac{r^{k}+\left(1-r^{2 k}\right) D-r^{k} D^{2}}{1-(A+B) r^{k} D+A B r^{2 k} D^{2}}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof: Write

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{z^{k} \psi(z)+b}{1+b z^{k} \psi(z)}, \quad \psi(z) \in U
$$

Then, for $p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)$, we have

$$
z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{(A-B) z^{k}\left[k \varphi(z)+z \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right]}{\left[1+B z^{k} \varphi(z)\right]^{2}}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} & \geqslant-\frac{(A-B)|z|^{k}\left|k \varphi(z)+z \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|p(z)|\left|1+B z^{k} \varphi(z)\right|^{2}}  \tag{2.16}\\
& \geqslant-\frac{(A-B)|z|^{k}\left|k \varphi(z)+z \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}\left|1+B z^{k} \varphi(z)\right|^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{(A-B)\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+(A+B) \operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{k} \varphi(z)\right\}+A B\left|z^{k} \varphi(z)\right|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w(z)=z^{k} \varphi(z)$. Under the condition $A+B \geqslant 0,(A+B) \operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{k} \varphi(z)\right\} \geqslant$ $-(A+B)\left|z^{k}\right||\varphi(z)|$. In this case, (2.16) becomes

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant-\frac{(A-B)\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-(A+B)\left|z^{k}\right||\varphi(z)|+A B\left|z^{2 k}\right||\varphi(z)|^{2}} .
$$

Also, in view of Lemma 2,

$$
\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant k|w(z)|+\frac{k\left(|z|^{2 k}-|w(z)|^{2}\right)}{1-|z|^{2 k}} ;
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant-\frac{(A-B) k|z|^{k}}{1-|z|^{2 k}} \cdot \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2 k}\right)|\varphi(z)|+|z|^{k}-|z|^{k}|\varphi(z)|^{2}}{1-(A+B)|z|^{k}|\varphi(z)|+A B|z|^{2 k}|\varphi(z)|^{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $|\varphi(z)|=x$, and denote the second factor on the $R H S$ by $F(x)$; then

$$
\frac{d F}{d x}=\frac{N(x)}{\left(1-(A+B) r^{k} x+A B r^{2 k} x^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where

$$
N(x)=1-r^{2 k}+(A+B) r^{2 k}-2 r^{k}\left(1+A B r^{2 k}\right) x+r^{2 k}\left[A+B-A B\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)\right] x^{2}
$$

Now,

$$
\frac{d N}{d x}=-2 r^{k}\left(1+A B r^{2 k}\right)+2 r^{2 k}\left[A+B-A B\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)\right] x
$$

Under the conditions $A B<A+B, A+B \geqslant 0$, we have $A+B-A B\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)>0$. Thus, in this case,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d N}{d x}<-2 r^{k}\left(1+A B r^{2 k}-\left(A+B-A B+A B r^{2 k}\right)\right) \\
=-2 r^{k}(1-A)(1-B)<0
\end{gathered}
$$

As a result, we have $N(x) \geqslant N(D)$ since $|\varphi(z)| \leqslant D$ on $|z|=r$. Consequently, $d F / d x>0$ if $N(D)>0$. The condition $N(D)>0$ will then yield that $F(x) \leqslant F(D)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \geqslant-\frac{(A-B) k r^{k}}{1-r^{2 k}} \cdot \frac{\left(1-r^{2 k}\right) D+r^{k}-r^{k} D^{2}}{1-(A+B) r^{k} D+A B r^{2 k} D^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (2.7) and (2.18) together, we obtain the lower bound for $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) /\right.$ $p(z)\}$ of the theorem. As noted before, the lower bound of $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}$ is achieved by taking $\psi(z)=-1$ in (2.6) (that is, by choosing $\varphi(z)=\left(b-z^{k}\right) /\left(1-b z^{k}\right)$ ) and at the point $z=-r$. It is a simple exercise to check that every inequality used in this proof becomes an equality at $z=-r, k=1,3,5, \ldots$ and for $\psi(z)=-1$. Hence the result is sharp.

## 3. Radil of Convexity

As noted at the beginning of Section 2, the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ is given by the smallest root in $(0,1]$ of the equation $M(r)=0$, where

$$
M(r)=\min _{p(z) \in P_{k, b}(A, B)} \min _{|z|=r<1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\}
$$

An application of Theorem 2 with $\alpha=1, \beta=1$ gives $M(r)$, and solving $M(r)=0$ we obtain

Corollary 1. Let $A, B, b$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right)(1-B C)^{2} \leqslant\left[(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)+k(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)\right](1-A C)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<1$. Then the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ is given by the smallest root in $(0,1]$ of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
{[(A+B)} & \left.\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)-2 k\left(1-A B r^{2 k}\right)\right]\left(1+b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}\right)\left(1+b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& +k(1-A)\left(1+A r^{2 k}\right)\left(1+b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left[(A-B)\left(1-r^{2 k}\right)+k(1-B)\left(1+B r^{2 k}\right)\right]\left(1+b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}\right)^{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

It can be checked that the $L H S$ is equal to $(2-k) A-k B$ at $r=0$ and it is equal to 0 at $r=1$. Thus, the above equation has at least one root within ( 0,1 ]. For the class $S_{k, b}^{*}(1,1 / \alpha-1)$, it is seen immediately that condition (3.1) is satisfied for any $b$ and for any $\alpha>1 / 2$. Thus, the radius of convexity for this class is determined completely as

Corollary 2. The radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(1,1 / \alpha-1)$ is given by the smallest root in ( 0,1 ] of equation (3.2) with $A=1, B=1 / \alpha-1$.

For the class $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha, 0)$, condition (2.15) becomes $\alpha \geqslant 2 C /\left(1+C^{2}\right)$. Thus, for this class, we have

Corollary 3. The radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha, 0)$ is given by the smallest root in ( 0,1 ] of equation (3.2) with $A=\alpha, B=0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 2 C /\left(1+C^{2}\right)$.

## Using Theorem 3, we have

Corollary 4. Let $A, B, b$ satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. Then the radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(A, B)$ is given by the smallest root in $(0,1]$ of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-r^{2 k}\right)\left(1+b(1-A) r^{k}-A r^{2 k}\right)\left[1+(2 b-A-B) r^{k}\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(b^{2}(1-A)(1-B)-A-B\right) r^{2 k}-b(A+B-2 A B) r^{3 k}+A B r^{4 k}\right] \\
& \quad-(A-B) k r^{k}\left(1+b(1-B) r^{k}-B r^{2 k}\right)\left(1+2 r^{k}-(1-b) r^{2 k}-2 r^{3 k}-b r^{4 k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Again, it can be checked that the $L H S$ is equal to 1 at $r=0$ and 0 at $r=$ 1. Thus, the equation has at least one root within ( 0,1 . For the class $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha, 0)$, condition (i) of Theorem 3 is obvious, while condition (ii) becomes

$$
1-2 r^{k}+(2 \alpha-1) r^{2 k}>0, \quad 0<r<1 .
$$

Consequently, for this class, we have

Corollary 5. The radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha, 0)$ is given by the smallest root $r_{0}$ in ( 0,1 ] of equation (3.3) with $A=\alpha, B=0$ for such $\alpha$ that

$$
1-2 r_{0}^{k}+(2 \alpha-1) r_{0}^{2 k}>0
$$

For the class $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)$, we note that condition (i) of Theorem 3 is always satisfied, while conditions (ii) becomes

$$
1-2 r^{k}-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) r^{2 k}+2 \alpha^{2} r^{3 k}-\alpha^{2} r^{4 k}>0, \quad 0<r<1
$$

Thus for this class, we get
Corollary 6. The radius of convexity of $S_{k, b}^{*}(\alpha,-\alpha)$ is given by the smallest root $r_{1}$ in ( 0,1 ] of equation (3.3) with $A=\alpha, B=-\alpha$ for such $\alpha$ that

$$
1-2 r_{1}^{k}-\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) r_{1}^{2 k}+2 \alpha^{2} r_{1}^{3 k}-\alpha^{2} r_{1}^{4 k}>0
$$
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