

# Index

- Australia
  - enhanced damages, 95
  - lost profits availability, 56
  - lost profits, unwitting infringer, 56
- bargaining power, 246
  - bargaining power discount, 249
  - circularity, 251
  - high discount rate, 247
  - incremental value, division of, 25
  - independent creation, 252
  - information asymmetries, 253
  - informational disadvantage, 253
  - Nash Bargaining Solution, 246, 248
  - optimal patent term, 250
- Canada
  - disgorgement, 75, 81, 83
  - enhanced damages, 95
  - lost profits availability, 56
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
  - reasonable royalty factors, 14
- China
  - antimonopoly law, 222
  - bundling, 225
  - calculating damages, 201
  - damages, 8
  - disgorgement, 79
  - enhanced damages, 95
  - exclusionary abuses, 219
  - FRAND, 187, 201
  - litigation cost recovery, 105
  - lost profit awards, 58
  - lost profits availability, 58
- Chinese Patent Act
  - disgorgement, 79
- circularity, 36
  - discounting, 37
  - holdup/holdout circularity, 36
  - probabilistic circularity, 36
- competition law
  - anticompetitive effects, 216
  - antitrust agencies, 206
  - behavioral remedies, 233
  - bundling, 224, 225
  - complex products, 209, 223, 236
  - EU competition law, 207
  - exceptionalism, 207
  - excessive pricing, 224
  - FRAND licensing, 215, 221
  - future licensing, 218
  - goals, 204
  - holdout, 212
  - holdup, 212
  - injunctive relief, 212, 213, 233
  - level discrimination, 226
  - monetary remedies, 232
  - multi-level licensing, 228
  - patent acquisition, 231
  - patent pools, 228
  - price discrimination, 224
  - privateering, 230
  - refusal to supply, 221
  - SEP holder obligations, 210, 217, 219
  - tying, 224, 225
  - unfair trading conditions, 220
- complementarity, 20
- complex products
  - complementarity, 20
  - incremental value, 19
- Daubert
  - reasonable royalty, 47
- deterrence
  - underdeterrence, 9

- disgorgement, 72–89
  - burden of proof, 88
  - definition, 50
  - deterrence, 73
  - differential profit method, 82
  - drawbacks, 80
  - fixed costs, 85
  - objective, 50
  - potential benefits, 80
  - unjust enrichment, 72
- enhanced damages, 91–104
  - calculating multipliers, 288
  - catch-me-if-you-can problem, 98
  - criminal sanctions, 96
  - detering beneficial challenges, 100
  - effect on patent reading, 101
  - EU Enforcement Directive, 94
  - holdout, 98
  - objective recklessness, 92
  - promoting innovation, 97
  - purpose, 97
  - underdetection, 100
  - willfulness findings, study of, 92
- entire market value, 42, 44, 64
- European Union
  - disgorgement, 76
  - enhanced damages, 94
  - exclusionary abuses, 219
  - FRAND, 165, 175
  - injunctive relief, 126
  - level discrimination, 227
  - litigation cost recovery, 105
  - lost profits availability, 57
- ex ante
  - assessing social value, 244
  - contingent ex ante approach, 244
  - ex ante negotiation, 243
  - incentive to invent, 245
  - lock-in cost, 245
  - pure ex ante approach, 244
  - sunk costs, 243
- France
  - disgorgement, 78
  - injunctive relief, abuse of rights, 142
  - lost profits availability, 57
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
- FRAND
  - abuse of rights, 190
  - bottom up approach, 168
  - comity device, 176
  - enhanced damages, 163
  - EU competition law, 176, 183, 188
  - FRAND royalty rates, 167
  - Georgia-Pacific factors, 162
  - holdout, 164
  - infringement notification, 178
  - injunctive relief, 171–90
  - jurisdictional issues, 184
  - licensing offer, 179
  - monetary damages, 161–71
  - proportionality, 189
  - reasonable royalty calculation, 162
  - refusal to license, 166
  - standard-essential patents, 164
  - top down approach, 168, 170
  - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 165
- Georgia-Pacific
  - alternatives, 16
  - criticism of, 14
  - factors, 14
  - fundamental questions, 15
  - incremental value, division of, 24
  - post-infringement evidence, 32
  - restructuring analysis, 15
  - similar factors, Canada, 14
  - similar factors, Germany, 14
  - similar factors, Japan, 14
- German Patent Act
  - calculating damages, 77, 191
  - estimating damages, 192
  - monetary damages, 191
- Germany
  - disgorgement, 77
  - FRAND, implimenter response, 182
  - FRAND, infringement notification, 178
  - FRAND, licensing offer, 179
  - FRAND, monetary damages, 165
  - FRAND, royalty calculation, 181
  - FRAND, time limits, 178, 183
  - hypothetical bargain, information available, 28
  - injunctive relief, 125, 142
  - level discrimination, 226
  - lost profits availability, 57
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
  - reasonable royalty factors, 14
- holdout, 284–89
  - calculating multipliers, 288
  - catch-me-if-you-can problem, 284, 285
  - competition law, 212
  - definition, 284
  - European holdout model, 284
  - fee shifting, 287
  - injunctive relief, 286

- holdout (cont.)  
 litigation costs, 285  
 transaction cost reforms, 289  
 undercompensatory damages, 282, 288  
 underdetection, 287  
 underdetection and enhanced damages, 287
- holdup, 254–71  
 apportionment problem, 258  
 assessing excessive royalty, 296  
 asymmetric stakes in litigation, 287  
 case studies, 295, 296  
 competition law, 212  
 competitive markets, 266  
 complex products, 258, 261  
 definitions, 254  
 difficulty assessing royalty, 269  
 distinguishing royalty stacking, 294  
 downstream firms, 266  
 early negotiation, 264  
 economic literature, 302  
 elasticity of demand, 268  
 evidence of systematic problem, 294  
 ex ante licensing, 271  
 ex ante negotiation, 272  
 ex post royalties, 255  
 fairness-based norms, 273  
 hindersight bias, 282  
 inelastic demand, 267  
 injunctive relief, 268  
 liability rule, 279  
 licensing business model, 270  
 litigation costs, 263  
 lost profit damages, 269  
 mitigating mechanisms, 271  
 network effect appropriation, 256  
 network effect value, 297  
 overcompensatory jury awards, 282  
 patent ambush, 297  
 patent central to product, 263  
 patentee pays switching costs, 275  
 probabilistic patents, 259  
 redesign cost, 262  
 repeat bargaining, 273  
 royalty burden of proof, 281  
 stay of injunction, 274  
 study of, 299  
 sunk costs holdup, 255, 256  
 sunk R&D costs, 265  
 switching costs, 261, 275  
 undercompensatory damages, 279  
 unwilling licensor, 275
- hypothetical bargain, 9, 22–23  
 circularity, 36  
 comparability, 34  
 contingent ex ante approach, 31  
 ex post information, 33  
 multiplier, 37, 38  
 prior settlements, 39  
 probabilistic discounting, 40  
 timing of, 28
- incremental value, 19  
 best patented alternative, 241  
 comparables, 26  
 course of dealing, 26  
 custom of the industry, 26  
 definition, 17  
 determining value, 242  
 division of, 23  
 economic value, 19  
 relevant evidence, 26  
 royalty benchmark, 239  
 social value, 19, 240  
 value to different users, 242
- India  
 exclusionary abuses, 219  
 lost profits availability, 58  
 unwitting infringer, 58
- Indian Patent Act  
 lost profits, 58
- injunctions  
 abuse of rights, 125, 141  
 as a matter of right, 125  
 automatic injunctions, 125  
 burden on the public, 117  
 causal nexus, 129  
 civil law systems, 141–43  
 complex products, 120, 125, 130, 140  
 design around, 124  
 discretionary, 125  
 disproportionality, 124, 152, 153  
 eBay factors, 127  
 economic arguments, 118  
 empirical factors, 128  
 EU Enforcement Directive, 126, 139  
 expected harms, 123  
 FRAND, 125, 134  
 good faith, 138  
 harm to enjoined parties, 148  
 harm to the public, 150  
 harm to third parties, 149  
 holdout, 120, 121  
 holdup, 120, 121, 125  
 incremental worth, 148  
 indirect monetary value, 115  
 irreparable harm, 129  
 liability rule, 119  
 market exclusivity, 131, 132

- matter of right, 141
- noncompensable harm, 151
- ongoing reasonable royalty, 157
- oppressive to defendant, 136
- over-reach, 121
- permanent injunction, 127
- preliminary injunctions, 122, 123
- property rules, 119
- proportionality, 140
- public interest, 133, 140
- rationales, 115
- right to exclude, 115, 116
- scale of infringement, 147
- standard-essential patents, 134, 140, 142
- study of, 129, 131
- switching costs, 118
- tailoring, 133, 155
- transaction costs, 277
- TRIPS Agreement, 116, 117, 143
- undue delay, 132, 138
- United Kingdom, 134–41
- United States, 127–34
- interest, 111–14
  - Federal Reserve survey rate, 113
  - restitutionary interest rate award, 113
  - undercompensatory prejudgment interest, 111
- Italy
  - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
- Japan
  - abuse of right, 200
  - disgorgement, 79
  - enhanced damages, 96
  - FRAND, 169, 186, 187, 189, 200
  - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
  - Japanese Institute of Inventors and Innovation (Hatsumei Kyokai), 12
  - litigation cost recovery, 105
  - lost profits, 57, 58
  - reasonable royalty factors, 14
  - standard royalty rate, 12
  - statutory prejudgment interest rate, 113
  - study of, 7
- Japanese Patent Act
  - disgorgement, 79
  - FRAND, injunctive relief, 185
  - lost profits, 57
- Korea
  - FRAND, 185, 189, 199
  - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
  - monetary damages, 199
- liability rule
  - bias in damages assessment, 278
  - definition, 276
  - injunctions, 119
  - speculative uses, 277
- litigation cost recovery, 104–11
  - costshifting, study of, 109
  - effects of awarding costs, 108
  - EU Enforcement Directive, 104
  - exceptionality requirement, 107
- lost profits, 51–72
  - apportionment, 66
  - availability, 52, 55–58
  - awards, study of, 55
  - compensable lost sales, 64
  - convoys sales, 64
  - definition, 50
  - derivative sales, 65
  - emotional harm, 69
  - foreseeable lost profits, 54
  - future losses, 69
  - harm to subsidiaries, 69
  - loss of chance, 71
  - lost economies of scale, 69
  - mixed awards, 55
  - non-infringing alternatives, 60
  - objective, 50
  - opportunity costs, 69
  - Panduit factors, 53
  - price erosion, 51
  - reputational damage, 69
  - standard, United States, 53
  - study of, 58
  - unpatented products, 64
  - unwitting infringer, 56
- Model Patent Jury Instructions, 15
- Nash Bargaining Solution. *See* NBS
- NBS (Nash Bargaining Solution)
  - incremental value, division of, 24
- Netherlands
  - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
  - willing licensee, 212
- non-infringing alternative, 20
- nonpracticing entity. *See* NPE
- NPE (nonpracticing entity), 7
- option effect, 280
  - royalty rate errors, 280
- patent strength, 254
  - discounting, 254

- Poland
- FRAND, abuse of rights, 183
  - price discrimination, 35, 46
  - property rule
    - definition, 276
    - difficulty assessing royalty, 276
    - injunctions, 119
  - proposed further research, competition law
    - constraints on licensing terms, 238
    - flexibility of antitrust remedies, 238
    - injunctive relief, 238
    - level discrimination, 238
    - trade offs with patent law, 237
    - use of patent pools, 238
  - proposed further research, disgorgement
    - accounting of profits, 82
    - availability, 81
    - burden of proof, apportionment, 89
  - proposed further research, enhanced damages
    - justifiable variations across jurisdictions, 104
    - patent reading, 104
  - proposed further research, FRAND
    - enhanced damages, 171
    - royalty methodologies, 171
  - proposed further research, holdup
    - accuracy of damages and injunctive relief, 284
  - proposed further research, interest
    - rates awarded in U.S. courts, 114
    - simple versus compound interest, 114
  - proposed further research, litigation cost recovery
    - awards under Equal Access to Justice Act, 111
    - practical aspects of fee shifting, 111
    - reduce cost of litigation over fees, 110
    - settlements in countries with mandatory fee shifting, 111
  - proposed further research, lost profits
    - burden of proof, non-infringing alternatives, 62
    - idle patents, 66
    - infringer's degree of fault, 59
    - loss of chance, 72
    - moral prejudice, 71
    - non-infringing alternatives, 64
    - patented next-best alternative, 62
    - standard presumption, 60
  - proposed further research, reasonable royalty
    - disclosure of license terms, 41
    - incremental value, division of, 25
    - jury preferences re royalty rates, 44
    - non-infringing alternatives, 22
    - psychology of judges and juries, 46
    - reasonable royalty calibration, 49
- reasonable royalty, 6–49
- analytical approach, 11
  - anchoring, 43
  - bottom-up approach, 16
  - calibration, 49
  - comparable licenses, 33
  - complements, 20
  - contingent ex ante approach, 31
  - entire market value, 42, 44
  - established royalty rate, 12
  - ex post information, 32, 33
  - expert evidence, 47
  - Georgia-Pacific factors, 14
  - incremental value, division of, 23
  - industry standard rates, 12
  - information set, 30
  - kickers, 48
  - overcompensatory, 43
  - patented non-infringing alternatives, 20
  - principal recommendation, 16–19
  - proof of damages, 47
  - restitutionary view, 9
  - restorative view, 8
  - SSPPU, 42, 45
  - timing of hypothetical bargain, 28
  - top-down approach, 12
- recommendations, disgorgement
- accounting of profits, correct approach, 85
  - cost savings, 61
  - discretion of the court, 81
  - elect accounting or damages, 88
  - fixed costs, 86
  - U.S. design patent approach, 84
- recommendations, enhanced damages
- assessed in light of efficacy, 103
  - evaluate deterrent effect, 103
- recommendations, FRAND
- assessing royalty rates, 171
  - balancing equities, 190
  - conditions on injunctive relief, 190
- recommendations, injunctions
- against automatic injunctions, 144
  - discretionary award, 144
  - disproportionate harm, 146
  - ongoing reasonable royalty, 145, 157, 158
  - proportionality, 145
  - tailoring, 144, 156
- recommendations, interest
- rates reflecting cost of borrowing, 114
  - reconsideration of statutory rates, 114
- recommendations, litigation cost recovery
- compensate costs actually incurred, 110
  - more generous costshifting rules, 110
- recommendations, lost profits
- “but-for” causation, 59
  - defining “profit,” 61

- preferred measure, 58
  - recognize non-infringing alternatives, 61
  - recoverable losses, 65
  - substitutability of non-infringing alternatives, 62
- recommendations, reasonable royalty
  - ancillary services or risks taken, 25
  - apply comparables and market evidence with caution, 41
  - comparables, 34
  - contingent ex ante approach, 31
  - entire market value, 46
  - expert evidence, 47
  - flexible approach to hypothetical bargain, 29
  - hypothetical bargain framework, 22
  - incremental value over patented alternative, 21
  - kickers, 49
  - principal recommendation, 16
  - royalties commensurate with value, 19
  - using competent evidence, 27
- royalty stacking
  - Cournot complements, 290
  - definition, 289
  - distinguishing holdup, 294
  - evidence of systematic problem, 294
  - input price setting, 292
  - patent pools, 292, 298
  - redesign costs, 290
  - royalty benchmark, 295
  - study of, 300
  - tacit coordination, 293
  - two-stage quantity setting-model, 293
- SDO (standards-development organizations), 160
- SEP (standard-essential patent)
  - hypothetical bargain, timing of, 29
  - non-infringing alternatives, 21
- smallest saleable patent-practicing unit. *See* SSPPU
- Spain
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
- SSPPU (smallest saleable patent-practicing unit), 42, 45
- standard-essential patent. *See* SEP
- standards-development organizations. *See* SDO
- sunk costs
  - circularity, 41
  - holdup, 30
  - hypothetical bargain, timing of, 29
- Switzerland
  - agreement to contract, 195
  - calculating damages, 196, 197
  - effect of FRAND, 196
  - injunctions, abuse of rights, 142
  - reasonable royalty, 198
  - Swiss Code of Obligations, 196
- Taiwan
  - enhanced damages, 96
- TRIPS Agreement
  - criminal penalties, 96
  - injunctions, 116, 143
  - limitation of remedies, 117
- U.S. Patent Act
  - disgorgement, design patents, 75
  - enhanced damages, 91, 92
  - injunctions, 127
  - lost profits, 52
  - prejudgement interest presumption, 111
- UK Patent Act
  - lost profits availability, 55
- United Kingdom
  - bundling, 225, 226
  - disgorgement, 78, 81
  - enhanced damages, availability, 94
  - FRAND, 169
  - hypothetical bargain, 11
  - injunctions, 125, 134
  - lost profits, 55, 56, 61
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
  - tying, 225
  - willing licensing, 212
- United States
  - apportionment, 66
  - consent decrees, 234
  - damages reports, 6, 7
  - disgorgement, availability, 75
  - enhanced damages, 288
  - foreseeable lost profits, 54
  - FRAND, 171, 174, 175
  - hypothetical bargain, 11, 28
  - injunctions, 125, 126, 127
  - jury bias, 282
  - litigation cost recovery, 106
  - lost profit awards, 55
  - lost profits, 56, 64
  - Patent Act, lost profits, 52
  - post-judgment interest, 112
  - prejudgement interest presumption, 111
  - preliminary injunctions, 124
  - proof of reasonable royalty damages, 47
  - royalty rates awarded by juries, 43
  - unfair competition, 218
- willing licensee, 212

