RESEARCH ARTICLE



Early harvesting and increasing stubble-cutting height enhance ratoon rice yield

Yuanwei Chen, Huabin Zheng^(b), Weiqin Wang and Qiyuan Tang

College of Agronomy Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China Corresponding authors: Huabin Zheng; Email: hbzheng@hunau.edu.cn; Qiyuan Tang; Email: qytang@hunau.edu.cn

(Received 07 March 2023; revised 18 July 2023; accepted 16 August 2023)

Summary

To clarify the combined effects of the cutting time and cutting height on ratooning ability and rice grain yield of the ratoon crop in the novel ratoon rice cropping, a field experiment was carried out to investigate the combined effects of harvesting time and the stubble-cutting height of the main crop on the growth duration, ratooning ability and grain yield of the ratoon crop. The growth period was shortened by 3.5 days on average when the harvesting time was 10 days ahead of time. On average, the growth duration was prolonged by 7 days per each decrease of 10 cm stubble height. Early harvesting and increasing stubblecutting height greatly increased the grain yield of the ratoon crop. The highest grain yield was achieved at 10 days after flowering stage and a stubble height of 30 cm, which were 6916 kg hm⁻² for XLY900 and 7262 kg·hm⁻² for YY4149, averaged across years. High rice yield of the ratoon crop was mainly depended on panicle numbers and grain-filling percentage, rather than spikelets per panicle. Increasing cutting height and the cutting time of the main crop ahead maintain more stubble biomass and nitrogen content. A significant positive correlation was observed between stubble nitrogen content and tillers-to-stubble ratio (TSR), as well as a significant positive relation was found between panicle-to-stubble ratio and TSR. Therefore, cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop with 30 cm stubble-cutting height enhances ratooning ability due to higher stubble biomass and nitrogen content, and then increases rice yield of the ratoon crop.

Keywords: Cutting time; Ratoon rice yield; Ratooning ability; Stubble height; Stubble nitrogen quantity

Introduction

Ratoon rice is grown from the seedlings of buds that remain at nodes of rice stubble following the harvest of the main rice crop (Xu *et al.*, 2021). Compared with double-season rice and single-season rice (the dominant rice systems in central China, Nie and Peng, 2017), ratoon rice is effectively balanced by the high annual rice grain yield and the high profitability (low labor requirements). In recent years, the farmers planted the ratoon rice spontaneously without promotion, and there is more than 1 million hm^2 of ratoon rice cropping in southern China (Wang *et al.*, 2021).

Cutting time and stubble height are two important factors affecting the rice yield and quality of the ratoon crop. In Southern China, harvesting the main crop when 90% of rice grains ripened aids the elongation and emergence of ratooning buds (Xiong *et al.*, 2000), while in the Central China region, it is better to harvest when 95% of grains are ripened (Miao, 1996). Jones (1993) stated that a higher rice yield of ratoon crop was obtained with a stubble height of 20–30 cm. Daliri *et al.* (2009) observed significant differences in ratoon crop yield among different harvesting times and stubble-cutting heights of the main crop, and the highest rice yield of the ratoon crop was found at a stubble height of 40 cm. However, ratooning buds died after the full-heading stage of

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press.

main crop, and bud-promoting fertilizers could effectively increase the survival rate of the ratooning buds (Xu *et al.*, 2000). Further information is needed to explore the combined impact of the cutting time and cutting height on rice grain yield of the ratoon crop, especially the cutting time after the full-heading stage of main crop.

In Hunan Province, the cutting time and stubble height in the ratoon rice production system are about 30 days after the flowering stage of the main crop and 30 cm height, the annual grain yield is about 12–15 Mg·hm⁻², the ratio of the grain yield between the main crop and ratoon crop is 7:3 or 8:2, consequently, and the rice yield of the ratoon crop is about 2.4-4.5 Mg·hm⁻². Although ratoon rice attains a higher annual grain yield and net economic return than middleseason rice, poor rice quality (high temperature during the filling stage) of the main crop and relatively low grain yield of the ratoon crop have greatly limited rice producers' income. Novel ratoon rice cropping with the main crop as forage and the ratoon crop as food was an effective way to improve rice producers' income, and it should be noted that this differs from the development of ratoon crop as forage, as reported by Dong et al. (2020). It altered stubble character (e.g., stubble biomass and its nitrogen content) via cutting time and cutting height improvement in the novel ratoon rice cropping. Studies have shown that the dry weight of stem sheaths in the late growth period of the main crop is positively correlated with the regenerative capacity and yield of the regenerative season (Chen et al., 2018; Xu et al., 1998). In addition, the nutrient storage in rice straw is positively correlated with the regenerative capacity (Santos et al., 2003). Carbohydrates and nitrogen are the main components stored in straw, and the ratio of carbohydrates (C) to nitrogen (N) in straw is closely related to the regenerative capacity of rice in the regenerative season (Vergara et al., 1988). It has been reported that the nitrogen content in main crop straw is negatively correlated with the regenerative capacity of the regenerative season (Vergara et al., 1988), while the nonstructural carbohydrates and dry weight of rice stalks are positively correlated with the regenerative capacity (Huang et al., 2009).

For increasing rice yield of the ratoon crop, a field experiment was carried out in 2018–2019 and the combined effects of the cutting time and cutting height on ratooning ability and rice grain yield of the ratoon crop were investigated. The objective of the present work was to test the hypothesis that modification of cutting time and cutting height during main crop harvesting can improve ratooning ability and rice yield of the ratoon crop.

Materials and Methods

Ratoon rice planting

Ratoon rice cropping was established in Yiyang City, Hunan Province (29°08'N, 112°26' E, 28 m a.s.l.) in 2018–2019. The soil at the site was tidal soil with a pH of 7.52 in 2018 and 7.57 in 2019. Additionally, the soil contained approximately 4.84% and 4.78% organic matter in 2018 and 2019, respectively. Climate condition was characterized by annual average sunshine time of 1643.3 h, annual average temperature of 16.9°C – the coldest month (January) has an average temperature of 4.3°C and the hottest month (July) an average temperature of 29.1°C – frost-free period of 264 d, accumulated temperature (\geq 10°C) of 5240°C, and an average rainfall of 1240.8 mm, concentrating from May to September. Precipitation and daily mean temperature are shown in Figure 1. The rice cultivars were Xiangliangyou 900 (XLY900, Indica hybrid rice) and Yongyou4149 (YY4149, *Indica/japonica* hybrid rice), which were widely planted in the Hunan Province. The characteristics of these rice cultivars were available on the China rice data center (www.ricedata.cn).

Sowing was done on March 29th, and the seed quantity was 22.5 kg hm⁻². At a seedling age of 30 days, machine transplanting was performed (on April 29th). The nitrogen (N) application rate was 345 kgNhm⁻² (195 kg N hm⁻² for the main crop and 150 kg N hm⁻² for the ratoon crop), in



Figure 1. Daily mean air temperature and precipitation near the field experimental site in 2018 and 2019. Climate data comes from the Bureau of Meteorology's local observatory.



Figure 2. Schematic diagram in the experiment.

accordance with the local high-yield and high-quality rationing rice cultivation system. The P_2O_5 and K_2O application rates were 90 and 225 kghm⁻², respectively.

Beginning at the flowering stage of the main crop, three cutting times (10, 20, and 30 days after the flowering stage) were tested. Three cutting heights (Figure 2, stubble of 10, 20, and 30 cm) were used per cutting time, respectively. There were three replications with an area of 25 m² for each plot. During the main crop, pesticides including abamectin and tricyclazole were sprayed 2–3 times, including those used to prevent rice plant hopper and damage from rice borers, and also herbicides for controlling barnyard grass; fungicides, including validamycin and tebuconazole, were used for sheath blight control. Other cultivation measures followed the local high-yield and high-quality ratooning rice cultivation system (Wang *et al.*, 2023).

Growth period

Dates of sowing, flowering stage in the main crop, cutting time, flowering stage, and mature stages in the ratoon crop were recorded accurately.

Stubble character

At 10, 20, and 30 days after the flowering stage of the ratoon crop, ten hills were sampled and stubble biomass was determined in different stubble heights (10, 20, and 30 cm), excluding the three border plants.

Maximum tillering in the ratoon season

Excluding the three border plants, ten hills were labeled in each plot to count tillers at fixed intervals from 5 to 40 days, and recorded the maximum number of tillers in the different experimental plots.

Biomass per hills and harvest index

In the mature stage of the ratio crop, biomass per hill (grain and straw) was determined for 12 hills, and the harvest index was calculated as the ratio of the grain weight and the total (grain + straw) weight.

Yield and its components

In the mature stage of the ratoon crop, yield components were determined for 12 hills, including spikelet panicle⁻¹, filling ratio, and grain weight. Finally, grain yield was determined in a selected area (5 m²), and the effective number of panicles per m² was determined for 20 hills.

Data analysis

The tillers-to-stubble ratio (TSR) and panicles-to-stubble ratio (PSR) were calculated as the ratio of maximum tillers and panicles to stubble of main rice. Means were calculated and a correlation analysis was performed using Statistix 8.0 and Microsoft Excel 2017 software. We performed a one-way analysis of variance and the least squares difference test was used to check statistically significant differences among cutting times and cutting heights.

Ethics approval

Experimental research and field studies on plants, including the collection of plant material, comply with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation. We had appropriate permissions/licenses to perform the experiment in the study area.

Results

Growth period of the ratoon season

Early cutting time shortened the growth period, and the minimum growth period was found at 10 days after the flowering stage of the main crop (Table 1). Compared with 30 days after the flowering stage of the main crop, the growth period at 10 days after the flowering stage was shortened by 7 days, and then the growth period was shortened by 3.5 days per 10 days ahead of the cutting time. The growth period was delayed by reducing the stubble heights. Compared with 30 cm stubble height, the growth period at 10 cm stubble height was prolonged by 14.5 days for XLY900 and 13.5 days for YY4149. Overall, the growth period was prolonged by 7 days per 10 cm stubble height decrease.

Stubble characteristics

Maximum stubble biomass among cutting times treatments was found for 20 days after the flowering stage of the main crop, and significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed between 20 and 30 days after the flowering stage of the main crop (Table 2). The biomass of stubble increases as the cutting height increases, and there is a significant difference among various stubble heights (p < 0.05). Variation in stubble N content was observed between cultivars. YY4149 (Yongyou4149) stubble nitrogen content decreased significantly with increasing cutting times (Table 2), while non-significant differences among the treatments were found for XLY900 (Table 2). In YY4149, the highest stubble N quantity was determined at 10 days after flowering stage of the main season and 30 cm stubble height (Table 2).

		Main season (Mon/Day)				Ra	itoon sea (Mon/Da	ison y)	
Cultivars	Year	SD	FL	Cutting time (days after FL)	Cutting height (cm)	СТ	FL	MA	Growth period (days)
XLY900	2018	3/29	7/15	10	10	7/25	9/11	10/23	90
					20		9/7	10/14	81
					30		9/4	10/5	72
				Average					81
				20	10	8/4	9/22	11/4	92
					20		9/15	10/31	88
					30		9/11	10/23	80
				Average					87
				30	10	8/14	9/28	11/20	98
					20		9/21	11/10	88
					30		9/17	11/2	80
				Average					89
	2019	3/29	7/22	10	10	8/1	9/12	10/24	84
					20		9/7	10/15	75
					30		9/4	10/12	72
				Average					77
				20	10	8/11	9/22	11/8	89
					20		9/15	11/2	83
				_	30		9/11	10/27	77
				Average			- /	/	83
				30	10	8/21	9/28	11/18	89
					20		9/21	11/12	83
				•	30		9/17	11/3	74
10/41 40	2010	2/20	7/0	Average	10	7/10	0 /0	10/17	82
YY4149	2018	3/29	7/9	10	10	7/19	9/8	10/17	90
					20		9/3	10/8	81
				A	30		8/31	10/3	70
				Average	10	7/20	0/15	10/20	82 01
				20	10	1/29	9/15	10/20	91
					20		9/11	10/25	00
				Avorago	50		5/0	10/17	80
				30	10	8/8	9/22	11/8	92
				50	20	0/0	9/17	11/3	87
					20		9/15	10/28	81
				Average	50		5/15	10/20	87
	2019	3/29	7/16	10	10	7/26	9/12	10/15	81
	2010	0,20	.,10	10	20	.,_0	9/5	10/7	73
					30		8/30	10/1	67
				Average			-,	, _	74
				20	10	8/5	9/17	10/25	81
					20	1 -	9/12	10/22	78
					30		9/7	10/15	71
				Average			- /	., .	77
				30	10	8/15	9/25	11/12	89
					20		9/21	11/5	82
					30		9/19	10/28	74
				Average					82

 Table 1. Growth period of the ratoon season with different cutting times and heights in XLY900 (Xiangliangyou900) and

 YY4149 (Yongyou4149) in 2018–2019

SD, sowing date; FL, flowering date; MA, mature date.

Ratooning ability

The maximum TSR was observed 10 days after the flowering stage and 30 cm stubble height, and there was significant difference among the treatments of T and H in 2019 (Table 3). The PSR

			Stubl quantity	ble N 7 (g m ⁻²)	⁻²) (g m ⁻²)		Stubl conte	ble N nt (%)
Year	Cutting time (days after FL)	Cutting height (cm)	XLY900	YY4949	XLY900	YY4949	XLY900	YY4949
2018	10	10	0.95c	1.21c	147c	147c	0.65a	0.82c
		20	2.12b	2.38b	270b	263b	0.79a	0.91b
		30	2.99a	3.45a	380a	350a	0.79a	0.99a
	Average		2.02A	2.35A	266A	253B	0.74A	0.90A
	20	10	1.22c	1.35c	184c	174c	0.67a	0.78a
		20	2.27b	2.19b	279b	287b	0.82a	0.76a
		30	2.95a	2.74a	363a	363a	0.81a	0.75a
	Average		2.15A	2.09B	275A	274A	0.77A	0.76B
	30	10	1.22b	0.85b	166c	148c	0.74a	0.57ab
		20	1.87b	1.66a	247b	250b	0.76a	0.66a
		30	2.59a	1.60a	346a	332a	0.75a	0.48b
	Average		1.89A	1.35C	253B	246B	0.75A	0.56C
Analysis of Variance		Cutting time	ns	0.15**	12.18**	9.67**	ns	0.06**
		Cutting height	0.31**	0.15**	12.18**	9.67**	ns	ns
		$T \times H$	ns	0.27**	28.95**	ns	ns	0.10**
2019	10	10	1.07c	1.07c	149c	140c	0.68a	0.76b
		20	1.97b	2.52b	241b	287b	0.81a	0.88b
		30	2.56a	4.22a	334a	399a	0.77a	1.06a
	Average		1.87A	2.60A	241AB	275AB	0.76A	0.90A
	20	10	1.3b	1.47c	160c	184c	0.73a	0.81a
		20	2.26a	2.38b	257b	316b	0.89a	0.75a
		30	2.54a	2.85a	344a	371a	0.85a	0.77a
	Average		2.03A	2.23B	254A	290A	0.82A	0.78B
	30	10	1.10b	1.00c	147c	171c	0.81a	0.58b
		20	1.45b	1.70b	196b	253b	0.73a	0.67a
		30	3.02a	2.08a	316a	352a	0.77a	0.59b
	Average		1.85A	1.59C	220B	258B	0.77A	0.62C
Analy	sis of Variance	Cutting time	ns	0.19**	0.19**	22.24*	22.24*	0.06**
		Cutting height	0.49**	0.19**	26.92**	22.24**	ns	0.06*
		Τ×Η	ns	0.34**	ns	38.52*	ns	0.11**

Table 2. Stubble character difference with different cutting times and heights in XLY900 (Xiangliangyou900) and YY4149 (Yongyou4149) in 2018–2019

*, **, significance at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

FL, flowering date; ns, no significance.

showed a similar trend as the TSR, and there was significantly difference among the treatments of T and H in 2019 (Table 3).

Biomass per hill and harvest index

Biomass per hill was decreased with the delay of cutting times and increased when the cutting height increased. The maximum biomass per hill was observed 10 days after the flowering stage of the main crop and 30 cm stubble height, and there was a significant effect of cutting time and cutting height (Table 4). In XLY900, the maximum harvest index was observed at 10 cm stubble height and was significantly higher than that of the other stubble heights.

Yield and its components

The highest rice yield of the ration crop was obtained with cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop at a stubble height of 30 cm, reaching 6916 kg·hm⁻² for XLY900 and 7262 kg·hm⁻² for YY4149, on average of both growth seasons (Table 5). Spikelets per m² is the product of panicle per m² and spikelets per panicle. From yield components, spikelets per m² of YY4149 and XLY900 with cutting 10 days after the flowering stage of the main crop was significantly higher than other

	Cutting time	Cutting	Tiller-to-stubble ratio		Panicles-to-st	ubble ratio
Year	(days after FL)	height (cm)	XLY900	YY4149	XLY900	YY4149
2018	10	10	1.56b	1.29c	1.24c	0.97c
		20	2.14a	1.53b	1.60b	1.28b
		30	2.50a	1.95a	1.95a	1.82a
	Average		2.07A	1.59A	1.59A	1.35A
	20	10	1.74b	0.76c	1.40b	0.66c
		20	2.17a	1.12b	1.54ab	1.02b
		30	2.11a	1.56a	1.71a	1.45a
	Average		2.00A	1.15B	1.55A	1.04A
	30	10	1.69a	1.72a	1.31b	1.32a
		20	1.78a	1.78a	1.44b	1.50a
		30	1.99a	1.77a	1.87a	1.67a
	Average		1.82B	1.59A	1.54A	1.50A
Analysis	of Variance	Cutting time	0.16*	ns	0.18**	0.12**
		Cutting height	0.16**	0.18**	0.13**	0.12**
		$T \times H$	0.28*	ns	ns	ns
2019	10	10	1.98c	1.67c	1.30c	1.30c
		20	2.23b	1.98b	1.67b	1.63b
		30	2.40a	2.10a	1.86a	1.70a
	Average		2.21A	1.92A	1.61A	1.54A
	20	10	1.73c	1.46b	1.14c	0.76c
		20	1.98b	1.70a	1.34b	1.11b
		30	2.14a	1.80a	1.56a	1.30a
	Average		1.95B	1.65B	1.35B	1.06B
	30	10	1.65b	1.33b	1.00b	0.65b
		20	1.81ab	1.44ab	1.11b	0.83a
		30	1.97a	1.56a	1.32a	0.87a
	Average		1.81C	1.44C	1.14C	0.79C
Analysis	of Variance	Cutting time	0.07**	0.09**	0.08**	0.05**
		Cutting height	0.07**	0.08**	0.09**	0.05**
		$T \times H$	ns	ns	ns	0.09**

Table 3. Regeneration ability difference among different cutting times and heights in XLY900 (Xiangliangyou900) and YY4149 (Yongyou4149) in 2018–2019

*, **, significance at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

FL, flowering date; ns, no significance.

treatments in 2019. The maximum grain filling at 10 days after the flowering stage of the main crop was, on average, 67.5% for XLY900 and 87.7% for YY4149, and significantly higher than that of 30 days after the flowering stage of the main crop. Compared with 30 days after the flowering stage of the main crop, early cutting time increased grain weight of XLY900.

There was a significant and positive correlation between stubble N quantity, stubble biomass, and stubble N content (Figure 3). A significant positive correlation was observed between stubble N amount and TSR ($r^2 = 0.77$ in XLY900, and 0.54 in YY4149). Consequently, a significant positive relation was found between PSR and TSR ($r^2 = 0.82$ in XLY900, and 0.82 in YY4149). Panicle per m² and grain filling increased significantly with increasing PSR, while there was significant negative correlation between spikelets-per-panicle and panicle-per-stubble ratio ($r^2 = -0.66$ in XLY900, and 0.73 in YY4149), and negative correlation between grain weight and panicle-per-stubble ratio ($r^2 = -0.27$ in XLY900, and -0.50 in YY4149). Therefore, high rice yield of the ratoon crop was mainly depended on panicle per m² ($r^2 = 0.75$ in XLY900, and 0.89 in YY4149, p < 0.01) and grain filling ($r^2 = 0.90$ in XLY900, and 0.68 in YY4149). However, a significant negative correlation was observed between panicle-per-stubble ratio and harvest index ($r^2 = -0.80$) for XLY900, and there was a significant and negative relation between rice yield of the ratoon crop and harvest index ($r^2 = -0.51$). So, high rice yield of the ratoon crop depended on biomass per hill too ($r^2 = 0.99$ in XLY900, and 0.97 in YY4149).

Cutting time		Cutting	Biomass p	er hill (g/hill)	Harves	t index
Year	days after FL	height (cm)	XLY900	YY4149	XLY900	YY4149
2018	10	10	43.0c	43.0c	0.49a	0.48a
		20	53.9b	48.0b	0.43b	0.49a
		30	58.7a	52.7a	0.41b	0.49a
	Average		51.9A	47.9A	0.44B	0.49A
	20	10	35.7c	27.8c	0.50a	0.49a
		20	43.8b	33.0b	0.43b	0.50a
		30	53.6a	47.0a	0.41b	0.50a
	Average		44.4A	35.9B	0.45A	0.49A
	30	10	33.3c	27.1b	0.50a	0.48a
		20	44.2b	31.1a	0.43b	0.49a
		30	49.8a	33.4a	0.42b	0.50a
	Average		42.4B	30.5C	0.45A	0.49A
Analysis of Variance		Cutting time	**	0.95**	ns	ns
		Cutting height	**	0.95**	**	ns
		$T \times H$	*	ns	ns	ns
2019	10	10	47.7c	48.3c	0.50a	0.48a
		20	59.7b	50.8b	0.43b	0.49a
		30	63.7a	53.8a	0.41b	0.49a
	Average		57.0A	51.0A	0.45A	0.49A
	20	10	33.7c	30.2b	0.50a	0.49a
		20	40.7b	32.3b	0.44b	0.49a
		30	43.8a	35.4a	0.42b	0.49a
	Average		39.4B	32.6B	0.45A	0.49A
	30	10	19.7c	24.5b	0.52a	0.49a
		20	28.2b	25.5b	0.46b	0.50a
		30	34.0a	28.6a	0.43b	0.51a
	Average		27.3C	26.2C	0.45A	0.50A
Analysis	of Variance	Cutting time	0.97**	1.07**	ns	ns
		Cutting height	0.97**	1.07**	**	ns
		$T \times H$	1.68**	1.85**	ns	ns

Table 4. Biomass per hill and harvest index among different treatments in XLY900 (Xiangliangyou900) and YY4149 (Yongyou4149) in 2018–2019

*, **, significance at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

FL, flowering date; ns, no significance.

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that rice yield of the ration crop was increased significantly with early cutting time and increasing cutting height, with the highest rice yield of the ratoon crop obtained after cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop and a stubble height of 30 cm. The number of surviving buds was significantly and negatively correlated to the days after full-heading stage (Xu et al., 2021). Consequently, advancing the cutting time from 30 to 10 days after the flowering stage of the main crop resulted in improved total spikelet number and aboveground biomass, and then increased ratoon rice grain yield. Huang et al. (2009) and Harrell et al. (2009) observed an increase in the growing point of axillary buds and the grain yield of ratoon crop with an increase in stubble height from 20 to 40 cm, and the grain yield of ration crop due to an increase in the spikelet number per m² (more spikelets per panicle). In our study, high rice yield of the ratoon crop was mainly depended on panicle per m² and grain filling, rather than spikelets per panicle (Figure 1). An alternative explanation would be the environmental conditions in the experimental site. Ratoon rice can be cultivated in regions where double-season rice (early-season rice and late-season rice) is grown, and the ripening stage of ratoon rice is similar to that of lateseason rice. Huang et al. (2018) stated that delayed transplanting reduces spikelet filling, grain weight, and grain yield due to low-temperature stress at anthesis in machine-transplanted lateseason rice. Our data indicated that the growth period of ratoon rice was shortened with

Year	Cutting time (days after FL)	Cutting height (cm)	Yield (kg/hm²)	Panicles m ⁻²	Spikelets panicle ⁻¹	Spikelets m^{-2} (×10 ³)	Grain filling (%)	Grain weight (mg)
2018	10	10	5719.6c	247b	153a	37.6a	70.1ab	21.9a
		20	6622.60	290dD 2515	1120	41.Za 20.7p	72.20	21.7a 20.7b
	Average	50	6212.04	3004	1254	39.7a	12.3a	20.70
	Average	10	4755 5c	235A	155A 1560	33.3A	69.4A	21.4A
	20	10	5106 5h	2450	130a 136b	12 0a	50.5D	21.40
		20	6051.95	2100	1160	43.0a 26.8a	56.85	21.Ja 20.7b
	Average	30	6031.98	2020	1264	30.0d	61.0a	20.7D
	20	10	1426.2c	2972	1502	35.3A	57.9b	10.95
	30	10	5157.6h	2010	135a 127b	43.4a 42.9ab	62.75	20.55
		20	5617.00	2102	137D	43.9ab 26.5h	59.6ab	20.Ja 21.0a
	Average	50	5017.8a	3064	1200	JU.JU A1 QA	59.0ab	21.00
Analys	is of Varianco	Cutting time	122 01**	300A	1304	41.3A	2 /2**	0.22**
Anatys		Cutting hoight	122 01**	20 66**	0 7**	nc	2.43	0.23
			221 77**	50.00	**	nc	2.43	0.23
2010	10	10	6249.76	2466	1965	115	4.20 60.2b	22.75
2019	10	10	6059.9h	2400	150a 150b	45.00	68.30	23.7a 21.1b
		20	7100 10	3110	130D	40.78	68 5 a	21.10
	Average	50	6925 QA	2010	1590	49.3a	65.5a	20.9D
	20	10	1/91 0h	215c	1522	32.8h	57.22	23.50
	20	20	47/3 2ab	213C 247b	1585	39 0ah	57.2a	23.30
		20	4948 52	3052	1/35	13 5a	56.32	22.30
	Average	50	4777 6B	256B	1510	39 AB	56 9B	23.10
	30	10	2625.30	1860	145a	26.9a	44.2h	20.7h
	30	20	2020.00 3291.4h	211h	140a	33.8a	42.2b	20.1b 21.0h
		20	3839.62	2552	133h	33.00	55 7a	22.00
	Average	50	3252 10	2000	1464	31 50	47 4C	21.00
Analys	is of Variance	Cutting time	116 88**	11 70**	ns	3 49**	2 89**	0 34**
, marys		Cutting height	116.88**	11 70**	15 7*	3 49**	2.00	0.34**
			202 45**	20.27*	10.1	nc	5.01**	0.58**
		ТХП	202.45	20.21	115	115	5.01	0.36

Table 5. Yield and its components among different treatments in XLY900 (Xiangliangyou900) in 2018–2019

*, **, significance at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. FL, flowering date; ns, no significance.

-

10



Figure 3. Correlations of yield and its components, dry matter accumulations, ratooning ability, and stubble characters.

increasing cutting height, which was beneficial for avoiding low-temperature stress and increasing accumulated temperature during ration rice season (Table 1). Different cutting times and cutting heights had a more significant effect on grain filling and panicle per m^2 than on spikelets per panicle, however, there were differences among the cultivars (Figure 3). Herein, cutting times and cutting heights changed stubble characteristics, which in turn affected the ratooning ability and then the rice yield of the ratoon crop. Our data indicated that the highest rice yield of the ratoon crop was determined after cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop and a stubble height of 30 cm (Table 5). Previous studies stated that the stem-sheath dry weight is an important indicator for measuring the ratooning ability of hybrid rice and was used to establish a regression equation to predict ratooning ability (Ren et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2021). Early cutting time limited biomass accumulation in the stubble (Table 4) because biomass stored (non-structure carbohydrate) in the stem sheath and photosynthate were mainly allocated to the panicles of main rice at the early stage of grain-filling. Xu and Xiong (2000) reported that stem assimilates stimulated the bud emergence and growth when there was a higher leaf-grain ratio at the fullheading stage. Our results demonstrated that the biomass of the rice stubble was increased when the stubble height was increased from 10 to 30 cm (Table 4). Again, the highest biomass of the rice stubble in the cultivars YY4149 and XLY900 was observed after cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop and a stubble height of 30 cm. The axillary buds of high nodes were more dependent on the dry matter stored in the stem and sheath than those of low nodes (Zhang et al., 2000). Besides, nitrogen distribution in rice stubble is essential for germinating axillary buds into seedlings (Qin and Tu, 2004; Xu et al., 2021). Due to the decline in root function during the grainfilling stage of rice, the efficiency of nitrogen absorption and utilization is often low (Xu et al., 2000). Ma et al. (1992) found that the main source of nitrogen in the regenerating tillers was the transfer of nitrogen from rice stubble, and the nitrogen contribution of the main crop budpromoting fertilizer was small. Lin et al. (2015) found that the export percentage and apparent conversion rate of nitrogen from the stem and sheaths of the main crop to the ratoon crop were 37%-49% and 29%-54%, respectively. Interestingly, our data revealed that early cutting time increased stubble nitrogen amount, mainly for YY4149. Previous research reported that nitrogen translocation of the stem/sheath in the *indica-japonica* hybrid rice was significantly higher than that of the *japonica* inbred rice and the *indica* hybrid rice (Li *et al.*, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2016). Based on this, it is speculated that the reason why early harvesting and increasing stubble height treatment increased the nitrogen accumulation in regenerating season rice is the high nitrogen content of rice stubble and the high nitrogen transfer efficiency of rice stubble. In addition, the difference in

Year	Cutting time (days after FL)	Cutting height (cm)	Yield (kg/hm²)	Panicles m ⁻²	Spikclets panicle ⁻¹	Spikclets m^{-2} (×10 ³)	Grain filling (%)	Grain weight (mg)
2018	10	10 20	5658.1c	179c 222b	154a 134ab	27.7a 29 7a	87.9a 81 7a	23.4a 22 9b
		30	7188 3a	312a	109b	34 0a	86.1a	22.50 22.9h
	Average	50	6452.04	2384	1324	30.54	85.24	23.04
	20	10	3654 4c	1410	147a	20.8h	81 1a	23.32
	20	20	4468.3b	190b	144a	27.3a	82.0a	23.0ab
		30	6416.1a	256a	121b	31.1a	81.6a	22.5b
	Average		4846.3B	196B	137A	26.4B	81.6B	22.9A
	30	10	3568.9b	180b	116a	20.7a	78.7b	23.2a
		20	4208.7a	191b	111a	21.2a	83.5a	23.1a
		30	4557.9a	242a	101a	24.5a	81.4ab	22.7a
	Average		4111.9C	204B	109B	22.2C	81.2B	23.0A
Analys	is of Variance	Cutting time	128.81**	16.36**	11.25**	3.15**	3.04*	ns
,		Cutting height	128.81**	16.36**	11.25**	3.15**	ns	ns
		Т×Н	223.11**	28.33*	ns	ns	ns	ns
2019	10	10	6362.2c	234c	113a	26.5c	92.4a	24.0a
		20	6887.7b	292b	105b	30.7b	88.7b	23.0b
		30	7335.0a	316a	109ab	34.5a	89.2b	22.9b
	Average		6861.6A	281A	109B	30.5A	90.1A	23.3C
	20	10	3976.2c	140c	147a	20.7a	72.7b	25.4a
		20	4381.5b	205b	116b	23.8a	85.5a	24.9ab
		30	4823.8a	232a	102b	23.7a	89.1a	24.5b
	Average		4393.8B	192B	122A	22.7B	82.4B	25.0A
	30	10	3229.0c	120c	122a	14.7c	78.4a	25.2a
		20	3454.7b	151b	122a	18.3b	74.8a	24.4b
		30	3906.6a	160a	131a	20.9a	72.4a	24.1b
	Average		3530.1C	144C	125A	18.0C	75.2C	24.6B
Analys	is of Variance	Cutting time	143.44**	7.70**	5.91**	1.24**	2.25**	0.33**
		Cutting height	143.44**	7.70**	5.91**	1.24**	ns	0.33**
		$T \times H$	ns	13.34**	10.23**	2.14*	3.89**	0.58**

Table 6. Yield and its components among different treatments in YY4149 (Yongyou4149) in 2018–2019

*, **, significance at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. FL, flowering date; ns, no significance.

-

root vitality may also be one of the reasons for the difference in nitrogen accumulation. However, the difference in root vitality of regenerating season rice under different harvesting times and stubble heights is still unclear. Additionally, the impact of increased nitrogen content in regenerating season rice on photosynthetic assimilation efficiency and material transport metabolism requires further investigation.

Conclusively, our results confirmed that higher cutting height increases stubble biomass and its nitrogen amount when the cutting time of the main crop is advanced. Our results revealed a significant positive correlation between stubble N amount and TSR, and also between PSR and TSR (Table 3). As ratooning buds die after the full-heading stage of main crop, applying bud-promoting fertilizer to supplement the growth of the ratooning buds can effectively increase the survival rate of the ratooning buds^[8]. These results suggest that time of application and doses of bud-promoting fertilizer depend on the nitrogen amount and its distribution in rice stubble. In the cultivar YY4149, the application time and doses of bud-promoting fertilizer would be advanced.

Conclusions

Cutting time and stubble height are two important factors affecting the rice yield of the ratoon crop. This study demonstrates that rice yield of the ratoon crop increased significantly with early cutting time after the flowering stage of the main crop and with increasing cutting height. The highest rice yield of the ratoon crop was found with cutting 10 days after flowering stage of the main crop and a stubble height of 30 cm, with XLY900 and YY4149 yielding (on average) 6916 and 7262 kg·hm⁻², respectively. Stubble nitrogen amount (the product of stubble biomass and stubble nitrogen contents) was the effective index for improving ratooning ability, and then increasing ratoon rice grain yield. Therefore, novel ratoon rice cropping is an effective means to ensure both forage and grain security. With sufficient high-quality unprocessed grain, one can increase forage yield by delaying cutting time and reducing cutting height.

Acknowledgements. Authors gratefully acknowledge the help of anonymous reviewers for improving this article.

Author contributions. Q.Y. and H.B. conceived and designed the experiments; Y.W. and H.B. performed the experiments and wrote the manuscripts; and Y.W., H.B., and W.Q. analyzed the data, and contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Financial support. The study was financially supported by the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (No. CARS-01-27), funds from the Ministry of Agriculture & Rural Affairs; and the Major Science and Technology Project of Water Conservancy in Hunan Province (XSKJ2022068-29).

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Chen Q., He A.B., Wang W.Q., Peng S.B., Huang J.L., Cui K.H. and Nie L.X. (2018). Comparisons of regeneration rate and yields performance between inbred and hybrid rice cultivars in a direct seeding rice-ratoon rice system in central China. *Field Crop Research* **223**, 164–170.
- Daliri M.S., Eftekhari A. and Mobasser H.R. (2009). Effect of cutting time and cutting height on yield and yield components of ratoon rice (Tarom Langrodi Variety). Asian Journal of Plant Science 8, 89–91.
- Dong C.F., Xu N.X., Ding C.L., Gu H.R., Zhang W.J. and Sun L. (2020). Developing ration rice as forage in subtropical and temperate areas. *Field Crops Research* 245, 107660.
- Harrell D.L., Bond J.A. and Blanche A. (2009). Evaluation of main-crop stubble height on ratoon rice growth and development. *Field Crops Research* 114, 396–403.
- Huang M., Chen J.N., Cao F.B. and Zou Y.B. (2018). Increased hill density can compensate for yield loss from reduced nitrogen input in machine-transplanted double-cropped rice. *Field Crops Research* 221, 333–338.
- Huang Z.G., Tu N.M., Jiang J.A., Qin P. and Huang Z.C. (2009). Effects of rudimental stubble heights on the yield and source-sink characteristics of ratooning rice: a case study for two-line hybrid rice Peiliangyou 210. Acta Ecological Sinica 29, 4572–4579 (in Chinese with English abstract).

- Jones D. (1993). Rice ratoon response to main crop harvest cutting height. Agronomy Journal 85, 1139–1142.
- Li C., Wei H.H., Xu J.W., Wang Z.J., Xu K., Zhang H.C., Dai Q.G., Huo Z.Y., Wei H.Y. and Guo B.W. (2016). Characteristics of nitrogen uptake, utilization and translocation in the Indica-Japonica hybrid rice of Yongyou series. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science* 22, 1177–1186 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li M., Zhang H.C., Ma Q, Yang X., Li G.Y., Wei H.Y., Dai Q.G., Huo Z.Y. and Xu K. (2012). Nitrogen absorption and utilization characteristics of japonica rice cultivars with different productivities at their optimum nitrogen level. *China Journal of Rice Science* 26, 197–204 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lin W.X., Chen H.F., Zhang Z.X., Xu Q.H., Tu N.M., Fang C.X. and Ren W.J. (2015). Research and prospect on physioecological properties of ratoon rice yield formation and its key cultivation technology. *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture* 23, 392–401 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Ma J., Wang H.X., Sun X.H. and Ren G.C. (1992). Distribution of budding ¹⁵N fertilizer and its effect in rationing rice. Southwest China Journal of Agriculture Science 5, 41–46 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Miao C.Z. (1996). High yield measures of ratooning rice for Shanyou 63. *Jiangxi Agriculture Science Technology* 3, 1–2 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Nie L.X. and Peng S.B. (2017). Rice production in China. In Chauhan B.S., Jabran K. and Mahajan G. (eds), *Rice Production Worldwide*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing AG, pp. 33–52.
- Qin P. and Tu N.M. (2004). Research and prospect of the characteristics of source and sink in ratoon rice. *Crop Research* 5, 329–333 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Ren T.J., Jiang Z.C., Wang P.H., Li J.Y., Zhang X.C. and Lu Y.Y. (2006). Correlation of ratooning ability with its main crop agronomic traits in mid-season hybrid rice. Acta Agronomic Sinica 32, 613–617 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Santos A.B., Fageria N.K. and Prabhu A.S. (2003). Rice rationing management practices for higher yields. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 34, 881–918.
- Vergara B.S., Lopez F.S.S. and Chauhan J.S. (1988). Morphology and physiology of ratoon rice. In Smith W.H. and Kumble V. (eds.), *Rice Ratooning*. Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, pp. 31–40.
- Wang F., Huang J.L. and Peng S.B. (2021). Research and development of mechanized rice ratooning technology in China. *China Rice* 27, 1–6 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang W.Q., Zheng, H.B., Chen Y.W., Zou D., Luo Y.Y. and Tang Q. (2023). Progress and challenges of rice rationing technology in Hunan Province, China. Crop and Environment 2, 101–110.
- Xiong H., Ran M.L., Xu F.X. and Hong S. (2000). Achievements and developments of ratooning rice in south of China. *Acta Agronomic Sinica* 26, 297–304 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu F.X. and Xiong H. (2000). Relationship between ratio of grain to leaf area and ratooning ability in middle season hybrid rice. Chinese Journal of Rice Science 14, 249–252 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu F.X., Xiong H. and Hong S. (1998). Relationship between single stem-sheath dry matter weight of main crop and ratooning ability and high yield cultivation approach in hybrid mid-rice. Southwest China Journal of Agriculture Science 11, 34–43 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu F.X., Xiong H., Zhao G.L. and Hong S. (2000). A Study on the death mechanism of the axillary buds before harvest of the hybrid mid-season rice and its improvement. *Scientia Agricultura Sinicia* 33, 31–37 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu F.X., Zhang L., Zhou X.B., Guo X.Y., Zhu Y.C., Liu M., Xiong H. and Jiang P. (2021). The ratoon rice system with high yield and high efficiency in china: progress, trend of theory and technology. *Field Crops Research* 272, 108282.
- Zhang Z.G., Xiong Y.H., Wang G.M., Liu B.G., Chen G.H., Wu M.L. and Yan J. (2000). Effects of 4PU-30 on senescence and germination of ratooning buds in rice. *Guizhou Agriculture Science* 2, 18–21 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Cite this article: Chen Y, Zheng H, Wang W, and Tang Q. Early harvesting and increasing stubble-cutting height enhance ratoon rice yield. *Experimental Agriculture*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479723000157