

Values of the Dedekind Eta Function at Quadratic Irrationalities: Corrigendum

Alfred J. van der Poorten and Kenneth S. Williams

Abstract. Habib Muzaffar of Carleton University has pointed out to the authors that in their paper [A] only the result

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \frac{x}{\log x} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

follows from the prime ideal theorem with remainder for ideal classes, and not the stronger result

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) = \frac{1}{2h(d)} \frac{x}{\log x} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

stated in Lemma 5.2. This necessitates changes in Sections 5 and 6 of [A]. The main results of the paper are not affected by these changes. It should also be noted that, starting on page 177 of [A], each and every occurrence of $o(s - 1)$ should be replaced by $o(1)$.

Sections 5 and 6 of [A] have been rewritten to incorporate the above mentioned correction and are given below. They should replace the original Sections 5 and 6 of [A].

5 Estimation of a Certain Infinite Product

Our aim in this section is to prove the following result. We make use of the ideas in [4, pp. 346–353].

Proposition 5.1 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Let ω be a complex number with $|\omega| = 1$. Then there exists a nonzero complex number $C(K, d, \omega)$ depending only on K, d and ω such that*

$$\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{p^s}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=-1 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{p^s}\right) = (s-1)^{\omega/h(d)} C(K, d, \omega) (1 + o(1)),$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$, where p runs through prime numbers.

Received by the editors July 31, 2000.

The first author was supported in part by a grant from the Australian Research Council and the second author was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant A-7233.

AMS subject classification: 11F20, 11E45.

Keywords: Dedekind eta function, quadratic irrationalities, binary quadratic forms, form class group.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2001.

This proposition will be used in the proof of Proposition 6.1. In order to prove Proposition 5.1 we require a number of lemmas. For $x \in R$ and $K \in H(d)$ we set

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K}} 1,$$

$$\theta_{K,d}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K}} \log p,$$

$$\kappa_{K,d}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K}} \frac{\log p}{p},$$

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K}} \frac{1}{p},$$

where p runs through prime numbers.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Then*

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \frac{x}{\log x} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right),$$

where the constant implied by the O -symbol depends on K and d , and not on x .

Proof We have

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0}} 1.$$

Clearly

$$0 \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0}} 1 \leq \sum_{p|d} 1 = \tau(d) = O_d(1).$$

Thus

$$\pi_{K,d}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p = K \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} 1 + O_d(1).$$

Hence

$$(5.1) \quad \pi_{K,d}(x) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p=K \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p=K^{-1} \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} 1 + O_d(1).$$

As d is the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field F , we have $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. In the ring O_F of integers of F the prime p factors into prime ideals as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad pO_F = \begin{cases} PP', P \neq P', N(P) = N(P') = p, & \text{if } (\frac{d}{p}) = 1, \\ P^2, P = P', N(P) = p, & \text{if } (\frac{d}{p}) = 0, \\ P, P = P', N(P) = p^2, & \text{if } (\frac{d}{p}) = -1, \end{cases}$$

where P' denotes the conjugate ideal of P and $N(P)$ denotes the norm of P from F to \mathbb{Q} . For primes p with $(\frac{d}{p}) = 1$ we distinguish between the prime ideals P and P' as follows. In this case the congruence $u^2 \equiv d \pmod{4p}$ has exactly two solutions satisfying $0 \leq u < 2p$, and we denote the smaller of these two solutions by t . Then

$$P_1 = \left[p, \frac{-t + \sqrt{d}}{2} \right]$$

is a prime ideal of O_F uniquely determined by p and d . The conjugate ideal of P_1 is

$$P'_1 = \left[p, \frac{-t - \sqrt{d}}{2} \right] = \left[p, \frac{t + \sqrt{d}}{2} \right].$$

Moreover,

$$(5.3) \quad P_1 P'_1 = pO_F, \quad P_1 \neq P'_1.$$

Thus in the first line of (5.2) we have $P = P_1$ or P'_1 . We let $C(F)$ denote the ideal class group of F . If A is an ideal of O_F we denote its class in $C(F)$ by \bar{A} . Recall that $H(d)$ denotes the form class group of discriminant d . Then it is well known that

$$\alpha: H(d) \rightarrow C(F)$$

defined by

$$\alpha([a, b, c]) = \overline{\left[a, \frac{-b + \sqrt{d}}{2} \right]}$$

is an isomorphism. For p a prime with $(\frac{d}{p}) = 1$ we have

$$\alpha(K_p) = \alpha([p, t, (t^2 - d)/4p]) = \overline{\left[p, \frac{-t + \sqrt{d}}{2} \right]} = \bar{P}_1.$$

From (5.3) we see that

$$\overline{P'_1} = \overline{P_1}^{-1}$$

so that

$$\alpha(K_p)^{-1} = \overline{P'_1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ \alpha(K_p)=\alpha(K)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ \alpha(K_p)=\alpha(K)^{-1}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ \overline{P_1}=\alpha(K)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ \overline{P'_1}=\alpha(K)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ P_1 \in \alpha(K)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ P'_1 \in \alpha(K)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{P \\ P \neq P' \\ N(P)=p \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{P \\ P \neq P' \\ N(P)=p \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1.$$

Now by the prime ideal theorem with remainder for ideal classes of F (see for example [7, Corollary (i), p. 369]), we have with $h(F) = |C(F)|$

$$\sum_{\substack{P \\ N(P) \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1 = \frac{\text{li } x}{h(F)} + O_{F,\alpha(K)}(xe^{-B(F,\alpha(K))}\sqrt{\log x})$$

for some positive constant B depending only on the field F and the class $\alpha(K)$ of $C(F)$, that is,

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{P \\ N(P) \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1 = \frac{\text{li } x}{h(d)} + O_{K,d}(xe^{-b(K,d)}\sqrt{\log x})$$

for some positive constant b depending only on the discriminant d and the class K of $H(d)$. Next

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{P \\ N(P) \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1 = \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3,$$

where

$$(5.7)_1 \quad \sum_1 := \sum_{\substack{P \\ P \neq P' \\ N(P)=p \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1,$$

$$(5.7)_2 \quad \sum_2 := \sum_{\substack{P \\ P=P' \\ N(P)=p \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1,$$

$$(5.7)_3 \quad \sum_3 := \sum_{\substack{P \\ P=P' \\ N(P)=p^2 \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1.$$

Clearly

$$(5.8) \quad 0 \leq \sum_2 \leq \sum_{p|d} 1 = \tau(d) = O_d(1)$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad 0 \leq \sum_3 \leq \sum_{p \leq x^{1/2}} 1 = O(x^{1/2}).$$

Hence, by (5.1), (5.4), (5.7), (5.6), (5.5), (5.8), (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{K,d}(x) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(x) \\ &= \sum_1 + O_d(1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{P \\ N(P) \leq x \\ P \in \alpha(K)}} 1 - \sum_2 - \sum_3 + O_d(1) \\ &= \frac{\text{li}(x)}{h(d)} + O_{K,d}(xe^{-b(K,d)\sqrt{\log x}}) + O_d(1) + O(x^{1/2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{h(d)} \left\{ \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right) \right\} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right) + O_d(1) + O(x^{1/2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{h(d) \log x} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right); \end{aligned}$$

where we note that

$$\operatorname{li} x = x/\log x + O(x/\log^2 x) \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(-b(K, d)\sqrt{\log x}) = O_{K,d}(1/\log^2 x).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.3 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Then*

$$\theta_{K,d}(x) + \theta_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)}x + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

Proof By partial summation we have

$$\theta_{K,d}(x) = \pi_{K,d}(x) \log x - \int_2^x \frac{\pi_{K,d}(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \geq 2,$$

see for example [4, Theorem 421, p. 346]. Thus

$$\theta_{K,d}(x) + \theta_{K^{-1},d}(x) = (\pi_{K,d}(x) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(x)) \log x - \int_2^x \frac{\pi_{K,d}(t) + \pi_{K^{-1},d}(t)}{t} dt$$

and the result follows using Lemma 5.2. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.4 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Then*

$$\kappa_{K,d}(x) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \log x + O_{K,d}(\log \log x).$$

Proof By partial summation we have

$$\kappa_{K,d}(x) = \frac{\theta_{K,d}(x)}{x} + \int_2^x \frac{\theta_{K,d}(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

and similarly for K^{-1} . Thus

$$\kappa_{K,d}(x) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{\theta_{K,d}(x) + \theta_{K^{-1},d}(x)}{x} + \int_2^x \frac{\theta_{K,d}(t) + \theta_{K^{-1},d}(t)}{t^2} dt$$

and the result follows on using Lemma 5.3. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.5 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Then there exists a constant $c(K, d)$ depending only on K and d such that*

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \log \log x + c(K, d) + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right).$$

Proof Set

$$\kappa_{K,d}(x) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \log x + \tau_{K,d}(x).$$

By Lemma 5.4 we have $\tau_{K,d}(x) = O_{K,d}(\log \log x)$. Next, by partial summation, we have

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) = \frac{\kappa_{K,d}(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{\kappa_{K,d}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt$$

and similarly for K^{-1} . Thus

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{\kappa_{K,d}(x) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{\kappa_{K,d}(t) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt.$$

Appealing to Lemma 5.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{K,d}(x) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(x) &= \frac{1}{h(d)} + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h(d)}(\log \log x - \log \log 2) + \int_2^x \frac{\tau_{K,d}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

As $\tau_{K,d}(t) = O_{K,d}(\log \log t)$ the integrals $\int_2^\infty \frac{\tau_{K,d}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt$ and $\int_x^\infty \frac{\tau_{K,d}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt$ are convergent. Moreover

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{\tau_{K,d}(t) dt}{t \log^2 t} = O_{K,d}\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right),$$

so

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \log \log x + c(K, d) + O_{K,d}\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right),$$

with

$$c(K, d) = \frac{1}{h(d)}(1 - \log \log 2) + \int_2^\infty \frac{\kappa_{K,d}(t) + \kappa_{K^{-1},d}(t) - \frac{1}{h(d)} \log t}{t \log^2 t} dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 5.6 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Then*

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{p^s} + \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \frac{1}{p^s} = -\frac{1}{h(d)} \log(s-1) + \left(c(K, d) - \frac{\gamma}{h(d)} \right) + o(1),$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$.

Proof Let δ be a real number satisfying $0 < \delta < 1/4$. By partial summation we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ K_p=K}} \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} = \frac{\lambda_{K,d}(x)}{x^\delta} + \delta \int_2^x \frac{\lambda_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt, \quad x \geq 2.$$

Let $x \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition of $\lambda_{K,d}(x)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} = \delta \int_2^\infty \frac{\lambda_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt.$$

We set

$$\lambda_{K,d}(x) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(x) = \frac{1}{h(d)} \log \log x + c(K, d) + E_{K,d}(x).$$

By Lemma 5.5 we have $E_{K,d}(x) = O_{K,d}(1/\log \log x)$, say

$$|E_{K,d}(x)| \leq \frac{e(K, d)}{\log \log x}, \quad x > e (= 2.7182818284 \dots),$$

for some positive number $e(K, d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} + \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} &= \delta \int_2^\infty \frac{\lambda_{K,d}(t) + \lambda_{K^{-1},d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \\ &= \delta \int_2^\infty \frac{\frac{1}{h(d)} \log \log t + c(K, d) + E_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \\ &= \frac{\delta}{h(d)} \int_2^\infty \frac{\log \log t}{t^{1+\delta}} dt + \frac{c(K, d)}{2^\delta} + \delta \int_2^\infty \frac{E_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\delta \int_2^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+\delta}} = \frac{1}{2^\delta}.$$

Now

$$\left| \int_1^2 \frac{\log \log t}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \right| \leq \int_1^2 \frac{|\log \log t|}{t} dt = \text{constant}$$

so that

$$\delta \int_1^2 \frac{\log \log t}{t^{1+\delta}} dt = O(\delta).$$

Further, putting $t = e^{u/\delta}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \int_1^\infty \frac{\log \log t}{t^{1+\delta}} dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \log \left(\frac{u}{\delta} \right) du \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \log u du - \log \delta \int_0^\infty e^{-u} du \\ &= -\gamma - \log \delta, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-u} \log u du = -\gamma,$$

see for example [3, p. 602]. Hence

$$\delta \int_2^\infty \frac{\log \log t}{t^{1+\delta}} dt = -\gamma - \log \delta + O(\delta).$$

Now set $T = e^{1/\sqrt{\delta}}$ so that

$$\log T = 1/\sqrt{\delta}, \log \log T = \frac{1}{2} |\log \delta|, \quad T > e^2.$$

We also set

$$g(K, d) = \int_2^{e^2} \frac{|E_{K,d}(t)|}{t} dt.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \delta \int_2^\infty \frac{E_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \right| &\leq \delta \int_2^{e^2} \frac{|E_{K,d}(t)|}{t^{1+\delta}} dt + \delta \int_{e^2}^T \frac{|E_{K,d}(t)|}{t^{1+\delta}} dt + \delta \int_T^\infty \frac{|E_{K,d}(t)|}{t^{1+\delta}} dt \\ &\leq \delta \int_2^{e^2} \frac{|E_{K,d}(t)|}{t} dt + \delta \frac{e(K, d)}{\log \log(e^2)} \int_{e^2}^T \frac{dt}{t^{1+\delta}} \\ &\quad + \delta \frac{e(K, d)}{\log \log T} \int_T^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+\delta}} \\ &\leq \delta g(K, d) + \delta \frac{e(K, d)}{\log 2} \int_{e^2}^T \frac{dt}{t} + \delta \frac{e(K, d)}{\log \log T} \frac{1}{\delta T^\delta} \\ &\leq \delta g(K, d) + \delta \frac{e(K, d)}{\log 2} \log T + \frac{e(K, d)}{\log \log T} \\ &\leq \delta g(K, d) + 2\delta e(K, d) \log T + \frac{2e(K, d)}{|\log \delta|} \\ &= g(K, d)\delta + 2e(K, d)\sqrt{\delta} + \frac{2e(K, d)}{|\log \delta|}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\delta \int_2^\infty \frac{E_{K,d}(t)}{t^{1+\delta}} dt = o(1), \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} + \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta}} &= \frac{1}{h(d)} (-\gamma - \log \delta + O(\delta)) + c(K, d)(1 + o(1)) + o(1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{h(d)} \log \delta + \left(c(K, d) - \frac{\gamma}{h(d)} \right) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Finally we set $s = 1 + \delta$ to obtain the asserted result. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.7 *Let $K \in H(d)$. Let ω be a complex number such that $|\omega| = 1$.*

(i) The series

$$\sum_p \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{np^n} \right)_{K_p=K}$$

converges.

(ii) Denoting the sum of the series in (i) by $A(K, d, \omega)$, we have

$$\sum_p \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{np^{ns}} \right) = A(K, d, \omega) + o(1), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1^+.$$

Proof For $s \geq 1$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{np^{ns}} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{np^{ns}} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{np^n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1/p^2}{1 - 1/p} \leq \frac{1}{p^2},$$

so the series $\sum_{p: K_p=K} (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega^n / np^{ns})$ is uniformly convergent for $s \geq 1$. Thus, in particular, $\sum_{p: K_p=K} (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega^n / np^n)$ converges, proving (i). Moreover, the uniform convergence ensures that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_p \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{np^{ns}} \right)_{K_p=K} = \sum_p \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{np^n} \right) = A(K, d, \omega),$$

proving (ii). We note that $\overline{A(K, d, \omega)} = A(K, d, \bar{\omega})$. ■

Lemma 5.8 Let $K \in H(d)$. Let ω be a complex number with $|\omega| = 1$. Then there exists a nonzero complex number $B(K, d, \omega)$ depending only on K, d and ω such that

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \omega p^{-s} \right)_{K_p=K} \prod_p \left(1 - \omega p^{-s} \right)_{K_p=K^{-1}} = (s-1)^{\omega/h(d)} B(K, d, \omega) (1 + o(1)),$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$.

Proof Let s be a real number with $s > 1$. We have

$$\sum_p | -\omega p^{-s} | = \sum_p p^{-s} \leq \sum_p p^{-s} \leq \zeta(s)$$

so that the infinite series $\sum_{p: K_p=K} -\omega p^{-s}$ converges absolutely. Hence the infinite product $\prod_{p: K_p=K} (1 - \omega p^{-s})$ converges absolutely and thus converges. Similarly the

corresponding product, over the primes p such that $K_p = K^{-1}$, converges. We have, noting that $|\omega p^{-s}| < 1$, and appealing to Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7(ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} e^{\log(1 - \omega p^{-s})} \prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} e^{\log(1 - \omega p^{-s})} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \log(1 - \omega p^{-s}) + \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} \log(1 - \omega p^{-s}) \right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{n} p^{-ns} - \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{n} p^{-ns} \right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\omega \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} p^{-s} - \omega \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} p^{-s} - \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{n} p^{-ns} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^n}{n} p^{-ns} \right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\omega \left(-\log(s-1)/h(d) + (c(K, d) - \gamma/h(d)) + o(1) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (A(K, d, \omega) + A(K^{-1}, d, \omega) + o(1)) \right\} \\
&= (s-1)^{\omega/h(d)} B(K, d, \omega) (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1^+,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(K, d, \omega) := \exp \left(\omega (\gamma/h(d) - c(K, d)) - (A(K, d, \omega) + A(K^{-1}, d, \omega)) \right) \neq 0.$$

We note that $\overline{B(K, d, \omega)} = B(K, d, \bar{\omega})$. ■

Proof of Proposition 5.1 Let $K \in H(d)$. If p is a prime with $K_p = K$ then $(\frac{d}{p}) = 0$ or 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \\
&= \frac{\prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \prod_{\substack{p \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} (1 - \omega p^{-s})}{\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K}} (1 - \omega p^{-s}) \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} (1 - \omega p^{-s})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(s-1)^{\omega/h(d)} B(K, d, \omega) (1+o(1))}{\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K}} p (1-\omega p^{-1}) (1+o(1)) \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} p (1-\omega p^{-1}) (1+o(1))} \\
&= (s-1)^{\omega/h(d)} C(K, d, \omega) (1+o(1)), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 1^+,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C(K, d, \omega) := \frac{B(K, d, \omega)}{\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K}} p (1-\omega p^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=0 \\ K_p=K^{-1}}} p (1-\omega p^{-1})} \neq 0.$$

We note that $\overline{C(K, d, \omega)} = C(K, d, \bar{\omega})$. ■

6 The Quantity $j(K, d)$

In this section we make use of Proposition 5.1 to determine the limiting behaviour of the infinite product

$$\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$ for $K(\neq I) \in H(d)$. We prove

Proposition 6.1 *If $K(\neq I) \in H(d)$ then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right)$$

exists and is a nonzero real number which we denote by $j(K, d)$.

Proof Let s be a real number with $s > 1$. Then, by (2.21), (2.20), (2.19), and Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s}\right) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left(1 - \frac{\exp(2\pi i [K, K_p])}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\exp(-2\pi i [K, K_p])}{p^s}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left[1 - \frac{\exp(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \text{ind}_{A_j}(K) \text{ind}_{A_j}(K_p)/h_j)}{p^s} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[1 - \frac{\exp(-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \text{ind}_{A_j}(K) \text{ind}_{A_j}(K_p)/h_j)}{p^s} \right] \\
&= \prod_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}=0 \\ h_1-1, \dots, h_{\ell}-1}} \prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left[1 - \frac{\exp(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j)}{p^s} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[1 - \frac{\exp(-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j)}{p^s} \right] \\
&= \prod_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}=0 \\ h_1-1, \dots, h_{\ell}-1}} (s-1)^{\frac{1}{h(d)} \exp(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j)} \\
&\quad \times C\left(A_1^{b_1} \cdots A_{\ell}^{b_{\ell}}, d, \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j\right)\right) (1 + o(1)) \\
&= (s-1)^{\frac{1}{h(d)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (\sum_{b_j=0}^{h_j-1} \exp(2\pi i k_j b_j/h_j))} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}=0 \\ h_1-1, \dots, h_{\ell}-1}} C\left(A_1^{b_1} \cdots A_{\ell}^{b_{\ell}}, d, \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j\right)\right) (1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

As $K \neq I$, at least one of k_1, \dots, k_{ℓ} is nonzero, say k_j , in which case $0 < k_j < h_j$ and

$$\sum_{b_j=0}^{h_j-1} \exp(2\pi i k_j b_j/h_j) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{\substack{p \\ (\frac{d}{p})=1}} \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s} \right) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}=0 \\ h_1-1, \dots, h_{\ell}-1}} C\left(A_1^{b_1} \cdots A_{\ell}^{b_{\ell}}, d, \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j b_j/h_j\right)\right) (1 + o(1)) \\
&= \prod_{L \in H(d)} C(L, d, f(K, L)) (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$, by (2.18)–(2.21). Hence

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s} \right)$$

exists and is equal to

$$(6.1) \quad j(K, d) := \prod_{L \in H(d)} C(L, d, f(K, L)).$$

Since each $C(L, d, f(K, L))$ with $L \in H(d)$ is a nonzero complex number, $j(K, d)$ is a nonzero complex number. However, by the limit form above, $j(K, d)$ is real as $f(K, K_p)^{-1} = \overline{f(K, K_p)}$. Hence $j(K, d)$ is a nonzero real number. ■

Again from the limit form of $j(K, d)$ above, we see that

$$(6.2) \quad j(K, d) = j(K^{-1}, d).$$

It is convenient to set

$$(6.3) \quad m(K, d) := \frac{t_1(d)}{j(K, d)}, \quad K \in H(d),$$

where $t_1(d)$ is defined in (2.32). Thus, appealing to (2.32), Proposition 6.1, and (6.3), we obtain

$$m(K, d) = \frac{\prod_{p: (\frac{d}{p})=1} (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})}{\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_{p: (\frac{d}{p})=1} (1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s})(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s})}$$

so that

$$m(K, d) = \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_{p: (\frac{d}{p})=1} (1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}})}{\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_{p: (\frac{d}{p})=1} (1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s})(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s})}$$

that is

$$(6.4) \quad m(K, d) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_p \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p^s})(1 + \frac{1}{p^s})}{(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)}{p^s})(1 - \frac{f(K, K_p)^{-1}}{p^s})}.$$

From (6.2) and (6.3) we deduce that

$$(6.5) \quad m(K, d) = m(K^{-1}, d).$$

References

- [A] A. J. van der Poorten and K. S. Williams, *Values of the Dedekind eta function at quadratic irrationalities*. Canad. J. Math. **51**(1999), 176–224.

*Centre for Number Theory Research
School of Mathematics, Physics, Computing
and Electronics
Macquarie University
Sydney, NSW
Australia 2109
email: alf@math.mq.edu.au*

*Centre for Research in Algebra
and Number Theory
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario
K1S 5B6
email: williams@math.carleton.ca*