ON THE EXPONENTIAL DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $(m^2 + 1)^x + (cm^2 - 1)^y = (am)^z$

TAKAFUMI MIYAZAKI and NOBUHIRO TERAI[⊠]

(Received 2 September 2013; accepted 19 September 2013; first published online 26 March 2014)

Abstract

Let *m*, *a*, *c* be positive integers with $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$. We show that when $1 + c = a^2$, the exponential Diophantine equation $(m^2 + 1)^x + (cm^2 - 1)^y = (am)^z$ has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) under the condition $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, except for the case (m, a, c) = (1, 3, 8), where there are only two solutions: (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 4). In particular, when a = 3, the equation $(m^2 + 1)^x + (8m^2 - 1)^y = (3m)^z$ has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), except if m = 1. The proof is based on elementary methods and Baker's method.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11D61.

Keywords and phrases: exponential Diophantine equation, integer solution, lower bound for linear forms in two logarithms.

1. Introduction

Let a, b, c be fixed relatively prime positive integers greater than one. The exponential Diophantine equation

$$a^x + b^y = c^z \tag{1.1}$$

in positive integers x, y, z has been studied by a number of authors. In 1956, Sierpiński [S] considered the case of (a, b, c) = (3, 4, 5), and showed that (x, y, z) = (2, 2, 2) is the only solution. Jeśmanowicz [J] conjectured that if a, b, c are Pythagorean numbers, that is, positive integers satisfying $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then (1.1) has only the solution (x, y, z) = (2, 2, 2). As an analogue of Jeśmanowicz's conjecture, the second author proposed that if a, b, c, p, q, r are fixed positive integers satisfying $a^p + b^q = c^r$ with $a, b, c, p, q, r \ge 2$ and gcd(a, b) = 1, then, apart from a handful of exceptions, (1.1) has only the solution (x, y, z) = (p, q, r). This conjecture has been proved to be true in many special cases (see [CD, Le, M1, M2, T1, T2]), but is still unsolved in general.

In the other direction, many of the recent works on (1.1) concern the case where two of *a*, *b* and *c* are congruent to ± 1 modulo a (relatively) large divisor of the other one.

^{© 2013} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2013 \$16.00

For example, see [HT1, HT2, HY, MT, T3]. In this paper, we consider the exponential Diophantine equation

$$(m2 + 1)x + (cm2 - 1)y = (am)z$$
(1.2)

with *m* a positive integer. Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let a be a positive integer with $a \equiv 3$, 5 (mod 8). Let c be a positive integer with $1 + c = a^2$. Suppose that $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$. Then (1.2) has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), except for the case (m, a, c) = (1, 3, 8), where the equation $2^x + 7^y = 3^z$ has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 4).

In particular, for a = 3, we can completely solve (1.2) without any assumption on *m*. The proof is based on applying a result on linear forms in *p*-adic logarithms due to Bugeaud [Bu] to (1.2) with $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let m be a positive integer. Then the equation

$$(m^2 + 1)^x + (8m^2 - 1)^y = (3m)^z$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), except for the case m = 1, where the equation $2^x + 7^y = 3^z$ has only the positive integer solutions (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 4).

2. Preliminaries

In order to obtain an upper bound for a solution of Pillai's equation $C^z - B^y = A$, we need a lower bound for linear forms in two logarithms. Now we introduce some notation. Let α_1 and α_2 be real algebraic numbers with $|\alpha_1| \ge 1$ and $|\alpha_2| \ge 1$. We consider the linear form

$$\Lambda = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1,$$

where b_1 and b_2 are positive integers. As usual, the *logarithmic height* of an algebraic number α of degree *n* is defined as

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \Big(\log |a_0| + \sum_{j=1}^n \log \max\{1, |\alpha^{(j)}|\} \Big),$$

where a_0 is the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α (over \mathbb{Z}) and $(\alpha^{(j)})_{1 \le j \le n}$ are the conjugates of α . Let A_1 and A_2 be real numbers greater than one with

$$\log A_i \ge \max\left\{h(\alpha_i), \frac{|\log \alpha_i|}{D}, \frac{1}{D}\right\},\$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, where *D* is the degree of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ over \mathbb{Q} . Define

$$b' = \frac{b_1}{D \log A_2} + \frac{b_2}{D \log A_1}$$

We choose to use a result due to Laurent [La, Corollary 2] with m = 10 and $C_2 = 25.2$.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Laurent [La]). Let Λ be given as above, with $\alpha_1 > 1$ and $\alpha_2 > 1$. Suppose that α_1 and α_2 are multiplicatively independent. Then

$$\log |\Lambda| \ge -25.2 D^4 \left(\max\left\{ \log b' + 0.38, \frac{10}{D} \right\} \right)^2 \log A_1 \log A_2.$$

Next, we shall quote a result on linear forms in *p*-adic logarithms due to Bugeaud [Bu]. Here we consider the case where $y_1 = y_2 = 1$ in the notation of [Bu, page 375].

Let *p* be an odd prime. Let a_1 and a_2 be nonzero integers prime to *p*. Let *g* be the least positive integer such that

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(a_1^g - 1) \ge 1$$
, $\operatorname{ord}_p(a_2^g - 1) \ge 1$,

where we denote the *p*-adic valuation by $\operatorname{ord}_p(\cdot)$. Assume that there exists a real number *E* such that

$$\frac{1}{p-1} < E \le \operatorname{ord}_p(a_1^g - 1).$$

We consider the integer

$$\Lambda = a_1^{b_1} - a_2^{b_2},$$

where b_1 and b_2 are positive integers. We let A_1 and A_2 be real numbers greater than one with

 $\log A_i \ge \max\{\log |a_i|, E \log p\} \quad i = 1, 2,$

and we put $b' = b_1 / \log A_2 + b_2 / \log A_1$.

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Bugeaud [Bu]). With the above notation, if a_1 and a_2 are multiplicatively independent, then we have the upper estimate

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\Lambda) \leq \frac{36.1g}{E^{3}(\log p)^{4}} (\max\{\log b' + \log(E \log p) + 0.4, 6E \log p, 5\})^{2} \log A_{1} \log A_{2}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. The case m = 1**.** We first show that when m = 1, (1.2) has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), except for the case (a, c) = (3, 8).

LEMMA 3.1. Let a be a positive integer with $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$. The equation

$$2^{x} + (a^{2} - 2)^{y} = a^{z}$$
(3.1)

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) except for the case a = 3, where the equation $2^x + 7^y = 3^z$ has only the positive integer solutions (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 4).

REMARK 3.2. In 1958, Nagell [N2] showed that the equation

$$2^x + 7^y = 3^z$$

has only the positive integer solutions (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 4).

PROOF. We use the following proposition to show our assertion.

PROPOSITION 3.3.

(i) (Bennett [Be]) Let a and b be integers with $a, b \ge 2$. Then the equation

 $a^x - b^y = 2$

has at most one solution in positive integers x and y.

(ii) (Nagell [N1]) The equation

$$x^2 + 4 = y^n$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, n) = (11, 5, 3) with y odd and $n \ge 3$.

Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (3.1).

Case 1: x = 1. It follows from (i) in Proposition 3.3 that

$$a^{z} - (a^{2} - 2)^{y} = 2$$

has only the positive integer solution y = 1, z = 2.

Case 2: x = 2. If $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then, from (3.1),

$$1 = \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right) \left(\frac{-2}{a}\right)^{y} = (-1) \cdot 1 = -1,$$

where (\cdot/\cdot) denotes the Jacobi symbol. This is impossible.

If $a \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$4 + 7^y \equiv 5^z \pmod{8}.$$

Hence y is even and z is odd. It follows from (ii) in Proposition 3.3 that

$$((a^2 - 2)^{y/2})^2 + 4 = a^z$$

has no solutions y, z.

Case 3: $x \ge 3$. Taking (3.1) modulo 8 implies that

$$7^{y} \equiv 3^{z}, 5^{z} \pmod{8},$$

so y and z are even, say y = 2Y and z = 2Z. Thus

$$(a^{Z} + (a^{2} - 2)^{Y})(a^{Z} - (a^{2} - 2)^{Y}) = 2^{x},$$

so

$$a^{Z} + (a^{2} - 2)^{Y} = 2^{x-1}$$
 and $a^{Z} - (a^{2} - 2)^{Y} = 2$.

Adding these yields

$$2^{x-2} + 1 = a^Z.$$

If x = 3, 4, then the above equation has no solutions. Indeed,

$$a^{2} = (a^{2} - 2) + 2 \le (a^{2} - 2)^{Y} + 2 = a^{Z} = 2^{x-2} + 1 \le 5,$$

[4]

which is impossible. If $x \ge 5$, then $1 \equiv a^Z \pmod{8}$. Since $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, we see that Z is even, say $Z = 2Z_1$. Then

$$(a^{Z_1}+1)(a^{Z_1}-1)=2^{x-2},$$

so

$$a^{Z_1} + 1 = 2^{x-3}$$
 and $a^{Z_1} - 1 = 2$

We therefore obtain a = 3, $Z_1 = 1$ and so x = 5, Y = 1.

3.2. The case $m \ge 2$. Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (1.2). By Lemma 3.1, we may suppose that $m \ge 2$. We examine parities of x, y, z. Using $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ and $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, we show the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. If (x, y, z) is a solution of (1.2), then both x and y are odd, and z is even.

PROOF. Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (1.2). Suppose that our conditions are all satisfied.

Now it follows from $1 + c = a^2$ that $cm^2 - 1 = (a^2 - 1)m^2 - 1 > am$. Hence $z \ge 2$ from (1.2). Taking (1.2) modulo m^2 implies that $1 + (-1)^y \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$. Since $m \ge 2$, we see that y is odd. In view of $1 + c = a^2$ and $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, (1.2) leads to

$$2^{x} + (c-1)^{y} \equiv 2^{x} - 2^{y} \equiv 0 \pmod{a},$$

so $(2/a)^x = (2/a)^y$. Since (2/a) = -1, from $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, we have $x \equiv y \pmod{2}$. Therefore, the fact that y is odd implies that x is odd.

We first show that $(m/(cm^2 - 1)) = 1$ and $(a/(cm^2 - 1)) = -1$. Note that $cm^2 - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. Write $m = 2^{\alpha}t$ with $\alpha \ge 0$ and t odd. Then

$$\left(\frac{m}{cm^2 - 1}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{cm^2 - 1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{t}{cm^2 - 1}\right) = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{t}{cm^2 - 1}\right) = \left(\frac{t}{cm^2 - 1}\right) = 1.$$

If $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then

$$\left(\frac{a}{cm^2 - 1}\right) = -\left(\frac{cm^2 - 1}{a}\right) = -\left(\frac{c - 1}{a}\right) = -\left(\frac{-2}{a}\right) = (-1) \cdot 1 = -1.$$

If $a \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$\left(\frac{a}{cm^2-1}\right) = \left(\frac{cm^2-1}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{c-1}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{a}\right) = -1.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{am}{cm^2-1}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{cm^2-1}\right)\left(\frac{m}{cm^2-1}\right) = (-1) \cdot 1 = -1.$$

Since $1 + c = a^2$,

$$\left(\frac{m^2+1}{cm^2-1}\right) = \left(\frac{m^2+cm^2}{cm^2-1}\right) = \left(\frac{a^2m^2}{cm^2-1}\right) = 1$$

In view of these, we conclude that z is even from (1.2).

We can easily show that if *m* is even, then (1.2) has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) by taking (1.2) modulo m^3 .

LEMMA 3.5. If m is even, then (1.2) has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2).

PROOF. If $z \le 2$, then we obtain (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) from (1.2). Hence we may suppose that $z \ge 3$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that *x* and *y* are odd.

Taking (1.2) modulo m^3 implies that

$$1 + m^2 x - 1 + cm^2 y \equiv 0 \pmod{m^3}$$

so

$$x + cy \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

which is impossible, since x is odd, c is even and m is even. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. \Box

LEMMA 3.6. In (1.2), if m is odd then x = 1.

PROOF. From Lemma 3.4, it follows that y is odd and z is even. Suppose that $x \ge 2$. Taking (1.2) modulo 4 implies that

$$3^{y} \equiv (am)^{z} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

This implies that *y* is even, which contradicts the fact that *y* is odd. We therefore obtain x = 1.

3.3. Pillai's equation $C^z - B^y = A$. From Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, it follows that x = 1 and y is odd. If y = 1, then we obtain z = 2 from (1.2). From now on, we may suppose that $y \ge 3$. Hence our theorem is reduced to solving Pillai's equation

$$C^z - B^y = A \tag{3.2}$$

with $y \ge 3$, where $A = m^2 + 1$, $B = cm^2 - 1$ and C = am. We now want to obtain a lower bound for y.

LEMMA 3.7. In (3.2), $y \ge (m^2 - 1)/c$.

PROOF. Since $y \ge 3$, (3.2) yields

$$(am)^{z} = m^{2} + 1 + (cm^{2} - 1)^{y} \ge m^{2} + 1 + (cm^{2} - 1)^{3} > (am)^{3}.$$

Hence $z \ge 4$. Taking (3.2) modulo m^4 implies that

$$m^2 + 1 - 1 + cm^2 y \equiv 0 \pmod{m^4},$$

so $1 + cy \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$. Hence we obtain our assertion.

We next want to obtain an upper bound for y.

LEMMA 3.8. *In* (3.2), *y* < 2521 log *C*.

PROOF. From (3.2), we now consider the following linear form in two logarithms:

 $\Lambda = z \log C - y \log B \quad (>0).$

Using the inequality $\log(1 + t) < t$ for t > 0,

$$0 < \Lambda = \log\left(\frac{C^z}{B^y}\right) = \log\left(1 + \frac{A}{B^y}\right) < \frac{A}{B^y}.$$
(3.3)

Hence

$$\log \Lambda < \log A - y \log B. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, we use Proposition 2.1 to obtain a lower bound for Λ . It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\log \Lambda \ge -25.2(\max\{\log b' + 0.38, 10\})^2 (\log B) (\log C), \tag{3.5}$$

where $b' = y/\log C + z/\log B$.

We note that $B^{y+1} > C^z$. Indeed,

$$B^{y+1} - C^z = B(C^z - A) - C^z = (B - 1)C^z - AB$$

$$\ge (cm^2 - 2)(1 + c)m^2 - (m^2 + 1)(cm^2 - 1) > 0.$$

Hence $b' < (2y + 1)/\log C$.

Put $M = y/\log C$. Combining (3.4) and (3.5) leads to

$$y \log B < \log A + 25.2 \left(\max \left\{ \log \left(2M + \frac{1}{\log C} \right) + 0.38, 10 \right\} \right)^2 (\log B) (\log C),$$

so

$$M < 1 + 25.2 \left(\max \left\{ \log \left(2M + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 0.38, 10 \right\} \right)^2,$$

since $\log C = \log(am) \ge \log 9 > 2$ and A < B. We therefore obtain M < 2521. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.8.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that $A = m^2 + 1$, $B = (a^2 - 1)m^2 - 1$ and C = am. Since $A + B = C^2$ and z is even, (3.2) can be written as

$$(C^2)^Z - B^y = C^2 - B$$

with z = 2Z. Then $y \ge Z$. If y = Z, then we obtain y = Z = 1. If y > Z, then we consider a 'gap' between the trivial solution (y, Z) = (1, 1) and (possibly) another solution (y, Z), and show that making the 'gap' small leads to a contradiction. (See Bennett [Be, page 901] and Terai [T2, page 21] for a 'gap principle' for solutions of Pillai's equation.) Since $C^{2Z} > B^y$, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that

$$1 \le y - Z < y - \frac{\log B}{\log C^2} \ y = \frac{\log(C^2/B)}{2\log C} \ y < \frac{2521}{2} \ \log\left(\frac{C^2}{B}\right).$$

By definition of *B* and *C*,

$$\frac{C^2}{B} = \frac{a^2 m^2}{(a^2 - 1)m^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{1 - (m^2 + 1)/a^2 m^2}$$

Therefore $\alpha := 1 - (e^{2/2521})^{-1} < (m^2 + 1)/a^2m^2$. Since $m \ge 3$, this yields

$$a^2 < \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1}{9} \right) = 1401.111.$$

Consequently, $a \leq 37$.

It follows from Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, together with $a \le 37$, that

$$m^2 - 1 < 2521(a^2 - 1)\log(am) \le 3448728\log(37m)$$

Hence $m \le 6538$.

From (3.3), we have the inequality

$$\left|\frac{\log B}{\log C} - \frac{z}{y}\right| < \frac{A}{yB^y \log C},$$

which implies that $|\log B/\log C - z/y| < 1/2y^2$, since $y \ge 3$. Thus z/y is a convergent in the simple continued fraction expansion to $\log B/\log C$.

On the other hand, if p_r/q_r is the *r*th such convergent, then

$$\left|\frac{\log B}{\log C} - \frac{p_r}{q_r}\right| > \frac{1}{(a_{r+1} + 2)q_r^2},$$

where a_{r+1} is the (r+1)th partial quotient to $\log B/\log C$ (see, for example, Khinchin [K]). Put $z/y = p_r/q_r$. Note that $q_r \le y$. It follows, then, that

$$a_{r+1} > \frac{B^{y} \log C}{Ay} - 2 \ge \frac{B^{q_{r}} \log C}{Aq_{r}} - 2.$$
(3.6)

Finally, we checked by Magma [BC] that for each $a \le 37$ with $a \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, (3.6) does not hold for any *r* with $q_r < 2521 \log(am)$ in the range $3 \le m \le 6538$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Corollary 1.2

Let *m* be a positive integer. Let (x, y, z) be a positive solution of the Diophantine equation

$$(m2 + 1)x + (8m2 - 1)y = (3m)z.$$
(4.1)

By Theorem 1.1, we may assume $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can show that *y* is odd. Here, we apply Proposition 2.2. For this we set p := 3, $a_1 := m^2 + 1$, $a_2 := 1 - 8m^2$, $b_1 := x$, $b_2 := y$, $\Lambda := (m^2 + 1)^x - (1 - 8m^2)^y$. Then we

may take g = 1, E = 2, $A_1 = m^2 + 1$, $A_2 := 8m^2 - 1$. Hence

$$2z \le \frac{36.1}{8(\log 3)^4} (\max\{\log b' + \log(2\log 3) + 0.4, 12\log 3\})^2 \log(m^2 + 1) \log(8m^2 - 1),$$

where $b' := x/\log(8m^2 - 1) + y/\log(m^2 + 1)$. Suppose $z \ge 4$. We will observe that this leads to a contradiction. Taking (4.1) modulo m^4 , we find $x + 8y \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$. In particular, we see $M := \max\{x, y\} \ge m^2/9$. Therefore, since $z \ge M$ and $b' \le M/\log m$,

$$2M \le \frac{36.1}{8(\log 3)^4} \left(\max\left\{ \log\left(\frac{M}{\log m}\right) + \log(2\log 3) + 0.4, 12\log 3 \right\} \right)^2 \times \log(m^2 + 1)\log(8m^2 - 1).$$
(4.2)

If $m \ge 3450$, then

$$2M \le \frac{36.1}{8(\log 3)^4} \left(\log\left(\frac{M}{\log m}\right) + \log(2\log 3) + 0.4 \right)^2 \log(m^2 + 1) \log(8m^2 - 1).$$

Since $m^2 \le 9M$, the above inequality gives

$$2M \le 3.1(\log M - \log(\log 3450) + 1.19)^2 \log(9M + 1) \log(72M - 1).$$

We therefore obtain $M \le 22486$, which contradicts the fact that $M \ge m^2/9 \ge 1322500$. If m < 3450, then inequality (4.2) gives

$$2M \le \frac{649.8}{(\log 3)^2} \log(m^2 + 1) \log(8m^2 - 1).$$

This implies $m \le 693$. Hence all x, y and z are also bounded. It is not hard to verify by Magma [BC] that there is no (m, x, y, z) under consideration satisfying (4.1). We conclude $z \le 3$. In this case, one can easily show that (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2). This completes the proof of Corollary 1.2.

5. Concluding remarks

In Theorem 1.1, when $1 + c = a^2$ with *a* odd, we considered the equation

$$(m^{2} + 1)^{x} + (cm^{2} - 1)^{y} = (am)^{z}.$$

The proof is based on the properties that $m^2 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ with *m* odd and $cm^2 - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$.

On the other hand, we cannot apply our method used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the equation

$$(cm2 + 1)x + (m2 - 1)y = (am)z,$$
(5.1)

T. Miyazaki and N. Terai

since $cm^2 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $m^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ with *m* odd. But it would be interesting to make the remark that (5.1) has (at least) two solutions (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) and (2, 3, 4) for *m* and *a* satisfying

$$m^2 - 2a^2 = 1.$$

We can easily verify this. There are infinitely many *m*, *a*, since *m*, *a* are solutions of the Pell equation $t^2 - 2u^2 = 1$. Similarly, when $2 + c = a^2$, the equation

$$(cm2 + 1)x + (2m2 - 1)y = (am)z$$
(5.2)

also has (at least) two solutions (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2) and (2, 3, 4) for m and a satisfying

$$a^2 - 2m^2 = -1.$$

There are infinitely many *m*, *a*, since *m*, *a* are solutions of the Pell equation $t^2 - 2u^2 = -1$. Note that $gcd(cm^2 + 1, m^2 - 1) = gcd(cm^2 + 1, 2m^2 - 1) = a^2$ (>1) in (5.1) and (5.2). These give new (nontrivial) 'counterexamples' to the generalised Jeśmanowicz' conjecture (Terai's conjecture), which states that if *a*, *b*, *c*, *p*, *q*, *r* are fixed positive integers satisfying $a^p + b^q = c^r$ with min{*a*, *b*, *c*, *p*, *q*, *r*} ≥ 2 and gcd(a, b) = 1, then the Diophantine equation

$$a^x + b^y = c^z$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (p, q, r), except for (a, b, c) = (2, 7, 3)and $(a, b, c) = (2, 2^k - 1, 2^k + 1)$, where k is a positive integer with $k \ge 2$. (See [M1, M2, T1, T2].) So far, the known 'counterexamples' with $gcd(a, b) \ne 1$ have been the following:

$$2^{n} + 2^{n} = 2^{n+1}$$
 (with $n \ge 1$),
 $3 + 6 = 3^{2}$, $3^{3} + 6^{3} = 3^{5}$.

References

- [Be] M. A. Bennett, 'On some exponential equations of S. S. Pillai', *Canad. J. Math.* **53** (2001), 897–922.
- [BC] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, 'Handbook of Magma functions', School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, available at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/.
- [Bu] Y. Bugeaud, 'Linear forms in *p*-adic logarithms and the Diophantine equation $(x^n 1)/(x 1) = y^{q'}$, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **127** (1999), 373–381.
- [CD] Z. Cao and X. Dong, 'An application of a lower bound for linear forms in two logarithms to the Terai–Jeśmanowicz conjecture', *Acta Arith.* **110** (2003), 153–164.
- [HT1] B. He and A. Togbé, 'The Diophantine equation $n^x + (n + 1)^y = (n + 2)^z$ revisited', *Glasg. Math. J.* **51** (2009), 659–667.
- [HT2] B. He and A. Togbé, 'On the positive integer solutions of the exponential Diophantine equation $a^{x} + (3a^{2} 1)^{y} = (4a^{2} 1)^{z}$, Adv. Math. (China) **40** (2011), 227–234.
- [HY] B. He and S. Yang, 'The solutions of a class of exponential Diophantine equation', Adv. Math. (China) 41 (2012), 565–573.

- [J] L. Jeśmanowicz, 'Some remarks on Pythagorean numbers', Wiad. Mat. 1 (1955/1956), 196–202 (in Polish).
- [K] A. Y. Khinchin, *Continued Fractions*, 3rd edn (P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1963).
- [La] M. Laurent, 'Linear forms in two logarithms and interpolation determinants II', Acta Arith. 133 (2008), 325–348.
- [Le] M. H. Le, 'On the exponential Diophantine equation $(m^3 3m)^x + (3m^2 1)^y = (m^2 + 1)^z$ ', *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **58** (2001), 461–466.
- [M1] T. Miyazaki, 'Exceptional cases of Terai's conjecture on Diophantine equations', Arch. Math. (Basel) 95 (2010), 519–527.
- [M2] T. Miyazaki, 'Terai's conjecture on exponential Diophantine equations', Int. J. Number Theory 7 (2011), 981–999.
- [MT] T. Miyazaki and A. Togbé, 'The Diophantine equation $(2am 1)^x + (2m)^y = (2am + 1)^z$ ', Int. J. Number Theory 8 (2012), 2035–2044.
- [N1] T. Nagell, 'Contributions to the theory of a category of Diophantine equations of the second degree with two unknowns', Nova Acta Reg. Soc. Upsal. IV Ser. 16(2) (1955), 1–38.
- [N2] T. Nagell, 'Sur une classe d'équations exponentielles', Ark. Mat. 3 (1958), 569–582.
- [S] W. Sierpiński, 'On the equation $3^x + 4^y = 5^z$ ', *Wiad. Mat.* **1** (1955/1956), 194–195 (in Polish).
- [T1] N. Terai, 'The Diophantine equation $a^x + b^y = c^{z^2}$, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **70** (1994), 22–26.
- [T2] N. Terai, 'Applications of a lower bound for linear forms in two logarithms to exponential Diophantine equations', *Acta Arith.* 90 (1999), 17–35.
- [T3] N. Terai, 'On the exponential Diophantine equation $(4m^2 + 1)^x + (5m^2 1)^y = (3m)^z$ ', Int. J. Algebra 6 (2012), 1135–1146.

TAKAFUMI MIYAZAKI, Department of Mathematics,

College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Suruga-Dai, Kanda, Chiyoda, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan e-mail: miyazaki-takafumi@math.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

NOBUHIRO TERAI, Division of Information System Design, Ashikaga Institute of Technology, 268-1 Omae, Ashikaga, Tochigi 326–8558, Japan e-mail: terai@ashitech.ac.jp