

## SCHUR PROPERTY AND $\ell_p$ ISOMORPHIC COPIES IN MUSIELAK–ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES

B. ZLATANOV

The author shows that if the dual of a Musielak–Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\Phi$  is a stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  space with respect to the unit vector basis, then  $\ell_\Phi$  is saturated with complemented copies of  $\ell_1$  and has the Schur property. A sufficient condition is found for the isomorphic embedding of  $\ell_p$  spaces into Musielak–Orlicz sequence spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of asymptotic  $\ell_p$  spaces first appeared in [14], where the collection of spaces that are now known as stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_p$  spaces were introduced. Later in [13] more general collection of spaces, known as asymptotic  $\ell_p$  spaces, were introduced. Characterisation of the stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  Musielak–Orlicz sequence space was given in [4].

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the Schur property if every weakly null sequence is norm null. It is well known that  $\ell_1$  has the Schur property and its dual  $\ell_\infty$  is obviously a stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  space with respect to the unit vector basis. A characterisation of the Musielak–Orlicz sequence spaces  $\ell_\Phi$  possessing the Schur property, as well as sufficient conditions for  $\ell_\Phi$  and weighted Orlicz sequence spaces  $\ell_M(w)$  to have the Schur property were found in [8]. Using an idea from [1] we find that if the dual of a Musielak–Orlicz sequence space is a stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  space then it is saturated with complemented copies of  $\ell_1$  and has the Schur property. While simple necessary conditions for embedding of  $\ell_p$  spaces into Musielak–Orlicz spaces  $\ell_\Phi$  were found in [16], the problem of finding analogous sufficient conditions, as it is done in [11] for Orlicz  $\ell_M$ , appeared more difficult. We find a sufficient condition for the existence of an  $\ell_p$  copy in  $\ell_\Phi$  in Paragraph 4.

---

Received 21st August, 2006

Research is partially supported by National Fund for Scientific Research of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science, Contract MM-1401/04.

The author acknowledges his gratitude to R. Maleev and S. Troyanski for valuable discussions.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/07 \$A2.00+0.00.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the standard Banach space terminology from [11]. Let us recall that an Orlicz function  $M$  is even, continuous, non-decreasing convex function such that  $M(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t) = \infty$ . We say that  $M$  is a non-degenerate Orlicz function if  $M(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$ . A sequence  $\Phi = \{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak–Orlicz function.

The Musielak–Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\Phi$ , generated by a Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  is the set of all real sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \Phi_i(\lambda x_i) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . The Luxemburg’s norm is defined by

$$\|x\|_\Phi = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^\infty \Phi_i(x_i/r) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We denote by  $h_\Phi$  the closed linear subspace of  $\ell_\Phi$ , generated by all  $x \in \ell_\Phi$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \Phi_i(\lambda x_i) < \infty$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ .

If the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  consists of one and the same function  $M$  one obtains the Orlicz sequence spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $h_M$ .

Let  $1 \leq p_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence of reals. The Musielak–Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\Phi$ , where  $\Phi = \{t^{p_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is called a Nakano sequence space and is denoted by  $\ell_{\{p_i\}}$ . In [3] it was proved that two Nakano sequence spaces  $\ell_{\{p_i\}}, \ell_{\{q_i\}}$  are isomorphic if and only if there exists  $0 < C < 1$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty C^{1/|p_i - q_i|} < \infty.$$

An extensive study of Orlicz and Musielak–Orlicz spaces can be found in [11, 15, 6, 9].

**DEFINITION 2.1:** We say that the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero if there exist constants  $K, \beta > 0$  and a non-negative sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_1$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Phi_n(2t) \leq K\Phi_n(t) + c_n$$

provided  $t \in [0, \Phi_n^{-1}(\beta)]$ .

The spaces  $\ell_\Phi$  and  $h_\Phi$  coincide if and only if  $\Phi$  has the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero.

Recall that given Musielak–Orlicz functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  the spaces  $\ell_\Phi$  and  $\ell_\Psi$  coincide with equivalence of norms if and only if  $\Phi$  is equivalent to  $\Psi$ , that is there exist constants  $K, \beta > 0$  and a non-negative sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_1$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the inequalities

$$\Phi_n(Kt) \leq \Psi_n(t) + c_n \text{ and } \Psi_n(Kt) \leq \Phi_n(t) + c_n$$

hold for every  $t \in [0, \min(\Phi_n^{-1}(\beta), \Psi_n^{-1}(\beta))]$ .

Throughout this paper  $M$  will always denote an Orlicz function while  $\Phi$  is an Musielałak-Orlicz function. As the properties we are dealing with are preserved by isomorphisms without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Phi$  consists entirely of non-degenerate Orlicz functions, such that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  the Orlicz function  $\Phi_i$  is differentiable,  $\Phi'_i(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi_i(1) = 1$ . Indeed, we can always choose a sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}$ , such that  $\alpha_i \leq 1/2, i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Phi_i(\alpha_i) < \infty$  and consider the sequence of functions  $\varphi_i(t) = \int_0^t (\psi_i(s)/s) ds$ , where

$$\psi_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i^2} t^2, & 0 \leq t \leq \alpha_i \\ \Phi_i(t), & t \geq \alpha_i \end{cases} .$$

Obviously the Musielałak-Orlicz function  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  consists of differentiable functions and  $\varphi'_i(0) = 0$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For every  $t \in [0, \alpha_i]$  we have  $\varphi_i(\alpha_i) = (\Phi_i(\alpha_i))/2$  and

$$\varphi_i(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\psi_i(s)}{s} ds = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i^2} s ds = \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2\alpha_i^2} t^2 .$$

For every  $t \geq \alpha_i$  we have

$$\varphi_i(t) = \int_0^{\alpha_i} \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{\alpha_i^2} s ds + \int_{\alpha_i}^t \frac{\Phi_i(s)}{s} ds = \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \int_{\alpha_i}^t \frac{\Phi_i(s)}{s} ds .$$

By the convexity of  $\Phi_i$  follows that

$$(1) \quad \varphi_i(t) \leq \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \Phi_i(t)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ .

In order to get the opposite inequality we consider separately three cases:

(I) Let  $\alpha_i \leq t/2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(t) &= \int_0^{\alpha_i} \frac{\psi_i(s)}{s} ds + \int_{\alpha_i}^{t/2} \frac{\psi_i(s)}{s} ds + \int_{t/2}^t \frac{\psi_i(s)}{s} ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \int_{t/2}^t \frac{\Phi_i(s)}{s} ds \geq \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \Phi_i(t/2) . \end{aligned}$$

(II) Let  $t/2 \leq \alpha_i \leq t$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(t) &= \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \int_{t/2}^t \frac{\Phi_i(s)}{s} ds - \int_{t/2}^{\alpha_i} \frac{\Phi_i(s)}{s} ds \\ &\geq \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} + \Phi_i(t/2) - \Phi_i(\alpha_i) = \Phi_i(t/2) - \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2} . \end{aligned}$$

(III) Let  $t \leq \alpha_i$  then

$$\frac{\Phi_i(t)}{2} \leq \varphi_i(t) + \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2}.$$

Thus

$$(2) \quad \frac{\Phi_i(t/2)}{2} \leq \varphi_i(t) + \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha_i)}{2}$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ . By (1) and (2) it follows that  $\varphi \sim \Phi$  and thus  $\ell_\varphi \cong \ell_\Phi$ . To complete the proof, it is enough to normalise the functions  $\varphi_i$  by considering  $\tilde{\varphi} = \{\varphi_i/\varphi_i(1)\}_{i=0}^\infty$ .

DEFINITION 2.2: For an Orlicz function  $M$ , such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M(t)/t = 0$  the function

$$N(x) = \sup\{t|x| - M(t) : t \geq 0\},$$

is called the function complementary to  $M$ .

DEFINITION 2.3: The Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Psi = \{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ , defined by

$$\Psi_j(x) = \sup\{t|x| - \Phi_j(t) : t \geq 0\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$$

is called complementary to  $\Phi$ .

Let us note that the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M(t)/t = 0$  ensures that the complementary function  $N$  is always non-degenerate. Observe that if  $N$  is function complementary to  $M$ , then  $M$  is complementary to  $N$  and if the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Psi$  is complementary to the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$ , then  $\Phi$  is function complementary to  $\Psi$ . Throughout this paper the function complementary to the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  is denoted by  $\Psi$ .

It is well known that  $h_M^* \cong \ell_N$  and  $h_\Phi^* \cong \ell_\Psi$ . The equivalent norm in  $\ell_\Phi$  is the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|_\Phi^O = \sup\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j y_j : \sum_{j=1}^\infty \Psi_j(y_j) \leq 1 \right\},$$

which satisfies the inequalities (see for example,[7])

$$\|\cdot\|_\Phi \leq \|\cdot\|_\Phi^O \leq 2\|\cdot\|_\Phi.$$

We shall use the Hölder’s inequality:  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_j y_j| \leq \|x\|_\Phi^O \|y\|_\Psi$ , which holds for every  $x = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_\Phi$  and  $y = \{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_\Psi$ , where  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are complementary Musielak–Orlicz functions.

By  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$  we denote the unit vector basis in  $h_\Phi$  and  $h_\Psi$  respectively. For a Banach space  $X$  with a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and element  $x \in X$ ,  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i v_i$  we define  $\text{supp } x = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i \neq 0\}$ . We write  $n \leq x$  if  $n \leq \min\{\text{supp } x\}$  and  $x < y$  if  $\max\{\text{supp } x\} < \min\{\text{supp } y\}$ . We say that  $x$  is a block vector with respect to the basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  if  $x = \sum_{i=p}^q x_i v_i$  for some finite  $p$  and  $q$  and we say that  $x$  is a normalised block vector if it is a block vector and  $\|x\| = 1$ .

DEFINITION 2.4: A Banach space  $X$  is said to be stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , if there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that whenever  $N \leq x_1 < \dots < x_n$  are successive normalised block vectors, then  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are  $C$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_\infty^n$ ; that is,

$$\frac{1}{C} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|.$$

The following characterisation of the stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  MusielaK-Orlicz sequence spaces is due to Dew:

PROPOSITION 2.1. ([4, Proposition 4.5.1]) Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a MusielaK-Orlicz function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $h_\Phi$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  (with respect to its natural basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ );
- (ii) there exists  $\lambda > 1$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $N \leq p \leq q$  and  $\sum_{j=p}^q \Phi_j(a_j) \leq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{j=p}^q \Phi_j(a_j/\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. By  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  we denote that  $Y$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $X$ .

### 3. MUSIELAK-ORLICZ SPACES WITH STABILISED ASYMPTOTIC $\ell_\infty$ DUAL WITH RESPECT TO THE UNIT VECTOR BASIS

We start with the following

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\Phi$  have the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero and  $h_\Psi$ , generated by the MusielaK-Orlicz function  $\Psi$ , complementary to  $\Phi$ , be stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to the unit vector basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then every normalised block basis  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of the unit vector basis in  $\ell_\Phi$  contains a subsequence  $\{x^{(n_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that:

- (a)  $\{x^{(n_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_1$ ;
- (b) The closed subspace  $[x^{(n_i)}]_{i=1}^\infty$  generated by  $\{x^{(n_i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is complemented in  $\ell_\Phi$  by means of a projection of norm less than or equal to  $4\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the constant from Proposition 2.1.

PROOF: (a) Let  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a normalised block basis of  $\ell_\Phi$ , where  $x^{(n)} = \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} x_j^{(n)} e_j$ , and  $\{m_n\}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of naturals. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

there exists  $y^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j^{(n)} e_j^* \in h_{\Psi}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Psi_j(y_j^{(n)}) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j^{(n)} x_j^{(n)} \geq 1/2.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\text{supp } y^{(n)} \equiv \text{supp } x^{(n)}$ . We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Psi_j\left(\frac{y_j^{(n)}}{\lambda}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \Psi_j\left(\frac{y_j^{(n)}}{\lambda}\right) = 0,$$

where  $\lambda > 1$  is the constant from Proposition 2.1.

Indeed, by assumption  $h_{\Psi}$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_{\infty}$  space and according to Proposition 2.1 there exists  $\lambda > 1$  such that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so, that whenever  $m_n \geq N$  the inequality holds  $\sum_{j=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \Psi_j(y_j^{(n)}/\lambda) \leq 1/m$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \Psi_j(y_j^{(n)}/\lambda) = 0$ .

Now passing to a subsequence we get a sequence  $\{y^{(n_k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $y^{(n_k)} = \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} e_j^*$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} \Psi_j\left(\frac{y_j^{(n_k)}}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1.$$

Denote  $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y^{(n_k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=p_k}^{q_k} y_j^{(n_k)} e_j^* \right)$ . Obviously  $y \in \ell_{\Psi}$  and  $\|y\|_{\Psi} \leq \lambda$ . Thus  $\|y\|_{\Psi}^{\circ} \leq 2\|y\|_{\Psi} \leq 2\lambda$ .

Let now  $a = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^{(n_k)} \right\|_{\Phi} &\geq \frac{1}{\|y\|_{\Psi}^{\circ}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} |a_k y_j^{(n_k)} x_j^{(n_k)}| \geq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} |a_k y_j^{(n_k)} x_j^{(n_k)}| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} x_j^{(n_k)} \geq \frac{1}{4\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \|a\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^{(n_k)} \right\|_{\Phi} \leq \|a\|_1$  and thus  $\{x^{(n_k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_1$ .

(b) Define now for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the functional  $F_k : \ell_{\Phi} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} x_j^{(n_k)}} \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} x_j$$

and the map  $P : \ell_{\Phi} \rightarrow \ell_{\Phi}$  by  $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k(x) x^{(n_k)}$ . Then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|F_k\| \leq 2\|y^{(n_k)}\|_{\Psi} \leq 2 \left( 1 + \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} \Psi_j(y_j^{(n_k)}) \right) \leq 4$ . Furthermore  $P$  is a projection of  $\ell_{\Phi}$  onto

$\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\| &= \sup_{\|x\|_\Phi \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} x_j}{\sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} y_j^{(n_k)} x_j^{(n_k)}} x^{(n_k)} \right\| \leq 2 \sup_{\|x\|_\Phi \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{j=p_{n_k}}^{q_{n_k}} |y_j^{(n_k)} x_j| \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\|x\|_\Phi \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^\infty |y_j x_j| \leq 2 \sup_{\|x\|_\Phi \leq 1} \|y\|_\Psi^O \|x\|_\Phi \leq 4\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following two theorems are simple corollaries of Lemma 3.1.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Phi$  have the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero and  $h_\Psi$ , generated by the Musielał-Orlicz function  $\Psi$ , complementary to  $\Phi$ , be stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to the unit vector basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then  $\ell_\Phi$  has the Schur property.*

**PROOF:** The proof is an easy consequence of the Kaminska, Mastyló characterisation of Musielał-Orlicz spaces possessing Schur property ([8, Theorem 4.4]). Consider a  $\Phi$ -convex block of  $\Phi$ , that is, a sequence of convex functions  $\left\{ M_i(t) = \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \Phi_j(t\alpha_j) \right\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , where  $n_i$  is a strongly increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \Phi_j(\alpha_j) = 1$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to observe that the sequence  $\left\{ u_i = \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \alpha_j e_j \right\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a normalised block-basis of the unit vector basis of  $\ell_\Phi$ . Lemma 3.1 now implies that the closed linear span  $[u_i]_{k=1}^\infty$  for appropriate subsequence  $\{u_i\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ . On the other hand  $[u_i]_{k=1}^\infty$  is obviously isometrically isomorphic to the Musielał-Orlicz space  $\ell_{\{M_i\}}$ , generated by the subsequence  $\{M_i\}$  of the given  $\Phi$ -convex block. Thus every  $\Phi$ -convex block contains a subsequence equivalent to a linear function and therefore  $\ell_\Phi$  has the Schur property. □

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Phi$  have the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero and  $h_\Psi$ , generated by the Musielał-Orlicz function  $\Psi$ , complementary to  $\Phi$ , be stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to the unit vector basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then every subspace  $Y$  of  $\ell_\Phi$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  which is complemented in  $\ell_\Phi$ .*

**PROOF:** According to a well known result of Bessaga and Pelczynski [2] every infinite dimensional closed subspace  $Y$  of  $\ell_\Phi$  has a subspace  $Z$  isomorphic to a subspace of  $\ell_\Phi$ , generated by a normalised block basis of the unit vector basis of  $\ell_\Phi$ . Now to finish the proof it is enough to observe that by Lemma 3.1 the space  $Z$  contains a complemented subspace of  $\ell_\Phi$ , which is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ . □

**REMARK.** It is well known ([18]) that every subspace of Musielał-Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\Phi$  with  $\Phi$  satisfying the  $\delta_2$  condition, contains  $\ell_p$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . If  $\ell_\Phi$  has in addition the Schur property, as no  $\ell_p, p \neq 1$  has the Schur property, it follows that  $\ell_\Phi$  is  $\ell_1$  saturated.

4.  $\ell_p$  COPIES IN MUSIELAK–ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES

Let  $\Phi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function consisting of differentiable Orlicz functions. Denote:

$$a(\Phi_n) = \sup \left\{ p > 0 : p \leq \frac{x\Phi'_n(x)}{\Phi_n(x)}, x \in (0, 1] \right\};$$

$$b(\Phi_n) = \inf \left\{ q > 0 : q \geq \frac{x\Phi'_n(x)}{\Phi_n(x)}, x \in (0, 1] \right\}.$$

The following indexes, introduced by Yamamuro ([17])

$$a(\Phi) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(\Phi_n) \quad , \quad b(\Phi) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(\Phi_n)$$

appear to be useful in the study of Musielak–Orlicz sequence spaces (see for example [11, 16, 8, 12]). Obviously  $1 \leq a(\Phi) \leq b(\Phi) \leq \infty$ . By the results of Woo ([18]) and Katirtzoglou ([9]) it follows that an Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\delta_2$  condition at zero if and only if  $b(\Phi) < \infty$ . Analogously to the case of the classical Orlicz sequence spaces if  $\ell_p, p \geq 1$  or  $c_0$  for  $p = \infty$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $h_\Phi$ , then  $p \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$  (see [16, 18]). However, the converse fails to be true in general (see [16]) for Musielak–Orlicz sequence spaces, which confirms their more complex structure. Sufficient conditions for the isomorphical embedding of  $\ell_p, p \geq 1$  in  $h_\Phi$  are given by the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function and  $p \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$ . If there exist sequences  $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and constants  $0 < k < 1 < K$  such that:*

- (1)  $\varepsilon_j \geq 0, 0 < y_j \leq 1 \quad 0 < \tau_j < 1$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau_j = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi_j(y_j) = \infty$ ;
- (4)  $kt^{\varepsilon_j} \leq (\Phi_j(ty_j))/(\tau^p \Phi_j(y_j)) \leq K(1/t)^{\varepsilon_j}$  for every  $t \in [\tau_j, 1]$ ;
- (5)  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty C^{1/\varepsilon_j} < \infty$  for some  $0 < C < 1$ ,

then  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow h_\Phi$ .

**PROOF:** The condition (5) obviously implies  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$ .

We may assume that  $\tau_j < 1/2$  for every  $j$ . Indeed, by (2) we easily get  $\tau_j < 1/2, j < j_0$  for some  $j_0$  and can consider the Musielak–Orlicz sequence space  $h_{\{\Phi_j\}_{j=j_0}^\infty} \cong h_\Phi$ .

Consider first the case:  $\#\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \Phi(y_j) \geq 1/2\} < \infty$ . For the same reason as above we may assume that  $\Phi(y_j) \leq 1/2$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Find sequence of naturals  $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, k_1 = 0$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}-1} \Phi_j(y_j) < 1, \quad \Phi_{k_{n+1}}(y_{k_{n+1}}) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}-1} \Phi_j(y_j).$$



Put

$$\varphi_n(t) = \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}-1} \Phi_j(y_j t) + \Phi_{k_{n+1}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n+1}} t),$$

where

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}-1} \Phi_j(y_j) + \Phi_{k_{n+1}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n+1}}) = 1.$$

Obviously

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}} \Phi(y_j) < \frac{3}{2}$$

and  $0 < \bar{y}_{k_{n+1}} \leq y_{k_{n+1}}$ . Let us note that  $u_n = \sum_{j=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}-1} y_j e_j + \bar{y}_{k_{n+1}} e_{k_{n+1}}, n = 1, 2, \dots$  represents a normalised block basis of the unit vector basis of  $h_\Phi$ . Obviously the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $h_\Phi$ , generated by the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $[u_n]_{n=1}^\infty$ , which in turn is isomorphic to a subspace of  $h_\Phi$ . Further we find a sequence of  $\{n_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ , such that  $\tau_j \leq 1/m^2$  for  $j > k_{n_m}$ . Following [11, 10] we easily check that the functions  $\varphi_{n_m}, m = 1, 2, \dots$  are equi-continuous in  $[0, 1/2]$ . Indeed, from

$$\Phi_j(t) = \int_0^t \Phi'_j(t) dt \geq \int_{t/2}^t \Phi'_j(t) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} t \Phi_j(t/2)$$

it follows immediately

$$\left| \frac{\Phi_j(\mu t_1)}{\Phi_j(\mu)} - \frac{\Phi_j(\mu t_2)}{\Phi_j(\mu)} \right| \leq |t_1 - t_2| \frac{\mu \Phi'_j(\mu/2)}{\Phi_j(\mu)} \leq 2|t_1 - t_2|$$

for every  $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq 1/2$  and any  $\mu > 0$ . Now it is enough to apply the last inequality to the functions  $\varphi_{n_m}$ , taking into account (3). The functions  $\varphi_{n_m}, m = 1, 2, \dots$  are also uniformly bounded in  $[0, 1/2]$ . Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem by passing to a subsequence if necessary, which in order to simplify the notations we denote  $\{\varphi_{n_m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  too, we have that  $\{\varphi_{n_m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to a function  $\varphi$  on  $[0, 1/2]$ , satisfying the inequalities  $\|\varphi_{n_m} - \varphi\|_\infty \leq 1/2^m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously  $\varphi$  is an Orlicz function on  $[0, 1/2]$  as uniform limit of Orlicz functions and the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $h_{\{\varphi_{n_m}\}}$  is isomorphic to the Orlicz space  $h_\varphi$ , when  $\varphi$  is non-degenerated. If we take into account that  $h_{\{\varphi_{n_m}\}}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $[u_{n_m}]_{m=1}^\infty$  to finish the proof it is enough to show that  $h_\varphi$  and  $\ell_p$  consist of the same sequences. Before starting the last part of the proof we mention that according to the result from [3], mentioned in the preliminaries, the condition (5) implies that the Nakano spaces  $\ell_{\{p+\nu_j \varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty}$  are isomorphic to  $\ell_p$  for every choice of the sequence of signs  $\{\nu_j = \pm 1\}_{j=1}^\infty$ .

Define the sets:

$$A_m = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : k_{n_m} + 1 \leq j \leq k_{n_{m+1}}, \tau_j \geq \alpha_m\}$$

and

$$B_m = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : k_{n_m} + 1 \leq j \leq k_{n_{m+1}}, \tau_j < \alpha_m\}.$$

It is obvious that  $A_m \cap B_m = \emptyset$  and  $A_m \cup B_m = \{k_{n_m} + 1, \dots, k_{n_{m+1}}\}$ . Let  $\delta_m = \max\{\varepsilon_j : k_{n_m} + 1 \leq j \leq k_{n_{m+1}}\}$ . Then  $\{\delta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and thus by (5) we obtain  $\sum_{m=1}^\infty C^{1/\delta_m} < \infty$ . So the Nakano spaces  $\ell_{\{p+\nu_m\delta_m\}}$  consist of the same sequences as  $\ell_p$  for every choice of the signs  $\{\nu_m = \pm 1\}$ .

Let now  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p$  that is,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j^p < \infty$ . We may assume that  $\alpha_j \leq 1/2$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we can write the chain of inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \varphi_{n_m}(\alpha_m) &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}-1} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j \in A_m} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j \in B_m} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j \in A_m} \Phi_j(\tau_m y_j) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j \in B_m} K \alpha_m^{p-\delta_m} \Phi_j(y_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}-1} \tau_j \Phi_j(y_j) + K \sum_{m=1}^\infty \alpha_m^{p-\delta_m} \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}-1} \Phi_j(y_j) \\ &\leq \frac{3K}{2} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^2} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \alpha_m^{p-\delta_m} \right\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $0 < \bar{y}_{k_{n+1}} \leq y_{k_{n+1}}$  for the second and (4) for the last inequality.

Let now  $\alpha = \{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in \ell_{\{\varphi_{n_m}\}}$ , that is,

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \varphi_{n_m}(\alpha_m) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}-1} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) < \infty.$$

It is not difficult to check that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the estimate holds:

$$(5) \quad |\alpha_m|^{p+\delta_m} \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}).$$

Denote  $A'_m = A_m \setminus \{n_{m+1}\}$  and  $B'_m = B_m \setminus \{n_{m+1}\}$  Now taking into account (3), (4) and (5) we can write the chain of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^{p+\delta_m} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_m|^{p+\delta_m} \left( \sum_{j=k_{n_m}+1}^{k_{n_{m+1}}-1} \Phi_j(y_j) + \Phi_{n_{m+1}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( |\alpha_m|^{p+\delta_m} \left( \sum_{j \in A'_m} \Phi_j(y_j) + \sum_{j \in B'_m} \Phi_j(y_j) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m^2} \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) + \Phi_{n_{m+1}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j \in A'_m} (\tau_j)^{p+\delta_m} \Phi_j(y_j) + \sum_{j \in B'_m} |\alpha_m|^{p+\delta_m} \Phi_j(y_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m^2} \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \left( \sum_{j \in A'_m} \Phi_j(y_j) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j \in B'_m} \Phi_j(\alpha_m y_j) + \Phi_{k_{n_{m+1}}}(\alpha_m \bar{y}_{k_{n_{m+1}}}) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n_m}(\alpha_m) \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

Let now  $1/2 \leq \Phi(y_{j_k}) \leq 1$  for some increasing sequence of naturals  $\{j_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_{j_k} < \infty$ . Then

$$\Phi_{j_k}(t) \geq \Phi_{j_k}(ty_{j_k}) \geq kt^{p+\varepsilon_{j_k}} \Phi_{j_k}(y_{j_k}) \geq \frac{k}{2} t^{p+\varepsilon_{j_k}}$$

for every  $t \in [\tau_{j_k}, 1]$ . Consequently

$$(6) \quad u^{p+\varepsilon_{j_k}} \leq \frac{2}{k} \Phi_{j_k}(u) + \tau_{j_k}.$$

holds for every  $u \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly

$$\Phi_{j_k}(t/2) \leq \Phi_{j_k}(ty_{j_k}) \leq 2^{p-\varepsilon_{j_k}} K \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{p-\varepsilon_{j_k}} \Phi_{j_k}(y_{j_k})$$

for every  $t \in [\tau_{j_k}, 1]$ . Thus

$$\Phi_{j_k}(u) \leq K_1 u^{p-\varepsilon_{j_k}}$$

holds for every  $u \in [\tau_{j_k}/2, 1/2]$ , where  $K_1 = 2^p K$ . So

$$(7) \quad \Phi_{j_k}(u) \leq K_1 u^{p-\varepsilon_{j_k}} + \tau_{j_k}$$

holds for every  $u \in [0, 1/2]$ . Consequently by (6) and (7) it follows that  $\ell_p \cong \ell_{\{\Phi_{j_k}\}} \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$ . □

REMARK. If the conditions in Theorem 3 hold for a subsequence  $\{\Phi_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  then  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow \ell_{\{\Phi_{n_k}\}} \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$ .

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function and  $(\Phi_j(ty_j))/(\Phi_j(y_j))$  converge uniformly to  $t^p$  on  $[0, 1]$  for some sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that,  $0 < y_j \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi_j(y_j) = \infty$  and  $p \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$ . Then  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow h_\Phi$ .*

PROOF: Pick a decreasing sequence  $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k = 0$ . There exists  $j(k)$  such that for every  $j \geq j(k)$  the inequalities hold.

$$(8) \quad t^p - \delta_k < \frac{\Phi_j(ty_j)}{\Phi_j(y_j)} < t^p + \delta_k$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Thus (8) implies

$$(1/2)t^0 \leq 1 - \delta_k/t^p < \frac{\Phi_j(ty_j)}{t^p \Phi_j(y_j)} < 1 + \delta_k/t^p \leq 2(1/t)^0$$

for every  $t \in [(2\delta_k)^{1/p}, 1]$  and for every  $j \geq j(k)$ . We define inductively sequences  $\{r(k)\}$  and  $\{s(k)\}$  in the following way. We put  $r(1) = j(1)$  and choose  $s(1)$  with  $r(1)+s(1)$

$\sum_{j=r(1)}^\infty \Phi_j(y_j) > 1/2$ . If  $r(k), s(k)$  are already chosen we put  $r(k+1) = \max(r(k) + s(k), j(k+1))$  and choose  $s(k+1)$  such that  $\sum_{j=r(k+1)}^{r(k+1)+s(k+1)} \Phi_j(y_j) > 1/2$ . Now we can apply

Theorem 3 for the subsequence  $\{\Phi_{j_m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  and the sequences  $\{\varepsilon_m = 0\}$ ,  $\{\tau_m = (2\delta_m)^{1/p}\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where for every  $m$  the index  $j_m$  is of the form  $j_m = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s(i) + p$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq s(k)$ , while  $\varepsilon_m = 0$ ,  $\delta_m = \delta_k$ . □

REMARK. In particular if the sequence of Orlicz functions  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to  $t^p$  for some  $p \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$  then  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow h_\Phi$ .

An easy to apply form of Theorem 3 is given by the following

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function and  $p \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$ . If there exist sequences  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that:*

- (1)  $\varepsilon_j \geq 0, 0 < x_j \leq y_j \leq 1$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j/y_j = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi_j(y_j) = \infty$ ;
- (4)  $p - \varepsilon_j \leq (u\Phi'_j(u))/(\Phi_j(u)) \leq p + \varepsilon_j$  for every  $u \in [x_j, y_j]$ ;
- (5)  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty C^{1/\varepsilon_j} < \infty$  for some  $0 < C < 1$ ,

then  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow h_\Phi$ .

For the proof it is enough to rewrite the inequalities from (4) in the form:

$$(9) \quad p - \varepsilon_j \leq \frac{ty_j \Phi'_j(ty_j)}{\Phi_j(ty_j)} \leq p + \varepsilon_j \quad \text{for every } t \in [x_j/y_j, 1].$$

After integration in (9) we easily get for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$(10) \quad t^{p+\varepsilon_j} \Phi_j(y_j) \leq \Phi_j(ty_j) \leq t^{p-\varepsilon_j} \Phi_j(y_j)$$

for every  $t \in [x_j/y_j, 1]$ . Now we can apply Theorem 3 with  $\tau_j = x_j/y_j$ . □

We shall illustrate some applications of Theorem 3 and the necessity of some of the conditions in it by the following four examples. By examples (1) and (2) we show that conditions (2) and (3) in Theorem 3 could not be omitted.

The next example represents a convex analog to an example from [16]

EXAMPLE 1. Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 1/n^2 \\ n^2 x^2 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/n^2]. \end{cases}$$

Obviously

$$\frac{f_n(x)}{x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1/n^2 \\ n^2 x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/n^2] \end{cases}$$

is an increasing function and therefore

$$\Phi_n(x) = \int_0^x \frac{f_n(t)}{t} dt = \begin{cases} x - \frac{1}{2n^2} & \text{if } x \geq 1/n^2 \\ \frac{n^2}{2} x^2 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/n^2]. \end{cases}$$

is an Orlicz function.

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\Phi_n(t/n^2)}{t^2 \Phi_n(1/n^2)} = 1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore for the sequences  $\{y_n = 1/n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_n = 0\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and any arbitrary sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\tau_n \searrow 0$  all the conditions of Theorem 3 hold except for the condition (3)  $\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 1/n^2 < \infty\right)$ . Nonetheless  $\ell_2 \not\hookrightarrow \ell_{\Phi_n}$  because the inequalities

$$\Phi_n(x) \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq \Phi_n(x) + \frac{1}{2n^2}, \quad \text{for every } x \in [0, +\infty).$$

imply  $\ell_1 \cong \ell_\Phi$ .

Then for the next two examples  $k_n = 2n(1 - \sqrt{1 - (1/n)})$ ,  $b_n = 1 - k_n$ ,  $\alpha_n = 1 - \sqrt{1 - (1/n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that  $1/2n \leq \alpha_n \leq 1/n$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the functions

$$\Phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} k_n x + b_n & \text{if } x \geq \alpha_n \\ nx^2 & \text{if } x \in [(\alpha_n/2), \alpha_n] \\ \frac{n\alpha_n}{2}x & \text{if } x \in [0, (\alpha_n/2)]. \end{cases}$$

Obviously by the choice of the sequences  $k_n, b_n$  and  $\alpha_n$  it follows that  $\Phi_n$  are Orlicz functions.

It is easily to check that

$$\frac{\Phi_n(t\alpha_n)}{t^2\Phi_n(\alpha_n)} = 1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $t \in [1/2, 1]$ . Obviously  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi_n(\alpha_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n \cdot \alpha_n^2 = \infty$ . Therefore for the sequences  $\{y_n = \alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{\varepsilon_n = 0\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\tau_n = 1/2\}_{n=1}^\infty$  all the conditions of Theorem3 hold except for the condition (2) ( $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = 0$ ). Nonetheless  $l_2 \not\hookrightarrow l_{\Phi_n}$  because  $l_1 \cong l_\Phi$ .

Indeed consider now the Nakano sequence space  $l_{\{p_n\}}$ , where  $p_n = 1 + (1/\ln n^2)$ . According to [3]  $l_1 \cong l_{\{p_n\}}$ . It is easy to check that  $x^{p_n} \leq \Phi_n(x) \leq x$ , for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , because the solutions of the equation:  $nx^2 = x^{p_n}$  are  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = (1/n)^{1/(2-p_n)}$  and  $x_2 < 1/(4n) < \alpha_n/2$ . Thus  $l_1 \cong l_\Phi$  which in turn implies  $l_2 \not\hookrightarrow l_{\Phi_n}$ .

Similar calculations can be done in Examples (1) and (2) to show that conditions (2) and (3) in Corollary 4.2 do not hold.

The next example shows that the indexes

$$\alpha_\Phi = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\Phi_n}, \quad \beta_\Phi = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{\Phi_n},$$

where  $\alpha_{\Phi_n}$  and  $\beta_{\Phi_n}$  are the Boyd indexes of  $\Phi_n$  (see for example, [11, p. 143]) are irrelevant when embedding of  $l_p$  - spaces into  $l_\Phi$  is investigated. This fact is not surprising taking into account that among the Musielak–Orlicz functions  $\Psi$  equivalent to a given Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  there exist such with  $\alpha_\Psi = \beta_\Psi = 1$  ([18]).

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$  and  $t_n < 1/2$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the functions

$$\Phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} k_n x + b_n & \text{if } x \geq \alpha_n \\ nx^2 & \text{if } x \in [(t_n/n), \alpha_n] \\ t_n x & \text{if } x \in [0, (t_n/n)], \end{cases}$$

Obviously by the choice of the sequences  $k_n, b_n$  and  $\alpha_n$  follows that  $\Phi_n$  are Orlicz functions which are differentiable for every  $x \in [0, 1]$  except for  $x = t_n/n$  and  $x = \alpha_n$ .

It easy to see that  $\ell_1 \cong \ell_{\{\Phi_{2^n}\}} \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$  because  $\Phi_{2^n}(x) \leq x \leq \Phi_{2^n}(x) + \alpha_{2^n}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_{2^n} < \infty$ .

The conditions  $(u\Phi'_n(u))/(\Phi_n(u)) = 2$  for every  $u \in [(t_n/n), \alpha_n]$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi_n(\alpha_n) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_n)/(n\alpha_n) = 0$  ensure that by Corollary 4.2  $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$ .

To calculate the Boyd indexes we have to observe that the functions  $\Phi_n$  are linear for  $t \in [0, t_n/n]$  and thus  $1 = \alpha_\Phi = \beta_\Phi$ .

We have that  $(u\Phi'_n(u))/(\Phi_n(u)) = 1$  for every  $u \in [0, t_n/n]$ . So we obtain that  $1 = a(\Phi) < b(\Phi) = 2$ . Thus there exists a Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\Phi$  such that  $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$  and  $2 \notin [\alpha_\Phi, \beta_\Phi]$ .

Following [5] we shall construct an example of a weighted Orlicz sequence space which contains an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ .

EXAMPLE 4. Let the sequences  $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be such that  $d_n \leq d_{n+1}$ ,  $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/d_{n+1} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(d_n/d_{n+1}) = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty C^{a_n} < \infty$  for some  $0 < C < 1$ . Define the Orlicz function

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ A_n x + B_n & \text{if } d_n \leq x \leq d_{n+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $A_n = d_{n+1} + d_n$ ,  $B_n = -d_{n+1}d_n$ .

Let the sequence  $w = \{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be defined by  $w_n = 1/(\Phi(d_{n+1})) = 1/(d_{n+1}^2)$ . Then  $\ell_\Phi(w) \cong \ell_{\{\Phi_n\}}$ , where  $\Phi_n(x) = (\Phi(d_{n+1}x))/(\Phi(d_{n+1}))$ .

Thus

$$\frac{x\Phi'_n(x)}{\Phi_n(x)} = \frac{xd_{n+1}(\Phi'(d_{n+1}x))/(\Phi_n(d_{n+1}))}{(\Phi_n(d_{n+1}x))/(\Phi_n(d_{n+1}))} = \frac{xd_{n+1}A_n}{xd_{n+1}A_n + B_n}$$

for  $d_n/d_{n+1} \leq s \leq 1$ .

After easy calculations we obtain the inequalities:

$$1 - \frac{1}{a_n - 1} < 1 + \frac{d_n}{d_{n+1}} \leq \frac{x\Phi'_n(x)}{\Phi_n(x)} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{a_n - 1}$$

for every  $a_n(d_n/d_{n+1}) \leq x \leq 1$ .

Thus  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty C^{a_n-1} = 1/C \sum_{n=1}^\infty C^{a_n} < \infty$  and we can apply Corollary 4.2 with  $y_n = 1$ ,  $x_n = a_n(d_n/d_{n+1})$ ,  $\epsilon_n = 1/(a_n - 1)$  to show that  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi(w) \cong \ell_{\{\Phi_n\}}$ .

REMARK. If

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty (d_n)/(d_{n+1}) < 1/2$$

it is proved in [5] that  $\ell_1 \cong \ell_M(w)$ .

REMARK. By choosing the sequences  $\{d_n = n!\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{a_n = \log n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in Example 4 we get a weighted Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M(w)$  generated by an Orlicz function  $M$  which does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity and a weight sequence

$$w = \left\{ w_n = \frac{1}{((n + 1)!)^2} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

but containing an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ . Indeed  $(M(2n!)/M(n!)) = 3 + n$  and thus  $M$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ . The sequences  $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfy the conditions imposed on them in Example 4 and thus  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \ell_M(w)$ .

Following [4] we define a sequence of real numbers  $\{\psi_\lambda(j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  by

$$\psi_\lambda(j) = \inf\{\Phi_j(\lambda t)/\Phi_j(t) : t > 0\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** ([4, Proposition 4.5.3]) *Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Suppose that for some  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\lambda(j) = \infty$ , then  $h_\Phi$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$ .*

Let us mention that in the proof of Proposition 4.1,  $a_j$  were chosen such that  $\sum_{j=p}^q \Phi(a_j) \leq 1$ . Thus the function  $\psi_\lambda(j) = \inf\{\Phi_j(\lambda t)/\Phi_j(t) : t > 0\}$  can be replaced by

$$\psi_\lambda(j) = \inf\{\Phi_j(\lambda t)/\Phi_j(t) : 0 < t \leq 1\}.$$

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $\Phi$  has  $\delta_2$  condition at zero and  $h_\Psi$ , generated by the Musielak-Orlicz function  $\Psi$ , complementary to  $\Phi$ . If there exist sequences:  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  satisfying:*

- (1')  $\varepsilon_j > 0, 0 < x_j \leq y_j \leq 1$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2')  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_j/y_j) = 0$ ;
- (3')  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi_j(y_j) = \infty$ ;
- (4')  $b(\Phi) - \varepsilon_j \leq (u\Phi'_j(u))/(\Phi_j(u)) \leq b(\Phi) + \varepsilon_j$  for any  $u \in [x_j, y_j]$ ;
- (5')  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty C^{1/\varepsilon_j} < \infty$  for some  $0 < C < 1$ . and  $\ell_\Phi$  is  $\ell_1$  saturated, then holds:
  - (a)  $a(\Phi) = b(\Phi) = 1$ ;
  - (b)  $h_\Psi$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  respect to the basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ .

PROOF: (a) By [16] it follows that if  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$  then  $1 \in [a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$  and thus  $a(\Phi) = 1$ . Let  $a(\Phi) \neq b(\Phi)$ . By Corollary 4.2 follows that  $\ell_{b(\Phi)} \hookrightarrow \ell_\Phi$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $1 = a(\Phi) = b(\Phi)$ .

(b) By (a) we have  $a(\Phi) = b(\Phi) = 1$ . So we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a(\Phi_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b(\Phi_j) = 1$ . Then using the well known connections  $1/a(\Phi_j) + 1/b(\Psi_j) = 1$  and  $1/a(\Psi_j) + 1/b(\Phi_j) = 1$  (see



[8]) it follows that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a(\Psi_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b(\Psi_j) = \infty$ . Then by the definition of the indices  $a(\Psi_j)$  and  $b(\Psi_j)$  there is  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that for every  $p_j, q_j: 0 < a(\Psi_j) - \varepsilon < p_j < a(\Psi_j)$  and  $b(\Psi_j) < q_j < b(\Psi_j) + \varepsilon$

$$2^{a(\Psi_j) - \varepsilon} < 2^{p_j} < \frac{\Psi_j(2t)}{\Psi_j(t)} < 2^{q_j} < 2^{b(\Psi_j) + \varepsilon}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{\Psi_j(2t)}{\Psi_j(t)} : t > 0 \right\} \right) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{p_j} = \infty,$$

and by Proposition 4.1 it follows that  $h_\Psi$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . □

REMARK. Kaminska and Mastyllo have given some sufficient and some necessary conditions for the Schur property in terms of the generating Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Phi$  [8]. Sometimes we know only the complementary function  $\Psi$ . For example let the Musielak–Orlicz function  $\Psi = \{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be defined by  $\Psi_j = e^{\alpha_j} e^{-(\alpha_j)/(|x|^{c_j})}$ , where  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j = \infty$  and  $0 < c_j$ . Then  $\ell_\Psi$  is stabilised asymptotic  $\ell_\infty$  with respect to the unit vector basis  $\{e_j^*\}_{j=1}^\infty$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{ \frac{\Psi_j(2x)}{\Psi_j(x)} : 0 \leq x \leq 1 \right\} &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{ e^{\alpha_j(2^{c_j} - 1)/(2^{c_j}|x|^{c_j})} : 0 \leq x \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha_j(2^{c_j} - 1)/(2^{c_j})} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that  $\ell_\Phi$  has the Schur property without considering the functions  $\Phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alexopoulos, ‘On subspaces of non-reflexive Orlicz spaces’, *Quaestiones Math.* **21** (1998), 161–175.
- [2] C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, ‘On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach Spaces’, *Studia Math.* **17** (1958), 165–174.
- [3] O. Blasco and P. Gregori, ‘Type and cotype in Nakano sequence spaces  $\ell_{(\{p_n\})}$ ’, (preprint).
- [4] N. Dew, *Asymptotic structure of Banach spaces*, (Ph.D. Thesis) (St. John’s College University of Oxford, Oxford, 2002).
- [5] F. Fuentes and F. Hernandez, ‘On weighted Orlicz sequence spaces and their subspaces’, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **18** (1988), 585–599.
- [6] H. Hudzik and A. Kaminska, ‘On uniformly convex and B-convex Musielak–Orlicz spaces’, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **25** (1985), 59–75.
- [7] H. Hudzik and L. Maligranda, ‘Amemiya norm equals Orlicz norm in general’, *Indag. Math.* **11** (2000), 573–585.
- [8] A. Kaminska and M. Mastyllo, ‘The Schur and (weak) Dunford–Pettis property in Banach lattices’, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **73** (2002), 251–278.

- [9] E. Katirtzoglou, 'Type and cotype in Musielak–Orlicz', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **226** (1998), 431–455.
- [10] K. Kircev and S. Troyanski, 'On Orlicz spaces associated to Orlicz functions not satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition', *Serdica* **1** (1975), 88–95.
- [11] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I, sequence spaces* (Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1977).
- [12] L. Maligranda, 'Indices and interpolation', *Dissertationes Rozprawy Mat.* **234** (1985), 49.
- [13] B. Maurey, V.D. Milman and N. Tomczak–Jaegermann, 'Asymptotic infinite-dimensional theory of Banach spaces', *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **77** (1995), 149–175.
- [14] V.D. Milman and N. Tomczak–Jaegermann, 'Asymptotic  $\ell_p$  spaces and bounded distortions', *Contemp. Math.* **144** (1992), 173–195.
- [15] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1034** (Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1983).
- [16] V. Peirats and C. Ruiz, 'On  $\ell^p$ -copies in Musielak–Orlicz sequence spaces', *Arch. Math. Basel* **58** (1992), 164–173.
- [17] S. Yamamuro, 'Modulated sequence spaces', *J. Fasc. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I* **13** (1954), 1–12.
- [18] J. Woo, 'On modular sequence spaces', *Studia Math.* **48** (1973), 271–289.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
Plovdiv University  
24 "Tzar Assen" str  
Plovdiv, 4000  
Bulgaria