## DIAGONALS OF DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRICES BY

## GEORGE MAXWELL

Let A be an additive abelian group and  $D_n(A)$  the set of those  $n \times n$  matrices over A all of whose row and column sums are equal. Such matrices can be regarded as a possible generalization of doubly stochastic real matrices; alternatively, if A is a commutative ring, it turns out that  $D_n(A)$  is exactly the image of the permutation representation of  $S_n$ , the symmetric group of degree n, over A.

We recall that each  $\sigma \in S_n$  determines a " $\sigma$ th diagonal sum" of an  $n \times n$  matrix X, namely  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_{\sigma(j),j}$ ; there are thus n! diagonal sums of X. Our aim is to prove

THEOREM. Suppose A has no n-torsion. If X and Y are elements of  $D_n(A)$  such that more than n! - (n-1)! corresponding diagonal sums of X and Y are equal, then X = Y. This bound is the best possible if  $A \neq 0$ .

In the doubly stochastic case, this was proved by Wang [1], using a result of Marcus and Minc [2]. However, his method involves the taking of logarithms and does not seem to generalize. In our attempt to find a purely algebraic proof of this fact, we were led to the above theorem and other interesting algebraic properties of  $D_n(A)$ .

It is easy to check that  $D_n(A)$  is an abelian group and that if A is a ring, so is  $D_n(A)$ . We shall find it convenient to identify a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  with the matrix in  $D_n(Z)$ , where Z denotes the ring of integers, whose (i, j)th coefficient is 1 if  $\sigma(j) = i$  and 0 otherwise.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Suppose P is a set of permutations in  $S_n$  with more than n! - (n-1)! elements. Then P generates  $D_n(Z)$  as an abelian group.

**Proof.** We use induction on n; the assertion clearly holds if n is 1 or 2.

Let  $P_k = \{\sigma \in P \mid \sigma(1) = k\}$ ; each  $P_k$  has at most (n-1)! elements and  $P = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n$ . If some  $P_k$  were empty, P would have at most (n-1)(n-1)! = n! - (n-1)! elements, contrary to assumption; we can therefore choose a permutation  $\sigma_k$  from each  $P_k$ . On the other hand, there exists an index i such that  $P_i$  has more than

$$(n!-(n-1)!)/n > (n-1)!-(n-2)!$$

elements; subtracting  $P_i$  from P takes away at most (n-1)! elements, so that there must exist another index j such that  $P_j$  has more than

$$(n!-2(n-1)!)/(n-1) = (n-1)! - (n-2)!$$

elements.

Received by the editors December 4, 1970 and, in revised form, April 23, 1971.

Let  $D_{n-1}^k(Z)$  be the image in  $D_n(Z)$  of the imbedding  $D_{n-1}(Z) \to D_n(Z)$  given by

$$X\mapsto (1k)\begin{pmatrix}s&0\\0&X\end{pmatrix}$$

where s is the common row and column sum of X. The induction assumption implies that P certainly generates  $D_{n-1}^{i}(Z)$  and  $D_{n-1}^{j}(Z)$ .

Now suppose  $M \in D_n(Z)$ ; subtracting  $\sum_{k=1}^n m_{k1}\sigma_k$  from M allows us to assume that the first column of M is zero. Since  $n \ge 3$ , one can choose an index  $r \ne i, j$  and write  $M = M_1 + M_2$ , where  $M_2 = \sum_{q=2}^n m_{iq}(E_{iq} - E_{rq})$  and the  $E_{pq}$  are the usual matrix units. Since  $M_1 \in D_{n-1}^i(Z)$  and  $M_2 \in D_{n-1}^j(Z)$ , we conclude that P generates all of  $D_n(Z)$ .

In particular, it follows that elements of  $D_n(Z)$  can be written as sums of permutation matrices. More explicitly, if  $X \in D_n(A)$ , we have the formula

$$X = x_{11}I + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (x_{i1} + x_{1i} + x_{ii} - s)(1i) + \sum_{\substack{i, j=2\\i \neq j}}^{n} x_{ij}(1i)(1j),$$

where s is the common row and column sum of X. Furthermore, this representation is unique; therefore the  $(n-1)^2+1$  permutations I, (1i), (1i)(1j) form a basis of  $D_n(Z)$  and in general

$$D_n(A) \cong A \otimes_Z D_n(Z) \cong A^{(n-1)^2+1}$$

It is interesting to note the formula for a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,

$$\sigma = I + \sum_{j \notin F} ((1\sigma(j))(1j) - (1j)),$$

where F is the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$ .

Consider the bilinear map

$$B: D_n(Z) \times D_n(A) \to A$$

defined by B(M, X) = tr(MX). Since  $B(\sigma, X) = \sigma th$  diagonal sum of X, saying that more than n! - (n-1)! corresponding diagonal sums of X and Y in  $D_n(A)$  are equal amounts to saying that  $B(\sigma, X - Y) = 0$  for more than n! - (n-1)! permutations  $\sigma$ . By Proposition 1, this implies that B(M, X - Y) = 0 for all  $M \in D_n(Z)$ , i.e.  $X - Y \in D_n(Z)^{\perp}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.**  $D_n(Z)^{\perp}$  consists of matrices of the form

$$(i)\begin{pmatrix} a & c_{2}+a & \dots & c_{n}+a \\ b_{2}+a & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & \dots & b_{i}+c_{j}+a \\ b_{n}+a & & \end{pmatrix}$$

(i)

240

[June

where (i)  $nb_i = nc_i = 0$ 

(ii)  $b_2 + \cdots + b_n = c_2 + \cdots + c_n$ 

(iii)  $na+b_2+\cdots+b_n+c_2+\cdots+c_n = 0.$ 

**Proof.** Suppose  $X \in D_n(Z)^{\perp}$ ; then if  $i \neq 1, j \neq 1, i \neq j$ ,

$$B((1j)(1i)-(1j), X) = x_{ij}+x_{1i}-x_{1j}-x_{ii} = 0$$
  
$$B((1i)-I, X) = x_{1i}+x_{i1}-x_{11}-x_{ii} = 0.$$

Therefore  $x_{ij} = x_{1j} + x_{i1} - x_{11}$  and  $x_{ii} = x_{1i} + x_{i1} - x_{11}$ . Letting  $a = x_{11}$ ,  $b_i = x_{i1} - x_{11}$ and  $c_j = x_{1j} - x_{11}$ , we conclude that X has the required form. Conditions (i) and (ii) result from the fact that  $X \in D_n(A)$ , while (iii) is just the fact that B(I, X) = 0. The converse is clear.

COROLLARY.

- (i)  $D_n(Z)^{\perp}$  is annihilated by  $n^2I$ .
- (ii) If A has no n-torsion,  $D_n(Z)^{\perp} = 0$ .
- (iii) Suppose A is a field of characteristic  $p \mid n$ . Then

$$\dim_A D_n(Z)^{\perp} = \begin{cases} 2n-3 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ \\ 2n-2 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

In view of the argument preceding Proposition 2, the theorem now follows from part (ii) of the Corollary. To see that the bound is the best possible, choose a non-zero  $x \in A$  and consider the matrix (due to Wang)

$$x \cdot \begin{pmatrix} n^2 - 2n + 2 & 2 - n & \dots & 2 - n \\ 2 - n & 2 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 2 - n & 2 & \dots & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The n! - (n-1)! diagonal sums corresponding to permutations  $\sigma$  for which  $\sigma(1) \neq 1$  are all zero, while the matrix is not zero since A has no n-torsion.

## References

1. E. T. H. Wang, The diagonal sums of doubly stochastic matrices, (to appear).

2. M. Marcus and H. Minc, Some results on doubly stochastic matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 571-579.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA

1972]