MRS Advances © 2018 Materials Research Society DOI: 10.1557/adv.2018.161

Amorphous LiCoO₂-based Positive Electrode Active Materials with Good Formability for All-Solid-State Rechargeable Batteries

Kenji Nagao, Yuka Nagata, Atsushi Sakuda, Akitoshi Hayashi* and Masahiro Tatsumisago

*Corresponding author: hayashi@chem.osakafu-u.ac.jp

Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1, Gakuen-cho, Naka-ku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

ABSTRACT

Amorphous LiCoO2-based positive electrode materials are synthesized by a mechanical milling technique. As a lithium oxy-acid, Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃, and LiNO₃ are selected and milled with LiCoO₂. XRD patterns indicate that reaction between LiCoO₂ and these lithium oxy-acids proceeds. Amorphization mainly occurs, and several broad peaks attributable to cubic LiCoO2 are observed in all the samples. These amorphous active materials show mixed conductivities of electron and lithium ion. All-solid-state cells using the prepared amorphous active materials and the Li_{2.9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1} glass-ceramic electrolyte are fabricated and their charge-discharge properties are examined. The cells with only the 80LiCoO2:20Li2SO4 (mol%) and the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₃PO₄ active materials function as secondary batteries. This is because higher lithium ionic conductivities are obtained in the 80LiCoO2 · 20Li2SO4 and 80LiCoO2 · 20Li3PO4 active materials than in the others. The largest capacity is obtained in the cell with the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ active material because of its good formability and high lithium ionic conductivity. In addition, the cell with the 80LiCoO2 · 20Li2SO4 positive electrode active material shows the better cycle and rate performance than that with the crystalline LiCoO₂. It is noted that the amorphization with lithium oxy-acids is a promising technique for achieving a novel active material with better electrochemical performance.

INTRODUCTION

All-solid-state lithium secondary batteries have attracted great attention because of safety and reliability [1]. For commercial use of all-solid-state battery, development of solid electrolytes with high lithium ionic conductivity is important. We have reported the mechanochemical synthesis of sulfide and oxide glass electrolytes [2-9]. In particular, the Li₃BO₃-Li₂SO₄-Li₂CO₃ glass-ceramic electrolytes prepared by mechanical milling and consecutive heat treatment showed relatively high lithium ionic conductivity of 10^{-6} - 10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature [6-9]. In addition, these oxide glass-ceramic electrolytes easily deform and large contact area with active materials can be achieved simply by pressing at room temperature. This good deformation property is favorable for the construction of bulk-type all-oxide solid-state batteries. For large scale application, the energy density of

1319

the batteries should be increased. The use of active materials with larger capacity and the increase of their content in an electrode layer are effective for increasing energy density of batteries. In the typical all-solid-state batteries, composite electrodes composed of active materials, solid electrolytes, and carbon conductive additives are used, and, the decreasing the electrolyte and carbon contents is desirable for increasing energy density of the batteries. Ultimately, an electrode layer composed of only active materials is favorable for achieving the highest energy density. To realize these batteries, an active material with both high deformability and high conductivity of electrons and lithium ions are needed to develop.

As a positive electrode active material, typically crystalline materials with layered structure such as hexagonal LiCoO₂ [10] and LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ [11,12] are used because of their relatively high capacity and high operation voltage. We believe there is a possibility for amorphous materials as an alternative candidate for positive electrode active materials. Amorphization of active materials is potentially capable of achieving higher capacity because of the presence of additional stable sites for lithium ions on the basis of open and random structure in amorphous materials. For example, amorphous V_2O_5 , TiS₃ and MoS₃ exhibit the higher capacity than crystalline ones [13-15]. In addition, Sabi et al. have reported the preparation of the amorphous positive electrode films $Li_xM_yPO_z$ (M = Ni, Co, etc.) and the fabrication of thin-film type all-solid-state batteries using a sputtering technique [16]. The thin-film type all-solid-state batteries operated as secondary batteries and showed the large capacity of 330 mAh g⁻¹ with the relatively high average discharge voltage of *ca.* 3 V vs Li⁺/Li. Therefore, such amorphous positive electrode active materials are promising as an active material for all-solid-state batteries with high energy density. Nevertheless, it is difficult to apply thin-film batteries to larger scale energy storage systems because of limited amounts of active materials.

We have therefore specifically examined the preparation of the amorphous oxide electrode particles suitable for bulk-type all-solid-state batteries. Recently, we have developed the amorphous LiCoO₂-Li₂SO₄ positive electrode active material particles and fabricated bulk-type all-solid-state batteries using these particles [17]. The amorphous Li₁₂CO_{0.8}So₂O_{2.4} (80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ (mol%)) showed relatively high mixed conductivity and good formability. Bulk-type all-oxide solid-state cells (Li-In alloy / amorphous Li_{1+x}CO_{1-x}S_xO_{2+2x}) were fabricated by pressing the particles at room temperature. Although the cell with the milled only LiCoO₂ (x = 100) showed no capacity, the cell using the Li₁₂CO_{0.8}So₂O_{2.4} (x = 0.2) electrode with *ca*. 150 µm thickness operated as a secondary battery at 100°C. This improvement was caused by the enhancement of formability and lithium ion conductivity by the addition of Li₂SO₄. Therefore, the amorphous active materials prepared from lithium transition metal oxides and lithium oxy-acids have not been examined yet.

Here, we report the mechanochemical synthesis of the amorphous LiCoO₂-based active materials with various lithium oxy-acids (*eg.* Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃). The structure and conduction properties for the amorphous LiCoO₂-based active materials were evaluated. In addition, all-solid-state cells using the prepared amorphous active materials were fabricated and their charge-discharge properties were investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Amorphous LiCoO₂-based positive electrode active materials were prepared from LiCoO₂ (>99%, Nippon Chemical Industrial) and one of lithium oxy-acids Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃. Li₂SO₄·H₂O (99.9%, Wako Pure Chem.) was heat-

treated at 300°C for 3 h in dry Ar atmosphere to remove water molecules, and then Li₂SO₄ crystal was obtained. Li₃BO₃ crystal was synthesized by conventional solid state reaction. A mixture of LiOH·H₂O (>99%; Wako Pure Chem.) and H₃BO₃ (>99%; Wako Pure Chem.) was heated in an alumina crucible at 500°C. After this calcined powder was grounded, the powder was sintered at 600°C for 2 h and then Li₃BO₃ crystal was obtained. Li₃PO₄ (Aldrich Chem.), Li₂CO₃ (>99%; Wako Pure Chem.) and LiNO₃ (99.9%; Wako Pure Chem.) crystals were heated at 130°C for 12 h in vacuum to remove the surface absorption water. The LiCoO₂-based active materials were synthesized by a mechanochemical technique using a planetary ball-milling apparatus (Pulverisette 7; Fritsch GmbH). A mixture of LiCoO₂ and one of lithium oxy-acids (Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃) was put into a 45 mL zirconia pot with 160 zirconia balls (5 mm in diameter) and milled at the rotating speed of 370 rpm for 50 h. All processes were conducted in a dry Ar atmosphere. After the milling, amorphous LiCoO₂-based positive electrode materials were obtained.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements (CuK α) were conducted using a diffractiometer (Ultima IV; Rigaku). Electronic and lithium ionic conductivities for the pelletized amorphous active materials were evaluated by AC impedance and DC polarization measurements [17]. A cross-section of the pressed pellets was prepared using Ar ion milling system (IM4000; Hitachi High-Technologies), followed by being analysed with a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, SU8200; Hitachi High-Technologies).

All-solid-state cells (Li-In / Li_{2.9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1} glass-ceramic electrolyte [6,8] / amorphous LiCoO2-based active materials) were constructed to investigate their electrochemical performances. The positive electrodes were composed of only the prepared amorphous LiCoO2-based active materials with no solid electrolytes and conductive additives. Stainless steel powders were used as a current collector at the positive electrode side for securing electronic conduction and mechanical strength of the pellet. For the separator layer, the Li_{2.9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1} glass-ceramic electrolyte [6,8] was used because of its good formability and high lithium ionic conductivity of 8.5×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹ at 100°C. Three-layer pellets consisting of the current collector, positive electrode and separator layers were prepared by pressing the powders under 720 MPa at room temperature. The pellets were heat treated at 290°C for 2 h to increase the conductivity of the Li_{2.9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1} glass electrolyte by crystallization. Indium foil (99.999%; 0.3 mm thickness; Furuuchi Chem.) and lithium foil (99.99%; 0.25 mm thickness; Furuuchi Chem.), as an alloy negative electrode, and copper foil, as a negative electrode current collector, were attached to the pellet, and pressed at 120 MPa. The all-solid-state cells were charged and discharged using a charge-discharge measuring device (BTS-2004; Nagano) at 100°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mechanical milling was carried out for the $80LiCoO_2 \cdot 20Li_xMO_y$ (mol%) (Li_xMO_y: Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃) composition. In our previous study about the system LiCoO₂-Li₂SO₄, the largest capacity was obtained at the $80LiCoO_2 \cdot 20Li_2SO_4$ (mol%) composition [17]. Therefore, we specifically prepared the LiCoO₂-based active materials at the 80 mol% of LiCoO₂. Figure 1a shows the XRD patterns for the amorphous LiCoO₂-based active materials after milled for 50 h. The peaks attributable to starting materials of hexagonal LiCoO₂ and lithium oxy-acid were not observed, and amorphous samples were thus mainly obtained by milling at all the compositions. Several broad peaks attributable to cubic LiCoO₂ were also observed.

During mechanochemical process, the layered rock-salt type (hexagonal) $LiCoO_2$ transforms to the cubic rock-salt phase, where Co^{3+} and Li^+ ions are ordered randomly in cation sites [18]. TEM observation for the $80LiCoO_2 \cdot 20Li_2SO_4$ active material indicated that nano-sized cubic $LiCoO_2$ crystals were embedded in the $LiCoO_2$ - Li_2SO_4 amorphous matrix [17]. The similar structures are expected to be obtained in the other samples. The cubic $LiCoO_2$ is electrochemically inactive [18], and the amorphous matrix should act as an active material; we thus call the prepared electrodes as amorphous ones in this study.



Figure 1 (a) XRD patterns for the amorphous 80LiCoO₂ 20Li_xMO_y (Li_xMO_y: Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃, and LiNO₃) after milled for 50 hours. (b) Cross-sectional SEM images for the amorphous 80LiCoO₂ 20Li_xMO_y and the milled LiCoO₂ pellets pressed at 720 MPa at room temperature.

The conduction properties of the prepared amorphous active materials were examined by using AC impedance and DC polarization measurements at the same manner in our previous paper [17]. The calculated electronic and lithium ionic conductivities for the amorphous active materials are summarized in Table 1. All the samples showed the high electronic conductivity of around $10^{-4}-10^{-3}$ S cm⁻¹ at room temperature. In terms of the lithium ionic conductivity, amorphous samples with Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃ showed lower conductivity of around 1 x 10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ than that with Li₂SO₄ and Li₃PO₄ samples. The highest lithium ionic conductivity of 7.8 x 10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature was obtained in the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ sample.

| Table 1 Electronic and lithium fonic conductivities of amorphous $80L1C0O_2 \cdot 20L1_xMO_y$ active materials | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | Li ₂ SO ₄ | Li ₃ PO ₄ | Li ₃ BO ₃ | Li ₂ CO ₃ | LiNO ₃ | | | |
| σ e-/ S cm ⁻¹ | 1.9×10-4 | 3.7×10-4 | 4.6×10 ⁻⁴ | 2.0×10 ⁻³ | 5.5×10-3 | | | |
| σu+/S cm ⁻¹ | 7.8×10-7 | 6.4×10 ⁻⁷ | 1.2×10-7 | 1.4×10 ⁻⁷ | 1.0×10-7 | | | |

| Table 1 | Electronic and | lithium ionic | conductivities (| of amorphous | 80LiCoO ₂ ·2 | 20Li _y MO | active material |
|---------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|

Figure 1b shows the cross-sectional SEM images for the pelletized LiCoO₂-based amorphous active materials. In the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄, the dense pellet was obtained. The better formability is favorable for achieving high lithium ionic conductivity because of the decrease of grain boundary resistance. However, many voids were observed in the other

samples. These voids may prevent the lithium ionic conduction, therefore, the lithium ionic conductivity of the amorphous active materials with Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃ were lower than that with Li₂SO₄. In general, the formability and lithium ionic conductivity of the amorphous samples tend to improve with a decrease in melting point of these crystals as starting materials. The melting points of Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃, Li₂OO₄ and Li₃PO₄ crystals are, respectively, 820°C, 723°C, 261°C, 859°C and 1205°C. However, the formability and lithium ionic conductivity of the prepared amorphous active materials are not related to the melting point of the starting crystals. The reason why the high lithium ionic conductivity and formability was obtained in the sample with Li₂SO₄ has not been clarified yet and further structural analyses are needed to understand those properties.



Figure 2 Initial charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the amorphous 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂MO_y (Li₃MO_y: Li₂SO₄, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃, and LiNO₃) positive electrode active materials in the potential region of 2.6-4.6 V vs. Li⁺/Li

All-solid-state cells using the amorphous LiCoO₂-based active materials were fabricated to investigate their electrochemical performances. These cells were charged and discharged at the constant current density of 0.13 mA cm⁻² in the voltage range of 2.6-4.6 V vs. Li⁺/Li at 100°C. The initial charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the amorphous active materials are shown in Fig. 2. Only the cells with the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ and the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₃PO₄ active materials functioned as secondary batteries. As described above, the electronic conductivity of all the samples is enough high, and thus lithium ionic conductivity limited the capacity of these batteries. The initial charges dwith increasing the lithium ionic conductivity of the amorphous active materials as shown in Table 1. The largest capacity was achieved in the cell with the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ active material. This result indicates that the larger capacity can be achieved by increasing the ionic conductivity of the active materials. In the glassy electrolytes, conductivity increased by increasing glass-former components, which is so

called "mixed anion effect" or "mixed former effect" [19,20]. We thus believe that the increase of the component in the amorphous matrix increase the ionic conductivity.



Figure 3 (a) Initial charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the amorphous $80LiCoO_2 \cdot 20Li_2SO_4$ positive electrode active material in the potential region of 1.6-4.8 V, 1.6-4.6 V and 1.6-4.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li. (b) cycle performance of the all-solid-state cells.

The operation conditions for the all-solid-state cells with the amorphous 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4 active material were examined. By increasing the charging cut-off voltage, the more lithium ions can be extracted from the active materials. Therefore, the charge cut-off voltage was increased from 4.6 V to 4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li. Figure 3a shows the initial charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the amorphous 80LiCoO2 · 20Li2SO4 active material operated in the voltage regions of 1.6-4.8 V, 1.6-4.6 V and 1.6-4.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li. When the cell was charged to 4.6 V vs. Li⁺/Li, the cell showed the capacity of 168 mAh g⁻¹. When charged to 4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li, the cell showed the largest capacity of 205 mAh g⁻¹. Then, these cells were discharged to 1.6 V vs. Li⁺/Li. Both cells exhibited almost the same voltage profiles. However, the initial discharge capacity was increased from 171 mAh g⁻¹ to 206 mAh g⁻¹ by increasing the charging cut-off voltage from 4.6 V to 4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li. Although the large capacity was achieved by increasing the charging cut-off voltage, rapid capacity fading was observed. This capacity degradation may be caused by the oxygen evolution reaction from the active materials in the high voltage region. Therefore, the charging cut-off voltage was decreased to 4.2 V vs. Li+/Li to achieve a better cycle performance. The cell operated in the voltage range of 1.6-4.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li exhibited the initial discharge capacity of 127 mAh g⁻¹ under the constant current density of 0.25 mA cm⁻². As shown in Fig. 3b, the cell showed better cycle performance with showing the capacity of around 110 mAh g⁻¹ during 20 cycles.



Figure 4 (a) The 2nd charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the composite positive electrode composed of the active materials (crystalline LiCoO₂ or amorphous 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄), Li₂₉B₀sO₀,O_{3.1} glass-ceramic electrolyte and acetylene black powders. (b) Cross-sectional SEM images (secondary electron (upper) and backscattered electron (lower)) of the composite positive electrode in the all-solid-state cells using the crystalline LiCoO₂ or the amorphous 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ active materials. The bright region is corresponding to the active material particle, and the dark one is the Li₂sB₀sO₀,0₁O_{3,1} glass-ceramic electrolyte. (c) The charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells using the amorphous 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ positive electrode active material operated under several current densities at 100°C. (d) The rate performances of these all-solid-state cells.

Finally, the charge-discharge performance of the amorphous 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4 positive electrode active material was compared with that of typical crystalline LiCoO₂. Mixtures of the active material (67.3 wt%) and the Li₂ $_{9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1}$ glass-ceramic electrolyte (28.8 wt%) and acetylene black (3.8 wt%) powders were used as the composite positive electrode. Charge-discharge curves of the all-solid-state cells (Li-In/Li_{2.9}B_{0.9}S_{0.1}O_{3.1} glass-ceramic/composite electrodes) are shown in Fig. 4a. Although the crystalline LiCoO₂ exhibited the capacity of about 80 mAh g⁻¹, and the capacity gradually decreased during cycling. This capacity deterioration was caused by inhomogeneous lithium extraction/insertion reaction in the composite positive electrode laver [21]. On the other hand, the amorphous 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4 showed a larger capacity of about 150 mAh g⁻¹. Figure 4b shows the cross-sectional SEM images for the composite positive electrodes using the crystalline LiCoO2 or the amorphous 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4 active materials after charge-discharge cycling. Although, many small voids were observed, a denser electrode layer was achieved with the amorphous 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4 active material. The utilization of the active material increased because better lithium ion

conduction pathway was formed by means of better formability of the amorphous $80\text{LiCoO}_2 \cdot 20\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4$ active material. Rate performances of the cells using these positive electrode active materials are shown in Figs. 4c and 4d. The all-solid-state cell with amorphous $80\text{LiCoO}_2 \cdot 20\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4$ operated as a secondary battery even under the high current density of 2.5 mA cm⁻² (0.4C rate; $1\text{C} = 342 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$) at 100°C . The better rate performance was successfully achieved because the lithium ion conductivity of the active material increased. These results clearly indicated that the electrochemical properties of LiCoO₂ active material were improved by the amorphization with Li₂SO₄.

Conclusions

Amorphous positive electrode materials composed of LiCoO2 and one of Li2SO4, Li₃PO₄, Li₃BO₃, Li₂CO₃ and LiNO₃ were synthesized by a mechanical milling technique. XRD patterns showed that amorphous samples were mainly obtained and the peaks attributable to cubic LiCoO₂ was partially observed. The similar structures were obtained at the all compositions as the amorphous LiCoO2-Li2SO4 active materials, in which nanosized crystalline cubic LiCoO₂ was dispersed in the amorphous LiCoO₂-Li₂SO₄ matrix. All the amorphous active materials were mixed conductors with electron and lithium ion conductivities. These amorphous active materials showed high electronic conductivity of around 10^{-4} - 10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature. The highest ionic conductivity of 7.8×10^{-7} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature was obtained at the 80LiCoO₂·20Li₂SO₄ (mol%) composition. All-solid-state cells using these amorphous positive electrode active materials were fabricated and their electrochemical performances were examined at 100°C. The capacity increased with increasing the lithium ionic conductivity of the active materials, and the largest capacity was achieved at 80LiCoO2 20Li2SO4. These results indicate that the utilization of the amorphous active materials can be increased by increasing the lithium ionic conductivity of the active materials.

The all-solid-state cells with the 80LiCoO₂-20Li₂SO₄ active material showed the large initial capacity of more than 200 mAh g⁻¹ in the potential region of 1.6-4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li. Unfortunately, the capacity gradually decreased during cycles. This degradation would be caused by the instability of the electrode active material in the high potential region. On the other hand, the cell showed better cycle performance in 1.6-4.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li. This amorphous active material showed better rate and cycle performances than the crystalline LiCoO₂ positive electrode active material in the all-oxide solid-state cells. We believe that the amorphous materials synthesized from the combination of lithium transition metal oxides and lithium oxyacids are promising active materials for all-solid-state batteries with high energy density.

References:

- [1] K. Takada, Acta Mater., 64, 759 (2013).
- [2] F. Mizuno, A. Hayashi, K. Tadanaga, M. Tatsumisago, Adv. Mater., 17, 918 (2005).
- [3] Y. Seino, T. Ota, K. Takada, A. Hayashi, M. Tatsumisago, Energy Environ. Sci., 7, 627 (2014).
- [4] A. Hayashi, D. Furusawa, Y. Takahashi, K. Minami, M. Tatsumisago, *Phys. Chem. Glasses: Eur. J. Glass Sci. Technol. B*, 54, 109 (2013).
- [5] M. Tatsumisago, H. Narita, T. Minami, M. Tanaka, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 66, C210 (1983).
- [6] M. Tatsumisago, R. Takano, K. Tadanaga, A. Hayashi, J. Power Sources, 270, 603 (2014).
- [7] K. Nagao, A. Hayashi, M. Tatsumisago, J. Ceram. Soc. Japan, 124, 915 (2016).
- [8] M. Tatsumisago, R. Takano, M. Nose, K. Nagao, A. Kato, A. Sakuda, K. Tadanaga,
 - A. Hayashi, J. Ceram. Soc. Japan, 125, 433 (2017).

- [9] K. Nagao, M. Nose, A. Kato, A. Sakuda, A. Hayashi, M. Tatsumisago, Solid State Ionics, 308, 68 (2017).
- [10] K. Mizushima, P. C. Jones, P. J. Wiseman, J. B. Goodenough, Mat. Res. Bull. 15, 783 (1980).
- [11] T. Ohzuku, Y. Makimura, Chem. Lett., 7, 642 (2001).
- [12] N. Yabuuchi, T. Ohzuku, J. Power Sources, 119-121, 171 (2003).
- [13] N. Machida, R. Fuchida, T. Minami, J. Electrochem. Soc., 136, 2133 (1989).
- [14] A. Hayashi, T. Matsuyama, A. Sakuda, M. Tatsumisago, Chem. Lett., 41, 886 (2012).
- [15] T. Matsuyama, A. Hayashi, T. Ozaki, S. Mori, M. Tatsumisago, J. Mater. Chem. A, 3, 14142 (2015).
- [16] Y. Sabi, S. Sato, S. Hayashi, T. Furuya, S. Kusanagi, J. Power Sources, 258, 54 (2014).
- [17] K. Nagao, A. Hayashi, M. Deguchi, H. Tsukasaki, S. Mori, M. Tatsumisago, J. Power Sources, 348, 1 (2017).
- [18] M. N. Obrovac, O. Mao, J. R. Dahn, Solid State Ionics, 112, 9 (1998).
- [19] M. Tatsumisago, N. Machida, T. Minami, J. Ceram. Soc. Japan, 95, 197 (1987).
- [20] Y. Deng, C. Eames, B. Fleutot, R. David, J.-N. Chotard, E. Suard, C. Masquelier, M. S. Islam, ASC Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 9, 7050 (2017).
- [21] M. Otoyama, Y. Ito, A. Hayashi, M. Tatsumisago, J. Power Sources, 302, 419 (2016).