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The double-six configuration in classical 3-dimensional projective geometry has been discussed by a number of authors. ${ }^{1}$ It consists of two sets $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{6}$ and $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{6}$ of six lines such that no two lines of the same set intersect, and $a_{i}$ meets $b_{j}$ if and only if $i \neq j$. The existence of a doublesix in the 3 -dimensional projective geometry over a field $F$ has been proved by Hirschfeld in [2] for all fields $F$ except those of 2,3 and 5 elements. For an arbitrary 3-dimensional projective geometry in which the number of points on a line is at least 5 but is not 6 , the existence of a double-six follows from the fact that the geometry is a geometry over a division ring $D$ with a subfield $F$ satisfying the conditions of Hirschfeld's theorem.

In the classical theory, it is proved that no line $a_{7}$ meeting $b_{1}, \cdots b_{6}$ can be added to the system. This proof depends on the commutativity of the coordinate system. We show that it is possible for a non-Pappusian geometry to contain a double configuration with infinitely many lines in each set.

Let $D$ be a division ring. We denote by $\Gamma(D)$ the 3 -dimensional projective geometry over $D$. A system consisting of two sets $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of lines of $\Gamma(D)$, each indexed by the index set $I$ of cardinal $c$, is called a double- $c$ configuration if
(i) no two members of the same set intersect, and
(ii) $a_{i}$ meets $b_{j}$ if and only if $i \neq j$.

TheOrem. Let c be any cardinal number. Then there exists a 3-dimensional projective geometry in which there is a double-c configuration.

Proof. Let $V$ be a 4 -dimensional left vector space over a division ring $D$. Then the points, lines and planes of $\Gamma(D)$ are the 1 -, 2 - and 3dimensional subspaces of $V$. We denote by $\left\langle v_{1}, \cdots, v_{r}\right\rangle$ the subspace of $V$ spanned by the elements $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{r} \in V$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ be a basis of $V$. For $\alpha \in D$, let $m_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}$ be the lines $\left\langle e_{1}+\alpha e_{2}, e_{3}+\alpha e_{4}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{1}+\alpha e_{3}, e_{2}+\alpha e_{4}\right\rangle$ respectively. Then $M=\left\{m_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in D\right\}$ and $N=\left\{n_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in D\right\}$ are families of

[^0]skew lines. The line $m_{\alpha}$ meets $n_{\beta}$ if and only if $\alpha \beta=\beta \alpha$. If $\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ are subsets of $D$ satisfying the condition
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}=\beta_{j} \alpha_{i} \text { if and only if } i \neq j \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

then the sets of lines $\left\{m_{\alpha_{i}} \mid i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{n_{\beta_{i}} \mid i \in I\right\}$ form a double- $c$ configuration, where $c$ is the cardinal of $I$.

It remains to show that, for given $c$, there exists a division ring $D$ with two subsets $\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$, each indexed by a set $I$ of cardinal $c$, satisfying the condition (*). The following construction was suggested by B. H. Neumann. We take any set $I$ of cardinal $c$. For each $i \in I$, let $F_{i}$ be the free group on the two generators $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$. Let $G$ be the restricted direct product of the $F_{i}$. Then the subsets $\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{i} \in I\right\}$ of $G$ satisfy (*). We embed $G$ in the multiplicative group of a division ring.

By Neumann [4] Corollary 3.3, each $F_{i}$ can be ordered. By [4] Theorem 3.6, $G$ can be ordered. By Neumann [3] Theorem 5.9, this implies that $G$ can be embedded in the multiplicative group of a division ring $D$. This division ring $D$ clearly has the required properties.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For an account of the classical theory, see Baker [1] pp. 159-164.

