

Voting and mental illness: the silent constituency

B. D. Kelly*

Department of Adult Psychiatry, UCD School of Medicine and Medical Science, University College Dublin, Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Mental illness has been long associated with denial of certain human rights, social exclusion and political disempowerment. Too often, the effects of adverse social, economic and political circumstances, along with stigma, constitute a form of 'structural violence', which impairs access to psychiatric and social services, and amplifies the effects of mental illness in the lives of sufferers and their families. Existing literature indicates that voting rates are low among people with mental illness and, whereas voting preferences in the mentally ill may tend towards the liberal end of the political spectrum, they do not differ dramatically from the overall population. Rates of voting could be improved by mental health service users, service providers, advocacy services and others through (a) improved awareness of voting rights; (b) provision of information, especially to inpatients; (c) assessments of voting capacity, where indicated, using standardised, well-proven tools; and (d) pro-active voter-registration programmes.

Received 15 August 2014; Revised 29 August 2014; Accepted 1 September 2014

Key words: Civil rights, human rights, inpatients, mental disorders, mental health services, patient advocacy, psychiatry, voting.

Voting and mental illness: the silent constituency

Mental illness has been long associated with denial of certain human rights, social exclusion and political disempowerment (Shorter, 1997; Scull, 2005). Too often, the cumulative effects of adverse social, economic and political circumstances, along with the stigma of mental illness, constitute a form of 'structural violence', which impairs access to psychiatric and social services among people with mental illness, and amplifies the effects of mental illness in the lives of sufferers and their families (Kelly, 2005, 2006; Callard *et al.* 2012). These over-arching social and economic factors contribute to the exclusion of certain people with enduring mental illness from full participation in civic, social and political life, constraining them to live lives shaped, at least in part, by stigma, isolation, homelessness and denial of rights.

In this issue of the *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, Siddique & Lee (2014) address one element of the political situation of the mentally ill by examining voting practices in an acute psychiatric unit in Ireland. They report that only 10% of psychiatry inpatients surveyed voted in the 2011 general election, in which national turnout was 70%. Many patients were unaware of their right to vote. These are interesting, disturbing and provocative findings, of considerable relevance to ongoing efforts to address and remedy the social and political exclusion of the mentally ill.

There is, in general, a paucity of data about voting rates and patterns among individuals with mental illness and individuals secondarily affected by mental illness (e.g. family, friends, carers). This paucity of data is explained, at least in part, by the fact that the lives of individuals with mental illness are not solely defined by illness, so there may not be a direct or readily-detectable link between mental illness and voting choices. Nonetheless, existing evidence suggests that, in the absence of dementia or cognitive impairment (Ott *et al.* 2003), the voting patterns of individuals with mental illness tend to differ somewhat, though not irrationally, from voting patterns of the overall population (Melamed *et al.* 1997a, 1997b; Bullenkamp & Voges, 2004), with a general tendency towards the liberal side of the political spectrum (Howard & Anthony, 1977; Bullenkamp & Voges, 2004).

One study, for example, found that outpatients with enduring mental illness in Germany were more likely to vote for left-wing parties compared with the general population (Bullenkamp & Voges, 2004), suggesting that the political choices of the mentally ill reflect a set of priorities that is somewhat, although not dramatically, different to that of the overall population. Another study identified smaller differences in voting preferences among inpatients with mental illness in Israel (Melamed *et al.* 1997a), whereas in Canada the proportions of votes cast in psychiatric hospitals for various political parties were virtually the same as in the surrounding areas (Valentine & Turner, 1989).

Interestingly, there is evidence that psychiatric inpatients are particularly well-informed voters (Bhopal *et al.* 1988; Jaychuk & Manchanda, 1991) and a majority report

* Address for correspondence: B. Kelly, Department of Adult Psychiatry, UCD School of Medicine and Medical Science, University College Dublin, Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, 62/63 Eccles Street, Dublin 7, Ireland.

(Email: brendankelly35@gmail.com)

positive subjective feelings following voting, including a sense of responsibility, belonging to the general community and pride (Melamed *et al.* 2007). Nonetheless, voting rates among psychiatric inpatients can be as low as 3% (Humphreys & Chiswick, 1993).

In a notably progressive recent initiative in Ireland, patients at the Central Mental Hospital (inpatient forensic psychiatry facility) were facilitated to vote for the first time in general elections in 2007, following a European Court of Human Rights ruling in 2005 (O'Brien, 2007). Voting took place in the same way as voting at any other polling station and was overseen by the county sheriff. Voter turnout was high, at 75% (Kennedy, 2007). Two years later, voter turnout at the Central Mental Hospital remained high in European and local elections, and on the eve of the poll, three election candidates attended a question and answer session in the hospital (Houston, 2009).

Clearly, persons directly or indirectly affected by mental illness represent an extremely large political constituency – a constituency that political organisations rarely address during political campaigns. One reason for this may be a perception among politicians that individuals with enduring mental illness do not vote; failure to vote among the mentally ill, however, is likely attributable, in large part, to remediable secondary correlates of mental illness (e.g. homelessness), lack of knowledge (McIntyre *et al.* 2012) or administrative problems (Humphreys & Chiswick, 1993), rather than primary symptoms of mental illness itself. In Israel, for example, the most common reason for inpatients with mental disorder not voting is a lack of identity cards (Melamed *et al.* 2013).

This relevance of contributory factors such as these demonstrates that the systematic disenfranchisement of the mentally ill represents a problem that is, in large part, remediable. This situation needs to be addressed not only by mental health service users, service providers, but also by advocacy services and other stakeholders through (a) improved staff awareness of patients' voting rights (Rees, 2010); (b) provision of relevant information to patients, especially inpatients (McIntyre *et al.* 2012); (c) where indicated, assessments of voting capacity, using standardised, well-proven tools such as the Competency Assessment Tool for Voting (Appelbaum *et al.* 2005; Raad *et al.* 2009); and (d) voter-registration programmes, all of which have important roles to play in the re-enfranchisement process (Nash, 2002; Lawn *et al.* 2014).

Another reason for the relatively low profile of mental health issues in political debate may relate to an apparent political consensus about mental health policy; for example, a consensus that traditional services need to be expanded, or that custodial approaches are needed for safety reasons (Warner, 2004). The manufacture of such a

faux consensus may simply represent a way to avoid debating the real, challenging social and political issues raised by mental illness. It is, in any case, highly unlikely that any apparent political consensus on an issue as complex as mental health is an accurate reflection of true social consensus, and it is only by repeatedly raising issues related to mental health that real political debate will evolve.

Overall, political participation is an important element of efforts to protect and promote the rights of the mentally ill, and voting is one important, empowering element of such political participation. The paper by Siddique & Lee (2014) will hopefully help focus attention on this neglected issue in Ireland and expedite measures to optimise political participation among the mentally ill. In the words of Rudolf Virchow (1821–1902), the German pathologist and politician (Macleod & McCullough, 1994), 'medicine is a social science, and politics nothing but medicine on a large scale'.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Appelbaum PS, Bonnie RJ, Karlawish JH (2005). The capacity to vote of persons with Alzheimer's disease. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **162**, 2094–2100.
- Bhopal JS, Meagher JB, Soos J (1988). Political awareness of psychiatric patients. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* **139**, 1033.
- Bullenkamp J, Voges B (2004). Voting preferences of outpatients with chronic mental illness in Germany. *Psychiatric Services* **55**, 1440–1442.
- Callard F, Sartorius N, Arboleda-Flórez J, Bartlett P, Helmchen H, Stuart H, Taborda J, Thornicroft G (2012). *Mental Illness, Discrimination and the Law: Fighting for Social Justice*. Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, West Sussex.
- Houston M (2009). 60% turnout at hospital poll. *Irish Times* (30 May).
- Howard G, Anthony R (1977). The right to vote and voting patterns of hospitalized psychiatric patients. *Psychiatric Quarterly* **49**, 124–132.
- Humphreys M, Chiswick D (1993). Getting psychiatric patients to the polls in the 1992 general election. *Psychiatric Bulletin* **17**, 18–19.
- Jaychuk G, Manchanda R (1991). Psychiatric patients and the federal election. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* **36**, 124–125.
- Kelly BD (2005). Structural violence and schizophrenia. *Social Science and Medicine* **61**, 721–730.
- Kelly BD (2006). The power gap: freedom, power and mental illness. *Social Science and Medicine* **63**, 2118–2128.
- Kennedy H (2007). The general election campaign. *Irish Times* (23 May).
- Lawn S, McMillan J, Comley Z, Smith A, Brayley J (2014). Mental health recovery and voting: why being treated as a citizen matters and how we can do it. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing* **21**, 289–295.

- Macleod SM, McCullough HN** (1994). Social science education as a component of medical training. *Social Science and Medicine* **39**, 1367–1373.
- McIntyre J, Yelamanchili V, Naz S, Khwaja M, Clarke M** (2012). Uptake and knowledge of voting rights by adult in-patients during the 2010 UK general election. *The Psychiatrist* **36**, 126–130.
- Melamed Y, Donsky L, Oyffe I, Noam S, Levy G, Gelkopf M** (2013). Voting of hospitalized and ambulatory patients with mental disorders in parliamentary elections. *Israel Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* **50**, 13–16.
- Melamed Y, Doron A, Finkel B, Kurs R, Behrbalk P, Noam S, Gelkopf M, Bleich A** (2007). Israeli psychiatric inpatients go to the polls. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **195**, 705–708.
- Melamed Y, Shamir E, Solomon Z, Elizur A** (1997a). Hospitalized mentally ill patients in Israel vote for the first time. *Israeli Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* **34**, 69–72.
- Melamed Y, Solomon Z, Elizur A** (1997b). Voting by Israeli patients. *Psychiatric Services* **48**, 1081.
- Nash M** (2002). Voting as a means of social inclusion for people with a mental illness. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing* **9**, 697–703.
- O'Brien C** (2007). 22 people vote at mental hospital. *Irish Times* (22 May).
- Ott BR, Heindel WC, Papandonatos GD** (2003). A survey of voter participation by cognitively impaired elderly patients. *Neurology* **60**, 1546–1548.
- Raad R, Karlawish J, Appelbaum PS** (2009). The capacity to vote of persons with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services* **60**, 624–628.
- Rees G** (2010). Suffrage or suffering? Voting rights for psychiatric in-patients. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **197**, 159.
- Scull A** (2005). *The Most Solitary of Afflictions: Madness and Society in Britain, 1700-1900*. Yale University Press: New Haven and London.
- Shorter E** (1997). *A History of Psychiatry: From the Era of the Asylum to the Age of Prozac*. John Wiley and Sons: New York.
- Siddique A, Lee A** (2014). A survey of voting practices in an acute psychiatric unit. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine* **31**, in press.
- Valentine MB, Turner T** (1989). Political awareness of psychiatric patients. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* **140**, 498.
- Warner R** (2004). *Recovery from Schizophrenia*, 2nd edn. Brunner-Routledge: East Sussex, UK.