

P = .01) (Fig. 1). Throughout the study, clinics in the intervention arm typically used more doxycycline and azithromycin and less amoxicillin-clavulanate and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim compared to clinics in the control arm. (Fig. 2). In the 6-month preintervention period, which coincided with the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic, antibiotic prescriptions in the intervention compared to control clinics were similar. During the intervention and postintervention periods, the proportion of visits with an antibiotic prescription remained steady for clinics in the intervention arm and increased for those in the control arm. These results suggest that this pilot study using a low-intensity intervention consisting of comparative feedback reports and patient alert letters was successful in influencing the antibiotic prescribing behavior of primary care clinicians practicing in community-based outpatient clinics affiliated with a VA medical center.

Financial support: This study was funded by Merck. Disclosures: None

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s109-s110 doi:10.1017/ash.2023.386

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Oral Presentation Subject Category: Antibiotic Stewardship Using telehealth to support antimicrobial stewardship at four rural VA medical centers: Interim analysis

Alexandria Nguyen; Mayyadah Alabdely; Taissa Bej; Tola Ewers; Tammy Walkner Amanda Vivo; Christopher Crnich; Daniel Livorsi; Rabeeya Sabzwari; Geneva Wilson; Brigid Wilson; Corinne Kowal; Oteshia Hicks; Charlesnika Evans and Robin Jump

Background: Healthcare settings without access to infectious diseases experts may struggle to implement effective antibiotic stewardship programs. We previously described a successful pilot project using the Veterans Affairs (VA) telehealth system to form a Videoconference Antimicrobial Stewardship Team (VAST) that connected multidisciplinary teams from rural VA medical centers (VAMCs) with infectious diseases experts at geographically distant locations. VASTs discussed patients from the rural VAMC, with the overarching goal of supporting antibiotic stewardship. This project is currently ongoing. Here, we describe preliminary outcomes describing the cases discussed, recommendations made, and acceptance of those recommendations among 4 VASTs. Methods: Cases discussed at any of the 4 participating intervention sites were independently reviewed by study staff, noting the infectious disease diagnoses, recommendations made by infectious diseases experts and, when applicable, acceptance of those recommendations at the rural VAMC within 1 week. Discrepancies between independent reviewers were discussed and, when consensus could not be reached, discrepancies were discussed with an infectious diseases clinician. Results: The VASTs serving 4 different rural VAMCs discussed 96 cases involving 92 patients. Overall, infection of the respiratory tract was the most common syndrome discussed by VASTs (Fig. 1). The most common specific diagnoses among discussed cases were cellulitis (n = 11), acute cystitis (n = 11), wounds (n = 11), and osteomyelitis (n = 10). Of 172 recommendations, 41 (24%) related to diagnostic imaging or

https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2023.388 Published online by Cambridge University Press





laboratory results and 38 (22%) were to change the antibiotic agent, dose, or duration (Fig. 2). Of the 151 recommendations that could be assessed via chart review, 122 (81%) were accepted within 1 week. **Conclusions:** These findings indicate successful implementation of telehealth to connect clinicians at rural VAMCs with an offsite infectious diseases expert. The cases represented an array of common infectious syndromes. The most frequent recommendations pertained to getting additional diagnostic information and to adjusting, but not stopping, antibiotic therapy. These results suggest that many of the cases discussed warrant antibiotics and that VASTs may use the results of diagnostic studies to tailor that therapy. The high rate of acceptance suggests that the VASTs are affecting patient care. Future work will describe VAST implementation at 4 additional VAMCs, and we will assess whether using telehealth to disseminate infectious diseases expertise to rural VAMCs supports changes in antibiotic use that align with principles of antimicrobial stewardship.

Disclosures: None

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s110 doi:10.1017/ash.2023.387

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Oral Presentation

Subject Category: *C. difficile* Examining the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital-associ-

ated Clostridioides difficile infection

Michael Ray; Jon Furuno; Luke Strnad; Eric Lofgren and Jessina McGregor

Background: The epidemiology of *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) is complex, and the COVID-19 pandemic has had extreme impacts on known risk factors such as comorbidity burden and antibiotic prescribing. However, whether these changes have affected the incidence of hospital-associated CDI (HA-CDI) remains unknown. We compared incidence and trends of HA-CDI before and after the pandemic onset, and we assessed the impact of changes in





Figure 1. 30-day periods before and after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic for a) HA-CDI rate

per 10,000 patient-days, b) mean number of Elixhauser comorbidities, c) ASI per antibiotic day, and

key CDI-related risk factors. Methods: We conducted an interrupted timeseries study (March 2018-March 2021) of adult inpatients hospitalized 4 or more days with no known CDI on admission at a 576-bed academic medical center. Our primary outcome was monthly HA-CDI per 10,000 patient days. We performed segmented linear regression to compare the preinterruption trend in HA-CDI rate to the postinterruption slope and level change. We established a series of 30-day intervals before and after the interruption timepoint of March 23, 2020, which corresponds with the Oregon stay-at-home executive order. The data included 24 preinterruption time points and 12 postinterruption time points. We also assessed changes in slope and trend for known HA-CDI risk factors. Results: We included 34,592 inpatient encounters in our prepandemic period and 10,932 encounters in our postinterruption period. The mean prepandemic HA-CDI rate was 4.07 cases per 10,000 patient days. After the pandemic onset, the rate was 3.6 per 10,000 patient days. However, the observed differences in rate (both in terms of slope and level) were not statistically significant (P = .90 for level; P = .60 for slope change). We observed a significant decrease in admissions per 30 days (1,441 vs 911; level-change P < .0001) and a slight increase in the mean number of Elixhauser comorbidities (1.96 vs 2.07; level-change P = .05). We also observed significant increases in both frequency and intensity of antibiotic use, with an increase average days of therapy per encounter (5.8 vs 7.2; level-change P = .01; slope-change P < .0001) and in antibiotic spectrum index (ASI) points per antibiotic day (4.4 vs 4.9; P < .0001). We observed a consistent downward trend for case days of CDI colonization pressure per hospital day (preinterruption slope P < .0001), which remained consistent after the pandemic onset (P = 0.5 for postinterruption slope change) (Fig. 1). Conclusions: Despite significant increases in high-intensity antibiotic use and comorbidity burden, we did not observe significant differences in HA-CDI after the pandemic onset. This may be due to the significant decrease in colonization pressure in the postpandemic period. Further research is required to fully understand the impact of the pandemic-related changes on Disclosures: None

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s110-s111 doi:10.1017/ash.2023.388

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Oral Presentation Subject Category: COVID-19

How COVID-19 spread varied by resident length of stay and residentstaff transmission pathways over time in US nursing homes

Jessica Healy; Prabasaj Paul; Brajendra Singh; Nimalie Stone; Kara Jacobs Slifka and Rachel Slayton

Background: Pathogen transmission among staff and residents in nursing homes can vary depending on their interactions and by the amount of time a

resident receives care in the facility. Understanding the relative differences in transmission rates between and among staff and residents can identify the pathways that contributed most to the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in US nursing homes. Further exploring relative differences by categorizing facilities by residents' lengths of stay can identify priority categories for intervention. Methods: Using US National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) surveillance data on resident and staff cases, vaccination, and resident deaths during June 2020-June 2022, we estimated SARS-CoV-2 transmission among and between residents and staff. We used a Bayesian inversion of a susceptible-exposed-infected-removed-virus-death (SEIRVD) compartmental model to produce the estimates. The facilities were divided into those with median length of stay (LOS) among the residents of 10 weeks. Additional inputs included the incidence and vaccination levels of the county where each facility was located. For the compartmental model, all data were averaged to form a representative facility for each category. Transmission was estimated separately for 3 periods: (1) June 2020-March 2021 as before the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant, (2) April 2021-October 2021 during SARS-CoV-2 delta variant dominance, and (3) November 2021-June 2022 during the prevalence of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant. Results: Regardless of facility category, transmission was highest from staff to residents or resident to resident (Fig.). These estimates of transmission were highest during the pre-SARS-CoV-2 delta variant phase. Transmission in that phase was highest in the facilities with LOS >10 weeks from staff to residents at 0.88 per week (95% credible interval [CrI], 0.06-1.85), in the facilities with LOS 6-10 weeks from staff to residents at 0.68 per week (95% CrI, 0.03-1.78), and in the facilities with LOS <6 weeks between residents at 0.47 per week (95% CrI, 0.02-0.95). Conclusions: Staff-to-resident or residentto-resident transmission were the dominant pathways of spread of SARS-CoV-2 across the periods or the facility categories. Facilities with LOS 6 weeks or longer had higher median transmission estimates across the periods and transmission routes compared to facilities with LOS less than 6 weeks, implying that when prioritization of intervention resources is needed, facilities caring for populations with longer stays could be prioritized. Disclosures: None

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s111 doi:10.1017/ash.2023.389

101:10.1017/ash.2023.389



Figure. Estimates for the number of transmission events per week between staff (red-purple), from staff to residents (purple), from residents to staff (blue), and between residents (green) for facilities with a median facility-wide length of stay of less than six weeks, 6-10 weeks, or greater than 10 weeks. The black horizontal line indicates the median estimate for each transmission route. The shade of each bar corresponds to the credible interval (CrI) width for the estimate with the darkest shade containing the most credible of the estimates; the lightestshade is the 95% CrI, the next darkest shade is the 75% CrI, and the darkest shade is the 50% CrI.