

## POWERS OF $p$ -VALENT FUNCTIONS

J. W. NOONAN

(Received 18 June 1976; revised 18 January 1977)

Communicated by E. Strzelecki

### Abstract

If  $f$  is areally mean  $p$ -valent in the unit disc, if  $\lambda > 0$ , and if  $f^\lambda$  is defined as a single-valued analytic function on the unit disc with finitely many arcs removed, several results in the recent literature suggest that  $f^\lambda$  might be areally mean  $p\lambda$ -valent. The purpose of this note is to determine the valence of  $f^\lambda$  when  $f$  is areally mean  $p$ -valent, and also to characterize those functions for which  $f^\lambda$  is  $p\lambda$ -valent for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Analogous results are obtained for functions which are either  $s$ -dimensionally mean  $p$ -valent or logarithmically mean  $p$ -valent.

Subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc. (MOS) 1970): 30A36, 30A32.

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

If  $f$  is regular in  $\gamma = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ , set  $n(r, w, f)$  equal to the number of roots in  $\gamma_r = \{z: |z| < r\}$  of  $f(z) = w$ , and put  $p(r, R, f) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} n(r, R e^{i\theta}, f) d\theta$ . If  $p(1, R, f) \leq p$  for all  $R > 0$ ,  $f$  is called *circumferentially mean  $p$ -valent*, and we write  $f \in C(p)$ .

Denote the area, according to multiplicity, of  $f(\gamma_r) \cap \{w: |w| < R\}$  by  $A^*(r, R, f)$ . It is easily verified that  $A^*(r, R, f) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R n(r, t e^{i\theta}, f) t dt d\theta$ . If  $A^*(1, R, f) \leq p\pi R^2$  for all  $R > 0$ ,  $f$  is called *areally mean  $p$ -valent*, and we write  $f \in S(p)$ .

Two additional classes of  $p$ -valent functions appearing in the literature are the class of  $s$ -dimensionally mean  $p$ -valent functions (Spencer, 1940) and the class of logarithmically mean  $p$ -valent functions (Jenkins and Oikawa, 1971). We denote these classes by  $S_s(p)$  and  $L(p)$ , respectively. We say that  $f \in S_s(p)$  if

$$\int_0^R p(1, R, f) d(R^s) \leq pR^s \quad (R > 0),$$

while  $f \in L(p)$  if

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{p(1, R, f)}{R} dR \leq p \left( \log \frac{R_2}{R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (0 < R_1 < R_2).$$

Many results in the recent literature have been concerned with the determination of growth rates of various quantities associated with  $p$ -valent functions. For

---

Research supported by NSF-GP-43774.

example, if  $f \in S(p)$  and if  $f(z)^\lambda = z^\mu \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n(\lambda) z^n$  in an annulus  $\{z: 0 \leq r_1 < |z| < 1\}$ , then  $a_n(1) = O(1)n^{2p-1}$  if  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ , and more generally  $a_n(\lambda) = O(1)n^{2p\lambda-1}$  if  $p\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$  (Hayman, 1967, p. 104).

Results of this nature suggest that if  $f$  is  $p$ -valent and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $f^\lambda$  is  $p\lambda$ -valent. Some positive results are known. If  $f \in C(p)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $f^\lambda \in C(p\lambda)$  (Hayman, 1967, p. 95). Also, if  $f \in S(p)$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , then  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  (Eke, 1967, p. 189). The purpose of this note is to determine the valence of  $f^\lambda$  when  $f$  is  $p$ -valent, and also to characterize those functions for which  $f^\lambda$  is  $p\lambda$ -valent for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

Before proceeding further, we must specify the meaning of expressions such as  $f^\lambda$ . In general, if  $f$  has zeros in  $\gamma$ ,  $f^\lambda$  will not be single-valued. However, we shall be dealing exclusively with functions  $f$  which are  $p$ -valent in one of the above senses, and so, as is well known (Hayman, 1967, p. 103),  $f$  can vanish at most finitely many times in  $\gamma$ . If we now connect the zeros of  $f$  by a simple smooth arc  $\alpha$ , one of whose end points lies on the circumference  $|z| = 1$ , a single-valued analytic branch of  $f^\lambda$  can be defined on the simply connected domain  $\gamma_1 = \gamma \setminus \alpha$ . With this understanding, expressions such as  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  shall mean that this analytic branch of  $f^\lambda$  is areally mean  $p\lambda$ -valent on the domain  $\gamma_1$ . Alternatively, we could have defined an analytic branch of  $f^\lambda$  in a suitable annulus  $\{z: 1 - \varepsilon < |z| < 1\}$ , cut if necessary by a radius. All results in this paper are valid with either understanding of  $f^\lambda$ .

We first determine the valence of  $f^\lambda$  when  $f \in S(p)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in S(p)$ , and let  $\lambda > 0$  be given. Set  $\Lambda = \max(\lambda, \lambda^2)$ . Then  $f^\lambda \in S(p\Lambda)$ , and the valence  $p\Lambda$  is best possible.*

Note that for  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $f^\lambda$  need not belong to  $S(p\lambda)$ . We now characterize those functions for which  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . The characterization seems somewhat interesting, since the areal behavior of  $f$  is characterized in terms of the circumferential behavior.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $p > 0$ . Then  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  if and only if  $f \in C(p)$ .*

Both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 continue to hold for the classes  $S_g(p)$  and  $L(p)$ . We have

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\Lambda = \max(\lambda, \lambda^2)$ . If  $f \in S_g(p)(L(p))$ , then  $f^\lambda \in S_g(p\Lambda)(L(p\Lambda))$ , and in each case the valence is best possible. Also,  $f \in C(p)$  if and only if  $f^\lambda \in S_g(p\lambda)(L(p\lambda))$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .*

## 2. Proofs of positive results

We begin by noting that if  $f$  is regular in  $\gamma$  and if  $\lambda > 0$ , then

$$A^*(r, R, f^\lambda) = 2\pi\lambda^2 \int_0^{R^{1/\lambda}} t^{2\lambda-1} p(r, t, f) dt. \quad (1)$$

In order to prove this, we recall (Hayman, 1967, p. 96) that

$$p(r, t^\lambda, f^\lambda) = \lambda p(r, t, f). \tag{2}$$

After using (2) and changing variables in the formula defining  $A^*(r, R, f^\lambda)$ , we arrive at (1).

If  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , then  $\Lambda = \lambda$ , and the fact that  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  when  $f \in S(p)$  is known (see Eke, 1967, p. 189 or Hayman, 1967, p. 45). We also note that this fact follows easily from (1) upon integrating by parts.

We now assume  $\lambda > 1$ , so that  $\Lambda = \lambda^2$ . If  $f \in S(p)$ , it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(1, R, f^\lambda) &= 2\pi\lambda^2 \int_0^{R^{1/\lambda}} t^{2\lambda-2} t p(1, t, f) dt \\ &\leq \lambda^2 R^{2-2/\lambda} A^*(1, R^{1/\lambda}, f) \\ &\leq \pi p \lambda^2 R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f^\lambda \in S(p\Lambda)$ . An example showing that the valence  $p\Lambda$  is best possible will be presented in Section 3.

We now prove Theorem 2. If  $f \in C(p)$ , it is well known (Hayman, 1967, p. 95) that  $f^\lambda \in C(p\lambda)$ , and hence  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

If  $f^\lambda \in S(p\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $A^*(1, R, f^\lambda) \leq \lambda p \pi R^2$  for all  $\lambda > 0, R > 0$ . Upon changing variables, we see that this is equivalent to

$$\int_0^T p(1, t, f) d(t^{2\lambda}) \leq p T^{2\lambda}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0, T > 0$ . It now follows from a theorem of Spencer (Spencer, 1940, p. 421) that  $p(1, R, f) \leq p$  for all  $R > 0$ , and hence  $f \in C(p)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 in the case of  $S_s(p)$  is essentially the same as the proof in the case of  $S(p) = S_2(p)$ , and hence it will be omitted.

If  $f \in L(p)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{p(1, R, f^\lambda)}{R} dR &= \lambda^2 \int_{R_1^{1/\lambda}}^{R_2^{1/\lambda}} \frac{p(1, s, f)}{s} ds \\ &\leq p\Lambda \left( \log \frac{R_2}{R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f^\lambda \in L(p\Lambda)$ . A simple modification of an example due to Jenkins and Oikawa (Jenkins and Oikawa, 1971, pp. 402–403) shows that the valence  $p\Lambda$  is best possible.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3, we note that if  $f \in C(p)$ , then  $f^\lambda \in C(p\lambda) \subset S(p\lambda) \subset L(p\lambda)$ . Conversely, if  $f^\lambda \in L(p\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{p(1, t, f^\lambda)}{t} dt &= \lambda^2 \int_{R_1^{1/\lambda}}^{R_2^{1/\lambda}} \frac{p(1, s, f)}{s} ds \\ &\leq \lambda p \left( \log \frac{R_2}{R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < R_1 < R_2$ . Thus, for any interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\lambda^2 \int_I \frac{p(1, s, f) - p}{s} ds \leq \lambda p / 2. \tag{3}$$

If there exists  $s_0$  with  $p(1, s_0, f) > p$ , then the fact that  $p(1, s, f)$  is a lower semi-continuous function implies the existence of an interval  $I$  on which  $p(1, s, f) > p$ . This in turn contradicts the fact that (3) holds for all intervals  $I$  and for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Therefore,  $p(1, s, f) \leq p$  for all  $s$ , and so  $f \in C(p)$ .

### 3. Example

We now present an example to complete the proof of Theorem 1. Given  $p > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p\lambda^2$ , we construct  $f \in S(p)$  such that  $f^\lambda \notin S(p\Lambda - \varepsilon)$ . We begin by choosing  $x \in (0, 1)$  and setting  $y = (1 - x^2)^{-1}$ . Put  $A(x) = \{t e^{i\theta} : x^{1/y^p} < t < 1, \theta \in (0, 2\pi)\}$ . Let  $h$  map  $\gamma$  conformally onto the simply connected domain  $A(x)$ , and set  $f = h^{yp}$ . Elementary geometric arguments now show that with  $m = [yp]$ , we have

$$n(1, R e^{i\theta}, f) = \begin{cases} m + 1, & \theta \in [0, 2\pi(yp - m)), \quad R \in (x, 1), \\ m, & \theta \in [2\pi(yp - m), 2\pi), \quad R \in (x, 1), \\ 0 & R \notin (x, 1). \end{cases}$$

This in turn implies that

$$p(1, R, f) = \begin{cases} yp, & R \in (x, 1), \\ 0, & R \notin (x, 1). \end{cases}$$

We now claim that  $f \in S(p)$ . If  $0 < R \leq x$ , it is trivially true that

$$A^*(1, R, f) \leq p\pi R^2.$$

If  $x < R < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(1, R, f) &= 2\pi \int_0^R t p(1, t, f) dt \\ &= 2\pi \int_x^R y p t dt \\ &= \pi p (1 - x^2)^{-1} (R^2 - x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward computations now show that  $A^*(1, R, f) \leq p\pi R^2$ . If  $R \geq 1$ , then  $A^*(1, R, f) = p\pi \leq p\pi R^2$ . Hence  $f \in S(p)$ .

We now complete the construction by choosing  $x$  (and hence  $f$ ) so that  $f^\lambda \notin S(p\Lambda - \varepsilon)$ . If  $x^\lambda < R < 1$ , it follows from (1) that

$$A^*(1, R, f^\lambda) = p\Lambda \pi (R^2 - x^{2\lambda}) y / \lambda.$$

Therefore

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{A^*(1, R, f^\lambda)}{\pi R^2} : x^\lambda < R < 1 \right\} = p\Lambda \frac{1 - x^{2\lambda}}{\lambda(1 - x^2)}.$$

As  $x \rightarrow 1$ ,  $(1 - x^{2\lambda})/\lambda(1 - x^2) = x^{2(\lambda-1)} + o(1)$ . Hence, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $x < 1$  such that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{A^*(1, R, f^\lambda)}{\pi R^2} : x^\lambda < R < 1 \right\} > p\Lambda - \varepsilon.$$

With such an  $x$ , we have  $f \in S(p)$ , yet  $f^\lambda \notin S(p\Lambda - \varepsilon)$ .

To construct a corresponding example for  $S_s(p)$ , we merely define  $y$  to be  $y = (1 - x^s)^{-1}$ , and proceed as above.

#### References

- B. G. Eke (1967), "The asymptotic behavior of areally mean valent functions", *J. Anal. Math.* **20**, 147–212.  
 W. K. Hayman (1967), *Multivalent Functions* (Cambridge University Press).  
 J. A. Jenkins and K. Oikawa (1971), "A remark on  $p$ -valent functions", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **12**, 397–404.  
 D. C. Spencer (1940), "On finitely mean valent functions, II", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48**, 418–435.

College of the Holy Cross  
 Worcester MA 01610, USA