Oral Presentations \$49

OP165 Changing Decision Criteria: An Analysis Of Health Technology Assessment Reports To The Brazilian Unified Health System

Stefani Borges (stefani.borges@saude.gov.br), Fernanda Rodrigues, Wolney Pires, Nathalia da Costa, Priscila Louly, Clementina Prado and Vania Santos

Introduction: In Brazil, the National Committee for Health Technology Incorporation of the Brazilian Public Health System (Conitec) defines the criteria for incorporating technologies into the public health system. However, all recommendations are submitted to public consultations to ensure transparency and public participation. Contributions from the public consultation are analyzed and entered into Conitec's final report and sometimes change the preliminary recommendation.

Methods: This descriptive, retrospective exploratory study aimed to gather qualitative and quantitative data on criteria considered by healthcare decision makers from Conitec and to identify which criteria most changed after reports were submitted to public consultation. Data from 2012 to November 2022 were collected from the Conitec website, entered into a specific extraction form, and analyzed using descriptive statistics.

Results: Medicines (77%) were the most frequently evaluated type of technology, followed by procedures (15%), and products (8%). A total of 763 recommendations were analyzed. Of these, 70 percent did not change the decision criteria from the preliminary to the final published report, 9 percent did change, and 20 percent were simplified analyses of technologies of relevant public interest that were of low cost and low budget impact (these were not submitted to public consultation or public hearing). As pharmaceutical companies usually propose cost reductions or discounts during the Conitec public consultations, most of the recommendations (45%) were modified in the final report, with incremental budgetary reduction being the main criterion. This study identified that most of the changes occurred in neurology and were related to the high incremental budgetary impact of technologies for the care of rare diseases like spinal muscular atrophy.

Conclusions: Although different criteria are considered for Conitec recommendations, some of these are regarded as crucial for decision-making. Unfortunately, as there is no definition for the weight of each of these criteria, it is difficult to understand their influence on the recommendations made. Therefore, it is crucial to establish standardized criteria for proper decision-making.

OP167 An Analysis Of Medication Exclusion Reports In The Health Technology Assessment Process For The Brazilian Unified Health System

Nathalia da Costa, Stefani Borges (stefani.borges@saude.gov.br), Wolney Pires, Fernanda Rodrigues, Priscila Louly, Clementina Prado and Vania Santos

Introduction: The National Committee for Health Technology Incorporation of the Brazilian Public Health System (Conitec), which was created in 2011 by federal law, defines the criteria for incorporating and excluding health technologies in the Brazilian health system. For technology evaluation proposals a recommendation report is carried out, which finds the best scientific evidence about the efficacy, accuracy, effectiveness, and safety of the technology being analyzed. The report also provides a comparative economic evaluation of the technologies already in the health system to promote and protect public health, achieve the best allocation of resources, and reduce regional inequalities.

Methods: This exploratory, descriptive, and retrospective study aimed to identify the criteria considered for the exclusion of medicines within the Brazilian health system from 2012 to November 2022. Data were extracted from Conitec's website into a specific extraction form and analyzed using descriptive statistics.

Results: During the evaluation period, 763 technology recommendations by Conitec were identified, of which 75 (10%) related to the exclusion of medicines. Several criteria were identified among the exclusion recommendations, including protocol updates, expiry or lack of registration in the National Health Surveillance Agency, and drugs whose clinical indication was not included in the list of drugs registered for treatments in the health system.

Conclusions: Although there is no standardization of criteria in Conitec for recommendations on the exclusion of health technologies, this study contributes to a better understanding of these technologies. Disinvestment of technologies positively impacted the population because it resulted in better allocation of resources in the health system, and some of the excluded technologies presented a greater risk of interactions and undesirable adverse reactions.