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#### Abstract

ABS'IKAC'I. Kelativistic hierarchy of reference systems (KS) developed in recent years by different authors is examined in detail. Metric expressions and transformation relations for solar system barycentric kS (BRS), heliocentric RS (HRS), Earth-Moon local KS (LRS), geocentric RS (GRS), topocentric KS ('IKS) and karth satellite KS (SKS) may be obtained explicitly in harmonic coordinates of GR'T. The time coordinate of any kS involves the corresponding time scale. Particular attention is given to the closed form representation of liRS avoiding expansions in powers of the geocentric coordinates. GKS has been constructed in both versions of dynamically non-rotating (GRS (DGRS) or kinematically non-rotating GRS (KGRS). DGRS and KGKS differ in their space axes orientation by the amount of the geodesic precession. Similarly, taking into account the motion of the Sun around the center of the Galaxy one should distinguish between dynamically non-rotating BKS (DBRS) and kinematically non-rotating BRS (KBRS) differing in their space axes orientation by the amount of the galactic precession. Keduction to the galactic time and the galactic space axes may be needed in the nearest future.


## 1. IN'RODUCTION

Presently, any theory of astronomical reference systems and time scales adequate to the precision of modern observations may be developed only within the GR'r framework. However, the preliminary discussion by the lat Working Group on Reference Systems of the corresponding relativistic formulations suggested in (Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1989a, 1990) has shown that many astronomers are not ready to apprehend these formulations and regard them as "too technical". The difficulties of apprehension increase when considering not only one approach to develop relativistic reference systems but a whole set of the alternative approaches based, for instance, on PYN formalism (Will, 1981), generalization of fermi normal coordinates (Ashby and Bertotti, 1986; rukushima, 1988), tetrad formalism (Soffel, 1989), etc. The aim of the present paper is to elucidate the key statements of relativistic formulations and to simplify as much as possible their "technical" aspects not diminishing
the level of necessary experimental precision and mathematical accuracy. The paper is based on the technique to construct the hierarchy of reference systems in harmonic coordinates exposed in (Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1989a, 1990).

## 2. KEFEKENCE SYS'IEM IN GK'I

A reference (coordinate) system in GK'L is given by the symmetric quadratic form $d s$ determining the metric of the four dimensional pseudo-Kiemannian space of events of GKI

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g_{\mu v} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu} \quad, x^{0}=c t \quad, \quad \mu, v=0,1,2, \overline{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c$ being the velocity of light. The quantity $t$ is called the coordinate time of the system. 'The spatial coordinates of the system are designated by $x^{1}(i=1,2,3)$, tinstein summation rule over repeated greek or latin index is used everywhere. In absence of the gravitating masses the reference system may be chosen so that the components of the metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$ (the gravitation potentials) take the values $\eta_{\mu v}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{00}=1, \eta_{01}=0, \eta_{1 j}=-\delta_{1 j}, \quad i, j=1,2,3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(pseudo-Euclidean or Minkowskian or Galilean metric). 'hese values determine the inertial reference system of special relativity theory. In presence of the gravitating masses the components $g_{\mu v}$ determined by the Einstein field equations are represented by expansions in powers of $v / c$ ( $v$ being the characteristic velocity of bodies)

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\mu v}=\eta_{\mu v}+h_{\mu v}  \tag{3}\\
& h_{00}=h_{00}^{(2)}+h_{00}^{(4)}+\ldots  \tag{4}\\
& h_{o i}=h_{o i}^{(1)}+h_{o i}^{(3)}+\ldots  \tag{5}\\
& h_{i j}=h_{i j}^{(2)}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The upper index in parentheses indicates the order of smallness with respect to $v / c$. 'lhe quantity $h_{\text {oi }}^{\prime \prime}$ is caused not by the gravitating masses but by the motion of the reference system. 'lwo cases are typical. lhe first one is related with a system in rotation. If a system is originated by rotation of the spatial axes of the inertial system and the angular velocity components on the moving axes $x^{1}$ are designated by $\omega$ then the metric (1) will be characterized by the occurrence of the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{o 1}^{(1)}=-c^{-1} \varepsilon_{i j k} \omega^{j} x^{k}=-c^{-1}(\omega \times x)^{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varepsilon_{i f k}$ is the three dimensional fully antisymmetric Levi-civita symbol $\left(\varepsilon_{123}=+1\right)$. $\omega$ and $x$ are the triplets of components $\psi^{i}$ and $x^{i}$ respectively, in the second case a reference system is resulted from formal application to the inertial system of three dimensional Galileo
transformation characterized by the translatory velocity $v^{1}$. 'Then the metric (1) will contain a typical term

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{01}^{(1)}=-c^{-1} v^{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we shall be interested only in reference systems transforming in absence of the gravitating masses into inertial systems of special relativity theory. Metric (1) of such systems cannot contain the terms (7) or (8) and expansion (5) begins only with the third order terms $h_{o 1}^{(3)}$.

No matter where to stop in expansions (4)-(6) one obtains different accuracies for astrometric problems (based on the equations of light propagation) and for celestial mechanics problems (based on the equations of motion of celestial bodies). In fact, in neglecting $h_{\mu \nu}$ at all,metric (1) ydelds the Newtonian equations of light propagation. Ketaining only $h_{o c}^{(2)}$ enables one to derive the Newtonian equations of motion of celestial bodies. 'This permits also to take into account the post-Newtonian terms in the problem of (2) clock synchronization and time scale relations. Considering $h_{\infty}^{(2)}$ and $h_{1 j}^{(2)}$ results in the post-Newtonian equations of light propagation in the static field (ignoring the motion of the gravitating masses). In taking into account $h_{o 0}^{(2)}, h_{1 j}^{(2)}$ and $h_{o 1}^{(3)}$ one yields the complete post-Newtonian equations of light propagation (considering the motion of the masses). Moreover, the terms $h_{o 1}^{(3)}$ are crucial to describe the relativistic rotation of the spatial axes of the reference system. Finally, including $h_{00}^{(2)}, h_{i j}^{(2)}, h_{o i}^{(3)}$ and $h_{00}^{(4)}$ one obtains the post-Newtonian equations of motion.

Nowadays, one may meet, suggestion to define relativistic reference systems by fixing only $h_{00}^{(2)}$ and $h_{1 j}^{(t)}$. This is sufficient for present day astrometry. But this is quite inadequate for modern celestial mechanics using the post-Newtonian equations of motion of the solar system bodies and will be soon insufficient for high-precision astrometry (millisecond pulsar timing, YOIN'S project, etc.) demanding the complete post-Newtonian equations of light propagation. It seems to us that one should define a reference system with some excess of accuracy and therefore it is reasonable to fix all the terms indicated in expansions (4)-(6).

The dots in (4)-(6) mean the terms of more high order, in particular, the terms responsible for gravitational radiation of the system of bodies. For ephemeris astronomy problems these terms may be ignored as yet.

## 3. BKS IN HARMONIC COORDINAT'ES AND IN PYN FORMALISM

Dynamically non-rotating barycentric reference system for the solar system (DBKS) may be represented in the post-Newtonian approximation of GK'I in arbitrary quasi-Galilean coordinates in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{00}^{(2)}=-2 c^{-2} U, \quad h_{i j}^{(2)}=-2 c^{-2} U()_{i j}+a_{i, j}+a_{i, i}  \tag{3}\\
& h_{0 i}^{(3)}=4 c^{-3} U^{1}+a_{0,1}+a_{i, 0}  \tag{10}\\
& h_{00}^{(4)}=2 c^{-4}\left(U^{2}-W\right)+2 a_{0,0}+2 c^{-2} U, a_{k}+\dot{2} c^{-2} \sum_{A} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{A}^{h}}\left(a_{k}\right)_{A} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{3}{2} \Phi_{1}-\Phi_{c}+\Phi_{3}+3 \Phi_{4}+\frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Newtonian potential $U$, vector-potential $U^{i}$ and complementary potentials $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{3}, \Phi_{4}$ and $X$ satisfy Poisson equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{, k k}=-4 \pi G \rho, U_{, k k}^{1}=-4 \pi G \rho v^{1}, \Phi_{1, k k}=-4 \pi G \rho v^{2},  \tag{13}\\
& \Phi_{2, k k}=-4 \pi G \rho U, \Phi_{3, k k}=-4 \pi G \rho T, \Phi_{4, k k}=-4 \pi G p, \chi_{, k k}=U .
\end{align*}
$$

Comma with subsequent index denotes the derivative with respect to the corresponding variable.f is the conserved density, $r$ is the velocity of the matter, $p$ is the pressure and $\pi$ is the internal energy. $a$ is the gravitational constant. $a_{0}$ and $a_{i}$ are four arbitrary functions (a; are of the second order of smallness, $a_{0}$ is of the third order). $x_{A}(t)$ are the spatial coordinates of body $A,\left(a_{k}\right)$ means the regilar part of $a_{k}$ in substituting $x^{k}=x_{A}^{k}$. $1 t$ is of importance to note that function a entering in the Lagrangian in form of total derivative has no influence on the post-Newtonian equations of motion of bodies.
functions $a_{0}$ and $a_{i}$ are specified by the coordinate conditions represented by four differential or algebraic relations for the metric tensor components and their first derivatives. These functions determine the type of the coordinates employed. One uses rather often the harmonic coordinate conditions. Their main mathematical advantage ts the existence of explicit mathematical formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(-g)^{1 / 2} g^{\mu \nu}\right], v=0 \quad, \quad g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{j v}\right), \quad g^{11 \alpha} g_{v \alpha}=\delta_{v}^{12} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the tilde denotes harmonic coordinates then the relationslip of the arbitrary coordinates used above with the harmonic onos is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{v}{0}_{0}^{0}=x^{0}+a_{0}, \stackrel{v_{k}}{x}=x^{k}-a_{k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that metric (1)-(6), (9)-(11) becomes harmonic with $a_{i}=a_{i}=0$.
Potential $\chi$ in the point mass approximation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A}\left(i M_{A} r_{A}, \quad r_{A}=\left(r_{A}^{k} r_{A}^{k}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad r_{A}^{k}=x^{k}-X_{A}^{k}\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \chi}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A}\left(i M_{A}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{A}}\left[\left(n_{A}^{k} v_{A}^{k}\right)^{\hat{2}}-v_{A}^{2}\right]+n_{A}^{k} a_{A}^{k}\right\} \quad, n_{A}^{k}=r_{A}^{k} / r_{A}\right. \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v_{A}^{k}$ and $a_{A}^{k}$ being velocity and acceleration of the center of mass of body A. In Newtonian approximation

$$
a_{A}^{k}=\sum_{B} Z_{A}\left(M_{B} \frac{x_{B}^{k}-x_{A}^{k}}{r_{A B}^{3}}, \quad r_{A B}=\left[\left(x_{B}^{k}-x_{A}^{k}\right)\left(x_{B}^{k}-x_{A}^{k}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

At infinity (but let us remember that $B K S$ is not valid for too large distances from the solar system) all potentials except for $X$ vanish and coefficients (9)-(11) will contain only one non-zero term

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\infty}^{(4)}=c^{-4} \sum_{A} G M_{A} n_{A}^{k} a_{A}^{k} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, ar infinity the spatial part of the BRS metric in harmonic coordinates takes the Euclidean form but there remains in $g_{\infty}$ relativistic term (18).

Along with harmonic representation the BRS metric in PPN formalism coordinates is also widely used (Misner et al., 1973; will, 1981). The HPN system is characterized by its spatial isotropy (as well as the harmonic representation) and its Galilean form at infinity. this involves the choice of the coordinate functions as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=0, \quad a_{0}=c^{-3} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In so doing, function (17) disappears from (11) and in the right-hand side of (10) there will be an additive term

$$
a_{0,1}=c^{-3} \frac{\partial^{\hat{i}} \chi}{\partial t \partial X^{1}}=-\frac{1}{2} c^{-3} \sum_{A} \frac{G M_{A}}{r_{A}} V_{A}^{k}\left(\delta_{i k}-n_{A}^{i} n_{A}^{k}\right)
$$

1t is easy to see that at infinity all coefficients (9)-(11) for the BkS metric in PYN coordinates vanish resulting in Galilean form for the BKS metric.

Hence, the spatial harmonic and PMN coordinates are the same

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{x}^{1}=x^{1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the time coordinates are related by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}=t+c^{-4} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A} G M_{A} n_{A}^{k} v_{A}^{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using physical terminology one says that PYN and harmonic coordinate systems belong to one and the same reference frame differing only by the time coordinates.

Kelations (20) and (21) enable one to conclude that PPN formulation and harmonic representation of BKS are practically equivalent within the present accuracy $\left(v^{2} / c^{2}\right)$. Only in dealing with the higher order effects ( $v^{4} / c^{4}$ as in discussing POINTS observations) this difference might be taken into account. As pointed above, the advantage of harmonic coordinates is due to their explicit mathematical formulation. In transforming to any other reference system (geocentric, topocentric, etc.) it is easy to impose the harmonic conditions on new metric coefficients and to ensure therewith the harmonic form of the coordinate transformation. On the contrary, the HFN formulation has been developed only for BKS and, moreover, only in the post-Newtonian approximation. The transformation within the PYN framework to other systems has not been elaborated so far. There is no definite PYN procedure to take into account the higher order terms. With respect to these two aspects the harmonic representation is more advantageous.

Let us make one technical remark useful for comparison with formulatifns of (Misner et al., 1973; Will, 1981). One often uses the density $\rho$ satisfying the relation

$$
(-g)^{1 / 2} \rho^{*} d x^{\circ}=\rho d s
$$

or

$$
\rho=\rho^{*}\left[1+c^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+3 u\right)\right] \text {. }
$$

Therefore,

$$
u=u^{*}+c^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1}+3 \Phi_{2}\right)
$$

where $U^{*}$ is the same Newtonian potential as $U$ but expressed with the aid of $p^{*}$ (all other potentials are the same within the adopted accuracy). Hence, in virtue of (3), (9), (11) and (19) coefficient $g_{o o}$ of the YPN formalism BKS metric takes the form

$$
g_{00}=1-2 c^{-2} U^{*}+c^{-4}\left(2 u^{2}-4 \Phi_{1}-4 \Phi_{2}-2 \Phi_{3}-6 \Phi_{4}\right) .
$$

In (Misner et al., 1973; Widl, 1981) $\rho$ (designated by $\rho$ ) is used in the equations of motion and $\rho$ (designated by $\rho$ ) is used in the field metric. 'laking into account the difference in designations and signature we obtain the expressions of these papers (with the cikl values for the HYN parameters). We think it reasonable to use one and the same conserved density $\rho$ both for the field metric and the equations of motion.

## 4. hIERAKCHY OF ASTRONOMICAL REfERENCE SYS'IEMS

For astronomical purposes it is suitable to have the hierarchy of relativistic reference systems (KS) as follows:

with BKS (solar system barycentric KS), HKS (heliocentric KS), LKS (local Earth-Moon barycentric KS), GKS (geocentric KS), TKS (topocentric KS) and SKS (satellite RS). Such an hierarchy (except for HKS and LKS which may be constructed by analogy) has been constructed in (Brumberg and Kopejkin, $1989 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) conforming with three principles:

1) harmonic coordinates are used for each system;
2) the principle of equivalence is met in each system, i.e. the influence of the external masses is described only by tidal terms (in particular, the terms like (8) are absent);
3) each system is dynamically non-rotating (there are no terms like (7) or similar third order terms in $h_{01}^{(3)}$ ).

For BKS there are no external bodies (in ignoring the influence of the Galaxy) and all the solar system bodies taken into account are considered as internal ones. For LKS the internal bodies are the Earth and the Moon whereas all remaining bodies are considered as external ones. Both HKS and GKS have one internal body, the Sun or the Earth respectively. Hor ITKS and SKS all the bodies are external.
the matching procedure of two reference systems used in (Brumberg and
hopejkin, $1989 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ starts from the known metric coefficients of one system and permits to determine 1) metric coefficients of the second system, 2) transformation formulae relating two metrics and 3) the equations of motion of the origin of the second system with respect to the first system.

Obviously, a similar hierarchy may be constructed using alternative approaches mentioned above. But the use of one and the same type of coordinate conditions for all systems in combination with the matching procedure has definite advantages, for instance:

1) the finite transformation formulae for spatial coordinates of two systems (quadratic functions in contrast to the power series of the alternative approaches);
2) unambiguous determination of the required functions;
3) no difficulties in considering figure characteristics and proper rotation of the bodies.

So far, in all papers indicated above the GKS metric has been constructed in form of the series in powers of the geocentric spatial coordinates. It is to be noted that to derive the GKS post-Newtonian rigorous (avoiding expansions in powers of geocentric coordinates) equations of motion of Earth distant satellites it is sufficient to apply to the known rigorous BRS equations the finite transformation formulae from BKS to ciks. Just in the same manner one can get the post-Newtonian HRS equations of motion of the planets. It is not evident how to solve these two problems with the alternative approaches based on power series expansions. for astrometric, purposes (reduction of observations) (iKS within the level of $h_{\infty}^{(2)}, h_{1 j}^{(2)}$ and $h_{o i}^{(3)}$ is quite adequate. As shown in the next section, the technique of (Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1989a,b) permits easily to construct GRS within this level of accuracy in the closed form. Needless to say that the same is valid for any other reference system.

Let us add that each system entering in the hierarchy under consideration may be subjected to the rigid body spatial rotation. The resulting system ( $\mathrm{Ks}^{+}$) is not harmonic anymore. Its metric will contain a term like (7). Such a system is used for solving astrometric problems.

## 5. CLOSED FORM OF GRS METKIC (DGRS AND KGRS)

Iransformation from UBKS determined by relations (1)-(6) and (9)-(11) with $a_{0}=a_{1}=0$ to GKS defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\hat{g}_{\mu \nu} d w^{\mu} d w^{\nu} \quad, \quad w^{0}=c u \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and having its origin in the center of mass of the Earth $E$ is given by the formulae generalizing the Lorentz transformation of special relativity theory (Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1989a,b)

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=t-c^{-2}\left[S(t)+v_{\mathrm{B}}^{k} r_{\mathrm{E}}^{k}\right]+c^{-4}\left[B\left(t, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} v_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} v_{\mathrm{E}}^{k} r_{\mathrm{B}}^{k}\right]+\ldots, \\
w^{1}=r_{\mathrm{B}}^{1}+c^{-2}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2} v_{\mathrm{B}}^{1} v_{\mathrm{E}}^{k}+q r^{1 k}(t)+\nu^{1 k}(t)\right] r_{\mathrm{B}}^{k}+\nu^{1 j k}(t) r_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}} r_{\mathrm{E}}^{k}\right\}+\ldots(24)
\end{gathered}
$$

In transformation (24) of the spatial coordinates functions $\nu^{\text {ik }}=\nu^{k t}$
and $\nu^{i j k}=D^{i k j}$ determine the relativistic contraction of length. Antisymmetric function $r^{\text {jk }}=-r^{k j}$ acts as the angular velocity of rotation of the spatial axes. Indeed, introducing the triplet $F=(F$, $F^{F}, F^{3}$ ) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{1}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1 j k} H^{j k} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{i k} r_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{XF} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

resulting in rotation of the spatial axes. $q$ is the scalar parameter to be defined below. The inverse transformation is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =u+c^{-2}\left[S(t)+v_{B}^{k}(t) w^{k}\right]-c^{-4}\left[B(u, w)+v_{B}^{1}\left(q F^{j k}+D^{1 k}\right) W^{k}+\right. \\
& \left.+v_{B}^{1} D^{1 j k} W^{j} w^{k}\right]+\ldots,  \tag{27}\\
x^{1} & =x_{B}^{1}(t)+w^{1}-c^{-2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} v_{k}^{1} v_{B}^{k}+q t^{1 k}+D^{i k}\right) w^{k}+D^{1 j k} W^{j} w^{k}\right]+\ldots( \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the derivatives of $t$ and $x^{1}$ with respect to $u$ and $w^{k}$ into the tensor transformation of metric matching

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}(u, w)=g_{\mu \nu}(t, x) \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial_{x}^{\nu}}{\partial_{w}^{\beta}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

one gets .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{g}_{00}=1+c^{-2}\left(-2 u+2 \dot{S}-v_{B}^{2}+2 a_{B}^{k} W^{k}\right) \quad,  \tag{30}\\
& \hat{g}_{o 1}=c^{-3}\left[4 U^{1}-4 U v_{B}^{1}-B_{, 1}+v_{B}^{1}\left(\dot{S}-\frac{1}{2} v_{B}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{2} v_{B}^{1} a_{B}^{k}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2} v_{E}^{k} a_{B}^{1}+\dot{q \dot{F}^{1 k}}+\dot{D}^{1 k}\right) w^{k}+\dot{D}^{1 j k}{ }_{W^{j} W^{k}}{ }^{k}\right]  \tag{31}\\
& \hat{g}_{1 j}=-\delta_{i j}-2 c^{-2}\left[U \sigma_{i j}-D^{1 j}-\left(D^{1 j k}+D^{j i k}\right) W^{k}\right] \quad . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Function $B$ may be presented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u, w)=B^{(0)}(u)+B_{i}^{(1)} W^{1}+B_{i j}^{(2)} W^{1} W^{j}+B^{(3)}(u, w) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Function $B^{(0)}$ cannot be determined within the adopted accuracy of the matching procedure. Its expression may be found in (Kopejkin, 1989a,b; Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1990). runctions $S, B_{1}^{(1)}, B_{1 j}^{(2)}, F^{\text {jk }}, D^{\text {ik }}, D^{1 j k}$ and $a_{B}^{1}$ are determined on the basis of the conditions resulted from the tidal form of the external mass action. Separating Newtonian potential $U$ and vector-potential $U^{1}$ into the parts $U_{B}$ and $U_{B}$ due to the Earth alone and the parts $\bar{U}_{B}$ and $\bar{U}_{B}^{1}$ caused by the external masses one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=U_{B}^{B}+\bar{U}_{B}^{B}, \quad U^{1}=U_{B}^{1}+\bar{U}_{B}^{1},  \tag{34}\\
& S=\frac{1}{2} v_{B}^{2}+\bar{U}_{B}\left(x_{B}\right),  \tag{35}\\
& D^{1 k}=\delta_{1 k} \bar{U}\left(x_{B}\right), \quad \nu^{i j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1 j} a_{B}^{k}+\delta_{1 k} a_{B}^{j}-\delta_{j k} a_{B}^{1}\right),  \tag{36}\\
& a_{B}^{1}=\bar{U}_{B, 1}\left(x_{B}\right)-Q_{1}, \quad Q_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} M_{B}^{-1} I_{B}^{j k} \bar{U}_{B, 1 j k}\left(x_{B}\right),  \tag{37}\\
& B_{1}^{(1)}=4 \bar{U}_{B}^{1}\left(x_{B}\right)-3 v_{B}^{1} \bar{U}_{R}\left(x_{B}\right), \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i k}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{D}^{1 k}+\bar{l}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{k}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)+\bar{l}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{i}}^{k}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)-\left(v_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}} Q_{\mathrm{k}}+{v_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\left(Q_{1}\right)}-\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{E}^{1} a_{E}^{k}+v_{E}^{k} a_{E}^{1}\right) \quad, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{3}{2}\left(v_{\mathrm{E}}^{i} a_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{k}}-v_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{k}} a_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

In distinction to other quantities functions $S, F^{i k}$ and $B^{i n}$ are determined by differential relations. function $S$ yields (in the post-Newtonian approximation) the difference of BRS and GRS coordinate time scales in the geocenter ( $r_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}=x^{k}-x_{B}^{k}=0$ ). Function $F^{i k}$ describes in its main part the geodesic precession. Equations (37) represent the BKS Newtonian equations of motion of the Earth (at the next step of approximation the matching procedure results in the post-Newtonian equations). Function $Q_{i}$ is the correction for the non-geodesic motion of the Earth due to the interaction of the Earth quadrupole moment and the external masses. One has therewith

$$
M_{E}=\oint_{(E)} \rho d^{\dot{3}} x, \quad L_{E}^{\ddagger k}=\oint_{(E)} \rho r_{E}^{j} r_{E}^{k} d^{3} x
$$

In consequence, the closed form GKS metric for approximation (30)-(32) will be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{g}_{00}=1-2 c^{-2}\left[\hat{U}_{B}+Q_{k} w^{k}+\bar{u}_{E}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-\bar{u}_{B}\left(x_{E}\right)-\bar{u}_{B, k}\left(x_{E}\right) w^{k}\right]+\ldots,(41) \\
& \hat{g}_{o 1}=c^{-3}\left\{4 \ddot{U}_{E}^{A}+(q-1) \dot{H}_{W}^{i k}{ }_{W}^{k}+4\left[\bar{U}_{\mathbb{E}}^{i}\left(x_{E}+W\right)-\bar{U}_{E}^{1}\left(x_{E}\right)-\bar{U}_{E, k}^{i}\left(x_{E}\right){ }^{k}\right]-\right. \\
& \left.-4 v_{E}^{1}\left[\bar{U}_{E}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-\bar{u}_{E}\left(x_{E}\right)-\bar{u}_{B, \dot{x}}\left(x_{E}\right) w_{i}^{k}\right]-B_{i}^{(3}+\dot{b}^{i j k} W^{j} W^{k}\right\}+\ldots, \\
& \hat{g}_{i j}=-\delta_{i j}-\dot{Z} c^{-2}\left[\hat{U}_{B}+Q_{i k} w^{k}+\bar{U}_{B}\left(x_{B}+w\right)-\bar{U}_{B}\left(x_{B}\right)-\bar{u}_{E, k}\left(x_{E}\right) w^{k}\right] \delta_{i j}+ \\
& +\ldots  \tag{43}\\
& \text { with } \\
& \hat{U}_{B}=U_{E} \quad, \quad \hat{U}_{\mathrm{E}}^{1}=U_{\mathrm{E}}^{1}-v_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{i}} U_{\mathrm{E}} \quad .
\end{align*}
$$

function $B^{(3)}$ is determined by the harmonic conditions for the GRS metric. These conditions within the adopted accuracy result in the equations (with $\hat{g}_{\mu v}=\eta_{\mu \nu}+\hat{h}_{p v}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{h}_{o c, i}-\hat{h}_{k k, i}+2 \hat{h}_{i k, k}=0,  \tag{45}\\
& \hat{h}_{00,0}+\hat{h}_{k k, 0}-2 \dot{h}_{0 k, k}=0 \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (45) is satisfied identically in virtue of the structure of expressions (41) and (43). Equation (46) involves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c{\hat{U_{B}, 0}}+\hat{U}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfied in virtue of the equation of continuity and the Poisson equation determining function $B$ $B^{(3)}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{, k k}^{i}=4\left[\bar{l}_{E, k}^{k}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-\bar{l}_{E, k}^{k}\left(x_{E}\right)\right]-4 r_{E}^{k}\left[\bar{U}_{E, k}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-\bar{u}_{E, k}\left(x_{E}\right)\right]+ \\
+4\left[c \bar{l}_{E, V}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-\dot{U}_{E}\left(x_{E}\right)\right]-\dot{a}_{E}^{k} w^{k} \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

Function $B^{i s!}$ and its derivatives of the first and second order with respect to the spatial coordinates should vanish with $w=0$. Using explicit expressions of scalar and vector potentials it is easy to verify the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{l}_{E, k}\left(x_{E}+w\right)-V_{E} \bar{U}_{E, n}\left(x_{E}+w\right)+c \bar{l}_{E, p}\left(x_{E}+w\right)=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

(relation (46) is imposed on expressions (4l)-(43) and the substitution $x=x_{E}+w$ is performed before differentiating). Hence, equation (48) takes the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{, k k}^{3}=-\vec{a}_{\mathrm{E}}^{k} w^{k} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a particular solution of this equation one may choose, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{i}{ }^{i}=-\frac{1}{10} a^{1} n^{i} w^{i} w^{j} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{, i}^{(3)}=-\frac{1}{5} \dot{a}_{E}^{k} w_{w}^{k}-\frac{1}{10} a_{E}^{i} w^{k} w^{k} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construction of the GKS metric in the closed form is completed by substituting (52) into (42).

Numerical parameter $q$ plays an important role. value $q=1$ corresponds to dynamically non-rotating GKS (DGRS). In this case there are no terms due to geodesic precession in $\hat{g}_{\Delta i}$. But the vaRS spatial axes rotate with respect to the BkS spatial axes as seen from transformation ( 24 ). lalue $q=\dot{0}$ corresponds to kinematically non-rotating GKS (KGHS). In this case the spatial coordinate transformation (24) between BKS and GKS does not involve terms due to geodesic proeession. Such terms occur in $\stackrel{\circ}{g}_{g}$. In investigating Earth satellite motion it is suitable to use DGKS (Brumberg and kopejkin, 1989b). for reduction of observations both systems might be useful.

Let us add a technical remark. function (51) differs from the function used in (kopejkin, 1988; Brumberg and hopejkin, 1989a,b). Function $B^{\prime 3}$ has been constructed in these papers in form of the series in powers of the geocentric coordinates starting with the terms

$$
\begin{align*}
& B^{(3)}=B_{i j k} w^{i} w^{j} w^{k}+\ldots, \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+v_{E}^{j} \bar{U}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Ki}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)+v_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{K}} \bar{U}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{ij}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\right]+\frac{4}{45}\left[\delta_{j k} \dot{\bar{U}}_{\mathrm{E}, 1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)+\bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{ki}} \dot{\bar{U}}_{\mathrm{E}, j}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\delta_{i j} \dot{U}_{E, k}\left(x_{B}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{3 \overline{0}}\left(5 \dot{b}_{j k}^{i} \dot{a}_{E}+\delta_{k i} \dot{a}_{B}^{j}+\delta_{i j} \dot{a}_{E}^{k}\right) \quad . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $B_{i k k}=-\frac{1}{6} \dot{a}_{\mathbb{E}}^{i}$ and equation (50) is satisfied. Such
a chojee of $B^{\text {br }}$ provides the fulfallment of some relations of symmetry In the expansion of $g_{o i}$, in particular, the condation $c_{i m}=C_{m j}$ in quadratic terms

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{0 i} & =4 c^{-3}\left[\dot{c}_{z}^{i}+\frac{1}{4}\left(q^{-1}\right) \dot{b}_{w}^{k}+\hat{e}_{i j k}\left(\sum_{i m}^{k} w^{m}-\frac{3}{10} \dot{Q}_{k}^{k} w^{k}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{10} \dot{Q}_{i} w^{k} w^{k}+\ldots\right] \quad . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

6. CONSIDERATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF THE GALAXY

In ignoring the influence of the Galaxy BKS described by expressions (9)-(11) (with $a_{G}=a_{i}=0$ ) is non-rotating system both dynamically and kinematically. But in not so distant future consideration of the influence of the Galaxy may become necessary. Ignoring all local irregularities and considering the mass $M$ of the lalaxy as being concentrated at its center one may relate galactic time $r$ and, galactic spatial coordinates $i^{i}$ with BKS time-space coordinates $t$ and $x$ just in the same way as BRS-GRS transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& t=T-c^{-2}\left[S_{G}(T)+V_{B}^{k} K_{B}^{k}\right]+\ldots, K_{B}^{k}=X^{k}-X_{B}^{k}(T), \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

with $X_{B}^{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $l_{B}^{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=d X_{B}^{k}\left(T^{\prime}\right) / d l^{\prime}$ being galactic coordinates and velocity components of the solar system barycenter $B . q_{i}$ is a constant leading to dynamically $\left(q_{i}=1\right)$ or kinematically $\left(q_{G}=0\right)$ non-rotating BKS respectively (DBRS or KBRS). Assuming the galactic, gircular motion of the solar system barycenter with radius $X_{B}=\left(X_{B}^{k} X_{B}^{k}\right)^{1 / k^{\prime}}$ and mean motion $N=2 \pi / P=(G M)^{1 / 2} / X_{B}^{3 / 6}$ one has $V_{B}^{2}=G M / X_{B}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{S}_{G}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{G M}{X_{B}}, \quad \dot{F}_{B}^{1 k}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{G M}{X_{B}^{3}}\left(X_{B}^{i} v_{B}^{k}-X_{B}^{k} V_{B}^{i}\right)  \tag{58}\\
D_{G}^{i j}=\delta_{i k} \frac{G M}{X_{B}}, D_{G}^{i j k}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{G M}{X_{B}^{3}}\left(\delta_{j k} X_{B}^{i}-\delta_{i j} X_{B}^{k}-\delta_{i k} X_{B}^{j}\right) \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

Function $f_{G}^{i k}$ is responsible for the galactic precession caused by the motion of the Sun around the center of the Galaxy (quite similar to the geodesic precession due to the heliocentric motion of the Earth). introducing as in (25) triplet $F_{j}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{G M}{X_{B}^{3}}\left(X_{B} \times V_{B}\right)=\frac{3}{2} N \frac{G M}{X_{B}} \mathrm{k} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k$ being a unit vector normal to the plane of the orbit of the Sun. In vector notation the transformation (57) is rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x} & =\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}+c^{-2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{B} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}+\frac{G M}{X_{B}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}+q_{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}} X_{\mathrm{G}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{G M}{X_{B}^{3}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}-\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{G M}{X_{B}^{3}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]+\ldots \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Using numerical values $M=1.6 \cdot 10^{11} \quad M_{0}, X_{B}=2.5 \cdot 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}, \quad \mu=2.8 \cdot 10^{8}$ years $=6.6 \cdot 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}, c^{-2} G M_{0}=1.5 \mathrm{~km}, c=3 \cdot 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ one finds that the DBKS spatial axes rotate with respect to the galactic axes with angular velocity $\left|c^{-2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{G}}\right|=0.85^{\prime \prime} \cdot 10^{-6}$ per century. The term $c^{-2} V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ in the time transformation (56) applied to the Earth represents an annual periodic term with the amplitude 0.4 s . 'Ihe coefficient in the secular term of this transformation is $c^{-2} S_{G}=1.44^{*} 10^{-6}$.

Component $g_{o 1}$ of BKS metric (9)-(11) with $a_{0}=a_{i}=0$ is represented now in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0 i}^{(3)}=c^{-3}\left[4 U^{i}+\left(q_{G}-1\right) \dot{F}_{0}^{i k} x^{k}\right] \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us repeat once again that with $q_{0}=1$ we have DBKS whose spatial axes rotate with respect to the galactic axes. With $q_{0}=0$ we have kBKS whose spatial axes do not rotate with respect to the galactic axes. But the KBKS equations of motion of the solar system bodies contain Coriolis terms. For celestial mechanics purposes DBKS is preferable. tor astrometric purposes both systems are useful.

## 7. TIME SCALES

'lhe problem of time scales is to be solved finally by the laU recommendations. But irrespective of definitions given by these recommendations the problem is based actually on the relations between the coordinate times of BKS $(t)$, GKS ( $u$ ) and I'KS ( $\tau$ ) (in future the galactic time scale ' $I$ may be needed). Kelation between $t$ and $u$ is given in the form (23) (presently, $O\left(c^{-4}\right.$ ) terms therewith may be omitted). function $S$ determined by (35) is presented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=S^{*} t+S_{p}(t) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{*}$ is a constant and $S_{p}(t)$ includes both periodic terms as well as non-periodic terms due to the secular evolution of the planetary orbits. l'herefore, relation ( $2 \overline{3}$ ) is rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(1-c^{-2} S^{*}\right) t-c^{-2}\left[S_{p}(t)+v_{E}^{k} r_{E}^{k}\right]+\ldots \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just in the same manner the relation between $u$ and $\tau$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=u-c^{-2}\left[V(u)+v_{T}^{k}\left(w^{k}-W_{T}^{k}\right)\right]+\ldots \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $W_{T}^{k}$ and $v_{T}^{k}$ being the GRS coordinates and velocity components of the I'KS origin. Function $V(u)$ is determined by the differential relation

$$
\frac{d V}{d u}=\frac{1}{2} v_{T}^{2}+\hat{u}_{E}\left(w_{T}\right)+Q_{k} w_{T}^{k}+\bar{u}_{E}\left(x_{E}+w_{T}\right)-\bar{u}_{B}\left(x_{B}\right)-\bar{u}_{E, k}^{\prime}\left(x_{E}\right) w_{T}^{k} \cdot(66)
$$

its solution is represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(u)=v^{*} u+v_{\mathrm{p}}(u) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $V^{*}$ being a constant one and the same for all possible TRS and hence independent both of time and coordinates of the TKS origin. function $V_{p}(u)$ includes corrections for the height of the ground station above the surface of geoid, for lunar and solar tidal influence and for the geophysical factors (deviation of the Earth rotation from the rigid body rotation). Substitution of (67) into (65) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\left(1-c^{-2} v^{*}\right) u-c^{-2}\left[v_{p}(u)+v_{T}^{k}\left(w^{k}-w_{T}^{k}\right)\right] \text {. } \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kelations (64) and (68) are crucial for the relativistic theory of time scales (Brumberg and kopejkin, 1990).

## 8. CONCLUSION

The aim of this paper is to elucidate some key questions of relativistic theory of reference systems avoiding technical aspects as much as possible. The main results of the paper may be formulated as follows:

1. BKS metrics in the PYN formalism coordinates and in the harmonic representation are practically equivalent. Harmonic coordinates have advantage of being used for constructing the hierarchy of astronomical reference systems.
2. To elaborate the definitions of time scales it is presently sufficient to retain in the BRS metric only $O\left(c^{-2}\right)$ terms in $g_{0}$ (Newtonian potential). For relativistic reduction of observations in the static field (ignoring the motion of the masses) the $O\left(c^{-2}\right)$ terms in $g_{\infty}$ and $g_{i j}$ are sufficient. for relativistic reduction of observations taking into account the motion of the masses and for rigorous formulation of dynamically or kinematically non-rotating reference system (DRS or KKS) the terms $U\left(c^{-3}\right)$ in $g_{\text {ot }}$ should be added. for unambiguous formulation of the post-Newtonian equations of motion of celestial bodies the terms $O\left(c^{-4}\right)$ in $g_{00}$ should be also taken into account.
3. Each system entering in the hierarchy of relativistic reference systems may be considered in version of dynamically non-rotating system (DKS) or kinematically non-rotating system (KKS). for celestial mechanics problems it is suitable to use DKS implying the absence of the Coriolis terms in the equations of motion. For astrometric problems both types are of importance.
4. I'he metric of each system may be presented in the closed form (ass illustrated by the GKS metric taking into account only $O\left(c^{-2}\right)$ and $O\left(c^{-3}\right)$ terms).
'lechnical details of constructing reference systems and their relationships discussed here may be found in (Kopejkin, 1988, 1989a,b; Brumberg and Kopejkin, 1989a,b, 1990; Voinov, 1990; Brumberg, 1991).
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