# Laws in finite strictly <br> simple loops 

## Sheila Oates Macdonald

It is shown that a finite loop with no proper nontrivial subloops has a finite basis for its laws.

## 1. Introduction

As was mentioned in the survey paper [5] the question of whether a finite loop has a finite basis for its laws appears to be a test case for the conjecture that a finite algebra belonging to a variety all of whose algebras have modular congruence lattices has a finite basis for its laws. So far the only result known is that of Evans [4] which shows that a finite commatave Moufang loop has a finite basis for its laws. The main result of this paper is:

THEOREM. A finite loop which has no proper nontrivial subloops has a finite basis for its lows.
(Such a loop will be called a strictly simple loop.)
2. Definitions and preliminary results

Critical algebra and Cross variety of algebras are defined as in [5]. If $\underline{V}$ is a variety, then $\underline{w}^{(n)}$ denotes the variety defined by the laws of $\underset{\sim}{V}$ involving at most $n$ variables.

If $\underline{\underline{V}}=\operatorname{var}(A)$ where $A$ is a finite algebra then a result of Birkhoff [1] shows that $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ is finitely based. Thus if we can find an $n$ such that $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ has the other two attributes of a Cross variety, namely
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locally-finiteness and only finitely many (non-isomorphic) critical algebras we will have that $\underline{V}$, as a subvariety of a Cross variety, is itself Cross.

We now consider the special case in which $A$ is a finite strictly simple loop. Such a loop is necessarily monogenic. Since the result is well known for cyclic groups of prime order we can assume $A$ has a trivial centre. Definitions and properties of loops used here may be found in Bruck [2].

## 3. The variety $\underline{\underline{V}}$

LEMMA 3.1. A finitely generated loop in $\underline{\underline{V}}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of a finite number of copies of $A$.

Proof. Let $B$ be such a loop; then $B$ is a homomorphic image of a subloop of a direct product of a finite number of copies of $A$ (Birkhoff [1]). Thus it is sufficient to prove that subloops and homomorphic images of finite direct products of copies of $A$ again have the same form.

First we consider subloops. Let

$$
B \leq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{r}
$$

where $A_{i} \simeq A$, and proceed by induction on $r$; the result is clearly true if $r=1$ since $A$ has no proper nontrivial subloops. The projection of $B$ on each factor is either $A$ or 1 and the intersection of $B$ with each factor is either $A$ or $I$. If the intersection with any factor is 1 then $B$ is isomorphic to its projection on the remaining factors and so has the stated form and if the intersection of $B$ with all factors is $A$ then $B=A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{r}$.

Now suppose $B \simeq G / N$ where $G=A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{r}$ and $N \unlhd G$. To show $B$ has the required form it is sufficient to show that $N$ is the direct product of some of the $A_{i}$, since $B$ will then be isomorphic to the direct product of the remaining factors. This will follow if we can show that $N$ has nontrivial intersection with any factor on which it has nontrivial projection. So suppose $N \leq A \times D$ and that $N$ contains a pair ( $a, d$ ) with $a \neq 1$. Since every inner mapping of $A$ yields an inner
mapping of $A \times D$ we have that $(\alpha \theta, d)$ is in $N$ for all $\theta$ in $I(A)$. Since $A$ has no centre and $a \neq 1$ there exists a $\theta$ such that $a \theta=a^{\prime} \neq a$ and then $(a, d) \backslash\left(a^{\prime}, d\right)=\left(a \backslash a^{\prime}, 1\right)$ is in $N$, so that $N \cap A$ contains the nontrivial element $a \backslash a^{\prime}$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $H$ be a subloop of a loop $G$, then the centraliser of $H$ in $G, C_{G}(H)$ is defined by $C_{G}(H)=\left\{x \mid x h=h x, x\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right)=\left(x h_{1}\right) h x_{2}\right.$, $\left.\left(h_{1} x\right) h_{2}=h_{1}\left(x h_{2}\right),\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right) x=h_{1}\left(h_{2} x\right), \forall h, h_{1}, h_{2} \in H\right\}$.

Note that in general $C_{G}(H)$ is not a subloop. However, if $H=G$ then it reduces to the centre of $G$.

LEMMA 3.3. The centraliser of any subloop of a finite loop $G$ in $\underline{V}$ is a normal subloop of $G$.

Proof. Let $H \leq G=A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{r}, A_{i} \simeq A$. Then $H$ has projection 1 or $A$ on every $A_{i}$. Clearly $C_{G}(H)$ will contain the direct product of those factors on which $H$ has projection 1 . On the other hand the projection of any element of $C_{G}(H)$ on a factor on which $H$ has projection $A$ must lie in the centre of $A$, and so must be $\mathbf{l}$. It follows that $C_{G}(H)$ is the direct product of those factors on which $H$ has projectic 1 , and so is certainly a normal subloop of $G$.

LEMMA 3.4. $\underline{\underline{V}}$ satisfies an antiassociative low $x \cdot p(x)=1$ where $p(x)$ is a commutator-associator word.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 in Evans [3] since $\underline{\underline{V}}$ contains no nontrivial groups.
4. The variety $\underline{\underline{\gamma}}^{(n)}$

Let $n \geq 6$ and consider $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$.
LEMMA 4.1. $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ contains no nontrivial groups.
Proof. Since $n \geq 1, \underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ satisfies the antiassociative law of Lemma 3.4 and so contains only the trivial group.

LEMMA 4.2. A finitely-generated loop in $\underline{\underline{v}}^{(n)}$ is generated by a finite number of loops isomorphic to $A$.

Proof. Let $G=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\rangle ;$ then $\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle \in \underline{\underline{V}}$ (since $n \geq 1$ ) and so $\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle$ is a direct product of a finite number of loops isomorphic to $A$. The totality of all such loops is clearly finite and generates $G$.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $G \in \underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ and $H \leq G$, then $C_{G}(H) \leq G$.
Proof. Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H, c_{1}, c_{2} \in C_{G}(H)$ and $x, y \in G$. Then $L=\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}, x, y\right\rangle \in \underline{\underline{\mathrm{V}}},($ since $n \geq 6$ ). Now $c_{1}, c_{2} \in C_{L}\left(\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle\right)$ and, by Lemma 3.3 , this is a normal subloop of $L$, so that $\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle, c_{1} \theta, c_{2} \theta$ are in $c_{L}\left(\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle\right)$ for all inner mappings $\theta$ of $L$, in particular, for $\theta=R(x) R(y) R(x y)^{-1}$, $L(x) L(y) L(y x)^{-1}$ and $R(x) L(x)^{-1}$. Since $h_{1}, h_{2} ; c_{1}, c_{2} ; x, y$ are arbitrary elements of $H, C_{G}(H)$ and $G$ respectively, it follows that $C_{G}(H)$ is a normal subloop of $G$.

LEMMA 4.4. If $G=(I, J)$ where $I \simeq A$ and $J \simeq A_{1} \times \ldots \times A_{r}$ with $A_{i} \cong A$, then $G$ is a direct product of a finite number of loops isomorphic to $A$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $r$. The result is certainly true for $r=1$ since then $(I, J\rangle$ belongs to $\underline{\underline{V}}$, so assume $r>1$, and the result is true for $r-2$. Then $J=A_{1} \times J_{2}$ and $\left(I, A_{1}\right)=K_{1}$, ( $I, J_{2}$ ) $=K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are finite direct products of loops isomorphic to $A$. Let $X=C_{G}\left(A_{1}\right), Y=C_{G}(X)$; then both $X$ and $Y$ are normal subloops of $G$. We now show that $G=X Y$. Since $A_{2} \times \ldots \times A_{r} \leq X$ it is sufficient to prove that $K_{1}=\left(I, A_{1}\right) \leq X Y$. Since $K_{1} \in \underline{V}$ we have, as in Lemma 3.3, that $c_{K_{1}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is the direct product of those factors of $K_{1}$ on which $A_{1}$ has projection 1 , and so these factors belong to $X$. It remains to prove that the factors on which
$A_{1}$ has projection $A$ belong to $Y$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ and consider $H=\left(K_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Since $H$ has four generators it is in $\underline{\underline{V}}$ and so again is a direct product of loops isomorphic to $A$. If $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s}$ are the factors of $K_{1}$ on which $A_{1}$ has projection $A$, then $A_{1}$ will have projection $A$ on precisely those factors of $H$ on which some $D_{i}$ has projection $A$. Thus $x_{1}, x_{2}$ as elements of $C_{H}\left(A_{1}\right)$ must belong to those factors of $H$ on which every $D_{i}$ has projection 1 . It follows that if $c \in D_{i}$, then $c x_{1}=x_{1} c, c(x y)=\left(c x_{1}\right) x_{2}$, $\left(x_{1} c\right) x_{2}=x_{1}\left(c x_{2}\right), \quad\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) c=x_{1}\left(x_{2} c\right)$ so that, since $x_{1}, x_{2}$, were arbitrary elements of $X, D_{i} \leq Y$ as required. Note that this also implies that $A_{1} \leq Y$.

Now $X \cap Y$ is an abelian group and so is trivial. Thus $G=X \times Y=K_{1} X=K_{2} Y \quad$ (since $A_{2} \times \ldots \times A_{r} \leq X, A_{1} \leq Y$ ). Thus $X \simeq K_{2} Y / Y \simeq K_{2} / Y \cap K_{2}$ is a finite direct product of loops isomorphic to $A$, and so also is $Y$. It follows that $G$ has the required form.

COROLLARY 4.5. A finitely generated loop in $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ is a direct product of. a finite number of loops isomorphic to $A$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, $G=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right\rangle$ for some (finite) $s$. Induction on $s$ using Lemma 4.4, now gives the required result.

THEOREM 4:6. $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ is a Cross variety.
Proof. By Blrkhoff's result, [1] Theorem 11, $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ has a finite basis for its laws, and Corollary 4.5 shows that finitely generated loops in $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$ are finite and that $A$ is the only critical loop in $\underline{\underline{V}}^{(n)}$.

Since a subvariety of a Cross variety is Cross the theorem stated in the introduction follows immediately.
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