

ON A SHEAF OF DIVISION RINGS*

BY
GEORGE SZETO†

Introduction. R. Arens and I. Kaplansky ([1]) call a ring A biregular if every two sided principal ideal of A is generated by a central idempotent and a ring A strongly regular if for any a in A there exists b in A such that $a = a^2b$. In ([1], Sections 2 and 3), a lot of interesting properties of a biregular ring and a strongly regular ring are given. Some more properties can also be found in [3], [5], [8], [9] and [13]. For example, J. Dauns and K. Hofmann ([3]) show that a biregular ring A is isomorphic with the global sections of the sheaf of simple rings A/K where K are maximal ideals of A . The converse is also proved by R. Pierce ([9], Th. 11-1). Moreover, J. Lambek ([5], Th. 1) extends the above representation of a biregular ring to a symmetric module. In a special case, when A is strongly regular, it is immediate from ([1], Th. 3.2) that the stalks of the associated sheaf of A are division rings ([13], Lem. 1.6). Furthermore, an investigation of the category of strongly regular rings is given by J. E. Roos ([9], Section 3). The purpose of the present paper is to show two more properties of A by a sheaf technique: that any finitely generated submodule of a finitely generated and projective module over A is projective; and that the existence of a strongly separable splitting ring for A in case A is a finitely generated and projective over its center R . We shall employ the sheaf representation of a ring with identity 1 due to R. Pierce ([8]) and other results given in [6], [7], [8] and [12].

Let R be a commutative ring with identity 1. The Boolean algebra of the idempotents of R is denoted by $B(R)$ and the Boolean space of the set of maximal ideals of $B(R)$ with hull-kernel topology is denoted by $\text{Spec } B(R)$. This topological space has an open base $U(e) = \{x/x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R) \text{ with } (1-e) \text{ in } x\}$ for each e in $B(R)$. It is known that $\text{Spec } B(R)$ is totally disconnected, compact and Hausdorff. Moreover, a sheaf (Pierce's sheaf) of rings $R_x (= R/xR)$ is defined over $\text{Spec } B(R)$ and R is isomorphic with the global sections of such a sheaf ([8]). Furthermore, denote $R_x \otimes_R M$ by M_x for an R -module M and $R_x \otimes_R A$ by A_x for an R -algebra A where all modules are assumed left unitary over a ring or an algebra.

1. Some basic facts of a sheaf of division rings. In this section, we shall point out some basic facts of a ring A when A_x are division rings for all x in $\text{Spec } B(A)$ where $B(A)$ is the Boolean algebra of the central idempotents of A . Then, it can be shown that any finitely generated submodule of a finitely generated and projective module

Received by the editors May 2, 1973 and, in revised form, July 4, 1973.

* This work was supported from the National Science Foundation Grant GU-3320.

† The author wishes to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

over a R -algebra A with A_x division rings is projective. This fact will be used to show the existence of splitting rings for such an A in section 2. We start with the definition of a regular ideal.

DEFINITION. An ideal I of a ring A is regular if $I = (I \cap B(A))A$ ([8], Def. 9.2).

An important fact about regular ideals is proposition 9.3 in [8]. In our notations, we have

PROPOSITION 1.1. Assume $S(r) = \{x/x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(A) \text{ with } r_x \neq 0_x\}$ for an r in A . For any set U in $\text{Spec } B(A)$, define $I(U) = \{r \text{ in } R/S(r) \subset U\}$. For any set $I \subset A$, define $U(I) = \cup S(r)$ for all r in I . Then $U \rightarrow I(U)$ and $I \rightarrow U(I)$ determine inverse isomorphisms between the lattice of all open subsets of $\text{Spec } B(A)$ and the set of regular ideals of A .

Also, it is proved that a ring A is biregular if and only if every ideal of A is regular ([8], Section 11). Hence, assume A is biregular, K is a maximal ideal of A if and only if $K \cap B(A)$ is a maximal ideal in $B(A)$. Thus by noting that a strongly regular ring is biregular the following proposition is immediate.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let A be a ring with identity. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A_x is a division ring for each x in $\text{Spec } B(A)$.
- (2) A is a strongly regular ring.
- (3) The open set $(\text{Spec } B(A) - \{x\})$ corresponds to a maximal left ideal of A for each x in $\text{Spec } B(A)$ under Proposition 1.1.
- (4) The open set $(\text{Spec } B(A) - \{x\})$ corresponds to a maximal right ideal of A for each x .
- (5) For each $r \neq 0$ in A , there exists an element r' in A such that $rr' = e$ in $B(A)$ with $re = r$.

It is well known that a finitely generated division algebra over its center is a central separable algebra ([4], Chapter V, Prop. 1.2). This fact can be generalized, that is, (the author later found that the finite projectivity of A can be replaced by finite generation as a ring)

THEOREM 1.3. Let A be an R -algebra. If A_x is a central separable R_x -algebra for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$ and if A is a finitely generated and projective R -module, then A is a central separable R -algebra.

Proof. Let K be a maximal ideal of R lying over x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Then $A/(KA) \cong A_x/(KA)/(xA)$ is a homomorphic image of the separable R_x -algebra A_x , and hence it is also separable over $R_x/(KA)/(xA) \cap R_x$. On the other hand, it is not hard to see that A is a faithfully projective R -module, so $R \cdot 1$ is an R -direct summand of A ; and so $(KA)/(xA) \cap R_x = K/(xA)$. This implies that $A_x/(KA)/(xA)$ is separable over $R_x/K/(xA)$. Thus $A/(KA)$ is separable over R/K for each maximal ideal K of R .

Therefore A is separable over R ([4], Th. 7.1.) Moreover, since R_x is the center of A_x for all x by hypothesis, R is the center of A ([6], (1.5) and (1.6)). Consequently, A is a central separable R -algebra.

Assume A is a R -algebra with A_x division R_x -algebras. Let M be an A -module. Since M_x is a module over a division algebra A_x , it is free. We then call the number of summands of M_x the rank of M at x denoted by $\text{rank}_M(x)$. Using the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [11], we have a similar fact to Theorem 15.3 in [8]. That is, let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then M is projective if and only if rank_M is a continuous function from $\text{Spec } B(R)$ to the set of non-negative integers with discrete topology. Next we show a property of a projective A -module which is very useful in section two.

THEOREM 1.4. *Assume A is a R -algebra with A_x division R_x -algebras. Let M be a finitely generated and projective A -module. Then any finitely generated submodule P of M is projective.*

Proof. Since M is finitely generated and projective, rank_M is continuous by the above remark. Let $a_{1x}, a_{2x}, \dots, a_{rx}, \dots, a_{mx}$ be a basis of M_x with a_{1x}, \dots, a_{rx} in P_x . Lift a_{1x}, \dots, a_{rx} to a_1, \dots, a_r in P and a_{r+1x}, \dots, a_{mx} to a_{r+1}, \dots, a_m in M . Then the continuity of rank_M implies that there exists a basic open set $U(e)$ of x such that $ea_1, \dots, ea_r, ea_{r+1}, \dots, ea_m$ is a basis of eM over eA . Hence ea_1, \dots, ea_r are linearly independent. On the other hand, since P is finitely generated, there exists a basic open set $U(e')$ of x such that $e'a_1, \dots, e'a_r$ generate $e'P$. Thus we have a basic open set $U(e'')$ contained in $(U(e) \cap U(e'))$ of x such that $e''a_1, \dots, e''a_r$ is a basis for $e''P$. Therefore $e''P$ is a projective $e''A$ -module. Consequently, by application of the partition property of $\text{Spec } B(R)$ ([10], Introduction, (2)) the proof is complete.

2. Splitting rings. Let D be a finitely generated central division algebra over a field F . It is well known that any maximal sub-field K of D is a splitting field for D . In general, let A be a central separable R -algebra. A commutative ring extension of R , S , is called a splitting ring for A if $A \cong \text{Hom}_S(P, P)$ for a finitely generated projective and faithful S -module P ([2], P. 382). It is proved that if a maximal commutative subalgebra K of A is separable then it is a splitting ring for A ([2], Th. 5.6). On the other hand, there exist central separable algebras without strongly separable splitting rings ([4], Ex. 3, P. 148). In general, it is not known which type of central separable algebras has a strongly separable splitting ring. However, we are going to generalize the fact for a division ring to a central separable algebra under our consideration.

Here we recall that K is a maximal commutative R -algebra of A if the commutant A^K of K in A is equal to K . That is, $A^K = \{a/a \text{ in } A \text{ with } as=sa \text{ for all } s \text{ in } K\} = K$ ([4], P. 64). Also, a commutative R -algebra S is called a quasi-separable cover of R if S_x is a locally strongly separable R_x -algebra for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. S is called a separable cover of R if it is a separable quasi-separable cover of R ([7], Def. 2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a central separable R -algebra such that A_x is a division R_x -algebra for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. If K is a maximal commutative subalgebra of A and a finitely generated R -module, then K is a projective splitting ring for A . Moreover, if such a K is a quasi-separable cover of R then K is a strongly separable splitting ring for A .*

Proof. Since A is a central separable R -algebra, it is finitely generated and projective over R . But A_x is a central division R_x -algebra for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, then the finitely generated submodule K of A is projective by Theorem 1.4. Now we claim that K_x is also a maximal commutative subalgebra of A_x for each x . In fact, let b_x be an element in the commutant of K_x in A_x with b in A . Since A_x is a free R_x -module with K_x as a finitely generated submodule, there is a basis of A_x , $\{a_{1x}, \dots, a_{rx}, \dots, a_{mx}\}$ for some integer m , such that a_{1x}, \dots, a_{rx} are in K_x . The proof of Theorem 1.4 implies that there is a basic open set $U(e)$ of x such that $\{ea_1, \dots, ea_r\}$ is a basis for eK over eR . On the other hand, b_x is in the commutant of K_x in A_x , so $b_x a_{ix} = a_{ix} b_x$ for $i=1, \dots, r$. But then there is a basic open set $U(e')$ of x such that $e' b a_i = e' a_i b$ for $i=1, \dots, r$ ([12], (2.9)). Hence we have a basic open set $U(e'')$ of x contained in $U(e) \cap U(e')$ so that $e'' b a_i = e'' a_i b$ for $i=1, \dots, r$ and $\{e'' a_i | i=1, \dots, r\}$ is a basis for $e''K$ over $e''R$. Thus $e''b$ is in the commutant of $e''K$ in $e''A$. Noting that $K \cong e''K \oplus (1-e'')K$, we conclude that $e''b$ is in the commutant of K in A . By hypothesis, $K = A^K$ (= the commutant of K in A), so $e''b$ is in K ; and so $(e''b)_x = b_x$ is in K_x . Therefore $K_x = (A_x)^{K_x}$. That is, K_x is a maximal commutative subalgebra of A_x . But then K_x is a splitting ring for A_x for each x . This implies that K is a splitting ring for A [(6), Cor. 1.11].

Moreover, since K is finitely generated over R and a quasi-separable cover of R by hypothesis, K is a separable cover of R ([7], Prop. 2.3). But K is also projective over R from the first part of this theorem, then it is a strongly separable splitting ring for A . The proof is thus complete.

REMARK. We note that if R_x is a perfect field for each x then K given in the theorem is a quasi-separable cover of R . More properties of such an A can be derived from [11].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Arens and I. Kaplansky, *Topological representation of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1949), 457–481.
2. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **97** (1960), 367–409.
3. J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann, *The representation of biregular rings by sheaves*, Math. Zeitschr., **91** (1966), 103–123.
4. F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
5. J. Lambek, *On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules*, Can. Math. Bull., **14** (1971), 359–368.
6. A. Magid, *Pierce's representation and separable algebras*, Ill. J. Math., **15** (1971), 114–121.
7. ———, *The separable closure of some commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **170** (1972), 109–124.

8. R. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **70**, 1967.
9. J. E. Roos, *Locally distributive spectral categories and strongly regular rings*, Midwest category seminar report, 1967, #47, 156–181.
10. G. Szeto, *On a class of projective modules over central separable algebras II*, Can. Math. Bull., **15** (1972), 411–416.
11. ———, *On the Wedderburn theorem*, Can. J. Math., **3** (1973), 525–530.
12. O. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky, *Galois theory for rings with infinitely many idempotents*, Nagoya J. Math., **35** (1969), 83–98.
13. J. Vrabec, *Adjoining a unit to a biregular ring*, Math Ann., **188** (1970), 219–226.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY,
PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61606.