

most frequent psychiatric ones were anxiety, mood swings and impulsivity disorder. Although heroine (22.5%) and cocaine (17.5%) were the most frequent drugs used, cannabis, benzodiazepines and alcohol was used concomitantly in respectively 24.7%, 28.9% and 29.8% in the last days. Substitution therapy was founded with buprenorphine (Subutex®) in 37.22% and methadone in 5.43%. Among the substituted patients, buprenorphine was most common (76.7%). A regular follow up for the prescription of these products was made by GP (35.8%) or specific unit (47.3%). This data are consistent with the substitution ratio in France but they need to be more fully examined in order to examine the impact of the French policy on harm drug reduction.

FC13.03

THE RECOGNITION OF COMMON MENTAL DISORDER BY NON-PSYCHIATRIC PHYSICIANS IN TAIWAN

S.-I. Liu*, A. Mann. *Department of Psychiatry, SEGP, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College, London, DeCrespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK*

Background and Purpose: To understand how recognition relates to patient factors among two different settings, family physicians and medical specialists, in Taiwan.

Methods: The study took place in two different clinical settings in Taipei county, Taiwan. A two-phase design was used. In phase one, all patients completed a screening questionnaire detailing basic sociodemographic data, reason for consultation, illness attribution, Chinese Health Questionnaire-12, CAGE, 12 life event categories and brief disability questionnaire. In phase two, patients received the further interview with the Revised Clinical Interview Schedule and the Short Explanatory Model Interview. The physicians completed a brief questionnaire about whether the complaints were primarily psychological or physical in origin.

Results: Of 1009 patients who were approached and eligible, 990 completed the screening procedures. The characteristics of patients attending the two settings were significantly different. The overall detection rate of family physicians was better than that of medical specialists. The univariate analyses found that seven variables were associated with detection. Multivariate analysis using logistic regression showed that four factors were found to be associated with detection, including patients' concept of illness, illness attribution, severity of psychopathology and overall impairment. The variable of the type of physician did not exist in this model.

Conclusions: Our results showed that patient factors did affect the detection of physicians. These results may be useful in strategic planning for improving mental health among general medical settings.

FC13.04

UNPLANNED DISCHARGE IN A PSYCHIATRIC DAY CLINIC – TRYING TO DIFFERENTIATE THE REASONS

P. Garlipp*, K.-P. Seidler, K. Amini, W. Machleidt, H. Haltenhof. *Department of Social Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical School Hanover, Germany*

So-called drop-outs respectively unplanned discharges in psychiatric therapy are often initiated by lack of insight into the disease or difficult circumstances of admission in the first place. We were interested in the question how often drop outs are to be noticed in a voluntarily day clinic setting where nearly all psychiatric diseases are to be treated. The charts of all patients of 1998 (n = 65) were screened referring to their mode of discharge and we especially

found out that the term drop out is not defined clearly and multiple reasons may lead to an unplanned discharge, which we tried to differentiate in our investigation. In our opinion the statistically relevant term of drop out or unplanned discharge is not the right one to deal with the individual motivation for the limitation of treatment.

FC13.05

PREDICTING ADMISSIONS IN EMERGENCY PSYCHIATRY

C.L. Mulder*, P.C.A. van der Graaff, P. de Jonge, A.A. de Groot, J.S. Lyons. *RIAGG Rijnmond Zuid; PO Box 5250 3008 AG Rotterdam, The Netherlands*

Background to Study: The number and complexity of problems of patients seen in the psychiatric emergency service often is in contrast with the amount of time available for decision making regarding admission. The criteria for admission of an emergency psychiatric patient may vary from clinician to clinician and from area to area. Therefore, a decision support tool, the Severity of Psychiatric Illness scale (SPI) was developed to support decision making as it occurs (Lyons et al. 1997, *Medical Care* 35: 792–800). The SPI is a 14-item rating scale. Three dimensions of the SPI – Suicide Potential, Danger to Others, and Severity of Symptoms – successfully predicted 73% of level of care (inpatient or outpatient; Lyons et al., 1997). The aims of the present study were to test the reliability of the SPI and to try to replicate the prediction model developed by Lyons et al. in a Dutch sample of patients seen in an emergency psychiatry setting.

Design: Reliability was investigated by 2 raters (a psychiatry resident and a psychiatrist) who independently rated 30 identical patient records. Predicting admission was tested retrospectively by rating 79 other records of emergency psychiatric patients using the SPI. These records contained no information with respect to admission of a patient. Another psychiatrist decided on the basis of the information in the case record on the level of care. A stepwise logistic regression was performed using the dimensions Suicidality, Danger to Others, and Severity of Symptoms.

Results: The reliability of the SPI was 0.73 (kappa). Predicting level of care: we found that the dimensions Suicidality, Danger to Others and Severity of Symptoms significantly predicted 80% of admissions ($p < 0.001$). Using our empirical model, the probability of admission could be expressed as follows $p(\text{Admission}) = 0.51(\text{Suicidality}) + 1.42(\text{Danger to Others}) + 1.13(\text{Severity of Symptoms}) - 3.23$.

Conclusions: An American decision support model for psychiatric hospital admissions was replicated in a retrospective study using case records of a Dutch sample of psychiatric emergency patients. Next, this model will be tested prospectively in an emergency setting. When finding the same results, this model could be used as a decision support tool.

FC13.06

THE CROSS-NATIONAL EPIDEMIOLOGY OF UNEXPLAINED CHRONIC FATIGUE

P. Skapinakis¹*, G. Lewis¹, V. Mavreas². ¹*Division of Psychological Medicine, University of Wales College of Medicine;* ²*Department of Psychiatry, University of Ioannina Medical School, Greece*

a) Background and Aims: There is a relative paucity of research on chronic fatigue illnesses outside some western developed countries. The main aim of the present study is to determine the prevalence and associations of unexplained chronic fatigue from