

he also points out that it is important to engage the full QIS community—government agencies, national laboratories, universities, and industry—when framing the national strategy around the initiative because understanding what each member can contribute will enable the “most progress in this area on a shortened timeline.”

Coordination is a major theme of the bill, which establishes a National Quantum Coordination Office, a Subcommittee on Quantum Information Science, and a National Quantum Initiative Advisory Committee to manage and administer the NQI program. The Coordination Office will oversee implementation of the NQI and is tasked with supporting the Subcommittee and Advisory Committee, serving as the point of contact for the NQI, coordinating efforts and funding activities between government agencies, and conducting public outreach.

The mandated Subcommittee on QIS has already been established (in spring 2018) within the National Science and Technology Council. Jointly chaired by the directors of NIST, NSF, and the Secretary of Energy, the bill requires that

Subcommittee membership must include representatives from NIST, NSF, DOE, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the Department of Defense (DoD), the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), the White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), and any other federal department or agency considered appropriate by the president.

The Subcommittee is responsible for establishing the goals and priorities of the NQI program as well as assessing infrastructure needs, the state of the current QIS workforce, the global outlook on QIS R&D, and opportunities for international cooperation. In addition, coordinating QIS research and budgets across federal agencies falls under the purview of the Subcommittee, which is directed to develop a five-year strategic plan and an annual program budget report for the QIS to be submitted to Congress in conjunction with the president’s annual budget request.

Lastly, the Advisory Committee must be established by the president and include qualified QIS representatives

from industry, academia, and the national laboratories. Tasked with providing independent assessments of trends in QIS as well as progress, implementation, and effectiveness of the NQI, the Advisory Committee is required to submit its findings and recommendations to the president and Congress in a biennial report.

Streamlining QIS R&D is critical because of the possible impacts on both the economy and national security. According to a recent report on quantum computing by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, current methods of encryption could be broken in only a few hours by a quantum computer.

“China has long been investing in this space, and the EU has launched a \$1.1 billion quantum master plan,” says Dozier, adding, “Timing of the bill’s passage is vital in terms of America’s competitiveness.” This is why it is so crucial that scientists engage with policymakers, explains Dozier, saying, “passage of the NQI Act proves that advocacy programs work on a national scale and can affect results within short time frames.”

**Jennifer A. Nekuda Malik**

## EU offers roadmap to increase access to scientific results openup-h2020.eu

The traditional scientific process has long been ruled by the “publish or perish” mantra. This tradition is now shifting, as more research is being made available to a broader audience as part of the move toward open science.

This shift represents a new way of sharing knowledge using digital technologies and new collaborative tools. It has become a core strategy in Europe as a means to disseminate research to a wider audience—a way to boost innovation and competitiveness.

The EU-funded project OPENUP investigated the challenges facing this changing science landscape. For example, the total number of scholarly publications rose by 23% between 2008 and 2014, according to a report by UNESCO.

This increase in output and the demand for more open, transparent, and reproducible science has led to changing requirements for those involved in the research process, such as publishers and funders.

OPENUP examined different aspects of open science, such as peer review for conferences, research data, transferring research to the web, and reaching businesses and the public with research output. The project team launched seven pilot projects to validate their initial findings and test various aspects of the academic review cycle in life sciences, social sciences, arts and humanities, and energy. The pilot projects connected targeted research communities, empowering them to apply and adopt innovative open science approaches.

One of the key goals was to develop policy recommendations: five recommendations with specific actions are now being shared widely to be integrated into decision-making processes.

The recommendations include implementing more projects to test open research impacts, creating incentives to strengthen monitoring of innovative research dissemination, training researchers on alternative research impact measurements, giving more support to implementing new policies, and providing further funding for research into the impact open science has on solving gender and diversity issues.

The project launched the OPENUP hub, a collaborative resource that hosts a catalogue of tools and services. “All the information, methods, and tools are freely available to everyone,” says Project Research Manager Vilius Stanciauskas from the Public Policy and Management Institute in Lithuania. □

MRS<sup>®</sup> ENERGY 

SUSTAINABILITY

Now indexed in  
the Web of Science,  
Emerging Sources  
Citation Index  
(ESCI)

science  technology  socio-economics  policy

TOPICS  
CONTROVERSIAL



EXPERT  
REVIEWS