A NOTE ON THE CARADUS CLASS & OF BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS ON A COMPLEX BANACH SPACE

A. F. RUSTON

1. In a recent paper (1) on meromorphic operators, Caradus introduced the class \mathfrak{F} of bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space X. A bounded linear operator T is put in the class \mathfrak{F} if and only if its spectrum consists of a finite number of poles of the resolvent of T. Equivalently, T is in \mathfrak{F} if and only if it has a rational resolvent (8, p. 314).

Some ten years ago (in May, 1957), I discovered a property of the class \mathfrak{F} which may be of interest in connection with Caradus' work, and is the subject of the present note.

2. THEOREM. Let X be a complex Banach space. If T belongs to the class \mathfrak{F} , and the linear operator S commutes with every bounded linear operator which commutes with T, then there is a polynomial p such that S = p(T).

Suppose that T and S satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be the points of the spectrum of T, which by hypothesis are poles of the resolvent of T, and let $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n$ be the orders of those poles, respectively. Let M_r be the kernel (or "null manifold") of $(T - \lambda_r I)^{\nu_r}$ $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Then $X = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$ (8, p. 317, Theorem 5.9-E). For typographical convenience we write T_r for $T - \lambda_r I$ $(r = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

Now let x be any member of M_r (where r is any integer with $1 \leq r \leq n$). Choose a bounded linear functional f on X such that

$$(T_r^*)^{\nu_r f} = 0$$
 but $(T_r^*)^{\nu_r - 1} f \neq 0;$

such an f exists since λ_r is also a pole of order ν_r of the resolvent of the adjoint T^* of T (3, p. 568, Theorem VII.3.7). We now consider the bounded linear operator

$$V = \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_{r}} T_{r}^{s-1} (x \otimes f) T_{r}^{\nu_{r}-s},$$

where $x \otimes f$ denotes the operator $y \to f(y)x$ on X into itself; cf. (7, p. 110). In view of our choice of x and f, we have:

$$T_r V = \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_r - 1} T_r^s (x \otimes f) T_r^{\nu_r - s}$$
$$= V T_r,$$

Received November 28, 1967.

592

so that V commutes with T_r , and thus with T. Hence (by hypothesis), V commutes with S.

Now

 $f, T_r^*f, (T_r^*)^2f, \ldots, (T_r^*)^{\nu_r-1}f$

are clearly linearly independent (if $\sum_{s=1}^{\nu_{\tau}} \alpha_s (T_{\tau}^*)^{s-1} f = 0$, then

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\nu_{\tau}} \alpha_{s} (T_{\tau}^{*})^{\nu_{\tau}+s-2} f = 0,$$

and hence $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\sum_{s=1}^{r} \alpha_s (T_r^*)^{r+s-3} f = 0$, and therefore $\alpha_2 = 0$, and so on), and thus a point y of X can be found such that

$$[(T_r^*)^{\nu_r-1}f](y) = 1, \qquad [(T_r^*)^{s-1}f](y) = 0 \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, \nu_r - 1),$$

that is,

$$f(T_r^{\nu_r-1}y) = 1, \quad f(T_r^{\nu_r-s}y) = 0 \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, \nu_r)$$

(cf. 2, p. 6, Theorem I.2.2, Corollary 2). Then SVy = VSy, and therefore

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\mathbf{y}_{r}} ST_{r}^{s-1} (x \otimes f) T_{r}^{\nu_{r-s}} y = \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_{r}} T_{r}^{s-1} (x \otimes f) T_{r}^{\nu_{r-s}} Sy,$$

that is,

$$Sx = \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_r} f(T_r^{\nu_r - s} Sy) T_r^{s-1} x$$
$$= \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_r} f(T_r^{\nu_r - s} Sy) (T - \lambda_r I)^{s-1} x.$$

However, the choice of f and y was quite independent of the choice of $x \in M_r$. Hence,

$$Sx = p_r(T)x$$

for every $x \in M_r$, where p_r is the polynomial given by

$$p_r(\lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{\nu_r} f(T_r^{\nu_r-s} Sy) (\lambda - \lambda_r)^{s-1}.$$

Having chosen a polynomial p_r as above for each r = 1, 2, ..., n, we now choose a polynomial p such that

$$p^{(s)}(\lambda_r) = p_r^{(s)}(\lambda_r) \qquad (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu_r - 1; r = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

This can certainly be done; for example we can take

$$p = p_1 \cdot \phi_1 + p_2 \cdot \phi_2 + \ldots + p_n \cdot \phi_n,$$

where ϕ_r is given by

$$\phi_{\tau}(\lambda) = \left[\prod_{\substack{s=1;\\s\neq\tau}}^{n} (\lambda - \lambda_s)^{\nu_s}\right] \Phi_{\tau}(\lambda),$$

 $\Phi_r(\lambda)$ being the sum of the first ν_r terms in the expansion of

$$\left[\prod_{\substack{s=1;\\s\neq r}}^{n} (\lambda - \lambda_s)^{\nu_s}\right]^{-1}$$

as a power series in $\lambda - \lambda_r$ (this generalizes, in effect, the Lagrange interpolation formula, which corresponds to the case $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \ldots = \nu_n = 1$; that such a generalization is possible is, of course, well known; cf. (6; 5; 4); the last two refer specifically to the Hermite interpolation formula, which corresponds to the case $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \ldots = \nu_n = 2$). Then

$$\phi(T)x = \phi_r(T)x = Sx$$

for every $x \in M_{\tau}$ (3, p. 571, Theorem VII.3.16; 8, p. 307, Theorem 5.8-B). Hence,

$$p(T)x = Sx$$

for every $x \in M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n = X$, and therefore S = p(T), as required. Incidentally, $\phi_r(T)$ is the spectral projection of X onto M_r ; cf. (8, § 5.9, p. 319, Problem 3).

Note. Since V is of finite rank, and thus a member of \mathfrak{F} , we have in fact proved the following, slightly stronger, result.

If $T \in \mathfrak{F}$, and the linear operator S commutes with every member \mathfrak{F} which commutes with T, then there is a polynomial p such that S = p(T).

References

- 1. S. R. Caradus, On meromorphic operators. I, Can. J. Math. 19 (1967), 723-736.
- 2. M. M. Day, Normed linear spaces (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958).
- 3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*. I. *General theory* (Interscience, New York, 1958).
- 4. C.-E. Fröberg, Introduction to numerical analysis, pp. 146–148 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1965).
- 5. R. W. Hamming, Numerical methods for scientists and engineers, pp. 96-97 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962).
- 6. H. Jeffreys and B. S. Jeffreys, *Methods of mathematical physics*, p. 246 (Cambridge, at the University Press, 1946).
- 7. A. F. Ruston, On the Fredholm theory of integral equations for operators belonging to the trace class of a general Banach space, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 53 (1951), 109-124.
- 8. A. E. Taylor, Introduction to functional analysis (Wiley, New York, 1958).

University College of North Wales, Bangor, Caernarvonshire

594