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## A FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY FOR THE POLYGAMMA FUNCTIONS

## Horst Alzer

Let

$$
\Delta_{n}(x)=\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!}\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right| \quad(x>0 ; n \in \mathrm{~N})
$$

where $\psi$ denotes the logarithmic derivative of Euler's gamma function. We prove that the functional inequality

$$
\Delta_{n}(x)+\Delta_{n}(y)<1+\Delta_{n}(z), \quad x^{r}+y^{r}=z^{r},
$$

holds if and only if $0<r \leqslant 1$. And, we show that the converse is valid if and only if $r<0$ or $r \geqslant n+1$.

## 1. Introduction

In 1973, Grünbaum [6] presented the following elegant inequality for the Bessel function $J_{0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x)+J_{0}(y) \leqslant 1+J_{0}(z), \quad x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Askey [4] offered a new proof of (1.1) and showed that (1.1) can be extended to $J_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>0$.

$$
J_{\alpha}^{*}(x)+J_{\alpha}^{*}(y) \leqslant 1+J_{\alpha}^{*}(z), \quad x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}
$$

where

$$
J_{\alpha}^{*}(x)=2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(x)
$$

It is natural to ask whether there exist other special functions which satisfy inequalities of Grünbaum-type.

The logarithmic derivative of the gamma function, $\psi=\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma$, is known in the literature as the digamma or psi function. Its derivatives

$$
\psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots
$$
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are called polygamma functions. We have the integral and series representations

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{(n)}(x) & =(-1)^{n+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} \frac{t^{n}}{1-e^{-t}} d t  \tag{1.2}\\
& =(-1)^{n+1} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{n+1}} \quad(x>0 ; n \in \mathrm{~N}) .
\end{align*}
$$

These functions have interesting applications in various fields. In particular, they play an important role in mathematical physics. Their main properties can be found, for instance, in [1, Chapter 6]. Inequalities for digamma and polygamma functions are discussed in [3]. We also refer to [5], where a survey on gamma function inequalities is given.

In this note, we show that the trigamma function $\psi^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+z^{2} \psi^{\prime}(z)<x^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x)+y^{2} \psi^{\prime}(y), \quad x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, (1.3) is a special case of a more general inequality involving the function

$$
\Delta_{n}(x)=\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!}\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right| \quad(x>0 ; n \in \mathrm{~N})
$$

which we provide in the next section.

## 2. Main result

To prove our theorem we need properties of $\Delta_{n}$ and its derivative.
Lemma. Let $n \geqslant 1$ be an integer. The functions $\Delta_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ are strictly increasing on ( $0, \infty$ ). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta_{n}(x)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The monotonicity and the convexity of $\Delta_{n}$ are proved in [2] and [3], respectively. Using the recurrence formula

$$
\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right|=\left|\psi^{(n)}(x+1)\right|+\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}
$$

(see [1, p. 260]), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}(x)=1+\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!}\left|\psi^{(n)}(x+1)\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{n+1}{n!} x^{n}\left|\psi^{(n)}(x+1)\right|-\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!}\left|\psi^{(n+1)}(x+1)\right| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we conclude that (2.1) holds.

We are now in a position to prove (1.3) and its extension to higher derivatives.
Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 1$ be an integer and let $r \neq 0$ be a real number. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}(x)+\Delta_{n}(y)<1+\Delta_{n}(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all positive real numbers $x, y, z$ with $x^{r}+y^{r}=z^{r}$ if and only if $0<r \leqslant 1$. And,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\Delta_{n}(z)<\Delta_{n}(x)+\Delta_{n}(y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for all $x, y, z>0$ with $x^{r}+y^{r}=z^{r}$ if and only if $r<0$ or $r \geqslant n+1$.
Proof: We define for $x, y>0$ :

$$
f_{n, r}(x, y)=1+\Delta_{n}\left(\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r}\right)-\Delta_{n}(x)-\Delta_{n}(y)
$$

First, we assume that $f_{n, r}(x, y)>0$ for all $x, y>0$. Then we obtain

$$
f_{n, r}(x, x)=1+\Delta_{n}\left(2^{1 / r} x\right)-2 \Delta_{n}(x)>0 .
$$

The asymptotic formula

$$
\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right| \sim \frac{(n-1)!}{x^{n}}+\frac{n!}{2 x^{n+1}}+\cdots \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$

(see [1, p. 260]), gives

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_{n}(x)}{x}=\frac{1}{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
0 \leqslant \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n, r}(x, x)}{x}=\frac{1}{n}\left(2^{1 / r}-2\right) .
$$

This leads to $0<r \leqslant 1$.
Next, we prove that if $0<r \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}(x, y)>0 \quad \text { for all } x, y>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r \mapsto\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, we conclude from the Lemma that $r \mapsto f_{n, r}(x, y)$ is also decreasing on ( $0, \infty$ ). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}(x, y) \geqslant f_{n, 1}(x, y)=1+\Delta_{n}(x+y)-\Delta_{n}(x)-\Delta_{n}(y)=g_{n}(x, y), \quad \text { say. } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Lemma again we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_{n}(x, y)=\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x+y)-\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)>0
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(x, y)>g_{n}(0, y)=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) it follows that (2.6) holds.
Now, we consider (2.5). Let $r>0$. We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}(x, y)<0=f_{n, r}(0, y) \quad(x, y>0) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Partial differentiation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x^{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{n, r}(x, y)=x^{r-1-n} \Delta_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r}\right)\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r-1}-\frac{\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{n}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2.3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{n}}=\frac{n+1}{n!}\left|\psi^{(n)}(1)\right| \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an application of the Lemma implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r}\right)\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r-1}=\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(y) y^{1-r}>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.9)-(2.12) we conclude that $r-1-n \geqslant 0$.
It remains to show that if $r<0$ or $r \geqslant n+1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}(x, y)<0 \text { for all } x, y>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r<0$. We have

$$
\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right)^{1 / r}<\min (x, y)
$$

so that the Lemma implies

$$
f_{n, r}(x, y)<1+\Delta_{n}(\min (x, y))-\Delta_{n}(x)-\Delta_{n}(y)<0 .
$$

Let $r \geqslant n+1$ and

$$
s=s_{n}(x, y)=\left(x^{n+1}+y^{n+1}\right)^{1 /(n+1)}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, r}(x, y) \leqslant 1+\Delta_{n}(s)-\Delta_{n}(x)-\Delta_{n}(y)=u_{n}(x, y), \quad \text { say } \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_{n}(x, y)=x^{n}\left[v_{n}(s)-v_{n}(x)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v_{n}(x)=\frac{\Delta_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{n}}
$$

Using

$$
\frac{1}{x}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} d t \quad(x>0)
$$

the integral representation (1.2), and the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\frac{v_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{x}=-\frac{n+2}{x}\left|\psi^{(n+1)}(x)\right|+\left|\psi^{(n+2)}(x)\right|=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} Z_{n}(t) d t \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z_{n}(t)=\frac{t^{n+2}}{1-e^{-t}}-(n+2) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{n+1}}{1-e^{-s}} d s
$$

We have

$$
Z_{n}(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad Z_{n}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{t^{n+2} e^{-t}}{\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{2}}
$$

This implies that $Z_{n}$ is negative on $(0, \infty)$. From (2.16) we find that $v_{n}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Since $s>x$, we obtain from (2.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(x, y)<u_{n}(0, y)=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.17) we conclude that (2.13) is valid.
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