

Primary Plastic Polymers: urgently needed upstream reduction

Juan Baztan* (juan.baztan@uvsq.fr, Versailles SQY University, Paris Saclay component, France)
Bethany Jorgensen (Cornell University)
Bethanie Carney Almroth (University of Gothenburg, Sweden)
Melanie Bergmann (Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Germany)
Trisia Farrelly (Massey University, New Zealand)
Jane Muncke (Food Packaging Forum Foundation, Switzerland)
Kristian Syberg (Roskilde University, Denmark)
Richard Thompson (University of Plymouth, UK)
Justin Boucher (Food Packaging Forum Foundation, Switzerland)
Tara Olsen (Lund University, Sweden)
Juan-José Álava (University of British Columbia, Canada)
Tadele Assefa Aragaw (Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia)
Denis Bailly (Université de Bretagne Occidentale, France)
Jill Bartolotta (The Ohio State University, US)
Arturo Castillo (Utrecht University, Netherlands)
Terrence Collins (Carnegie Mellon University, US)
Mateo Cordier (Versailles SQY University, Paris Saclay component, France)
Francesca De-Falco (University of Plymouth, UK)
Megan Deeney (London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK)
Marina Fernandez (Instituto de Biología y Medicina Experimental, Argentina)
Sarah Gall (University of Plymouth, UK)
Tom Gammage (James Cook University, Australia)
Jean-François Ghiglione (CNRS, Sorbonne University, France)
Sedat Gündogdu (Çukurova University, Turkey)
Teis Hansen (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)
Ibrahim Issifu (The University of British Columbia, Canada)
Doris Knoblauch (Ecologic Institute, Germany)
Melissa Wang (University of Exeter, UK)
Karin Kvale (GNS Science, New Zealand)
Baptiste Monsaingeon (University of Reims Champagne-Ardenne, France)
Sangcheol Moon (University of California, Berkeley, US)
Carmen Morales-Caselles (Cádiz University, Spain)
Stephanie Reynaud (Pau University, France)
Andrés Rodríguez-Seijo (Vigo University, Spain)
Peter Stoett (University of Ontario, Canada)
Rufino Varea (University of the South Pacific, Fiji)

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.
10.1017/plc.2024.8

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

Costas Velis (University of Leeds, UK)

Patricia Villarrubia-Gómez (Stockholm Resilience Centre, Sweden)

Martin Wagner (Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway).

The fourth session of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC-4) to develop a legally binding global Plastics Treaty takes place in Ottawa, Canada, at the end of April 2024. As Inger Andersen (UNEP Executive Director) reminded us in her opening plenary remarks at INC-3:

the resolution called for an instrument that is, and I quote: “Based on a comprehensive approach that addresses the full life cycle of plastic. Not a plastic instrument that deals with plastic pollution from recycling or waste management alone, the full life cycle and this means rethinking everything along the chain from polymer to production, from product to packaging. We need to use fewer virgin materials, less plastic and no harmful chemicals. We need to ensure that we use and reuse, and recycle resources more efficiently. And dispose safely of what is left over. This is how we protect human and ecosystem health...”

Plastics production doubled between 2000 and 2019, with 460 million tonnes produced in 2019 alone (OECD 2022). With cumulative production reaching 10,000 million tonnes in 2020, we have a growing body of evidence about the harmful impacts of plastics on human and environmental health and climate (Cabernard et al., 2022; Muncke et al., 2023; Trasande et al., 2024). The evidence base shows that due to the persistent and cumulative nature of plastic pollution (Geyer et al., 2017), plastic removal and recycling technologies cannot keep pace with the scale of the global plastic pollution crisis (e.g. Borelle et al 2020; Lau et al 2020; OECD 2022). Even if plastics production is reduced between the range of 1%-3% per year, global plastic pollution will continue its upward trend as cumulative production reaches at least 20,000 million metric tonnes of plastic by 2040 (Scientists' Coalition, 2024a). In light of this, we call for an ambitious global reduction target for “virgin plastics” or Primary Plastic Polymers (PPP), defined as “plastic materials made of synthetic and semi-synthetic polymers that are used for the first time to create plastic products in any form, including thermoplastic, thermoset, elastomer, and composite resins made from bio-based and fossil-based feedstocks” (Scientists' Coalition, 2024b).

The transition away from non-essential single-use plastics is fundamental for ending plastic pollution. The growing production and substantive subsidies to oil and gas extraction are keeping the price of PPP artificially low, with severe economic, societal and environmental repercussions (EEA, 2021). The global market has been flooded with cheap PPP, resulting in conditions where recycled plastics cannot compete with virgin plastics and are losing market shares despite global efforts to enhance plastics recycling. The low price of fossil plastic feedstock further favors production and consumption of single-use (UNDP, n.d.) and short-lived plastics (OECD, 2022). This means that market incentives are weak for producing durable plastic products. Furthermore, because single-use and short-lived products typically have very low recycling potential, their risk of material loss from the supply chain and their likelihood of ending up as pollution in the environment is high. By implementing a legally binding global PPP reduction target, the material becomes more valuable as less is produced, which in turn can help overcome the growing negative economic, societal, environmental, and human health impacts of non-essential plastics (McGlade et al., 2021). Additionally, we recommend plastics and their alternatives and substitutes be assessed against the ‘essential use concept’ (Cousins et al., 2021) as currently applied in the Montreal Protocol. The further up the supply chain the global responses are, the safer, more affordable, and effective the implementation mechanisms will be (Simon et al., 2020).

The high complexity and low transparency of the petrochemical industry coupled with the lack of willingness to disclose production data also presents a significant challenge to establishing effective global and national reduction targets. The development of robust essentiality, hazard-based safety,

sustainability, and transparency criteria will be crucial in guiding the development and implementation of effective PPP reduction targets.

Finally, we stress the need for mandatory, time-bound global and national PPP reduction targets. The evidence is clear: We must go upstream to the source of the global plastics crisis and turn off the plastic pollution tap by reducing Primary Plastic Polymers production.

References

- Borrelle, S. B., Ringma, J., Law, K. L., Monnahan, C. C., Lebreton, L., McGivern, A., ... & Rochman, C. M. (2020). Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate plastic pollution. *Science*, 369(6510), 1515-1518.
- Cabernard, L., Pfister, S., Oberschelp, C. *et al.* Growing environmental footprint of plastics driven by coal combustion. *Nat Sustain* 5, 139–148 (2022).
- Cousins, I. T., De Witt, J. C., Glüge, J., Goldenman, G., Herzke, D., Lohmann, R., ... & Wang, Z. (2021). Finding essentiality feasible: common questions and misinterpretations concerning the “essential-use” concept. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 23(8), 1079-1087.
- European Environment Agency (EEA). (2022) Plastics, the circular economy and Europe’s environment. A priority for action. DOI: 10.2800/5847
- Geyer R., Jambeck J-R, Lavender-Law K., (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science. Advances*, Vol. 3, No. 7.
- Lau, W. W., Shiran, Y., Bailey, R. M., Cook, E., Stuchtey, M. R., Koskella, J., ... & Palardy, J. E. (2020). Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science*, 369(6510), 1455-1461.
- McGlade, J., Samy Fahim, I., Green, D., Landrigan, P., Andrady, A., Costa, M., ... & Turra, A. (2021). From pollution to solution: a global assessment of marine litter and plastic pollution.
- Muncke J, Andersson AM, Backhaus T, Belcher SM, Boucher JM, Carney Almroth B, Collins TJ, Geueke B, Groh KJ, Heindel JJ, von Hippel FA, Legler J, Maffini MV, Martin OV, Peterson Myers J, Nadal A, Nerin C, Soto AM, Trasande L, Vandenberg LN, Wagner M, Zimmermann L, Thomas Zoeller R, Scheringer M. (2023) A vision for safer food contact materials: Public health concerns as drivers for improved testing. *Environment International*, 108161.
- OECD. (2022). *Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/de747aef-en>
- Scientists’ Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty. (2024a). Primary Plastic Polymers:urgently needed Upstream Reduction. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10906376
- Scientists’ Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty. (2024b). Scientists’ Coalition’s response to the revised draft text of the international legally binding instrument on plastic pollution, including the marine environment (UNEP/PP/INC. 4/3).
- Simon, N., Raubenheimer, K., Urho, N., Unger, S., Azoulay, D., Farrelly, T., ... & Weiland, L. (2021). A binding global agreement to address the life cycle of plastics. *Science*, 373(6550), 43-47.
- Trasande, L., Nelson, M. E., Al Shawabkeh, A., Barrett, E. S., Buckley, J. P., Dabelea, D., Dunlop, A. L., Herbstman, J. B., Meeker, J. D., Naidu, M., Newschaffer, C., Padula, A. M., Romano, M. E., Ruden, D. M., Sathyanarayana, S., Schantz, S. L., Starling, A. P., Hamra, G. B., Smith, P.,...Karr, C. (2024). Prenatal phthalate exposure and adversary birth outcomes in the USA: A prospective analysis of births and estimates of attributable burden and costs. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 8(2), e74–e85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(23\)00270-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00270-X)
- United Nations Development Programme. (n.d.). *Plastics 101: A quick guide to the global plastics negotiations* . United Nations Development Programme. <https://www.undp.org/plastics-101>