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## On the Distribution of Irreducible Trinomials

Igor E. Shparlinski

Abstract. We obtain new results about the number of trinomials $t^{n}+a t+b$ with integer coefficients in a box $(a, b) \in[C, C+A] \times[D, D+B]$ that are irreducible modulo a prime $p$. As a by-product we show that for any $p$ there are irreducible polynomials of height at most $p^{1 / 2+o(1)}$, improving on the previous estimate of $p^{2 / 3+o(1)}$ obtained by the author in 1989.

## 1 Introduction

For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, we consider the family of trinomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a, b}(T)=T^{n}+a T+b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with integer coefficients.
Given a prime $p$ and a box

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=[C, C+A] \times[D, D+B] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some real numbers $A, B, C, D$ we denote by $N_{n, p}(\Pi)$ the number of pairs of integers $(a, b) \in \Pi$, such that $f_{a, b}(T)$ is irreducible modulo $p$.

Using some ideas of [3], we obtain an asymptotic formula for $N_{n, p}(\Pi)$ that is nontrivial, provided that the side lengths $A$ and $B$ of $\Pi$ satisfy

$$
\min \{A, B\} \geq p^{1 / 4+\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad A B \geq p^{1+\varepsilon}
$$

for some fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $p$.
More precisely, we have the following result.
Theorem 1 For a prime $p$ and a box $\Pi$ given by (2) for some real numbers $A, B, C, D$ with $p>A, B \geq 1$, we have the bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|N_{n, p}(\Pi)-\frac{1}{n} A B\right| \\
& \leq \min \left\{A B^{1-1 / \nu} p^{(\nu+1) /\left(4 \nu^{2}\right)}+A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad A^{1-1 / \nu} B p^{(\nu+1) /\left(4 \nu^{2}\right)}+A B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 4}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2}\right\} p^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$ with any fixed integer $\nu \geq 1$, where the function implied by o(1) depends only on $n$ and $\nu$.

[^0]Let $h_{n}(p)$ denote the smallest height of monic polynomials of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ that are irreducible modulo $p$ (recall the height is the largest absolute value of the coefficients).

In particular, taking $A=B=\left\lceil p^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right\rceil$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ and $C=D=0$, choosing $\nu=1$ in Theorem[1, we obtain $N_{n, p}(\Pi)=p^{\varepsilon} / n+O\left(p^{\varepsilon / 2}\right)$ for the corresponding box $\Pi$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, we derive the following corollary.
Corollary 2 For all primes $p, h_{n}(p) \leq p^{1 / 2+o(1)}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Corollary 2 improves the previous estimate of $h_{n}(p) \leq p^{2 / 3+o(1)}$ of [8] obtained in 1989 (the proof also uses irreducible trinomials), see also [10, Theorem 3.11].

We also remark that it follows from a result of L. M. Adleman and H. W. Lenstra [1] that, under the Extended Riemann Hypothesis, there are irreducible modulo $p$ monic polynomials of height $O\left(\log ^{2 n} p\right)$. It is further shown in [9] that for any fixed $n \geq 2$ and an arbitrary function $\vartheta(x) \rightarrow \infty$, for almost all primes $p$ in the interval [ $N-M, N$ ] of length $M>N^{7 / 12+\varepsilon}$ (with arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ ) there is an irreducible modulo $p$ polynomial of degree $n$ and of height at most $\vartheta(p)$. However Corollary 2 appears to be the strongest known unconditional result that holds for all primes.

## 2 Preparations

### 2.1 Notation

Throughout this paper, we use $U=O(V), U \ll V$, and $V \gg U$ as equivalents of the inequality $|U| \leq c V$ for some constant $c>0$, which may depend only on the integer parameters $n$ and $\nu$.

We write $\log x$ for the maximum of 1 and the natural logarithm of $x$, thus we always have $\log x \geq 1$.

For a prime $p$, we use $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ to denote the field of $p$ elements which we assume to be represented by the set $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

Let $X_{p}$ be the set of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$; we refer to [7, Chapter 3] for the necessary background on multiplicative characters. We also use $\chi_{0}$ to denote the principal character of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $X_{p}^{*}=X_{p} \backslash\left\{\chi_{0}\right\}$ to denote the set of nonprincipal characters.

### 2.2 Character Sums

We recall the following orthogonality relations. For any divisor $d \mid p-1$,

$$
\frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in X_{p}  \tag{3}\\ \chi^{d}=\chi_{0}}} \chi(w)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } w=u^{d} \text { for some } u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \chi_{1}(r) \bar{\chi}_{2}(r)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \chi_{1}=\chi_{2}  \tag{4}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in X_{p}$ (here, $\bar{\chi}_{2}$ is the character obtained from $\chi_{2}$ by complex conjugation).

The following result combines the Pólya-Vinogradov bound (for $\nu=1$ ) with the Burgess bounds (for $\nu \geq 2$ ); see [7, Theorems 12.5 and 12.6]:

Lemma 3 Uniformly for all primes $p$, and real $X, Y$ with $p>X \geq 1$, for all characters $\chi \in X_{p}^{*}$, we have

$$
\left|\sum_{Y \leq x \leq Y+X} \chi(x)\right| \leq p^{(\nu+1) /\left(4 \nu^{2}\right)+o(1)} X^{1-1 / \nu}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$ with any fixed integer $\nu \geq 1$, where the function implied by $o(1)$ depends only on $\nu$.

The next bound is due to Ayyad, Cochrane and Zheng [2, Theorem 2]; see also the result of Friedlander and Iwaniec [6].

Lemma 4 Uniformly for all real $X, Y$ with $p>X \geq 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{Y \leq x \leq Y+X} \chi(x)\right|^{4} \leq p X^{2+o(1)}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

### 2.3 Irreducibility

We recall a very special case of a result of S. D. Cohen [4] about the distribution of irreducible polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $q$ elements, see also [5].

Let $\mathcal{T}_{n, p}$ be the set of pairs $(r, s)$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ such that $f_{r, s}(T)$ given by (11) is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Lemma 5 For any prime p,

$$
\# \mathcal{T}_{n, p}=\frac{1}{n} p^{2}+O\left(p^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

We also make the following trivial observation.
Lemma 6 If a trinomial $f_{r, s}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$ is irreducible, then so are all trinomials $f_{r u^{n-1}, s u^{n}}(T)$ with $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$.

Clearly, for $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ there are exactly $p-1$ distinct polynomials that can be obtained this way.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

### 3.1 Idea of the Proof

We see from Lemma 6 that in order to establish the desired result it is enough, for a given irreducible trinomial $f_{r, s}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$, to estimate the cardinality of the set
$\mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; r, s)$ of $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ such that the residues modulo $p$ of $r u^{n-1}$ and $s u^{n}$ which belong to the intervals $[C, C+A]$ and $[D, D+B]$, respectively, where $\Pi$ is given by (2).

One certainly expects $\# \bigcup_{n, p}(\Pi ; r, s)$ to be about $A B / p$, and our task is to prove this for as small values of $A$ and $B$ as possible. We also note that it is enough to estimate the deviation $\left|\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; r, s)-A B / p\right|$ on average over all $r, s \in \mathcal{T}_{n, p}$. Furthermore, since by Lemma 5 this is set very large, we can simply estimate the above deviation on average over all $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Thus we concentrate on the distribution of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(r u^{n-1}, s u^{n}\right): u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

inside of the box $\Pi$, on average over $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
In fact, a similar argument has already been used in [8]. However, here we follow the technique of [3], which is based on the use of the character sum instead of exponential sums (which were used in [8]). This allows us to use some rather powerful tools which have no analogues for exponential sums (such as Lemmas 3and 4). In turn, this leads to stronger results.

### 3.2 Simultaneous Distribution of Powers in Intervals

Let

$$
\sigma_{p}(U)=\max _{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}} \max _{V \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{1,\left|\sum_{V \leq u \leq V+U} \chi(u)\right|\right\} .
$$

We begin by investigating the distribution of the second component $s u^{n}$ of the pairs (5). Accordingly, for an interval $\mathcal{J}=[D, D+B]$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ we define

$$
\mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}: s u^{n} \equiv w(\bmod p), \text { where } w \in \mathcal{J}\right\} .
$$

We have the following asymptotic formula for the cardinality of $\mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)$ :
Lemma 7 For all primes $p$, intervals $\mathcal{J}=[D, D+B]$ with $p>B \geq 1$, and $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, we have

$$
\# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)=B+O\left(\sigma_{p}(B)\right) .
$$

Proof Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, p-1)$. By the orthogonality relation (3), for all $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ we have

$$
\#\left\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}: u^{n}=w\right\}=\#\left\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}: u^{d}=w\right\}=\sum_{\substack{\chi \in X_{p} \\ \chi^{d}=\chi_{0}}} \chi(w) .
$$

Let $\bar{s}$ be an integer such that $s \bar{s} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Separating the contribution of $B+O(1)$ from the principal character $\chi_{0}$, we see that

$$
\# U_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)=\sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{J}\\}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in X_{p} \\ \chi^{d}=\chi_{0}}} \chi(\bar{s} w)=B+O(1)+\sum_{\substack{\chi \in X_{p}^{*} \\ \chi^{d}=\chi_{0}}} \bar{\chi}(s) \sum_{w \in \mathcal{J}} \chi(w) .
$$

Since the inner sum is bounded by $\sigma_{p}(B)$ and

$$
\#\left\{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}: \chi^{d}=\chi_{0}\right\}=d-1<n
$$

the result follows.

We now take into account the distribution of the first component $r u^{n-1}$ of the pairs (5). For a box $\Pi$ given by (2) and $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ we define

$$
\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{F} ; s): r u^{n-1} \equiv v(\bmod p), \text { where } v \in \mathcal{J}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}=[C, C+A]$ and, as before, $\mathcal{J}=[D, D+B]$.
Lemma 8 For all primes $p$, boxes $\Pi$ given by (2) for some real numbers $A, B, C, D$ with $p>A, B \geq 1$, and $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, we have

$$
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A \cdot \# \bigcup_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p}\right| \leq A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}
$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof We can assume that $A B>p$, since the result is trivial otherwise. Indeed, if $A B \leq p$, then $A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} \geq A B$, while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A \cdot \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)+A \cdot \# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s) \ll A B
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, let $\bar{a}$ be an integer such that $a \bar{a} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Using (3) and separating the contribution of $(A+O(1)) \# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)$ from the principal character $\chi_{0}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \mathcal{U}_{r, s} & (A, B ; p) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}} \chi\left(r u^{n-1} \bar{a}\right) \\
& =\frac{A \cdot \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p-1}+O(1) \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}} \chi(r) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{O} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{J}} \bar{\chi}(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{A \cdot \# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p}-\frac{A \cdot \# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p-1} \ll \frac{A \cdot \# \mathfrak{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p^{2}} \ll \frac{A B}{p^{2}} \ll 1
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A \cdot \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p}\right| \ll p+W \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
W=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}} \chi(r) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{J}} \bar{\chi}(a)\right| .
$$

By the Cauchy inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{2} \leq & \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}} \chi(r) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\partial ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{J}} \bar{\chi}(a)\right|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in X_{p}^{*}} \sum_{u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\not \partial ; s)} \chi_{1}\left(u_{1}^{n-1}\right) \bar{\chi}_{2}\left(u_{2}^{n-1}\right) \\
& \sum_{a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{J}} \bar{\chi}_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \chi_{1}(r) \bar{\chi}_{2}(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the orthogonality relation (4) we deduce that

$$
W^{2} \leq \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{F} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi(a)\right|^{2}
$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality again, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{4} \leq \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{\partial} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right|^{4} \cdot \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi(a)\right|^{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second sum is of size $O\left(p^{1+o(1)} A^{2}\right)$ by Lemma4 For the first sum, we extend the summation to include the trivial character $\chi=\chi_{0}$, obtaining

$$
\sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{Z} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right|^{4} \leq \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}}\left|\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)} \chi\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right|^{4}=p T
$$

where $T$ is the number of solutions to the congruence

$$
u_{1}^{n-1} u_{2}^{n-1} \equiv u_{3}^{n-1} u_{4}^{n-1}(\bmod p), \quad u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4} \in \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)
$$

Note that $T$ does not exceed the number of quadruples $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right)$ in $\mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)^{4}$ for which

$$
u_{1}^{n(n-1)} u_{2}^{n(n-1)} \equiv u_{3}^{n(n-1)} u_{4}^{n(n-1)}(\bmod p)
$$

Since $s u_{j}^{n} \equiv w_{j}(\bmod p)$ for some $w_{j}$ with $w_{j} \in \mathcal{J}$, and each $w_{j}$ corresponds to at most $n$ values of $u_{j}$, it follows that $T \leq n^{4} R$, where $R$ is the number of solutions to the congruence

$$
w_{1}^{n-1} w_{2}^{n-1} \equiv w_{3}^{n-1} w_{4}^{n-1}(\bmod p), \quad w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4} \in \mathcal{J}
$$

Clearly, $R \leq(n-1) Q$, where $Q$ is the largest number of solutions to the congruence

$$
w_{1} w_{2} \equiv \rho w_{3} w_{4}(\bmod p), \quad w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4} \in \mathcal{J}
$$

taken over all integers $\rho$ with $\rho^{n-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}} \sum_{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4} \in \mathcal{J}} \chi\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(\rho w_{3} w_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}}\left|\sum_{w \in \mathcal{J}} \chi(w)\right|^{4} \\
& =\frac{(B+O(1))^{4}}{p-1}+\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{*}}\left|\sum_{w \in \mathcal{J}} \chi(w)\right|^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and using Lemma 4 again, we see that

$$
T \ll R \ll Q \ll B^{4} p^{-1}+B^{2} p^{o(1)}
$$

Collecting the above estimates and substituting them into (7) we deduce that

$$
W^{4} \ll p^{2+o(1)} A^{2}\left(B^{4} p^{-1}+B^{2}\right)
$$

which together with (6) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \mid & \left.\# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A \cdot \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\mathcal{J} ; s)}{p} \right\rvert\, \\
& \ll p+A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $A B>p$ we have $p<A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2}$, and the result follows.
Combining Lemmas 7 and 8 we immediately obtain:
Corollary 9 For all primes $p$, boxes $\Pi$ given by (2) for some real numbers $A, B, C, D$ with $p>A, B \geq 1$, and $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \mid & \left.\# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A B}{p} \right\rvert\, \\
& \ll A \sigma_{p}(B)+A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 Concluding the Proof

We say that two trinomials $f_{r_{1}, s_{1}}(T), f_{r_{2}, s_{2}}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$ are equivalent if $r_{1}=u^{n-1} r_{2}$ and $s_{1}=u^{n} s_{2}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Clearly all trinomials $f_{r, s}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ fall into $p-1$ equivalent classes of $p-1$ elements each.

Thus, we see from Lemma6that

$$
N_{n, p}(\Pi ; r, s)=\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r, s) \in \mathcal{J}_{n, p}} \# \mathcal{U}_{n, p}(\Pi ; r, s)+O(p)
$$

(where the term $O(p)$ accounts for the contribution coming from irreducible binomials).

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{n, p}(\Pi)-\frac{\# \mathcal{T}_{n, p}}{p(p-1)} A B & =\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r, s) \in \mathcal{T}_{n, p}}\left(\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A B}{p}\right)+O(p) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r, s) \in \mathcal{T}_{n, p}}\left|\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A B}{p}\right|+O(p) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\# U_{n, p}(\Pi ; s, r)-\frac{A B}{p}\right|+O(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma5 and Corollary 9 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{n, p}(\Pi)-\frac{1}{n} A B \\
& \quad \ll A B p^{-1 / 2}+A \sigma_{p}(B)+A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}+p
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8, we can assume that $A B>p$ since the bound of Theorem 1 is trivial otherwise. In this case

$$
A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} \geq p
$$

Since $p>A, B \geq 1$, we also have

$$
A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} \geq A B p^{-1 / 2}
$$

Therefore (3.3) simplifies as

$$
N_{n, p}(\Pi)-\frac{1}{n} A B \ll A \sigma_{p}(B)+A^{1 / 2} B p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}
$$

It is easy to see that the roles of $r$ and $s$ can be interchanged in the above arguments, and this leads to the bound

$$
N_{n, p}(\Pi)-\frac{1}{n} A B \ll \sigma_{p}(A) B+A B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 4+o(1)}+A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2+o(1)}
$$

Recalling Lemma3, we conclude the proof.
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