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Abstract
In the restricted problem of three point masses, the positions of the equilibrium points are well known and are tabulated. When the satellite is a rigid body, these values no longer correspond to the equilibrium points. This paper seeks to determine the magnitudes of the discrepancies.

## 1. Introduction

In the restricted problem of three point masses the positions of the three collinear equilibrium points have been extensively calculated (Szebehely, 1969). It has always been an attractive feature that the other two points make equilateral triangles with the primaries.

In this paper the possibility is considered that the satellite may be a rigid body rather than a point mass. Since the satellite is always regarded as extremely small, this assumption is unlikely to have noticeable effects on the overall picture. However, being small, it may have an appreciable effect on the positions of the equilibrium points as viewed from the satellite.

It is assumed that the centre of mass of the satellite is situated at an equilibrium point and that the attitude of the satellite is one of stable equilibrium as described in an earlier paper by the author (Robinson, 1974).
2. Description of the system

The primaries are point bodies with masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ located at points $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. They rotate with constant angular velocity $\underline{\omega}$ about their common mass centre 0 under the action of their mutual gravitational attractions. The distance $A_{1} A_{2}$ is constant. Choosing the units of mass, length and time so that $m_{1}+m_{2}=1, A_{1} A_{2}=1$ and $|\underline{\omega}|=\omega=1$ respectively, the gravitational constant also takes the value 1.

A rectangular coordinate frame $O X Y Z$ is chosen so that $O Z$ is the axis of rotation and $A_{1}$ has coordinates ( $m_{2}, 0,0$ ).
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The satellite has mass $m$, its centre of mass is located at $G$ and

$$
\overrightarrow{A_{1} G}=r_{1}, \quad \overrightarrow{O G}=\underline{r}, \quad \overrightarrow{A_{2} G}=\underline{r}_{2} .
$$

The mass $m$ is assumed to be so small in comparison with the masses of the primaries that their motion is unaffected by its presence.

When the satellite is a point mass, its equation of motion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\underline{\underline{ }}}+2 \underline{\omega} \times \underline{\underline{r}}+\underline{\omega} \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r})=-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \hat{r}_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $\dot{\underline{r}}$ and $\ddot{\underline{\underline{ }}}$ are the time derivatives relative to the rotating frame OXYZ. $\hat{\underline{r}}_{1}$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\underline{r}_{1}$ and $r_{1}=r_{1} \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}$. The symbol $\Lambda$ is always followed by an expression referring to $m_{1}$. The symbol means that a similar expression referring to $m_{2}$ has been omitted. Thus the symmetry of the expressions in terms of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ is used to shorten the written equations. In the simplest case $\Lambda m_{1}=1$.

In the case where the satellite is a rigid body, let P be the position of an element of the body of mass $\mu$. With
it follows that $\overrightarrow{A_{1} P}=\underline{\rho}_{1}, \quad \overrightarrow{O P}=\underline{\rho}, \quad \overrightarrow{A_{2} P}=\underline{\rho}_{2}$ and $\overrightarrow{G P}=\underline{o}$ it follows that

$$
\Sigma \mu \underline{\rho}_{1}=m r={ }_{-1}, \quad \Sigma \mu \underline{\rho}=m r \text { and } \Sigma \mu \underline{\sigma}=\underline{0}
$$ and the equation of motion of the satellite is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\{\underline{\ddot{r}}+2 \underline{\omega} \times \underline{\dot{\underline{ }}}+\underline{\omega} \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r})\}=-\Lambda m_{1} \sum \frac{\mu \underline{\hat{\rho}}_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma$ indicates summation over all the elements of the satellite.
Since $\frac{\rho}{Q_{1}}=\underline{r}_{1}+\frac{\sigma}{}$ and $\frac{\sigma}{\Gamma_{1}}$ is usually an extremely small quantity, a polynomial $\frac{Q_{n}, m}{}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)$ may be defined for non-negative integral values of $n$ and $m$ by the equation

$$
\frac{1}{\rho_{1}^{n}}=\frac{1}{r_{1}^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\sigma}{r_{1}}\right)^{m} Q_{n, m}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=\left(\underline{\hat{\sigma}}, \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}\right)$ is the cosine of an angle. When $m<0, Q_{n, m}(\alpha)$ is defined as having the value 0 . Some of the properties of $Q_{n, m}(\alpha)$ are listed in Appendix 1.

Equation (2.2) can now be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& m\left\{\underline{\ddot{r}}+2 \underline{\omega} \times \underline{m_{1}} \frac{\dot{r}}{\infty}+\underline{\omega} \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r})\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =-\Lambda \frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \sum_{s=0}^{\underline{s}}\left(-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right)\left\{\underline{\hat{r}}_{1} S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ are scalar and vector moments of the satellite about $G$ which are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum \mu \sigma^{s} Q_{3, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum \mu \sigma^{s-1} Q_{3, s-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$



Diagram illustrating the notation of Section 2.

It can be seen that if $a$ is a length commensurate with the linear dimensions of the satellite then $S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $T_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ have magnitudes of the order of $\mathrm{ma}^{\mathrm{s}}$. Some of the lower order moments are calculated in Appendices 2 and 3 .

## 3. The equilibrium points

.The positions of the equilibrium points are found by placing $\ddot{\underline{r}}=\underline{r}=\underline{O}$ in the equation of motion and then solving the resulting equations.

In the case of the point mass satellite the five equilibrium
points are usually denoted by $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$, the first three being the collinear points the remaining two being the equilateral points.

If $L_{0}$ is one of these points and

$$
\overrightarrow{\hat{A}_{1} L_{0}}=\underline{r}_{01}, \quad \overrightarrow{O L}_{0}=\underline{r}_{0}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega} \times\left(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r}_{0}\right)=-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{2}} \underline{\underline{r}}_{01} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding equation for a rigid body satellite is

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \underline{\omega} \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{r})=-m \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \underline{\hat{r}}_{1} \\
& -\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \sum_{s=2}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right)^{s}\left\{\hat{\underline{r}}_{1} S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

the values of $S_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), S_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), T_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $T_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ having been substituted in Equation (2.3).

The convergence of the infinite series depends on the moment of inertia terms rather than on the inverse powers of $r_{1}$.

It is clear that Equations (3.1) and (3.2) have the same solutions only when all the moments of the satellite about $G$ vanish. Since the differences between the two equations are very small, it is to be expected that their solutions will differ by similarly small amounts. If $G$ is at the point $L$, the equilibrium point of Equation (3.2) corresponding to the point $L_{0}$, let $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{L}_{0}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}}=\underline{\varepsilon}$. It follows that $\underline{r}_{1}=\underline{r}_{01}+\underline{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{1}^{n}}=\frac{1}{r_{01}^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{m} Q_{n, m}\left(\beta_{01}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{01}=\underline{\hat{r}}_{01} \cdot \underline{\hat{\varepsilon}}$, the expansion being possible on the assumption that $\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}$ is sufficiently small.

Regarding $\underline{r}_{01}$ as a known quantity (Szebehely, 1967), the object is to determine the displacement vector $\varepsilon$, Equation (3.1) being regarded as a first approximation to Equation (3.2).

Under these circumstances the following expansions are necessary.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{s} \alpha_{s 1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{p} S_{s p 1}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{p} \underline{T}_{s p_{1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{S_{1}}, S_{s p 1}$ and $\underline{T}_{s p 1}$ are functions of $\varepsilon, \underline{r}_{01}$ and the moments of inertia. These coefficients are discussed in Appendix 4.

Equations (3.1) and (3.2) now reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \underline{\omega} \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{\varepsilon})=-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{2}} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{s} \underline{V}_{0501} \\
& -\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{2}} \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{t+p}}{\left(-r_{01}\right)^{s+t+p}} \underline{V}_{s t p 1} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{V}_{s t p 1}= & \left\{\hat{\underline{r}}_{01} Q_{3+s, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right)-\underline{\hat{\varepsilon}} Q_{3+s, t-1}\left(\beta_{01}\right)\right\} S_{s p 1} \\
& -Q_{2+s, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right) \underline{T}_{s p 1} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The properties of $V_{s, t}$ are listed in Appendix 5. The suffices have the following significances. $s$ is the order of the moments contained in $\underline{V}_{s t p 1}$ and $(t+p)$ is the power of the associated term in $\varepsilon$ and $s+t+p$ the power of $\frac{1}{r_{01}}$.

With $m$ and $a$, a characteristic dimension of the satellite, as the very small parameters which determine the relative magnitudes of the terms in Equation (3.7), the linearized form of the equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
m \underline{\omega} & \times(\underline{\omega} \times \underline{\varepsilon})-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}} \varepsilon \underline{V}_{0101} \\
& =-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{r_{01}}\right)^{p} \underline{V}_{p 001} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p$ is the smallest positive integer exceeding 1 which gives a nonzero solution.
4. The collinear equilibrium points

At the collinear points $\hat{\underline{r}}_{01}=\left[\lambda_{1}, 0,0\right]$ and $\underline{\underline{r}}_{02}=\left[\lambda_{2}, 0,0\right]$ where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ have the values $-1,-1$ at $L_{1} ;-1,+1$ at $L_{2}$ and $+1,+1$ at $L_{3}$.

It follows that $\underline{\varepsilon}=\xi \underline{i}+n \underline{j}+\xi \underline{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \xi\left(1+2 \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}}\right) & =\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{a+2}}(-1)^{a} \underline{V}_{a 001} \cdot \underline{i} \\
m \eta\left(1-\Lambda \frac{m_{01}}{r_{01}^{3}}\right) & =\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{012}^{b+2}}(-1)^{b} \underline{V}_{b 001} \cdot \frac{j}{m_{01}} \\
m \zeta \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}} & =\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{0+2}^{c}}(-1)^{c+1} \underline{V}_{c 001} \cdot \underline{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{i}, \underline{j}$ and $k$ are the unit vectors along the axes of $O X Y Z$ and $a, b$ and $c$ are the smallest integers greater than $l$ which give non-zero terms.

It has been shown (Robinson, 1974), that if the centre of mass is held at a collinear equilibrium point, the principal axes of the satellite align themselves parallel to the axes of OXYZ when the satellite is at relative rest. If $A, B$ and $C$ are the principal moments about $O X$, $O Y$ and $O Z$ respectively the satellite reaches a stable attitude with $C>B>A$. For some bodies there is a second possibility with $B>A>C$.

If the satellite is such that $2 A \neq B+C$, then

$$
\underline{V}_{2001}=\frac{3}{2} \lambda_{1}(-2 A+B+C)[1,0,0]
$$

so that

$$
m \xi\left(1+2 \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}}\right)=\frac{3}{2}(-2 A+B+C) \Lambda \frac{m_{1} \lambda_{1}}{r_{01}^{4}}
$$

To obtain $\eta$ and $\zeta$, the third moments have to be considered. If these do not vanish

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \eta\left(1-\Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}}\right) & =\frac{3}{2} \sum \mu y\left(4 x^{2}-y^{2}-z^{2}\right) \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{5}} \\
m \zeta \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{3}} & =\frac{3}{2} \Sigma \mu z\left(4 x^{2}-y^{2}-z^{2}\right) \Lambda \frac{m_{1}}{r_{01}^{5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If a is a length commensurate with the linear dimensions of the satellite it can be seen that $\xi$ is of the same order at $a^{2}$ and $\eta$ and $\zeta$ of the same order as $a^{3}$.
5. The equilateral equilibrium points

$$
\underline{\underline{r}}_{01}=\frac{1}{2}[-1, \sqrt{3}, 0] \text { and } \hat{\underline{r}}_{02}=\frac{1}{2}[1, \sqrt{3}, 0] .
$$

Referring again to the stable stationary position of the satellite (Robinson, 1974) when $G$ is at $L$, the principal axes $0 x$ and Oy are turned through an angle $-\kappa$ about $0 z$ where $\cos 2 \kappa=\frac{1}{N}$ and $N=\sqrt{1+12 m_{0}^{2}}$ with $2 m_{0}=m_{2}-m_{1}$.

Equation (3.9) now becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\xi+\sqrt{12} m_{0} \eta  \tag{5.1}\\
\sqrt{12} m_{0} \xi+3 n \\
-\frac{4}{3} \zeta
\end{array}\right]=\frac{4}{3 m}(-1)^{p} \Lambda m_{1} \underline{V}_{p 001}
$$

$\xi$ and $\eta$ can be determined when $p=2$ in some cases, but to find $\zeta$, p must take the value 3 at least.

With these values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\frac{m_{0}\left(68-11 N^{2}\right)}{4 N m\left(4-N^{2}\right)}(A-B) \\
& \eta=\frac{6-N^{2}}{4 \sqrt{3} N m\left(4-N^{2}\right)}[4 N C+3(A-B)-2 N(A-B)]+\frac{N(B-A)}{2 \sqrt{3}\left(4-N^{2}\right) m} \\
& \zeta=\frac{1}{m} \Lambda m_{1} \underline{k} \cdot \underline{V}_{3001}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again it can be seen that $\xi$ and $\eta$ are of order $a^{2}$ while $\zeta$ is of order $a^{3}$.

Conclusions
It has been shown that the displacements of the equilibrium points are extremely small, which was to be expected. If a is the length of the satellite, remembering that $A_{1} A_{2}=1$, then $\xi$ is of the same order as $a^{2}$. $\eta$ is of the same order in the equilateral case, but of order $a^{3}$ in the collinear case. The coordinate $\zeta$ is, at its greatest, of order $a^{3}$ in either case.

It can also be mentioned that since all bodies certainly have one stable attitude at each equilibrium point, some may have two stable attitudes. The conditions for the second case are given in the paper referred to earlier (Robinson, 1974). The outcome is that some bodies may actually have ten equilibrium points, while others have only five, or some intermediate number. Of course, in those cases where the points occur in pairs, the members of such pairs are very near each other.

Appendix 1. The polynomials $Q_{m n}(\alpha)$
From the definition
it follows that

$$
\left(1+2 \alpha x+x^{2}\right)^{-n / 2}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(-x)^{s} Q_{n s}(\alpha)
$$

$$
Q_{n s}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{d Q_{n s}(\alpha)}{d \alpha}=n Q_{n+1, s-1}(\alpha)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n 0}(\alpha)=1 \\
& Q_{n 1}(\alpha)=n \alpha \\
& Q_{n 2}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2} n\left\{(n+2) \alpha^{2}-1\right\} \\
& Q_{n 3}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{6} n(n+2)\left\{(n+4) \alpha^{3}-3 \alpha\right\} \\
& Q_{1 s}(\alpha)=P_{s}(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are the familiar Legendre Polynomials.
Appendix 2. The scalar moments $S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$

$$
S_{5}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum \mu \sigma^{5} Q_{35}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & =\underline{\hat{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{1} \\
S_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =\Sigma \mu=m \\
S_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =3 \Sigma \mu\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}\right)=0 \\
S_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =\frac{15}{2} \sum \mu\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} \sum \mu \sigma^{2} \\
S_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =\frac{35}{2} \sum \mu\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}\right)^{3}-\frac{15}{2} \sum \mu \sigma^{2}\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix 3 . The vector moments $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$

$$
\underline{I}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum \mu \sigma \sigma^{s-1} Q_{3 s-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

Since the above definition holds for $s \geqslant 1$ the additional definition is made

$$
\underline{T}_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\underline{0} .
$$

From the definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T}{T}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\Sigma \mu \underline{\sigma}=\underline{0} \\
& \frac{T}{2}^{T}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=3 \Sigma \underline{\sigma}\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{\hat{r}}_{1}\right) \\
& \underline{T}_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\frac{15}{2} \sum \mu \underline{\sigma}\left(\underline{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\hat{r}}_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{2} \Sigma \mu \underline{\sigma} \sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix 4
Using Equation (3.3) it can be shown that

$$
\alpha_{1}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{s} \alpha_{s 1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{s 1}=\alpha_{01} Q_{1, s}\left(\beta_{01}\right)-\gamma Q_{1, s-1}\left(\beta_{01}\right), \\
& \alpha_{01}=\hat{\underline{r}}_{01} \cdot \underline{\sigma} \text { and } \gamma=\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}} \cdot \underline{\hat{\sigma}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the relation

$$
Q_{m, n}^{\prime}(\alpha)=m Q_{m+2, n-1}(\alpha)
$$

and Taylor's Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 's Theorem } \\
& Q_{m, n}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{2^{s}}{s!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}+s\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{t} \alpha_{t 1}\right\}^{s} Q_{m+2 s, n-s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right) \\
&=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{s} q_{m n s 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition

$$
S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{\mu} \mu \sigma^{s} Q_{3 \cdot s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =\sum_{\mu} \mu \sigma^{s} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{t} q_{3 s t 1} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{t} S_{s t 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S_{s t 1}=\sum_{\mu} \mu \sigma^{s} q_{t m n 1}
$$

is a scalar moment of the seth order.
In a similar manner

$$
\underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r_{01}}\right)^{t} \underline{T}_{s t 1}
$$

Since $S_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=m, S_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0, \underline{T}_{0}=\underline{T}_{1}=\underline{0}$
$S_{001}=m, \quad S_{0 t 1}=0(t \neq 0), \quad S_{1 t 1}=0$ for all $t$.
$\underline{T}_{0 t_{1}}=\underline{T}_{1 t 1}=\underline{0}$ for all $t$.
Also $S_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right)=S_{s 01}, \quad T_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right)=\underline{T}_{s 01}$.
Appendix 5. The function $\underline{V}_{\text {st pl }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{V}_{s t p 1}= & \left\{\hat{r}_{01} Q_{3+s, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right)-\underline{\hat{\varepsilon}} Q_{3+s, t-1}\left(\beta_{01}\right)\right\} S_{s p 1} \\
& -Q_{2+s, t}\left(\alpha_{01}\right) \underline{T}_{s p 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If s, $t$ and $p$ are non-zero positive integers it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{V}_{0001}=m \underline{\underline{r}}_{01} \\
& \underline{V}_{s 001}=\underline{\underline{r}}_{01} S_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right)-\underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right) \\
& \left.\underline{V}_{0 t 01}=m \underline{\hat{r}}_{01} Q_{3, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right)-\underline{\hat{\varepsilon}} Q_{3, t-1}\left(\beta_{01}\right)\right\} \\
& \underline{V}_{00 p 1}=\underline{0} \\
& \underline{V}_{0 t p 1}=\underline{0} \\
& \underline{V}_{s 0 p 1}=\underline{\hat{r}}_{01} S_{s p 1}-\underline{T}_{s p 1} \\
& \left.\underline{V}_{s t 01}=\underline{\hat{r}}_{01} Q_{3+s, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right)-\underline{\hat{\varepsilon}} Q_{3+s, t-1}\left(\beta_{01}\right)\right\} S_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right) \\
& \\
& -Q_{2+s, t}\left(\beta_{01}\right) \underline{T}_{s}\left(\alpha_{01}\right) \\
& \underline{V}_{1 t p 1}=\underline{O}
\end{aligned}
$$
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