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#### Abstract

A Banach algebra $A$ with radical $R$ is said to have property (S) if the natural mapping from the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ onto $A^{2}$ is open, when $A \otimes A$ is given the projective norm. The purpose of this note is to provide a counterexample to Zinde's claim that when $A$ is commutative and $R$ is one dimensional the fulfillment of property ( $S$ ) in $A$ implies its fulfillment in the quotient algebra $A / R$.


Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with radical $R$ and let $A^{2}$ denote the linear span of products of elements of $A$. A is said to have property $(S)$ if the natural map $\pi$ from the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ onto $A^{2}$ is open, when $A \otimes A$ is given the projective norm.

Thus $A$ will have (S) if there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\|z\|_{\pi}=\inf \left\{\sum\left\|x_{i}\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{i}\right\|: \sum x_{i} y_{i}=z\right\} \leq K\|z\|
$$

whenever $z \in A^{2}$.
In [2] Zinde proved that if $\operatorname{dim} R=1$ then property (S) will hold in $A$ if it holds in the quotient algebra $A / R$, and stated the converse as obvious. However Loy [1] showed that if $\operatorname{dim} R=1$ and $A / R$ has (S) then $R \cap A^{2}=0$ implies $R \cap \overline{A^{2}}=0$.

We provide an example of a commutative separable Banach algebra $A$
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with one dimensional radical $R$ such that $A$ has (S) and $R \cap A^{2}=0$ while $R \cap \overline{A^{2}} \neq 0$, thus showing that the converse to Zinde's result does not hold.

Let $A_{0}$ be the complex commutative algebra generated by the formal symbols $\left\{r, a_{i}, x_{i}, z_{i}: i \in N\right\}$ subject to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
r^{2} & =r x_{i}=r z_{i}=r a_{i}=0 & \text { for all } i, \\
x_{i} y_{i} & =a_{i} a_{j}=a_{i} x_{j}=0 & & \text { whenever } i \neq j, \\
x_{i}^{2} & =r+z_{i} & & \text { for all } i, \\
x_{i}^{2}-x_{i+1}^{2} & =a_{i}^{2} & & \text { for all } i .
\end{array}
$$

Thus an element $y \in A_{0}$ may be uniquely expressed as
(1) $y=r+\sum \alpha_{i} a_{i}+\sum \beta_{i} x_{i}+\sum \gamma_{i j} z_{i}^{j}+\sum \delta_{i} a_{i} x_{i}$

$$
+\sum \mu_{i j} a_{i} x_{i} z_{i}^{j}+\sum v_{i j} x_{i} z_{i}^{j}+\sum \pi_{i j} a_{i} z_{i}^{j}
$$

where $\lambda, \alpha_{i}, v_{i}, \gamma_{i j}, \delta_{i}, \mu_{i j}, v_{i j}, \pi_{i j} \in C$ for all $i, j$ and the sums are finite.

Define a norm on $A_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|y\|=|\lambda|+2 \sum\left|a_{i}\right| 2^{-i}+2 \sum\left|\beta_{i}\right|+\sum\left|\gamma_{i j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}+\sum\left|\delta_{i}\right| 2^{-i} \\
&+\sum\left|\mu_{i j}\right| 2^{-i(2 j+1)}+\sum\left|v_{i j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}+\sum\left|\pi_{i j}\right| 2^{-i(2 j+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily checked that this norm is submultiplicative. Let $A$ be the completion of $A_{0}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, then $A$ is commutative and separable and each element of $A$ is uniquely expressible as in (1) with possibly infinite sums.

$$
\text { Clearly } R=\operatorname{Rad} A=C r, R \cap A^{2}=0 \text { and, since } \lim z_{i}=0,
$$ $R \cap \overline{A^{2}}=R$.

To show that $A$ has (S) we first consider $z \in A^{2} \cap A_{0}$, so

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 2} \beta_{i j} z_{i}^{j}+\sum \gamma_{i, j} x_{i} z_{i}^{j}+\sum \delta_{i} a_{i} x_{i}+\sum \pi_{i j} a_{i} z_{i}^{j}+\sum \mu_{i j} a_{i} x_{i} z_{i}^{j}
$$

where the sums are finite. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k}\right)\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{i+1}^{2}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right) x_{n}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right) x_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|z\|_{\pi} \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k}\right| 2^{-2 i}+4\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right|+\sum_{j \geq 2}\left|\beta_{i, j}\right|\left\|z_{i}^{j-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|z_{i}\right\| \\
& +\sum\left|\gamma_{i j}\right|\left\|z_{i}^{j}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{i}\right\|+\sum\left|\delta_{i}\right|\left\|a_{i}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{i}\right\|+\sum\left|\pi_{i j}\right|\left\|a_{i}\right\| \cdot\left\|z_{i}^{j}\right\| \\
& +\sum\left|\mu_{i j}\right|\left\|a_{i} z_{i}^{j}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{i}\right\| \\
& \leq 4\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} 2^{-2 k}\right)+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right|+\sum_{j \geq 2}\left|\beta_{i, j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|\gamma_{i j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}+\sum\left|\delta_{i}\right| 2^{-i}+\sum\left|\pi_{i j}\right| 2^{-i} 2^{-2 i j}+\sum\left|\gamma_{i j}\right| 2^{-i(2 j+1)}\right] \\
& \leq 8\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| 2^{-2 i}+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right|+\sum_{j \geq 2}\left|\beta_{i, j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}+\sum\left|\gamma_{i, j}\right| 2^{-2 i j}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|\delta_{i}\right| 2^{-i}+\sum\left|\pi_{i j}\right| 2^{-i(2 j+1)}+\sum\left|\mu_{i j}\right| 2^{-i(2 j+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leq 8\|z\|$.
If $y \in A$ is written as in (1) with infinite sums, we denote by $y_{k}$ the element of $A_{0}$ obtained by summing all indices from $l$ to $k$ only.

Now consider an arbitrary $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i, i} \in A^{2}$. Then

$$
t_{i}=\left(t_{i}\right)_{k}+\delta_{t_{i k}}, s_{i}=\left(s_{i}\right)_{k}+\delta_{s_{i k}}
$$

where $\delta_{t_{i k}}, \delta_{s_{i k}} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So given any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we may choose $k$ sufficiently large to ensure that

$$
\max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left\{\left\|\delta_{t_{i k}}\right\|,\left\|\delta_{s_{i k}}\right\|\right\}<\frac{1}{p} .
$$

Then $\quad a=a_{k}+\Delta_{k}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}\right)_{k}\left(s_{i \cdot k}\right)_{k} \\
& \Delta_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{t_{i k}} \delta_{i k}+\delta_{t_{i k}}\left(s_{i}\right)_{k}+\delta_{s_{i k}}\left(t_{i}\right)_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\|\Delta_{k}\right\|_{\pi} \leq n\left(p^{-2}+(M+N) p^{-1}\right)$ where

$$
M=\max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left\|s_{i}\right\|, N=\max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left\|t_{i}\right\|
$$

and so $\left\|\Delta_{k}\right\|_{\pi} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{\pi} & \leq\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\pi}+\left\|\Delta_{k}\right\|_{\pi} \\
& \leq 8\left\|a_{k}\right\|+\left\|\Delta_{k}\right\|_{\pi},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a_{k} \in A^{2} \cap A_{0}$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\|a\|_{\pi} \leq 8\|a\|
$$

whenever $a \in A^{2}$, so that $A$ has property (S).
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