

On the Relation between Inverse Factorial Series and Binomial Coefficient Series.*

By W. L. FERRAR.

Received and Read 5th June 1925.

The chief aim of this note is to investigate directly the relation between the inverse factorial series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n+1} n!}{(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n+1)} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

and the binomial coefficient series, or Newton's interpolation formula,

$$(1 + \Delta)^x \Omega(0) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

which may also represent $\Omega(x)$, the sum of the series (1).

The co-existence of such expansions was established by Nielsen, *Handbuch der Theorie der Gammafunktion* (1906), in his work on

integrals of the type $\int_0^1 t^x \phi(t) dt$ (p. 125). He also, p. 247, makes a

direct reference to the expansion of inverse factorial series in the form (2). The relation between (1) and integrals of the type mentioned is well known—for certain regions an equivalent integral can be found for any series (1), though the regions of convergence of the two are not necessarily the same. Moreover,

Nielsen's integral $\int_0^1 t^{x-1} \phi(t) dt$ is assumed to be uniformly convergent over the half plane $R(x) > 0$, while the integral of the same type corresponding to an inverse factorial series does not necessarily converge over this region.

Accordingly we here examine the transformation from (1) to (2) on its own merits. Norlund, † *Annales de l'Ecole Normale* (3)

* *Added August 10th, 1925.*—*Science Progress* for July 1925 notes a paper by J. Horn, "Math. Zeitschrift" 21 (1924) 85-95, in which work on differential and difference equations, previously done with inverse factorial series, is carried out with binomial coefficient series. I have been unable to consult the paper itself to see what points in the connection between the two types of series are exemplified.

† Further references to this paper will be given as Norlund (1923).

40 (1923), p. 44, has pointed out the similarity between the transformations of Newton series and of inverse factorial series and concludes "il paraît intéressant de rapprocher ces deux séries l'une à l'autre"—a remark which indicates that Nielsen's reference, a short statement occupying some two or three lines, has been overlooked by later writers.

The present paper also contains a short discussion (§ 5) of other series which may represent the sum of an inverse factorial series.

§ 1. *Notation.*

For convenience of printing we use the following symbols

$$(x | n) = \Gamma(x + 1) / \Gamma(x - n + 1),$$

so that, when n is a positive integer

$$(x | n) = x(x - 1) \dots (x - n + 1)$$

$$(x | -n) = 1 / (x + 1)(x + 2) \dots (x + n).$$

Further, we use (x, n) to denote $x(x - 1) \dots (x - n + 1) / n!$

§ 1.1. *Preliminary lemmas.*

If Δ, Σ have the meanings usual in the theory of finite differences, and they are considered as operating on a function of x ,

$$\Delta(x | n) = n(x | n - 1) \text{ for all values of } n$$

$$\Sigma(x | n) = (x | n + 1) \div (n + 1) \text{ save when } n = -1$$

$$\Sigma(x | -1) = d/dx \log \Gamma(x + 1) = \psi(x + 1).$$

If the operations Δ, Σ are applied term by term to the series (1) the resulting series have the same region of convergence as the original series, and their sums are $\Delta\Omega(x)$ and $\Sigma\Omega(x)$ respectively.

The proof of this is immediate on applying the theorem that "if Σb_n converges and $\Sigma |c_{n+1} - c_n|$ also converges, then $\Sigma b_n c_n$ is convergent," [compare Bromwich, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series* (1908), p. 205].

§ 2. *The expansion of $\Omega(x + h)$.*

By a known result in the theory of the hypergeometric function

$$1 - \frac{h \cdot m}{1(x + m + 1)} + \frac{h(h - 1)m(m + 1)}{1 \cdot 2(x + m + 1)(x + m + 2)} - \dots$$

$$= F(-h, m; x + m + 1; 1)$$

$$= \Gamma(x + m + 1)\Gamma(x + h + 1) / \Gamma(x + m + h + 1)\Gamma(x + 1) \dots (3)$$

provided that $R(x + h + 1) > 0$.

This result, on being divided by $(x + 1)(x + 2) \dots (x + m)$, becomes
 $(x + h | -m) = (x | -m) - (h, 1)m(x | -m - 1)$
 $+ (h, 2)m(m + 1)(x | -m - 2) - \dots$

If then $\Omega(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} n! (x | -n - 1)$

$$\Omega(x + h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} n! \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (h, r)(-n-1 | r)(x | -n-1-r) \right\} \quad (4)$$

The coefficient of (h, r) in this expansion is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} n! (-n-1 | r)(x | -n-1-r) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r a_{n+1} (n+r)! (x | -n-1-r) \\ &= \Delta^r \Omega(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence provided that we can justify the rearrangement of the double series, we may write, when $R(x + h + 1) > 0$

$$\Omega(x + h) = \Omega(x) + (h, 1)\Delta\Omega(x) + (h, 2)\Delta^2\Omega(x) + \dots \quad \dots\dots(5)$$

§ 2.1. *Sufficient conditions for the rearrangement of the series.*

The rearrangement of the double series (4) is justified if, when we put

$$a_{nr} = (-1)^r a_{n+1} (n+r)! (h, r)(x | -n-1-r)$$

the double series $\sum \sum a_{nr}$ is absolutely convergent.

Now, $|a_{nr}| = \left| \frac{a_{n+1} n!}{(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n+1)} \cdot \frac{h(h-1)\dots(h-r+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \dots r} \cdot \frac{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+r)}{(x+n+2)\dots(x+n+r+1)} \right|$

Since $\Gamma(-h) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{1 \cdot 2 \dots (r-1)r^{-h} / (-h)(-h+1)\dots(-h+r-1)\}$,

we can find a definite R such that, when $r > R$,

$$|(h, r)| < 2 |r^{-h-1} / \Gamma(-h)|,$$

and so we can find a finite K such that, for every r ,

$$|(h, r)| < K |r^{-h-1} / \Gamma(-h)| \quad \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

Similarly, we can find a finite M such that, for every r ,

$$\left| \frac{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+r)}{(x+n+2)\dots(x+n+r+1)} \right| < M | \Gamma(x+n+2)r^{-x-1} / \Gamma(n+1) | \quad \dots(7)$$

By the inequalities (6) and (7)

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{h(h-1)\dots(h-r+1)(n+1)\dots(n+r)}{1 \cdot 2 \dots r(x+n+2)\dots(x+n+r+1)} \right| \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

$$< MK \left| \Gamma(x+n+2)/\Gamma(-h)\Gamma(n+1) \right| \Sigma | r^{-x-h-2} | .$$

If $\Sigma | r^{-x-h-2} |$ is convergent, i.e. if $R(x+h+1) > 0$, a condition which has already been imposed in § 2,

$$\Sigma \Sigma | a_{rn} | < A \Sigma_{(n)} \left| \frac{a_{n+1} n! \Gamma(x+n+2)}{(x+1)\dots(x+n+1) \Gamma(-h)\Gamma(n+1)} \right| \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

where A is finite.

But (9) may be written as

$$A \Sigma_{(n)} | a_{n+1} \Gamma(x+1)/\Gamma(-h) | ,$$

and so the double series is absolutely convergent if Σa_{n+1} is.

Theorem I. If $\Sigma | a_{n+1} |$ is convergent and $x, x+h$ are in the convergence domain of the series (1)

$$\Omega(x+h) = \Omega(x) + h\Delta\Omega(x) + (h, 2)\Delta^2\Omega(x) + \dots$$

whenever $R(x+h+1) > 0$.

The last condition is necessary when (1) reduces to a single term.

§ 2.2. *Alternative conditions.*

An alternative set of conditions can be obtained by a slight change in the analysis.

If $R(x) \geq -1$, $| (n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+r)/(x+n+2)\dots(x+n+r+1) |$ tends to zero or to a finite limit as r tends to infinity. Hence, using (6),

$$(8) < A \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} | r^{-x-1} | < B$$

where A and B are finite numbers provided that $R(h) > 0$.

Hence the sum of the double series $\Sigma \Sigma | a_{nr} |$

$$< B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1} n!}{(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n+1)} \right| ,$$

and is finite provided that x is a point of the region of absolute convergence of the series (1). We have thus established.

Theorem II A. If x is a point of the region of absolute con-

vergence of the series $\sum a_{n+1} n! (x | -n - 1)$ whose sum is denoted by $\Omega(x)$, then

$$\Omega(x + h) = \Omega(x) + h\Delta\Omega(x) + \dots$$

provided that $R(x + 1) \geq 0$ and $R(h) > 0$.

There is, however, a further form of the theorem which removes the condition of *absolute* convergence. Norlünd* has shown that

if
$$\Omega(x) = \sum \frac{s! b_{s+1}}{x(x+1)\dots(x+s)} \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

converges for $R(x) > \lambda$, and $a_{s+1} = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_{s+1}$, the series

$$\sum \frac{s! a_{s+1}}{(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+s+1)}$$

converges absolutely and represents $\Omega(x)$ for $R(x) > \lambda$, $R(x) > 0$.

From this result and theorem II A we have

Theorem II B. If $R(x) > 0$ and x is a point of convergence of the series $\sum s! b_{s+1}(x - 1 | -s - 1)$ whose sum is $\Omega(x)$,

$$\Omega(x + h) = \Omega(x) + h\Delta\Omega(x) + (h, 2)\Delta^2\Omega(x) + \dots$$

whenever $R(h) > 0$.

§ 2.3. The last result is easily extended to series of a somewhat more general type.

If $\theta(x)$ denote the sum of
$$\sum \frac{s! b_{s+1}}{(x+\beta)(x+\beta+1)\dots(x+\beta+s)} \dots(11)$$

while $\Omega(x)$ denotes the sum of
$$\sum \frac{s! b_{s+1}}{x(x+1)\dots(x+s)}$$
,

then $\theta(x) = \Omega(x + \beta)$, and we have

Theorem II C. If $R(x + \beta) > 0$ and x is a point of convergence of the series (11), then

$$\theta(x + h) = \theta(x) + h\Delta\theta(x) + \dots$$

whenever $R(h) > 0$.

If x is a point of absolute convergence of (11) the condition $R(x + \beta) > 0$ may be replaced by $R(x + \beta) \geq 0$.

* *Acta Math.* 37 (1914) 344. Further references to this are given as Norlünd (1914).

§ 2.4. *Some remarks on these theorems.*

The limitations of x and h to certain half-planes is only to be expected from the form of the series and its region of convergence respectively. Thus in II C, the point $x + \beta = 0$ must be excluded since it is an infinity of every term of the original series; the assumption that the inverse factorial series converges for x makes it necessary that it should also converge for points to the right of x , but *not* that it should converge for points to the left of it; so that, unless we make $R(h) > 0$, we cannot be certain that $\Omega(x+h)$ has a meaning.

§ 3. *An inverse factorial series expressed as a Newton series.*

If we write $h - x$ for h we obtain

$$\Omega(h) = \Omega(x) + (h - x)\Delta\Omega(x) + (h - x, 2)\Delta^2\Omega(x) + \dots,$$

valid when $R(h - x) > 0$. Thus, if the series

$$\Omega(x) = \sum b_{s+1} s! (x - 1 | -s - 1) \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

converges for $x = 1$, one Newton expansion for $\Omega(x)$ is

$$\Omega(x) = s(0) - (x - 1, 1) s(1) + (x - 1, 2) s(2) - \dots \dots\dots(12)$$

where $s(r) = a_1/(r + 1) + a_2/(r + 2) + \dots$

§ 3.1. By Norlund (1914), p. 354, Theorem V, if the series (10) is summable (C, r) for x , whose real part is positive, then its 'sum' can also be represented by a series of the form (11) which converges for that value of x when $R(\beta) > r$, and converges absolutely for that x when $R(\beta) > r + 1$.

Thus, if $R(h - x) > 0$, the 'sum' of the inverse factorial series (10) for $x = h$ may be written (using Theorem II C) as the *convergent* series

$$\Omega(x) + (h - x)\Delta\Omega(x) + (h - x, 2) \Delta^2\Omega(x) + \dots$$

The coefficients $\Omega(x)$, $\Delta\Omega(x)$, ... may here be regarded as arising from the sum (C, r) of series (10) or the ordinary sum of the corresponding convergent series (11)—the two 'sums' are equivalent for every x when $R(x) > 0$. From the foregoing we have

Theorem III. If $R(m) > 0$ and an inverse factorial series is convergent or summable (C, r) for $x = m$, and its sum is denoted by $\Omega(x)$, then

$$\Omega(x) = \Omega(m) + (x - m, 1)\Delta\Omega(m) + (x - m, 2)\Delta^2\Omega(m) + \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

the expansion on the right being convergent whenever $R(x - m) > 0$.

§ 4. *The transformations of Newton series indicated by the theory of inverse factorial series.*

By theorem IV of Norlund (1914) the sum of the series

$$\sum a_{s+1} s! (x-1 | -s-1)$$

may also be expressed by a convergent series of the type

$$\sum b_{s+1} s! (x+\beta-1 | -s-1)$$

when $R(x) > 0$, and $R(\beta) \geq 0$.

The corresponding property for series of type $\sum b_s(x, s)$ is indicated by the following simple deduction from theorem III of the present paper. If $\Omega(x)$ is a function which admits an expansion both as an inverse factorial series of type (10) and as a Newton series, the Newton series being

$$\sum b_s(x-\alpha, s) \text{ where } R(\alpha) > 0,$$

then it admits an expansion $\sum c_s(x+\rho-\alpha, s)$ where $R(\rho) \geq 0$, provided that the point $x = \alpha - \rho$ is a point at which the inverse factorial series is summable and $R(\alpha - \rho) > 0$. Moreover the series $\sum b_s(x-\alpha, s)$ is known to converge only for $R(x) > R(\alpha)$, while the series $\sum c_s(x+\rho-\alpha, s)$ converges for $R(x) > R(\alpha - \rho)$. That is to say, by increasing the real part of the argument of the Newton series we can represent the function over an extended region, and thus attain any point (to the right of the origin) at which the inverse factorial series is summable. If $\Omega(x)$ admits an expansion of type (11), "to the right of $x = -\beta$ " replaces "to the right of the origin" in the last parenthesis.

The theorem for any Newton series $\sum b_s(x-1, s)$ representing any function and the extension of the domain of convergence by increasing the real part of the argument is given by Norlund (1923), p. 36. In this case the question of summability of the inverse factorial series is irrelevant, and the domain of convergence can be increased so as to be the half plane $R(x) > \lambda$, where λ is determined by the properties of the function to be represented by the Newton series.

Again, if (10) converges for $x = \alpha$ it converges for $x = \alpha + \rho$, $R(\rho) > 0$. Hence, if a representation of the sum of (10) as $\sum b_s(x-\alpha, s)$ exists, valid for $R(x-\alpha) > 0$, then there is also a representation $\sum c_s(x-\alpha-\rho, s)$ valid over the less extensive region $R(x-\alpha-\rho) > 0$. A similar state of affairs occurs when the repre-

sentation of any function by a Newton series is discussed: cf. Norlund (1923), p. 36.

§ 4.1. The transformation of series of the type

$$\Omega(x) = \sum c_{s+1} s! \omega^{s+1} / x(x + \omega) \dots (x + s\omega) \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

by taking different values of ω , real and positive, is less helpful in indicating the corresponding transformations of Newton series.

We know * that if a function, satisfying certain conditions, admits an expansion of type (14) for any real and positive value of ω , there is a number θ such that the expansion of type (14) is possible when $\omega > \theta$, but not possible when $\omega < \theta$.

Suppose now that the series (14) is convergent for $x = \alpha\omega$ where $R(\alpha) > 0$. If we represent $\Omega(x)$ by a series of the same type with ω replaced by ω_1 , where $\omega_1 > \omega$, then the new series also converges for $x = \alpha\omega$. But the point $\alpha\omega_1$ is to the right of the point $\alpha\omega$ and so the new series converges for $x = \alpha\omega_1$.

$$\Omega(x\omega) = \sum c_{s+1} s! / x(x + 1) \dots (x + s)$$

where, by our hypothesis, the series on the right converges for $x = \alpha$.

Hence there is a convergent expansion of the form

$$\Omega(x\omega) = \sum n_s (x - \alpha, s), \text{ convergent for } R(x - \alpha) > 0;$$

and so, $\Omega(x) = \sum m_s (x - \alpha\omega) \{x - (\alpha + 1)\omega\} \dots \{x - (\alpha + s - 1)\omega\}, \dots (15)$ the last series being convergent for $R(x - \alpha\omega) > 0$.

We may in the factorial series representation decrease ω , but not beyond the point at which that series remains convergent for $x = \alpha\omega$. Thus we may, down to a point imposed by the factorial series representation, decrease ω in the Newton series (15). In the theory of Newton series representing any function, the factorial series representation is irrelevant and we may decrease ω as much as we please provided that $0 < \omega$. †

Moreover the region of convergence of (15), namely, the half-plane $R(x) > R(\alpha\omega)$, is increased by decreasing ω , a fact which continues to be in evidence when the more general question is broached.

* NORLUND (1914), Theorem VII, 361.

† NORLUND (1923), 43.

On the other hand, the theory of inverse factorial series does not indicate the existence of a number γ such that the Newton series representation of type (15) ceases to be possible when $\omega > \gamma$. In the general discussion of Newton series such a number appears, and only under special hypotheses is this number $+\infty$. The Newton series representing functions which may also be represented by inverse factorial series come under these special hypotheses. For, as we have seen, an increase in ω still leaves $\alpha\omega$ a point of convergence of the inverse factorial series and so leaves the expression (15) valid, though over a less extensive region.

§ 5. *A series which may represent the analytical continuation of series (1).*

The series (1), having an abscissa of convergence λ , defines an analytic function $\Omega(x)$ which also admits a representation

$$\Omega(x) = \int_0^1 t^x \phi(t) dt \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

where $\phi(t) = \sum a_{r+1}(1-t)^r$, and is regular within $|t-1| = 1$.

If the radius of convergence of this series is greater than unity the series (1) is absolutely convergent over the whole plane with the exception of points $x = -1, -2, \dots$ * The function $\Omega(x)$ can also be analytically continued over the whole plane, with the exception of points $x = -n$, whenever $\phi(t)$ can be analytically continued over the interior of the circle $|t| = 1$. In such a case, an expansion exists of the form

$$\phi(t) = b_1 + b_2t + b_3t^2 + \dots ,$$

convergent for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Hence, when $R(x) > 0$, we have

$$\Omega(x) = \sum b_s / (x + s) \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

* PINCHERLE, *Annales Sci. de l'Ecole Normale* (3), 22 (1905), 50.
BROMWICH, *loc. cit.*, p. 254, Ex. 1.

Since Σb_n converges, the series (17) converges uniformly* over the whole plane except near $x = -1, -2, \dots$. The series (1) also converges uniformly for $R(x) \geq K > \lambda \geq -1$. The two series (1) and (17) must therefore be representations of the same analytic function since their sums are equivalent over the half plane $R(x) > \lambda, R(x) > 0$.

The expression (17) is valid whenever $\phi(t)$ is regular within $|t - 1| = 1$ and within $|t| = 1$.

§ 5.1. This representation of an inverse factorial series gives rise to a curious relationship with the Gauss interpolation formula.

The values of $\Omega(x) \sin \pi x/\pi$ at the points $-1, -2, \dots$ are $-b_1, b_2, -b_3, \dots$, and are zero at the points $0, 1, 2, \dots$. But (17) multiplied by $\sin \pi x/\pi$ is the Cardinal function† of this table of values and is thus equivalent to the corresponding Gauss interpolation formula.

We have then, assuming the possibility of the equality (17)

$\Omega(x)$ is equivalent to the Newton formula of its own table of values }
 $\sin \pi x \Omega(x)/\pi$ is equivalent to the Gauss formula of its own table of values }

§ 5.2. *The series of powers and inverse powers which represent $\Omega(x)$.*

If, for $0 < t \leq 1$, we denote $(1/t) \int_0^t \phi(t) dt$ by $\phi_1(t)$, we have

$$\Omega(x) = \int_0^1 t^x \phi(t) dt = \left[t^{x+1} \phi_1(t) \right]_0^1 - x \int_0^1 t^x \phi_1(t) dt. \quad R(x) > -1.$$

With the assumptions of § 5 the integrals involved are all convergent, both in this and when we repeat the process beginning with $\int t^x \phi_1(t) dt$.

Repeating this process, and writing

$$\phi_n(t) = (1/tD)^n \phi(t) \dots \dots \dots (18, a)$$

* By the test given by Hardy. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), 4 (1907), 250-1.

† E. T. WHITTAKER, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, XXXV., (1915), 181-194.

where the limits of each integration are taken to be 0, t when $R(x) > -1$,

$$\Omega(x) = \phi_1(1) - x\phi_2(1) + x^2\phi_3(1) - \dots \dots\dots(18)$$

The remainder after n terms consists of x^n times a convergent integral, and the series (18) has a unit circle of convergence. The series and its circle of convergence may also be obtained by expansion of the terms of (17) and the use of Weierstrass' double series theorem (Bromwich, *loc. cit.*, p, 253).

Again, if $\psi(\tau) = \sum a_{r+1} \tau^r$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) &= \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^x \psi(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\psi(0)}{x+1} + \frac{1}{x+1} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^x (1-\tau) \psi'(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

If $\psi_n(\tau) = \{(1-\tau)d/d\tau\}^n \psi(\tau)$

$$\Omega(x) = \frac{\phi(0)}{x+1} + \frac{\psi_1(0)}{(x+1)^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{(x+1)^n} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^x \psi_n(\tau) d\tau,$$

or, writing $\phi^{(n)}(t) = (tD)^n \phi(t)$ where $D \equiv d/dt$,(19, a)

$$\Omega(x) = \frac{\phi(1)}{x+1} - \frac{\phi^{(1)}(1)}{(x+1)^2} + \frac{\phi^{(2)}(1)}{(x+1)^3} \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{(x+1)^n} \int_0^1 t^x \phi^{(n)}(t) dt \dots\dots(19)$$

Moreover, any $\psi_n(\tau)$ is analytic within $|\tau| = 1$, and for sufficiently large values of $R(x)$ the integral is convergent; so that (19) is an asymptotic expansion of $\Omega(x)$.

The way in which the operator tD enters into both expansions is rather curious.