# PROPERTY $k_{\alpha, n}$ ON SPACES WITH STRICTLY POSITIVE MEASURE 

S. ARGYROS AND N. KALAMIDAS

In this paper we study intersection properties of measurable sets with positive measure in a probability measure space, or equivalently, intersection properties of open subsets on a compact space with a strictly positive measure.

The first result in this direction is due to Erdös and it is a negative solution to the problem of calibers on such spaces. In particular, under C.H., Erdös proved that Stone's space of Lebesque measurable sets of $[0,1]$ modulo null sets, does not have $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{1}$-caliber.

A statement in measure theoretic language equivalent to Erdös' example is the following. Under C.H. there is an uncountable family $\left\{K_{\xi}, \xi<\omega^{+}\right\}$ of closed subsets of $[0,1]$ with positive measure, such that for every uncountable set $I \subset \omega^{+}$there are $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m(I)} \in I$ with

$$
\lambda\left(K_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap K_{\xi m(I)}\right)=0
$$

Some extensions of this result under G.C.H. for cardinals of the form $\beta^{+}$ with cf $(\beta)=\omega$ are contained in [2]. In the same paper, also, there are some positive results about calibers for certain cardinals. (A topological space $X$ has $\alpha$-caliber if every family $\left\{U_{\xi}: \xi<\alpha\right\}$ of open non-empty subsets of $X$ contains a subfamily with cardinality $\alpha$ and with non-empty intersection.)

In the present paper we prove that families of measurable sets satisfy a property weaker than the $\alpha$-caliber property, namely property $k_{\alpha, n}$ for all $n<\omega$ and $\operatorname{cf} \alpha>\omega$.

In particular, in the first section we prove that if ( $X, \Sigma, \mu$ ) is a probability measure space and $\alpha$ a cardinal with uncountable cofinality, then for every family $\left\{A_{\xi}: \xi<\alpha\right\}$ of elements of $\Sigma$ with positive measure and for every $n<\omega$ there is $I_{n} \subset \alpha$ with $\left|I_{n}\right|=\alpha$ and for every $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in I_{n}$ we have that

$$
\mu\left(A_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap A_{\xi_{n}}\right)>0
$$

In the last part of this section we present relations between decomposition properties of $\mathscr{T}^{*}(X)$ (open non-empty subsets of $X$ ) and intersection properties.

The second section mainly contains an application of the above result for compact topological spaces. So, starting from purely functional analytic assumptions on $C(X)$ we can get intersection properties for the space $X$.

## 0. Preliminaries.

0.1. Definition. Let $X$ be a topological space, $\alpha$ an infinite cardinal and $n$ a positive integer. We say that $X$ satisfies the property $k_{\alpha, n}$ if for every family $\left\{U_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ of open subsets of $X$, there is a subfamily $\left\{U_{\xi}, \xi \in A\right\}$ with $|A|=\alpha$ that satisfies the $n$-intersection property (i.e., for every $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ points of $A$, it follows that $\left.U_{\xi_{1}} \cap U_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}} \neq \emptyset\right)$.
0.2 . Definition. Let $X$ be a topological space. We say that $X$ satisfies the property (*) if the family of non-empty open subsets of $X$ can be written in the following way:

$$
\mathscr{T}^{*}(X)=\bigcup_{n<\omega} \mathscr{T}_{n}
$$

such that the subfamily $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ contains at most $n$ pairwise disjoint open subsets for every $n<\omega$.
0.3. Definition. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{T}^{*}(X)$. For $F \subset \mathscr{S}, F$ finite we set

$$
\operatorname{cal}(F)=\max \{k: \exists I \subset F,|I|=k \text { such that } \cap I \neq \emptyset\} .
$$

Now we correspond to the family $\mathscr{S}$ the number

$$
k(\mathscr{S})=\inf \left\{\frac{\operatorname{cal}(F)}{|F|}, F \subset \mathscr{S}, F: \text { finite }\right\},
$$

and we say that the space $X$ satisfies the property (**) if the non-empty open subsets of $X$ can be written in the following way:

$$
\mathscr{T}^{*}(X)=\bigcup_{n<\omega} \mathscr{T}_{n}
$$

such that $k\left(\mathscr{T}_{n}\right)>0$ for every $n<\omega$.
0.4. Theorem ([10]). Let $X$ be a compact totally disconnected topological space and $\mathscr{S}$ a family of open-and-closed subsets of $X$ with $k(\mathscr{S}) \geqq \delta>0$. Then there is a regular Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ with $\mu(U) \geqq \delta$ for every $U \in \mathscr{S}$.
0.5. Definition. Let $X$ be a compact topological space. We say that $X$ has a strictly positive measure if there is a regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$ with $\mu(U)>0$ for every $U \in \mathscr{T}^{*}(X)$.
0.6 . Remark. It is easy to prove that the existence of a strictly positive measure for a space $X$, implies the property (**). Kelley's Theorem 0.4 now gives the equivalence of these properties about compact spaces.

For non-compact spaces this is not true. For example if we consider the space

$$
X=\left\{p \in\{0,1\}^{\omega^{+}}:|\{i: p(i)=1\}|<\omega\right\}
$$

then $X$ satisfies the property (**) but there is no Borel measure that is positive on all non-empty open subsets of $X$.
0.7. Theorem (Erdös-Rado, regular case). Let a be an infinite regular cardinal and $\left\{F_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ a family of finite subsets of $\alpha$. Then there are a subset $A \subset \alpha,|A|=\alpha$ and a finite set $F$ such that $F_{\xi_{1}} \cap F_{\xi_{2}}=F$ for every $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in A$ with $\xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$.
0.8. Theorem ([1], singular case). Let $\alpha$ be an infinite singular cardinal and $\left\{F_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ a family of finite subsets of $\alpha$. Then there are $A_{\sigma}, \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$ disjoint subsets of $\alpha$ with $\left|A_{\sigma}\right|=\alpha_{\sigma}$,

$$
\sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{\sigma<\mathrm{ct} \alpha} \alpha_{\sigma}=\alpha \text { and } E_{\sigma}, \sigma<\alpha,
$$

E finite subsets of $\alpha$ such that
(i) For $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in A_{\sigma} \xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$ then

$$
F_{\xi_{1}} \cap F_{\xi_{2}}=E_{\sigma}, \text { for } \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha \text { and }
$$

(ii) For $\xi_{1} \in A_{\sigma_{1}}, \xi_{2} \in A_{\sigma_{2}}, \sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{2}$ then

$$
F_{\xi_{1}} \cap F_{\xi_{2}}=E \quad \text { for } \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}<\operatorname{cf} \alpha
$$

For a detailed study of known results about intersection and decomposition properties on topological spaces we refer the reader to [4].

## 1. The main theorem.

1.1. Lemma. Let $1 \leqq p<\omega, 1 \leqq n<\omega, 1 \leqq N<\omega$ with $p \leqq 2^{N}$ and let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots . ., \theta_{p}$ be positive real numbers. Then
(a) $\frac{\theta_{1}^{n}+\ldots+\theta_{p}^{n}}{2 p} \geqq\left(\frac{\theta_{1}+\ldots+\theta_{p}}{2 p}\right)^{n}$ and
(b) $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{N}} \theta_{i}^{n} \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{N}} \theta_{i}\right)^{n}$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from Holder's inequality and (b) follows from (a).
1.2. Lemma. Let I be a non-empty set, $\alpha$ an uncountable cardinal with cf $\alpha>\omega, \delta>0,\left\{U_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ a family of non-empty open and closed subsets of $\{0,1\}^{I}$ such that $\mu\left(U_{\xi}\right) \geqq \delta$ for $\xi<\alpha$. Then there is $A \subset \alpha,|A|=\alpha$
such that

$$
\mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqq n<\omega, \xi_{1}, \ldots \xi_{n} \in A
$$

(where $\mu$ is the usual product measure on $\{0,1\}^{I}$ ).
Proof. Let $F_{\xi}$ be a finite subset of $I$ such that $U_{\xi}$ depends on $F_{\xi}$ for $\xi<\alpha$. We distinguish two cases.

Case $1 . \alpha$ is a regular cardinal.
By the Erdös-Rado theorem [5] there are $B \subset \alpha$ with $|B|=\alpha$ and a finite set $F \subset I$ such that

$$
F_{\xi} \cap F_{\xi^{\prime}}=F \text { for } \xi, \xi^{\prime} \in B, \xi \neq \xi^{\prime} .
$$

We set

$$
H_{\xi}^{x}=\left\{y \in\{0,1\}^{F_{\xi}-F}:(x, y) \in \pi_{F_{\xi}}\left(U_{\xi}\right)\right\}
$$

for $x \in\{0,1\}^{F}, \xi \in B$ and

$$
T_{\xi}=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{F}: H_{\xi^{x}} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

for $\xi \in B$, where $\pi_{F_{\xi}}$ is the usual projection. Then

$$
\pi_{F_{\xi}}\left(U_{\xi}\right)=\underset{x \in\left\{0,\left.1\right|^{F}\right.}{ }\left(\{x\} \times H_{\xi}^{x}\right)=\bigcup_{x \in T_{\xi}}\left(\{x\} \times H_{\xi}^{x}\right) \quad \text { for } \xi \in B .
$$

Since $\alpha$ is regular and uncountable, there are $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \subset\{0,1\}^{F}$ and $C \subset B$, with $|C|=\alpha$ such that

$$
T_{\xi}=T \quad \text { for } \xi \in C .
$$

We note that $|T|=p \leqq 2^{\left|{ }^{F}\right|}$. Furthermore, there are $A \subset C$, with $|A|=\alpha$ and positive rational numbers $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{p}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(H_{\xi}^{x_{i}}\right)=\theta_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq i \leqq p, \xi \in A .
$$

Let now $1 \leqq n<\omega$ and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\xi_{1}} \cap U_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}\left\{x_{i}\right\} \times H_{\xi_{1}}^{x_{i}}\right. & \left.\times \ldots \times H_{\xi_{n}}^{x_{i}}\right) \\
& \times\{0,1\}^{I-\left(F_{\xi 1} \cup \ldots \cup F_{\xi_{n} n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence using Lemma 1.1
$\left(^{*}\right) \quad \mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1}{2^{|F|} \mu\left(H_{\xi_{1}}{ }^{x_{i}}\right) \ldots \mu\left(H_{\xi_{n}}{ }^{x_{i}}\right), ~}$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \theta_{i}^{n} \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \theta_{i}\right)^{n} \geqq\left(\frac{\delta^{n}}{2^{n-1}}\right) .
$$

From this it follows that

$$
\mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n}
$$

Case 2. $\alpha$ is a singular cardinal.
Let $\left\{\alpha_{\sigma}, \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha\right\}$ be a family of uncountable regular cardinals with

$$
\alpha=\sum_{\sigma<\operatorname{cof} \alpha} \alpha_{\sigma} .
$$

By Theorem 0.8 there is a set $B \subset \alpha$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\bigcup_{\sigma<\mathrm{ct} \alpha} B_{\sigma}, \\
& \left|B_{\sigma}\right|=\alpha_{\sigma} \text { for } \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha \\
& B_{\sigma} \cap B_{\sigma^{\prime}}=\emptyset \text { for } \sigma<\sigma^{\prime}<\operatorname{cf} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

and there are finite sets $F,\left\{E^{\sigma}, \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha\right\}$ such that
(i) $F_{\xi} \cap F_{\xi^{\prime}}=E^{\sigma}$ for $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in B_{\sigma}, \xi \neq \xi^{\prime}, \sigma<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$,
(ii) $F_{\xi} \cap F_{\xi^{\prime}}=F$ for $\xi \in B_{\sigma}, \xi^{\prime} \in B_{\sigma}, \sigma<\sigma^{\prime}<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$.

We set

$$
H_{\xi}^{x}=\left\{y \in\{0,1\}^{F_{\xi}-F}:(x, y) \in \pi_{F_{\xi}}\left(U_{\xi}\right)\right\} \quad \text { for } x \in\{0,1\}^{\boldsymbol{F}}, \xi \in B
$$

and

$$
T_{\xi}=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{F}: H_{\xi^{x}} \neq \emptyset\right\} \quad \text { for } \xi \in B
$$

Then

$$
\pi_{F_{\xi}}\left(U_{\xi}\right)=\bigcup_{x \in T_{\xi}}\left(\{x\} \times H_{\xi}^{x}\right) \quad \text { for } \xi \in B
$$

Since of $\alpha>\omega$, there are $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset\{0,1\}^{F}, I \subset$ cf $\alpha$ with $|I|=\operatorname{cf} \alpha$ and $C_{\sigma} \subset B_{\sigma}$, with $\left|C_{\sigma}\right|=\alpha_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in I$, such that

$$
T_{\xi}=T \text { for } \xi \in C_{\sigma}, \sigma \in I
$$

We note that $|T|=p \leqq 2^{|F|}$. Furthermore, there are $J \subset I$ with $|J|=$ cf $\alpha, D_{\sigma} \subset C_{\sigma}$ with $\left|D_{\sigma}\right|=\alpha_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in J$ and positive rational numbers $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{p}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(H_{\xi}^{x_{i}}\right)=\theta_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq i \leqq p, \xi \in D_{\sigma}, \sigma \in J
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{F_{\xi}}\left(U_{\xi}\right) & =\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\} \times H_{\xi}^{x_{i}}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\mu\left(U_{\xi}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \theta_{i} \geqq \delta \quad \text { for } \xi \in D_{\sigma}, \sigma \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally there is, by case $1, E_{\sigma} \subset D_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in J$, with $\left|E_{\sigma}\right|=\alpha_{\sigma}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(H_{\xi_{1}}^{x_{i}} \times\{0,1\}^{I-F_{\xi_{1}}} \cap \ldots \cap H_{\xi_{k}}^{x_{i}} \times\{0,1\}^{I-F_{\xi_{k}}}\right) \geqq \frac{\theta_{i}^{k}}{2^{k-1}}
$$

for $1 \leqq i \leqq p, 1 \leqq k<\omega, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k} \in E_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in J$. We set

$$
A=\bigcup_{\sigma \in J} E_{\sigma} ;
$$

it is clear that $|A|=\alpha$ Let $1 \leqq n<\omega$ and $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A$. Then there are $\sigma_{1}<\ldots<\sigma_{m}<\operatorname{cf} \alpha, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m} \in J$ such that, setting

$$
\Phi_{j}=\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right\} \cap E_{\sigma_{j}} \text { for } 1 \leqq j \leqq m
$$

we have

$$
\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right\}=\Phi_{1} \cup \Phi_{2} \cup \ldots \cup \Phi_{m} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\xi_{1}} \cap U_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}} & =\bigcap_{j=1}^{m}\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in \Phi_{j}} U_{\xi}\right) \\
& =\bigcup_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\} \times \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in \Phi_{j}}\left(H_{\xi}^{x_{i}} \times\{0,1\}^{\left.I-F_{\xi}\right)}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap U_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mu\left(\bigcap_{\xi \in \Phi_{j}}\left(H_{\xi}^{x_{i}}\{0,1\}^{I-F_{\xi}}\right)\right) \\
& \geqq \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \prod_{j=i}^{m} \frac{\theta_{i}^{\left|\Phi_{j}\right|}}{2^{\left|\Phi_{j}\right|-1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{|F|} 2^{n-m}} \theta_{i}^{n} \\
&=\frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \frac{1}{2^{n-m}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i}^{n} \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \frac{1}{2^{|F|}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i}^{n} \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \cdot \delta^{n} \geqq\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n} \quad\left(\text { from }\left(^{*}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the lemma is complete.
1.3. Theorem. Let $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ be a probability measure space, $\alpha$ a cardinal number with cf $\alpha>\omega$ and $\left\{E_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset \mathscr{S}$ with $\mu\left(E_{\xi}\right)>0$ for $\xi<\alpha$. Then for every $1 \leqq n<\omega$, there are $A_{n} \subset \alpha,\left|A_{n}\right|=\alpha$, and $\delta_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi_{1}} \cap E_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq \delta_{n} \text { for } \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A_{n}
$$

Proof. Let $(\mathscr{B}, \lambda)$ be the measure algebra of $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ and $\Omega=S(\mathscr{B})$. It is enough to prove that if $\left\{V_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset \mathscr{B}$ with $\lambda\left(V_{\xi}\right)>0$ for $\xi<\alpha$, then for every $1 \leqq n<\omega$, there are $A_{n} \subset \alpha,\left|A_{n}\right|=\alpha, \delta_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(V_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap V_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq \delta_{n} \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A_{n}
$$

Let $\left\{p_{i}, i<\gamma\right\}$ be the isolated elements (if any) of $\Omega$, and let $\left\{\Omega_{m}, m<\delta\right\}$ be the partition of $\Omega$ given by Maharam's classification theorem [12]. Then since cf $\alpha>\omega$ and $\gamma+\delta \leqq \omega$, either there is $i<\gamma$ with $|A|=\alpha$, such that $p_{i} \in V_{\xi}$, for $\xi \in A$, in which case we are reduced to a trivial
situation, or there is $m<\delta$ and $A \subset \alpha$ with $|A|=\alpha$ such that

$$
V_{\xi} \cap \Omega_{m} \neq \emptyset \quad \text { for } \xi \in A
$$

Then it is enough to prove that if $\left\{B_{\xi}, \xi \in A\right\}$ is a family of Borel sets of $\{0,1\}^{\alpha_{m}}$ with $\mu_{m}\left(B_{\xi}\right)>0$ for $\xi \in A$, then for every $1 \leqq n<\omega$, there are $A_{n} \subset A,\left|A_{n}\right|=\alpha, \delta_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\mu\left(B_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap B_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq \delta_{n} \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A_{n}
$$

Now there is $\delta>0$ and $B \subset A$ with $|B|=\alpha$, such that

$$
\mu\left(B_{\xi}\right) \geqq \delta \quad \text { for } \xi \in B
$$

Let $1 \leqq n<\omega$. Since $\mu$ is a regular measure there is an open and closed subset $U_{\xi}$ of $\{0,1\}^{\alpha_{m}}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(B_{\xi} \Delta U_{\xi}\right)<\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for } \xi \in B
$$

Then

$$
\mu\left(U_{\xi}\right) \geqq \mu\left(B_{\xi} \cap U_{\xi}\right) \geqq \delta-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n} \geqq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text { for } \xi \in B
$$

By the previous lemma there is $A_{n} \subset B$ with $\left|A_{n}\right|=\alpha$, such that

$$
\mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A_{n}
$$

We note that

$$
U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}} \subset B_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap B_{\xi_{n}} \cup B_{\xi_{1}} \Delta U_{\xi_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup B_{\xi_{n}} \Delta U_{\xi_{n}}
$$

hence

$$
\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n} \leqq \mu\left(U_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\xi_{n}}\right) \leqq \mu\left(B_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap B_{\xi_{n}}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n}
$$

hence

$$
\mu\left(B_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap B_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^{n}=\delta_{n} \quad \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots \xi_{n} \in A_{n}
$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.
1.4. Remark. The proof of the above theorem does not need Maharam's classification theorem in case $\alpha$ is a regular (uncountable) cardinal. Indeed, it follows from the following proposition.
1.5. Proposition. Let $\alpha$ be a regular uncountable cardinal $(X, \mathscr{S}, \mu)$ a probability measure space, $\left\{E_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset \mathscr{S}, \delta>0$ such that

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n-1}}\right) \geqq \delta \quad \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}<\alpha
$$

Then there is $A \subset \alpha,|A|=\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(E_{\xi_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}}\right) \geqq \delta / 4 k \quad \text { for } \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $k$ is a positive number, $k>2 / \delta$ ).
Proof. Suppose that this is not the case. We set $\Gamma_{1}=\alpha$ and let

$$
\mathscr{C}_{1}=\left\{C: C \subset \Gamma_{1},\left\{E_{\xi}, \xi \in C\right\} \text { satisfies (1) }\right\}
$$

Then $\mathscr{C}_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and it is inductive under set inclusion. Let $C_{1} \in \mathscr{C}_{1}, C_{1}$ maximal. Then $\left|C_{1}\right|<\alpha$.

For every $\xi \in \Gamma_{1}-C_{1}$ there are $\xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in C_{1}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi} \cap E_{\xi_{2}} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}}\right)<\delta / 4 k .
$$

Since $\alpha$ is regular, there are $\xi_{2}{ }^{(1)}, \xi_{3}{ }^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{n}^{(1)} \in C_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2} \subset \Gamma_{1}$ with $\left|\Gamma_{2}\right|=\alpha$ such that

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi} \cap E_{\xi_{2}(1)} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}(1)}\right)<\delta / 4 k \text { for } \xi \in \Gamma_{2} .
$$

We now repeat the same argument with $\Gamma_{2}$ in place of $\Gamma_{1}$, and we find $C_{2} \subset \Gamma_{2}, \xi_{2(2)}, \xi_{3}(2), \ldots, \xi_{n}(2) \in C_{2}$, and $\Gamma_{3} \subset \Gamma_{2}$, with $\left|\Gamma_{3}\right|=\alpha$ such that

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi} \cap E_{\xi_{2}^{(2)}} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}^{(2)}}\right)<\delta / 4 k \text { for } \xi \in \Gamma_{3} .
$$

We repeat the same argument $k$ times, and thus find elements
such that, if $1 \leqq l<m \leqq k$, then

$$
\mu\left(E_{\xi_{2}(l)} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}(l)} \cap E_{\xi_{2}(m)}\right)<\delta / 4 k .
$$

We now set

$$
A_{m}=E_{\xi_{2}(m)} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}(m)}-\bigcup_{l=1}^{m-1} E_{\xi_{2}(l)} \cap \ldots \cap E_{\xi_{n}(l)}
$$

and we note that

$$
\text { for } 1 \leqq m \leqq k
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(A_{m}\right) \geqq \delta-(m-1) \frac{\delta}{4 k} \geqq \frac{\delta}{2} \text { for } 1 \leqq m \leqq k, \\
& A_{m} \cap A_{l}=\emptyset \text { for } 1 \leqq l<m \leqq k
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction since $k . \delta / 2>1$, and $\mu(X)=1$.
1.6. Proposition. Let $X$ be a topological space with property (**). Then $X$ has property $k_{\alpha, n}$ for all cardinals $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{cf} \alpha>\omega$ and $1 \leqq n<\omega$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{2}{ }^{(1)}, \xi_{3}{ }^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{n}{ }^{(1)} \\
& \xi_{2}{ }^{(k)}, \xi_{3}{ }^{(k)}, \ldots, \xi_{n}{ }^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From [8], for the space $X$ there is an extremally disconnected compact space $G X$ and two maps:

$$
p_{1}: \mathscr{T}^{*}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{T}^{*}(G X), \quad p_{2}: \mathscr{T}^{*}(G X) \rightarrow \mathscr{T}^{*}(X)
$$

such that for $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n} \in \mathscr{T}^{*}(X)$,

$$
U_{1} \cap \ldots \cap U_{n}=\emptyset \text { implies } p_{1}\left(U_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap p_{1}\left(U_{n}\right)=\emptyset
$$

and an analogous statement for $p_{2}$.
Since $X$ satisfies property (**) from the existence of the map $p_{2}$ it follows that $G X$ satisfies (**) and then from Kelley's theorem $G X$ has a strictly positive measure. Now from Theorem 1.3 the space $G X$ satisfies property $k_{\alpha, n}$ and finally from the existence of the map $p_{1}$ the space $X$ satisfies property $k_{\alpha, n}$.
1.7. Remark. For an arbitrary topological space $X$ and a cardinal $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{cf} \alpha>\omega$ the following diagram holds.

(1) is due to Gaifman [6].
(2) is a consequence of the arrow-relation $\alpha \rightarrow(\alpha, \omega)^{2}$ of Erdös.
(3) is Proposition 1.6 (4) and is trivial.

Each of converses of the implications above is false.
(1) $(*) \Rightarrow(* *)$. This is due to Gaifman [8].
(2) $k \rightarrow(*)$. This is due to Galvin-Hajnal [7].
(3) $k_{n}, n<\omega \rightarrow(* *)$. This follows from Gaifman's example [8].
(4) $k \rightarrow k_{n}, n<\omega$. This follows from Argyros example [3].
(5) (*) $\rightarrow k_{\alpha, n}$ for $n>2$. This follows from [3].
1.8. Remark. The case of $k_{\alpha, 2}$ in Theorem 1.3 which follows from the arrow-relation $\alpha \rightarrow(\alpha, \omega)_{2}{ }^{2}$ was well known. In particular the case $k_{\omega^{+}, 2}$ has been established by Marczewski. Also W. Comfort informed us that K. Kunen also has given a proof of Proposition 1.5.

## 2.

2.1. Definitions and notations. For a compact space $X$ we denote by $C(X)$ the space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on $X$.

By $M(X)$ we denote the space of all regular, Borel measures on $X$. We coincide this space with the conjugate of $C(X)$, via the Riesz representation theorem. Let $\alpha$ be a cardinal. We symbolize by $l_{\alpha}^{1}$ the Banach
space of all real functions $f$ on $\alpha$ that are absolutely summable with

$$
\|f\|=\sum_{k<\alpha}|f(\xi)| .
$$

By $l_{\alpha}{ }^{\infty}$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded real-valued functions defined on $\alpha$ with

$$
\|f\|=\sup \{|f(\xi)|: \xi<\alpha\} .
$$

Let $E$ bea Banach space. We say that $E$ contains isomorphically a copy of $l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$ if there is an isomorphism $T: l_{\alpha}{ }^{1} \rightarrow E$. Equivalently $l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$ is isomorphic with a subspace of $E$ if there are $c>0$ and a uniformly bounded sequence $\left\{x_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset E$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \lambda_{i} x_{\xi_{i}}\right\| \geqq c \sum_{i=1}^{\mu}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \quad \text { for every } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mu} \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

2.2. Definition. Let $X$ be a compact, totally disconnected topological space, and $\mathscr{B}$ a base of clopen sets for its topology. For an infinite cardinal $\alpha$ we say that $X$ satisfies the property $P_{\alpha}$ if for every family $\left\{U_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset$ $\mathscr{B}$ of pairwise different sets, $l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$ embeds isomorphically into the closed linear span of the set

$$
\left\{\chi_{U_{\xi}}, \xi<\alpha\right\} .
$$

2.3. Theorem. Let $X$ be a compact, totally disconnected space and $\mathscr{B}$ a base of clopen sets for its topology. We suppose that $X$ satisfies property $P_{\alpha}$ for some infinite cardinal $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{cf} \alpha>\omega$. Then, the space $X$ satisfies property $k_{\alpha, n}$ for every $n<\omega$.

Proof. Let $\left\{U_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ be a family of elements of $\mathscr{B}$ and $Z$ the closed linear span of the set $\left\{\chi_{U_{\xi}}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$. Because of $P_{\alpha}$ there is a uniformly bounded family $\left\{f_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\} \subset Z$ and a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} f f_{k_{i}}\right\| \geqq c \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \quad \text { for every } \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k} \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

We approximate the elements $\left\{f_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ by finite linear combinations with rational coefficients $\left\{g_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ of the set $\left\{\chi_{U_{\xi}}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{\xi}-g_{\xi}\right\|<\mathrm{c} / 2, \xi<\alpha .
$$

It is easily verified that the family $\left\{g_{\xi}, \xi<\alpha\right\}$ is also equivalent with the usual basis of $l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$.
Now passing to a subfamily, we find $A \subset \alpha,|A|=\alpha$ and rational numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{q}$ such that

$$
g_{\xi}=r_{1} \chi_{U_{\xi^{1}}}+\ldots+r_{\imath} \chi_{U_{\xi}}, \xi \in A
$$

We assume that $\alpha$ is singular. The regular case follows from similar (and easier) arguments. We consider the sets

$$
F_{\xi}=\left\{U_{\xi^{1}}^{1}, U_{\xi^{2}}^{2}, \ldots, U_{\xi}^{q}\right\}, \quad \xi \in A
$$

and apply Theorem 0.8 . So there are sets $A_{j}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha, E_{j}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$ and $E$ such that

$$
\left|\bigcup_{j<\mathrm{cfa} \alpha} A_{j}\right|=A
$$

and if $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in A_{j}, \xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$ then

$$
F_{\xi_{1}} \cap F_{\xi_{2}}=E_{j}
$$

and if $\xi_{1} \in A_{j_{1}}, \xi_{2} \in A_{j_{2}}, j_{1} \neq j_{2}$ then

$$
F_{\xi_{1}} \cap F_{\xi_{2}}=E .
$$

Now for $j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$, let $\left\{\xi_{1}{ }^{p}, \xi_{2}{ }^{p}\right\}, p \in A_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ where $\xi_{1}{ }^{p}, \xi_{2}{ }^{p} \in A_{j}$, and for $p \neq p^{\prime}$,

$$
\left\{\xi_{1}{ }^{p}, \xi_{2}{ }^{p}\right\} \cap\left\{\xi_{1}{ }^{p \prime}, \xi_{2}{ }^{p \prime}\right\}=\emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad\left|A_{j}^{\prime}\right|=\left|A_{j}\right| .
$$

We set

$$
\bar{g}_{p}=g_{\xi_{1} p}-g_{\xi_{2} p}, \quad p \in A_{j}^{\prime}, \quad j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha .
$$

It is easy to see that the family $\left\{\bar{g}_{p}, p \in A_{j}{ }^{\prime}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha\right\}$ is equivalent with the usual basis of $l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$. So there is an isomorphism

$$
T: l_{\alpha}{ }^{1} \rightarrow\left\langle\left\{\tilde{g}_{p}, p \in A_{j}{ }^{\prime}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha\right\}\right\rangle \subset C(X)
$$

Hence the conjugate operator

$$
T^{*}: M(X) \rightarrow l_{\alpha}^{\infty}
$$

is onto. Consequently there is a regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$ such that

$$
T^{*}(\mu)=(1,1, \ldots)
$$

So $\mu\left(\bar{g}_{p}\right)=1, p \in A_{j}{ }^{\prime}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha$ and hence for $p$ there exists a set $U_{p}{ }^{t(p)}$ such that $\mu\left(U_{p}{ }^{i(p)}\right)>0$. Now from the construction of $\bar{g}_{p}$ it follows that the family

$$
\left\{U_{p}{ }^{i(p)}, p \in A_{j}^{\prime}, j<\operatorname{cf} \alpha\right\}
$$

has cardinality $\alpha$.
The desired result is now a simple consequence of 1.3 .
2.4. Remark. Let $X$ be an arbitrary compact space. If for every closed subspace $Z$ of $C(X)$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z=\alpha$, cf $\alpha>\omega, l_{\alpha}{ }^{1}$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $Z$, then with the same method it can be proved that the space $X$ satisfies property $k_{\alpha, n}$ for $n<\omega$.
2.5. Remark. From results of [1] and [9] there follows the existence of compact spaces $X$ with property $P_{\alpha}$. (For example for an arbitrary $I$ the space $\{0,1\}^{I}$ satisfies property $P_{\alpha}$ when of $(\alpha)>\omega$.) The cardinals $\alpha$ for which $P_{\alpha}$ holds in spaces of [1] and [9] satisfy a property stronger than $k_{\alpha, n}$; namely, these spaces have $\alpha$-caliber. Recently, however, the authors
have constructed an example of a space with $P_{\omega^{+}}$property and without caliber $\omega^{+}$. This example shows that property $k_{\alpha, n}$ is the best intersection property that can be obtained from $P_{\alpha}$.
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