# A CLASS OF ALGEBRAS WITHOUT UNITY ELEMENT 

R. M. THRALL

1. Introduction. In a study of the commuting algebra of tensor space representations of the orthogonal group W. P. Brown encountered a class of algebras for which the existence of a unity element was equivalent to semisimplicity, but which were of interest whether or not semisimple. He gave these algebras the name generalized-total matrix algebras and proved (2) that each such algebra was characterized by three integers $l, r, m$ and was isomorphic to the algebra of all square matrices of degree $r+l+m$ which have zeros in the first $l$ rows and in the last $r$ columns.

Let $F$ be any field, let $K$ be an extension sfield of finite degree $k$ over $F$, let $m$ be a positive integer and let $l, r$ be non-negative integers. We denote by $C=C(K, m, l, r)$ the $F$-algebra of order $k(m+l)(m+r)$ consisting of all $K$-matrices having zeros in the first $l$ rows and in the last $l$-columns. We call $C$ a submatrix algebra.

In the present paper we introduce a new family of algebras called algebras of class $Q$. These algebras are defined in terms of certain simple properties possessed by submatrix algebras. Our main result is a proof that each algebra of class $Q$ is a factor algebra of a direct sum of submatrix algebras. We also touch on the topics of automorphisms, isomorphisms, and representations, of algebras of class $Q$.
2. Algebras of class $Q$ and class $Q^{\prime}$. An $F$-algebra $A$ (of finite dimension) is said to be of class $Q$ if there exists an idempotent $\epsilon$ in $A$ such that the following three conditions hold:
$\left(Q_{1}\right) B=\epsilon A \epsilon$ is semisimple,
( $Q_{2}$ ) $A \epsilon A=A$,
$\left(Q_{3}\right) A=B+N$, where $N$ is the radical of $A$.
If instead of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ we have the stronger condition
$\left(Q_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) B=\epsilon A \epsilon$ is simple, then we say that $A$ is of class $Q^{\prime}$.

It is easy to see that every submatrix algebra $C(K, m, l, r)$ is of class $Q^{\prime}$; for we may take as the idempotent the matrix

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}=\left\|\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & I_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

where the partitioning of rows and columns is given by $l, m, r$.
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Theorem 1. Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q$. Then $A N A=0$.
Since no non-zero element in a semisimple algebra can be in the radical we note that $B \cap N=\{0\}$; hence the sum $B+N$ is direct (in the vector space sense). Now multiply $A$ on left and right by $\epsilon$ and we get from ( $Q_{3}$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon N_{\epsilon}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
A N A=A \epsilon A N A \epsilon A \subseteq A \epsilon N \epsilon A=0
$$

Corollary 1. If $A$ is of class $Q$ then $N^{3}=0$ and $A N^{2}=N^{2} A=0$.
Theorem 2. If $A$ is of class $Q$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B+\epsilon N+N \epsilon+N^{2} \quad \text { (direct sum) } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We establish the theorem by identifying (3) with the Pierce decomposition of $A$ relative to $\epsilon$. By $\left(Q_{1}\right), B=\epsilon A \epsilon$ consists of all elements of $A$ having $\epsilon$ as two-sided unity. Next, suppose that $\alpha$ is an element of $A$ for which $\alpha=\epsilon \alpha$ and $\alpha \epsilon=0$. According to ( $Q_{3}$ ) we can write $\alpha=\beta+\eta$ where $\beta \in B$ and $\eta \in N$. Now since $\alpha=\epsilon \alpha$ we must have $\epsilon \eta=\eta$, and then $\alpha \epsilon=\beta+\epsilon \eta \epsilon=\beta$ requires $\beta=0$. This shows that $\epsilon N$ contains all elements of $A$ having $\epsilon$ as left unity and right annihilator; moreover, it follows from (2) that each element of $\epsilon N$ has this property. We show similarly that $N \epsilon$ consists of all elements of $A$ having $\epsilon$ as right unity and left annihilator.

This shows that the Pierce decomposition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B+\epsilon N+N \epsilon+N_{0} \quad \text { (direct sum) } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{0}$ consists of all elements of $A$ having $\epsilon$ as two-sided annihilator. All that remains is to show that $N_{0}=N^{2}$. It is a consequence of Corollary 1 that $N^{2} \subseteq N_{0}$. Next, it follows from ( $Q_{2}$ ), (4), and the fact that $N$ is an ideal that

$$
\begin{align*}
A=A \cdot A & =(B+N \epsilon \dot{(B+\epsilon N)}  \tag{5}\\
& =B+N \epsilon+\epsilon N+N \epsilon N
\end{align*}
$$

where $N \epsilon N \subseteq N^{2} \subseteq N_{0}$. Comparison of (4) and (5) then shows that $N \epsilon N=N_{0}$ and hence

$$
N^{2}=N \epsilon N=N_{0} .
$$

3. Structure theory. In this section we show how a general algebra of class $Q$ can be built up from algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$ and in the following section we study the structure of algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3. Let $A, A_{1}$, and $A_{2}$ be algebras of class $Q$ and let $M$ be an ideal (two-sided) in $A$. Then the direct sum $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ and the residue class algebra $A-M$ are both of class $Q$.

If $\epsilon, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ are the postulated idempotents in $A, A_{1}, A_{2}$, respectively, then ( $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ ) and $\epsilon+M$, respectively, are idempotents which satisfy $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and ( $Q_{2}$ ) in $A_{1}+A_{2}$ and $A-M$. It is also easy to check $\left(Q_{3}\right)$ in both cases.

Theorem 4. Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q$. Then $A=A^{*}-M^{*}$ where $A^{*}$ is a direct sum of algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$ and $M^{*}$ is contained in the square of the radical of $A^{*}$.

It follows from Theorem 3 that $A^{*}-M^{*}$ is of class $Q$ if $A^{*}$ and $M^{*}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.

Let $A=B+N$ where $B$ and $N$ are related to $A$ as in $\left(Q_{1}\right),\left(Q_{2}\right),\left(Q_{3}\right)$, and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{1}+\ldots+B_{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the (unique) expression of $B$ as a direct sum of simple subalgebras. Let $\epsilon_{i}$ be the unity element of $B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, p)$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\epsilon_{1}+\ldots+\epsilon_{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an expression of $\epsilon$ as a sum of orthogonal idempotents in the centre of $B$.
Now set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=A \epsilon_{i} A \quad(i=1, \ldots, p) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=A_{i} \cap N \quad(i=1, \ldots, p) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. $A_{i}$ is of class $Q^{\prime}$ with idempotent $\epsilon_{i}$, simple summand $B_{i}$, and radical $N_{i}$.

The equation

$$
\epsilon_{i} A_{i} \epsilon_{i}=\epsilon_{i}\left(A \epsilon_{i} A\right) \epsilon_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \epsilon A \epsilon \epsilon_{i} \epsilon A \epsilon_{i}=\epsilon_{i} B \epsilon_{i} B \epsilon_{i}=B_{i} B_{i}=B_{i}
$$

verifies $\left(Q_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$.
Next, we have

$$
A_{i} \supseteq A_{i} \epsilon_{i} A_{i}=A \epsilon_{i} A \epsilon_{i} A \epsilon_{i} A \supseteq A \epsilon_{i} A=A_{i}
$$

and hence $A_{i}=A_{i} \epsilon_{i} A_{i}$. This verifies ( $Q_{2}$ ).
Finally,

$$
A_{i}=(B+N) \epsilon_{i}(B+N)=B \epsilon_{i} B+\left(N \epsilon_{i} B+N \epsilon_{i} N+B \epsilon_{i} N\right) \subseteq B_{i}+N_{i}
$$

since $A_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ are ideals in $A$. But $B_{i} \subseteq A_{i}, N_{i} \subseteq A_{i}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=B_{i}+N_{i} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sum is direct (in the vector space sense) since $\epsilon_{i}$ is unity element for $B_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i} N_{i} \epsilon_{i} \subseteq \epsilon_{i} N \epsilon_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \epsilon N \epsilon_{i}=0$; it follows that $A_{i}-N_{i} \cong B_{i}$, and hence the $N_{i}$ is the radical of $A_{i}$. This establishes ( $Q_{3}$ ).

Lemma 2. $A_{i} A_{j}=0$ if $i \neq j$.
If $i \neq j$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{i} A \epsilon_{j} & =\epsilon_{i} B \epsilon_{j}+\epsilon_{i} N \epsilon_{j} \\
& =B \epsilon_{i} \epsilon_{j}+\epsilon_{i} \epsilon N \epsilon \epsilon_{j} \\
& =0+0
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $A_{i} A_{j}=A \epsilon_{i} A A \epsilon_{j} A=0$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4. Let $A^{*}$ be the (ring) direct sum of $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}$, i.e., $A^{*}$ consists of all $p$-tuples $\alpha^{*}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i}$ in $A_{i}$ and with addition and multiplication done componentwise. Consider the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: \alpha^{*}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right) \rightarrow \alpha=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $A^{*}$ into $A$.
This mapping is clearly a linear transformation. It follows from Lemma 2 that it is a ring homomorphism. It is "onto" since

$$
A^{*} T=A_{1}+\ldots+A_{p}=A \epsilon_{1} A+\ldots+A \epsilon_{p} A=A \epsilon A=A
$$

Let $M^{*}$ be the kernel of $T$, and let $N^{*}$ be the radical of $A^{*}$. All that remains is to show that $M^{*} \subseteq\left(N^{*}\right)^{2}$. Suppose that $\alpha^{*}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)$ lies in $M^{*}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{h}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3) that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}+\eta_{i}+\zeta_{i}+\tau_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \alpha_{i} \epsilon_{i}, \eta_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \eta_{i}, \zeta_{i}=\zeta_{i} \epsilon_{i}$ and $\eta_{i} \epsilon_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \zeta_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \tau_{i}=\tau_{i} \epsilon_{i}=0$.
Now $\epsilon_{i} \alpha \epsilon_{i}=\beta_{i}, \epsilon_{i} \alpha=\beta_{i}+\eta_{i}, \alpha \epsilon_{i}=\beta_{i}+\zeta_{i}$, and since $\alpha=0$ this gives $\beta_{i}=\eta_{i}=\zeta_{i}=0(i=1, \ldots, p)$ and hence

$$
\alpha^{*}=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{p}\right)
$$

which is in $\left(N^{*}\right)^{2}$.
4. Structure theory (continued). Theorem 4 gives the structure of algebras of class $Q$ in terms of algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$. In this section we refine this result by an analysis of algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$.

Theorem 5. Every algebra of class $Q^{\prime}$ is the homomorphic image of a submatrix algebra. The kernel of the homomorphism is contained in the square of the radical of the submatrix algebra.

Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q^{\prime}$ with simple summand $B=\epsilon A \epsilon$. According to Wedderburn's Theorem (1), $B$ is isomorphic to a total matrix algebra over a finite extension sfield $K$ of $F$. Let $\left\{\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{k}\right\}$ be a basis for $K$ over $F$, let $m$ be the degree of $B$ over $K$, and let $e_{i j}(i, j=1, \ldots, m)$ be a matrix unit $K$-basis for $B$.

Let $e=e_{11}$; then $K$ is isomorphic to $K^{\prime}=e B e$ and every irreducible left- $B$ space $W$ is isomorphic to $e B$. In particular, $e W \neq 0$ and there exists a vector $w$ in $W$ such that $\left\{w\left(=e_{11} w\right), e_{21} w, \ldots, e_{m 1} w\right\}$ is a $K$-basis for $W$. Then the $m k$ vectors $\kappa_{h} e_{i 1} w(h=1, \ldots, k ; i=1, \ldots, m)$ form an $F$-basis for $W$.
$\epsilon N$ is a left $B$-space and as such is a direct sum of irreducible left $B$-spaces. Hence, there exist vectors $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{l}$ in $e N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\kappa_{h} e_{i 1} \eta_{s}(h=1, \ldots, k ; i=1, \ldots, m ; s=1, \ldots, l)\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $F$-basis for $\epsilon N$.

Similarly, there exist vectors $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}$ in $N e$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\zeta_{\imath} e_{i j} \kappa_{h} \quad(h=1, \ldots, k ; j=1, \ldots, m ; t=1, \ldots, r)\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $F$-basis for $N \epsilon$.
Finally, $N^{2}=N \epsilon N$ is spanned by all products of basis vectors for $N \epsilon$ and $\epsilon N$; i.e. by all products of the form

$$
\zeta_{t} e_{1 j} \kappa_{h} \kappa_{h^{\prime}} e_{i 1} \eta_{s}
$$

Next, we observe that the matrix units $e_{i j}$ commute with elements of $K$, hence $e_{1 j} \kappa_{h} \kappa_{h^{\prime}} e_{i 1}$ is equal to zero unless $i=j$ and then it is equal to $e \kappa_{h} \kappa_{h^{\prime}} e$. Now $\zeta_{t} e=\zeta_{t}$ and $e \eta_{s}=\eta_{s}$; hence $N^{2}$ is spanned by the $k l r$ products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\zeta_{t} \kappa_{h} \eta_{s} \quad(h=1, \ldots, k ; s=1, \ldots, l ; t=1, \ldots, r)\right\} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general these products will not be independent but will satisfy certain linear conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t, h, s} a_{q}(t, h, s) \zeta_{t} \kappa_{h} \eta_{s}=0 \quad(q=1, \ldots, g) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may suppose that these conditions are independent; then the $F$-dimension of $N^{2}$ is $k l r-g$.

We now let $C=C(K, m, l, r)$. Let $\epsilon^{\prime}$ be the matrix given in (1), and let $C=B^{\prime}+N^{\prime}$ be the decomposition $\left(Q_{3}\right)$ for $C$. Then we can choose matrix units $e_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ for $B^{\prime}$ and elements $\eta_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \eta_{l}{ }^{\prime}$ in $e_{11}{ }^{\prime} N^{\prime}, \zeta_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \zeta_{r}{ }^{\prime}$ in $N^{\prime} e_{11}{ }^{\prime}$ such that the $k(m+l)(m+r)$ elements

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa_{h} e_{i t j}, \kappa_{h} e_{i l}^{\prime} \eta_{s}^{\prime}, \zeta_{\imath}^{\prime} e_{l j}^{\prime} \kappa_{h}, \zeta_{t}^{\prime} \kappa_{h} \eta_{s}^{\prime}  \tag{18}\\
(h=1, \ldots, k ; i, j=1, \ldots, m ; s=1, \ldots, l ; t=1, \ldots, r)
\end{gather*}
$$

form an $F$-basis for $C$. Then the unique linear transformation of $C$ onto $A$ which sends each of these basis vectors into the corresponding unprimed element of $A$ is clearly a ring homomorphism whose kernel lies in $\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Next we combine the results of Theorems 4 and 5 and get our main structure theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q$. Then $A=A^{*}-M^{*}$ where $A^{*}$ is a direct sum of submatrix algebras and $M^{*}$ is contained in the square of the radical of $A^{*}$.
5. Representation theory. Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q$ and let $V$ be a (left) representation space for $A$. Consider the chain $V \supseteq A V \supseteq N A V$ $\supseteq A N A V=0$. Clearly both the spaces $V / A V$ and $N A V$ are annihilated by every element of $A$, and $A V / N A V$ is a completely reducible non-degenerate $A$-space. Hence, by suitable choice of basis vectors we get the following matrix form for the representation:

$$
\alpha \rightarrow V_{(\alpha)}=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{19}\\
V_{21}(\alpha) & V_{22}(\alpha) & 0 \\
V_{31}(\alpha) & V_{32}(\alpha) & 0
\end{array}\right\|
$$

where $V_{22}$ is in completely reduced form. We may suppose the basis elements so chosen that $V_{22}(\epsilon)$ is the identity matrix, and if $\alpha=\beta+\eta+\zeta+\tau$ is a splitting of $\alpha$ according to (3) then

$$
V_{22}(\alpha)=V_{22}(\beta), V_{21}(\alpha)=V_{21}(\eta), V_{32}(\alpha)=V_{32}(\zeta),
$$

and

$$
V_{31}(\alpha)=V_{31}(\tau)
$$

It is easy to show that if any of the integers $l_{i}, r_{i}$ defined by the ideals $A_{i}$ of $A$ given by (8) exceeds unity, then $A$ has unbounded representation type (3). Consequently, it is not likely that there is any simple classification of the indecomposable representations of algebras of class $Q$.
6. Uniqueness and automorphisms. Since the structure theorems depend on the decomposition $A=B+N$ it seems desirable to study its uniqueness. Since $N$ is the radical any lack of uniqueness must come from the semisimple summand $B$. But since $B=\epsilon A \epsilon$ is uniquely determined by $\epsilon$ any second decomposition must correspond to a second idempotent $\epsilon^{\prime}$.

It is easy to verify for any $\eta_{0}, \zeta_{0}$ in $\epsilon N, N \epsilon$, respectively, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon+\eta_{0}+\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{0} \eta_{0}=\left(\epsilon+\zeta_{0}\right)\left(\epsilon+\eta_{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an idempotent for which $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $Q_{3}$ hold. Moreover, if either $\eta_{0}$ or $\zeta_{0}$ is different from zero, $B^{\prime}=\epsilon^{\prime} A \epsilon^{\prime}$ is not the same as $B$. Hence all we can expect for $B$ is uniqueness to within an automorphism and this is established in the following theorem.

Theorem 7. Let $A$ be an algebra of class $Q$ and let $\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}$ be idempotents for which $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ hold. Then there is an automorphism $T$ of $A$ which sends $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon^{\prime}$. More precisely, if $\alpha=\beta+\eta+\zeta+\tau$ is a splitting of $\alpha$ according to (3) then the mapping

$$
T: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha T=\alpha^{\prime}=\epsilon^{\prime} \beta \epsilon^{\prime}+\epsilon^{\prime} \eta+\zeta \epsilon^{\prime}+\tau
$$

is an automorphism of $A$ which sends $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon^{\prime}$.
Both $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{\prime}$ are mapped into the identity element of $A-N$ under the natural mapping; hence $\epsilon^{\prime}-\epsilon$ is in $N$ and so from (3) we get

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon+\eta_{0}+\zeta_{0}+\tau_{0} .
$$

Now $\epsilon^{\prime}=\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\epsilon+\eta_{0}+\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{0} \eta_{0}$; i.e., $\epsilon^{\prime}$ has the form (20). Note in particular that $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon=\epsilon$.

Next, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon T & =\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=\left(\epsilon+\zeta_{0}\right)\left(\epsilon+\eta_{0}\right) \epsilon\left(\epsilon+\zeta_{0}\right)\left(\epsilon+\eta_{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\epsilon+\zeta_{0}\right)\left(\epsilon+\eta_{0}\right)=\epsilon^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $T$ is a linear transformation and a direct computation shows that $\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right) T=\left(\alpha_{1} T\right)\left(\alpha_{2} T\right)$; i.e., $T$ is an endomorphism on $A$.

To complete the proof that $T$ is an automorphism, i.e. that it is one-to-one and onto we construct its inverse. Let $\alpha=\beta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+\zeta^{\prime}+\tau$ be the splitting (3) for $\alpha$ relative to $\epsilon^{\prime}$ and let $\alpha T^{\prime}=\epsilon \beta^{\prime} \epsilon+\epsilon \eta^{\prime}+\zeta^{\prime} \epsilon+\tau$. Then the equations $T T^{\prime}=T^{\prime} T=I$ follow from $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon=\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon^{\prime}$.

The automorphism $T$ of the theorem is completely defined by $\epsilon^{\prime}$ and hence by $\eta_{0}$ and $\zeta_{0}$; we denote it by $T\left(\eta_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)$. It is easy to verify that the set $W$ of all $T(\eta, \zeta)$ is a commutative group with composition rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\eta_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \cdot T\left(\eta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)=T\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{\epsilon}$ denote the subgroup consisting of all automorphisms of $A$ which leave $\epsilon$ fixed; then the group $G$ of all automorphisms has the factorization $U_{\epsilon} W$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ be elements of $B$ for which $\gamma \gamma^{\prime}=\gamma^{\prime} \gamma=\epsilon$. Then the mapping $S(\gamma)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\beta+\eta+\zeta+\tau \rightarrow \alpha S(\gamma)=\gamma^{\prime} \beta \gamma+\gamma^{\prime} \eta+\zeta \gamma+\tau \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an automorphism of $A$. The set $V$ of all $S(\gamma)$ is an subgroup of $U$. We observe that $N^{2}$ is elementwise fixed under the automorphisms in $V$ and in $W$.

According to Theorem 6, the general question of conditions for isomorphism of algebras of class $Q$ can be reduced to the study of conjugacy (under automorphisms) of ideals contained in the square of the radical of a direct sum of submatrix algebras.

In this paper we shall limit our study of isomorphism to the case of algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$ and in particular those for which $K=F$, i.e. for which $B$ is a total matrix algebra. According to Theorem 5 we can reduce this to the following question. Let $C=C(F, m, l, r)$, and let $M, M^{\prime}$ be two ideals in the square of the radical of $C$. We ask for necessary and sufficient conditions for the conjugacy of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ under automorphisms of $C$. We first determine the group $G$ of automorphisms of $C$ which leave $F$ elementwise fixed.

We have initially the factorization $G=U_{\epsilon} W$. Let $U_{B}$ denote the subgroup of $G$ consisting of automorphisms which leave $B$ elementwise fixed and let $T$ be any element of $U_{\epsilon}$. Then since $B$ is a total matrix algebra $T$ must agree on $B$ with an inner automorphism of $B$, i.e., there exists $\gamma$ in $B$ such that $T(\gamma)^{-1}$ leaves $B$ elementwise fixed (1). This shows that $U_{\epsilon}=U_{B} V$. Now since $W$ and $V$ both leave $N^{2}$ elementwise fixed, $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ will be conjugate under $G$ if and only if they are conjugate under $U_{B}$.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be the group of automorphisms of a submatrix F-algebra $C=C(F, m, l, r)$. Then $G$ has the factorization

$$
G=U_{B} V W
$$

where $W$ is isomorphic to a vector space of dimension $m^{2} l r$ over $F$, where $V$ is isomorphic to the full linear group $G L(m)$, and where $U_{B}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $G L(l)$ and $G L(r)$.

The statement about $W$ follows from (21) and the fact that for $C$ we have $\operatorname{dim} \epsilon N=l m$ and $\operatorname{dim} N \epsilon=r m$. The statement about $V$ follows from (22) since $G L(m)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of a total matrix algebra of degree $m$.

Next, let $T$ be any element of $U_{B}$, and choose $e$ as in the proof of Theorem 5 . Then, since $e$ is in $B, e T=e$ and hence $(e N) T=e N$ and $(N e) T=N e$; moreover, $T$ induces non-singular linear transformations $T_{L}$ on $e N$ and $T_{R}$ on $N e$. Let $\tau$ be an element of $N^{2}$. Then we have (cf. (16))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\sum_{i, s} a_{t s} \zeta_{i \eta_{s}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The factor $\kappa_{h}$ appearing in (16) does not appear here since $K=F$.) Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau T=\sum_{t, s} a_{t s}\left(\zeta_{t} T_{R}\right)\left(\eta_{s} T_{L}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $T$ is completely determined by $T_{L}$ and $T_{R}$. It follows that the mapping $T \rightarrow\left(T_{L}, T_{R}\right)$ is a homomorphism of $U_{B}$ into $G L(l) \times G L(r)$. Moreover, it follows from (24) that if $T \rightarrow\left(I_{l}, I_{r}\right)$, then $T=I$, i.e. this mapping is an isomorphism. Finally we show that the mapping is onto. Let $T_{L}, T_{R}$ be any elements of $G L(l) G L(r)$ respectively. Then the mapping $T=T\left(T_{L}, T_{R}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta+\eta+\zeta+\tau) T=\beta+\eta T_{L}+\zeta T_{R}+\tau^{\prime} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}$ is given by (24), is clearly an element of $U_{B}$ which maps into ( $T_{L}, T_{R}$ ).
Now let $M$ be an ideal of $C$ contained in $N^{2}$, and let $M$ have dimension $g$ over $F$. Then (cf. (17)) $M$ has a basis of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tau_{q}=\sum_{t, s} a_{q}(t, s) \zeta_{\imath} \eta_{s}(q=1, \ldots, g)\right\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{q}(t, s)$ are elements of $F$. We can associate $M$ and the given basis with the trilinear form

$$
f_{M}: f_{M}(x, y, z)=\sum_{q, i, s} a_{q}(t, s) x_{q} y_{s} z_{z} .
$$

A change of basis for $M$ replaces $f_{M}$ according to a non-singular linear transformation on the $x_{q}$. Under an automorphism $T\left(T_{L}, T_{R}\right), M$ is replaced by a new ideal $M^{\prime}$ whose corresponding trilinear form is obtained from $f_{M}$ by applying the substitutions $T_{L}$ to the $y_{s}$ and $T_{R}$ to the $z_{t}$. Thus we see that two ideals $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are conjugate under $U_{B}$ and therefore under $G$ if and only if their corresponding trilinear forms $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are equivalent. Thus the problem of isomorphism of two algebras of class $Q^{\prime}$ (having $K=F$ ) is reduced to the equivalence of trilinear forms.

To extend this result to the case where $K \neq F$ would involve equivalence of quadrilinear forms under the full linear group on three of the sets of variables and under a finite group corresponding to automorphisms of $K$ over $F$ on the fourth set of variables. If the centre of $K$ is inseparable over $F$, then Theorem 8 still remains valid except that $U_{B}$ must be enlarged to account
for automorphisms of $K$. The factorization $G=U_{B} V W$ is no longer valid (unless the centre of $K$ is inseparable over $F$ ). We leave the detailed analysis of this case as well as the general problem of isomorphism of algebras of class $Q$ for future treatment.
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