

# LINEARIZATION OF A CONTRACTIVE HOMEOMORPHISM

LUDVIK JANOS

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous self-mapping of  $X$ . We say that  $\phi$  is *linearized in  $L$  by  $\Phi$*  if there exists a topological embedding  $\mu: X \rightarrow L$  of the space  $X$  into the linear topological vector space  $L$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(\phi(x)) = \Phi(\mu(x))$ , where  $\Phi$  is a continuous linear operator on  $L$ .

Let  $X$  be metrizable and let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . We say that  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  is a *topological  $\alpha$ -contraction on  $X$*  if there exists a metric  $\rho(x, y)$  on  $X$  inducing the given topology such that

$$\forall x, y \in X: \rho(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq \alpha \rho(x, y).$$

If  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism and at the same time a topological  $\alpha$ -contraction, we shall say that  $\phi$  is a *topologically  $\alpha$ -contractive homeomorphism*.

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . We shall say that  $\phi$  is a *topological  $\alpha$ -homothety on  $X$*  if there is a metric  $\rho(x, y)$  on  $X$ , inducing the given topology, such that

$$\forall x, y \in X: \rho(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \alpha \rho(x, y).$$

Our main objective in this paper will be to show that if  $X$  is a compact metrizable space and  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  is a topologically  $\alpha$ -contractive homeomorphism (for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ), then  $\phi$  can be linearized in a separable Hilbert space as a homothety.

## 2. Proof of the theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metrizable space,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  a topologically  $\alpha$ -contractive homeomorphism. Then, for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  there exists a topological embedding  $\mu: X \rightarrow H$  of  $X$  into a separable Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\forall x \in X: \mu(\phi(x)) = \beta \mu(x)$ .*

*Proof of the theorem.* According to the theorem, proved in (1), the mapping  $\phi$  is a topological  $\alpha$ -homothety for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , i.e., there exists a metric  $\rho$  on  $X$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in X: \rho(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \alpha \rho(x, y).$$

We shall show, first of all, that  $(X, \rho)$  can be embedded isometrically in the larger metric space  $(X^*, \rho^*)$ , over which  $\phi$  can be extended as an  $\alpha$ -homothety onto.

---

Received April 4, 1967.

Let  $A_0 = X - \phi(X)$  and  $A_{n+1} = \phi(A_n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ . We observe that the sets  $A_n$  are all mutually homeomorphic and disjoint, and that  $X$  can be represented in the form:

$$X = \left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \right] \cup \{a\},$$

where  $a \in X$  is the fixed point of  $\phi$ . The mapping  $\phi$  has an inverse on  $\phi(X)$  and we have that  $\phi^{-1}(A_n) = A_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2 \dots$ .

Let us now introduce the family of sets  $A_{-1}, A_{-2}, \dots$  as mutually disjoint abstract copies of  $A_0$  and disjoint with  $X$ . Let us introduce mappings  $\phi_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$  for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$  to be one-to-one and onto. Now we can introduce the set  $X^*$  as

$$\left[ \bigcup_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_n \right] \cup \{a\}$$

and the mapping  $\phi^*: X^* \rightarrow X^*$  in the following way:

- if  $x \in A_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , we put  $\phi^*(x) = \phi(x)$ ,
  - if  $x \in A_n$  for  $n < 0$ , we put  $\phi^*(x) = \phi_n(x)$ ,
- and finally we put  $\phi^*(a) = \phi(a)$ .

It is obvious that  $\phi^*$  is one-to-one and maps  $X^*$  onto itself.

Define  $n(x) = n$  for  $x \in A_n$  and

$$n(a) = \infty, \quad n(x, y) = \min \{n(x), n(y)\}.$$

With this notation we define a metric  $\rho^*$  on  $X^*$  by the formula

$$\rho^*(x, y) = \alpha^n \rho((\phi^*)^{-n}(x), (\phi^*)^{-n}(y)),$$

where  $n = n(x, y)$ .

Since  $n(\phi^*(x), \phi^*(y)) = 1 + n(x, y)$ , we see that  $\rho^*(\phi^*(x), \phi^*(y)) = \alpha \rho^*(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X^*$ ; henceforth, we shall denote the function  $\phi^*$  by  $\phi$  and  $\rho^*$  by  $\rho$ , on  $X^*$ .

Our next objective will be to show that for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  there exists a countable family of functions  $f_i(x) \in C(X^*)$  such that

- (1)  $f_i(\phi(x)) = \beta f_i(x)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- (2) the family is uniformly bounded on  $X$ , i.e., there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|f_i(x)| \leq M$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and all  $x \in X$ ,
- (3) the family is point-separating on  $X$ , i.e., for any  $t_1, t_2 \in X$  there exists an index  $i$  such that  $f_i(t_1) \neq f_i(t_2)$ .

Let  $x \in A_0$  and denote by  $d(x)$  the distance between  $x$  and  $\phi(X)$ , namely,  $d(x) = \rho(x, \phi(X))$ . The function  $d(x)$  is positive since  $\phi(X)$  is compact. Denote by  $N(x, r)$  a spherical neighbourhood of the radius  $r > 0$  about  $x \in A_0$  in  $X^*$  (we are working in  $X^*$ ):

$$t \in N(x, r) \Leftrightarrow \rho(x, t) < r,$$

$$\text{if } r < d(x), \text{ then } N(x, r) \cap \phi(X) = \emptyset,$$

and it is easily seen that if  $r < \frac{1}{2}d(x)$ , then all images  $\phi^n(N(x, r))$  are mutually disjoint.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{R}_x$  the set of all  $r > 0$  such that

- (i)  $N(x, r) \cap \phi(X) = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) the family  $\phi^n(N(x, r))$  is disjoint.

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{R}_x$  is an interval  $(0, R_x)$ , where  $R_x > 0$ .

Let us now associate to every  $x \in A_0$  and every  $r \in (0, R_x)$  a continuous function  $g(x, r; t)$  of  $t$  on  $X^*$  in such a way that:

- (i)  $g(x, r; t): X^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,
- (ii)  $g(x, r; x) = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $g(x, r; t) = 0$  for  $t \in N^c(x, r)$  (complement of  $N(x, r)$  in  $X^*$ ).

The fact that all  $\phi^n[N(x, r)]$  are disjoint enables us to define the function  $f(x, r; t): X^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follows:

$$f(x, r; t) = \beta^n g(x, r; \phi^{-n}(t)) \quad \text{if } t \in \phi^n[N(x, r)],$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{if } t \notin \bigcup_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^n[N(x, r)].$$

The number  $\beta$  is chosen arbitrarily from  $(0, 1)$ . Continuity of  $f(x, r; t)$  can be easily seen since it can be represented in the form

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta^n g(x, r; \phi^{-n}(t)),$$

the sum being uniformly converging on each set of the form

$$\left[ \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i \right] \cup \{a\}.$$

The function  $f(x, r; t)$  obviously satisfies the equation

$$f(x, r; \phi(t)) = \beta f(x, r; t)$$

and is bounded on  $X$  since  $\phi^{-n}[N(x, r)] \cap X = \emptyset$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and therefore

$$\sup_{t \in X} f(x, r; t) = \sup_{t \in X} g(x, r; t) = 1.$$

Let  $Q$  be a dense and countable subset of  $A_0$  and let  $t_1, t_2 \in X$  be arbitrarily given different points of  $X: t_1 \neq t_2$ . Then at least one of them, say  $t_2$ , is not equal to  $a$  and, therefore, there exists  $x \in A_0$  such that  $\phi^n(x) = t_2$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Consider the neighbourhood  $N(x, r)$  for some rational  $r \in (0, R_x)$  and choose  $q \in Q, q \in N(x, \frac{1}{2}r)$ . Then, evidently,  $\frac{1}{2}r \in (0, R_q)$ , and the function  $f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, t)$  separates points  $t_2$  and  $a$ , since  $f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, t_2) = \alpha^n f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, x) > 0$  for  $x \in N(q, \frac{1}{2}r)$ , and  $f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, a) = 0$ ; thus, if  $t_1 = a$ , our proof is complete. If  $t_1 \neq a$ , then  $y = \phi^{-n}(t_1)$  for some  $y \in A_0$  and  $n \geq 0$ . If we choose the rational number  $r$  such that  $r \in (0, R_x), r < \rho(x, y)$ , and choose  $q \in N(x, \frac{1}{2}r)$ , then we have, again, that  $f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, t_2) \neq 0, f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, y) = 0$ , and therefore

$$f(q, \frac{1}{2}r, t) = 0,$$

and we have shown that the family  $f(q, r, t)$  where  $q \in Q$  and  $r$  rational numbers from  $(0, R_2)$ , satisfies our conditions. If we index this function of our

family by natural numbers  $f_n(t)$ , we may construct the desired embedding  $\mu: X \rightarrow H$  by the formula

$$\mu(t) = \{f_1(t), \frac{1}{2}f_2(t), \frac{1}{3}f_3(t), \dots\}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. Ludvik Janos, *One-to-one contractive mappings on compact spaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1967), 133.

*University of Florida,  
Gainesville, Florida*