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If $\lambda$ is the average density of virus particles per unit volume and $a^{m}(a>1$, $m=\ldots-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots$ ) are the dilution levels, the proportion of eggs remaining sterile at dilution $a^{m}$ (if eggs are used as the material to be infected) will be $e^{-\lambda a^{m}}$ if the ordinary assumptions of dilution assay are valid. In many cases this is not true because the eggs vary amongst themselves in their infectibility. If $p$ is the probability of any one particle (when present) being infective for an individual egg, and if $p$ does not vary from particle to particle the probability of the egg remaining sterile is $e^{-p \lambda a^{m}}$. If $p$ varies from egg to egg so that it has a probability distribution $f(p)$, the probability of an egg chosen at random remaining sterile is

$$
P_{m}=\int_{0}^{1} e^{-p \lambda a^{m}} f(p) d p
$$

If a series of dilutions is taken and $P_{m}$ plotted against $m$, this will give a flatter curve than the exponential. No valid estimate of $\lambda$ can then be made, but in order to study the effect of various chemical substances on the infectibility of the egg it is very important to be able to recognize this phenomenon quickly when it occurs.

One method of doing this is to attempt to fit an exponential curve by maximum likelihood methods and use $\chi^{2}$ as a test of goodness of fit. This is laborious if it has to be done on a large number of such experiments and in a previous paper (Moran, 1954) I have proposed a simple test which can be carried out in less than five minutes and which is probably more powerful than the $\chi^{2}$ test in testing for deviations of this kind.

Let $n$ eggs be tested at each dilution and let $f_{i}$ remain sterile at the $i$ th dilution. Calculate the quantity $T=\Sigma f_{i}\left(n-f_{i}\right)$. Then it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(T) & =n(n-1) \Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right), \\
\operatorname{var}(T) & =n(n-1)\left\{(n-1) \Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)-(4 n-6) \Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The distribution of $T$, being the sum of a number of independent variates, will not be very far from normal so that we can test the deviation of $T$ from $E(T)$ as if it were normally distributed with zero mean and standard error equal to $\sqrt{ } \operatorname{var}(T)$ provided we can calculate $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ and $\Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}$. This assumes that the series is sufficiently long for the probabilities to be effectively zero and unity at the two ends. Also as our alternative hypothesis is that the curve is flatter than the exponential, we need only a one-sided test, a standardized deviation from expectation being significant at the 5 and $1 \%$ levels if it exceeds 1.645 and $2 \cdot 326$ respectively.

For a twofold dilution series this test was given in a previous paper (where the
formula for the variance occurs in a slightly different form). In this case $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ is identically equal to unity because $P_{i+1}=P_{i}{ }^{2} . \Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}$, however, depends on $\lambda$, and if $\lambda=a^{\mu}$, is a periodic function of $\mu$. Its mean value is $0 \cdot 169925$ and by calculating it for a series of typical values of $\mu$ it can be shown to lie always in the range $0 \cdot 169915$ to 0.169935 .

The purpose of the present note is to give the results for other dilution series and tables for the rapid application of the test. For a fourfold dilution series $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ is also a periodic function of $\mu$. Its mean value is 0.5 and it always lies in the range 0.497 to 0.503 . Similarly, the mean value of $\Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}$ is 0.0850 and it always lies in the range 0.082 to 0.088 .

| Table 1. Values of $E(T)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | Twofold | Fourfold | $\sqrt{ }$ Tenfold | Tenfold |
| 5 | 20 | 10 | 12.04 | 6.02 |
|  | 30 | 15 | 18.06 | 9.03 |
| 7 | 42 | 21 | $25 \cdot 29$ | 12.64 |
| 8 | 56 | 28 | 33.72 | 16.86 |
| 9 | 72 | 36 | $43 \cdot 35$ | 21.67 |
| 10 | 90 | 45 | $54 \cdot 19$ | 27.09 |
| 11 | 110 | 55 | 66.23 | $33 \cdot 11$ |
| 12 | 132 | 66 | $79 \cdot 47$ | 39.74 |
| 13 | 156 | 78 | 93.92 | 46.96 |
| 14 | 182 | 91 | 109.58 | 54.79 |
| 15 | 210 | 105 | 126.43 | 63.22 |
| 16 | 240 | 120 | 144.50 | 72.25 |
| 17 | 272 | 136 | 163.76 | 81.88 |
| 18 | 306 | 153 | 184.23 | 92.12 |
| 19 | 342 | 171 | 205.91 | 102.95 |
| 20 | 380 | 190 | 228.79 | 114.39 |
| 30 | 870 | 435 | $523 \cdot 80$ | 261-90 |
| 40 | 1560 | 780 | 939.23 | 469.61 |

For a $\sqrt{ }$ tenfold dilution series the mean value of $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ is 0.60207 , and it always lies between 0.601 and $0.603 . \Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}$ has a mean value 0.1023 and lies between $0 \cdot 1013$ and $0 \cdot 1033$.

For the tenfold dilution the mean value of $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ is $0 \cdot 301$, and $\Sigma P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)$ lies between 0.27 and 0.33 , whilst the mean value of $\Sigma P_{i}{ }^{2}\left(1-P_{i}\right)^{2}$ is 0.0512 , lying between 0.035 and 0.067 . For this series the variation is now getting large and the test somewhat dubious.

In the above calculations the mean values were found theoretically by explicit evaluation of the corresponding integrals and the ranges within which the sums lie were found by calculating them for ten values of $\mu$ between 0 and 1 . Thus for all dilution series other than the twofold $E(T)$ has a periodic component in $\mu$. It is interesting to verify mathematically that $E(T)$, averaged over $\mu$, will always be greater than the average value given above if the probability distribution of $p$ is not concentrated in a single point. By elementary but somewhat complicated algebra this can in fact be proved.

Table 1 gives the values of $E(T)$ (averaged over $\mu$ if necessary) for twofold, fourfold, $\sqrt{ }$ tenfold and tenfold dilution series, whilst Table 2 gives corresponding value of $\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(T)}$.

Since $T$ is the sum of a number of independent bounded variates we can expect its distribution to be close to normality. The accuracy of the approximation will be better for large $n$ and small dilution. The exact distribution for small $\mu$ can be found numerically (for a given value of $\mu$ ) and this was done for $n=5$ and fourfold dilution. Only fair agreement was found at the one-sided 5 and $1 \%$ points but the closeness of the approximation should increase rapidly with $n$, and in any case should be much better for twofold dilutions.

The average expectation of $T$ can be evaluated for various assumptions about the distribution of $p$, e.g. for a rectangular distribution. Similarly in the case where the distribution of $p$ is approximated by a gamma type distribution so that $P$ has the form of the zero term of a negative binomial, the average expectation of $T$ can be expressed as the difference of two digamma functions.

Table 2. Values of $\sqrt{ } \operatorname{var}(T)$

| $n$ | Twofold | Fourfold | $\sqrt{c}$ Tenfold | Tenfold |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $5 \cdot 69$ | $4 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 42$ | $\mathbf{3 \cdot 1 2}$ |
| 6 | $7 \cdot 63$ | $9 \cdot 75$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | $5 \cdot 92$ |
| 7 | $12 \cdot 02$ | $8 \cdot 89$ | $7 \cdot 57$ | $4 \cdot 19$ |
| 8 | $14 \cdot 46$ | $10 \cdot 22$ | $5 \cdot 35$ |  |
| 9 | $17 \cdot 03$ | $12 \cdot 04$ | $11 \cdot 22$ | $6 \cdot 60$ |
| 10 | $19 \cdot 74$ | $13 \cdot 96$ | $13 \cdot 21$ | $7 \cdot 93$ |
| 11 | $22 \cdot 58$ | $15 \cdot 97$ | $15 \cdot 32$ | $\mathbf{9 \cdot 3 4}$ |
| 12 | $25 \cdot 55$ | $18 \cdot 07$ | $17 \cdot 52$ | $10 \cdot 83$ |
| 13 | $28 \cdot 63$ | $20 \cdot 24$ | $19 \cdot 82$ | $12 \cdot 39$ |
| 14 | $31 \cdot 83$ | $22 \cdot 51$ | $22 \cdot 21$ | $14 \cdot 02$ |
| 15 | $35 \cdot 14$ | $24 \cdot 85$ | $24 \cdot 70$ | $15 \cdot 71$ |
| 16 | $42 \cdot 56$ | $27 \cdot 27$ | $27 \cdot 27$ | $17 \cdot 74$ |
| 17 | $45 \cdot 70$ | $29 \cdot 75$ | $29 \cdot 92$ | $19 \cdot 28$ |
| 18 | $49 \cdot 41$ | $32 \cdot 31$ | $32 \cdot 64$ | $21 \cdot 16$ |
| 19 | $91 \cdot 53$ | $34 \cdot 94$ | $35 \cdot 46$ | $23 \cdot 08$ |
| 20 | $141 \cdot 49$ | $64 \cdot 72$ | $38 \cdot 34$ | $25 \cdot 08$ |
| 30 |  | $100 \cdot 05$ | $71 \cdot 02$ | $27 \cdot 11$ |
| 40 |  |  | $109 \cdot 79$ | $50 \cdot 22$ |
|  |  |  |  | $77 \cdot 63$ |
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