# A SHORT PROOF OF AN INTERPOLATION THEOREM 

BY<br>EDWARD HUGHES

In this note we give a simple proof of an operator-interpolation theorem (Theorem 2) due originally to Donoghue [6], and Lions-Foias [7].

Definition. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the complex plane, $\mathscr{C}+$ the open upper half-plane, $\mathscr{R}$ the real line, $\mathscr{R}^{+}$and $\mathscr{R}^{-}$the non-negative and non-positive axes. Denote by $\mathscr{K}$ the class of positive functions on $\mathscr{R}^{+}$which extend analytically to $\mathscr{C}-\mathscr{R}^{-}$, and map $\mathscr{C}+$ into itself. Denote by $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ the class of functions $\varphi$ such that $\varphi\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}$ is in $\mathscr{K}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$. By reflection $\varphi$ takes the lower half-plane to itself, and is increasing and concave (i.e. $\varphi^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ ) on $\mathscr{R}^{+}$. Turner [5] proves the following generalisation of a matrix theorem of Löwner [4] (see also Heinz [2]):

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi$ be a positive function on $\mathscr{R}^{+}$. Then $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$ if and only if for any positive selfadjoint operators $A$ and $B$ on a Hilbert space which satisfy $0 \leq A \leq B$, we have $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$.

By a simple exercise with harmonic functions one proves:
Lemma 2. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$. Then if $z \in \mathscr{C}^{+}$,

$$
0 \leq \arg \varphi(z) \leq \arg z
$$

If $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$ or $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$, let $\varphi^{c}(x)=x / \varphi(x)$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$ (or $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ ) implies $\varphi^{c} \in \mathscr{K}$ (or $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ ). The following theorem was first proved by Heinz [3] for the functions $\varphi(x)=x^{\theta}(\theta \in[0,1])$.

Theorem 1. Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators on Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Let $Q$ be a closed, densely defined linear map from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$, such that $D(A) \subseteq D(Q)$, $D(B) \subseteq D\left(Q^{*}\right)$, and for all $f \in D(A), g \in D(B)$, we have: $\|Q f\| \leq\|A f\|$; and $\left\|Q^{*} g\right\| \leq\|B g\|$. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}^{\prime}$. Then for $f \in D(A), g \in D(B)$,

$$
|(Q f, g)| \leq\|\varphi(A) f\|\left\|\varphi^{c}(B) g\right\|
$$

In proving this theorem it suffices to assume that $H_{1}=H_{2}$. Then $Q$ has the "polar" representation $Q=P S$, where $S \geq 0$ and $P$ is a partial isometry [1, p. 1249]. The rest of the proof is a straightforward use of Lemma 1.

We now have the main interpolation result:
Theorem 2. Let $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ be positive operators on $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}^{\prime}$. Suppose $T$ is a bounded linear map from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$ which takes $D(A)$ into $D(B)$, and satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B T f\| & \leq C_{1}\|A f\| & & (f \in D(A) \\
\|T g\| & \leq C_{2}\|g\| & & \left(g \in H_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if $f \in D(A)$,

$$
\left\|\varphi\left(C_{2} \mathrm{~B}\right) T f\right\| \leq C_{2}\left\|\varphi\left(C_{1} A\right) f\right\|
$$

Proof. Assume first that $A \geq \varepsilon>0, B \geq \varepsilon$. Let $Q=B T$. Then $Q^{*}$ is the closure of $T^{*} B$, and hence the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Thus,

$$
|(Q f, g)| \leq\left\|\varphi\left(C_{1} A\right) f\right\|\left\|\varphi^{c}\left(C_{2} B\right) g\right\|, \quad \text { for } f \in D(A), \quad g \in D(B)
$$

Since $B \geq \varepsilon, \varphi^{c}\left(C_{2} B\right)$ is invertible. Let $h=\varphi^{c}\left(C_{2} B\right) g$. Since

$$
\varphi^{c}\left(C_{2} B\right)^{-1} Q f=C_{2}^{-1} \varphi\left(C_{2} B\right) T f,
$$

the above becomes

$$
\left|\left(\varphi\left(C_{2} B\right) T f, h\right)\right| \leq C_{2}\left\|\varphi\left(C_{2} A\right) f\right\|\|h\|
$$

from which the conclusion follows. A limiting argument removes the assumptions on $A$ and $B$.

The concavity of $\varphi$ implies
Corollary.

$$
\|\varphi(B) T f\| \leq d_{1} d_{2}\|\varphi(A) f\|
$$

where $d_{i}=\max \left(C_{i}, 1\right)$.
Theorem 2 has a converse, which is an easy consequence of Lemma 1, and which states that $\mathscr{K}^{\prime \prime}$ is the largest class of functions enjoying the property stated in Theorem 2 for all $A, B$, and $T$.
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