

Supplementation of xanthophylls increased antioxidant capacity and decreased lipid peroxidation in hens and chicks

Yu-Yun Gao¹, Qing-Mei Xie^{1†}, Jing-Yun Ma^{1†}, Xiang-Bin Zhang¹, Ji-Mei Zhu¹, Ding-Ming Shu², Bao-Li Sun¹, Ling Jin¹ and Ying-Zuo Bi^{1,3*}

¹College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, People's Republic of China

²Institute of Animal Science, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, People's Republic of China

³State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, College of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China

(Submitted 8 February 2012 – Final revision received 16 May 2012 – Accepted 17 May 2012 – First published online 19 July 2012)

Abstract

The present study investigated the effects of xanthophyll supplementation on production performance, antioxidant capacity (measured by glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), and reduced glutathione:oxidised glutathione ratio (GSH:GSSG)) and lipid peroxidation (measured by malondialdehyde (MDA)) in breeding hens and chicks. In Expt 1, 432 hens were fed diets supplemented with 0 (control group), 20 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg diet. Blood samples were taken at 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35 d of the trial. Liver and jejunal mucosa were sampled at 35 d. Both xanthophyll groups improved serum SOD at 21 and 28 d, serum T-AOC at 21 d and liver T-AOC, and serum GSH:GSSG at 21, 28 and 35 d and liver GSH:GSSG. Xanthophylls also decreased serum MDA at 21 d in hens. Expt 2 was a 2 × 2 factorial design. Male chicks hatched from 0 or 40 mg *in ovo* xanthophyll/kg diet of hens were fed a diet containing either 0 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg diet. Liver samples were collected at 0, 7, 14 and 21 d after hatching. Blood samples were also collected at 21 d. *In ovo*-deposited xanthophylls increased antioxidant capacity and decreased MDA in the liver mainly within 1 week after hatching. Maternal effects gradually vanished during 1–2 weeks after hatching. Dietary xanthophylls increased antioxidant capacity and decreased MDA in the liver and serum mainly from 2 weeks onwards. Data suggested that xanthophyll supplementation enhanced antioxidant capacity and reduced lipid peroxidation in different tissues of hens and chicks.

Key words: Xanthophylls: Antioxidants: Lipid peroxidation: Hens: Chicks

Carotenoids can be divided into two subgroups. One is carotenes containing only hydrocarbons (such as β -carotene and lycopene) and the other is xanthophylls containing oxygenated substituent(s) (such as lutein, zeaxanthin and β -cryptoxanthin). In animals, as in plants, xanthophyll lutein is believed to function in three important ways: (1) as a filter of high-energy blue light, (2) as an antioxidant that quenches and scavenges photo-induced reactive oxygen species^(1,2) and (3) as an important immune response modulator^(3,4). There is evidence that carotenoids modify the activities of antioxidants and lipid peroxidation *in vivo* in humans and rodents. Dietary lutein could inhibit erythrocyte phospholipid hydroperoxide formation⁽⁵⁾, increase blood reduced glutathione (GSH) level and protect

against DNA damage and chromosome instability⁽⁶⁾, as well as decrease reactive oxygen species generation, inflammation and immunosuppression⁽⁷⁾. Xanthophylls (mix of lutein and zeaxanthin) could provide antioxidant protection for human skin as measured by photoprotective activity and the lipid peroxidation product malondialdehyde (MDA)⁽⁸⁾, and protected mice against UVB-induced epidermal hyperproliferation and acute inflammation⁽⁹⁾. The same antioxidant and protective effects were observed in human subjects and rodents supplemented with β -carotene⁽¹⁰⁾, lycopene^(11,12), canthaxanthin⁽¹³⁾ and mixed carotenoid administration^(14,15).

In addition, the antioxidant effects of carotenoids were also revealed *in vitro* or *ex vivo*. The protective effects of lutein

Abbreviations: CAT, catalase; GSH, reduced glutathione; GSSG, oxidised glutathione; GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase; HH, parents and chicks fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg; HL, parents fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg and chicks fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg; LH, parents fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg and chicks fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg; LL, parents and chicks fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismutase; T-AOC, total antioxidant capacity.

* **Corresponding author:** Professor Y.-Z. Bi, fax +86 20 8528 0283, email yingzuobi@163.com

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

and zeaxanthin against oxidative damage of egg-yolk lecithin liposomal membranes induced by exposure to UV radiation and incubation with 2,2'-azobis (2-methylpropionamide) dihydrochloride have been reported⁽¹⁶⁾. Furthermore, zeaxanthin and β -cryptoxanthin were more effective in protecting egg-yolk phosphatidylcholine liposomes against oxidation than β -carotene, astaxanthin, canthaxanthin and lycopene *in vitro*⁽¹⁷⁾. The *in vitro* or *ex vivo* antioxidant role of lycopene⁽¹⁸⁾, astaxanthin⁽¹⁹⁾ and mixed carotenoid⁽²⁰⁾ has also been reported.

Maize–soyabean basal diets are used in some places (such as USA and China) and lutein is added to these diets to pigment skin and eggs only to satisfy consumer acceptance, but it has been neglected for a long time whether dietary xanthophylls play an antioxidant role in the chicken. Furthermore, there have been many studies on the activities of antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation of carotenoids in humans and rodents *in vivo*, but few experiments about the antioxidant capacity of carotenoids *in vivo* have been carried out in chickens. In addition, most yolk-derived carotenoids are deposited into the embryonic liver⁽²¹⁾, but dietary carotenoids were more broadly distributed in the tissues of the post-hatch chick⁽²²⁾, so there may be different functions between *in ovo* and dietary carotenoids. Besides, data are sparse regarding the effects of *in ovo* and dietary xanthophylls on antioxidant capacity of the progeny, so the objectives of the present study were to investigate the effects of xanthophylls on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in hens and chicks.

Materials and methods

Institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed and all experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Committee of Animal Experiments of South China Agricultural University (approval ID 201004152). All efforts were made to minimise suffering.

Expt 1

Animals and diets. To examine the effects of dietary xanthophylls (containing 40% lutein and 60% zeaxanthin following analysis; Juyuan Biochemical Company Limited) on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in breeding hens, 432 hens at 34 weeks of age with similar weight (2.5 kg) and genetic background were randomly assigned to three treatments. Hens were obtained from the College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University and were raised in cages in a temperature-controlled room (25°C). Every cage was an experimental unit. Each treatment was replicated six times with twenty-four breeding hens each. Hens were fed a control diet not supplemented with xanthophylls (as the control group; containing 0.05 mg xanthophyll/kg following analysis) or a xanthophyll-supplemented diet with 20 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg (containing 20.07 and 39.94 mg xanthophyll/kg following analysis, respectively). Dietary xanthophyll contents were chosen to be similar to those fed to commercial poultry. The diets were formulated according to the Chinese Feeding Standard of Chicken (2004) and the National Research

Council (1994). Details of the ingredient composition and calculated nutrient content of diets for hens were provided as described previously⁽²³⁾, including 66% rice, 20.18% soyabean meal, 2% fishmeal, 7.35% limestone powder, 1.54% calcium monohydrogen phosphate, 1.585% wheat bran, 0.095% DL-methionine, 1% premix compound and 0.25% salt. The experiment lasted for 35 d, and water and diet were provided *ad libitum*. Production performance (egg number, total egg weight, feed intake, broken eggs, qualified eggs and hen mortality) of each replicate was recorded daily. Blood of hens (two hens for each replicate) was sampled at 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35 d after xanthophyll supplementation. Serum was collected after centrifugation at 1000 g for 15 min at 4°C to determine xanthophyll content, antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation. The method to determine xanthophyll content was described by Koutsos *et al.*⁽²⁴⁾. From 29 to 35 d of the trial, 510 eggs (*n* 85 from each replicate) were collected from the control group or the 40 mg xanthophyll/kg diet group, and hatched artificially to determine fertilisation rate, the hatchability of fertilised eggs, chick birth weight and healthy chick rate. The incubation temperature was 38°C from 1 to 2 d, 37.9°C from 3 to 6 d, 37.8°C from 7 to 10 d, 37.6°C from 11 to 15 d, 37.4°C from 16 to 18 d and 37°C from 19 to 21 d. The incubation humidity was 55% from 1 to 18 d and 60% from 19 to 21 d. The eggs were collected from 29 d of the trial because yolk carotenoid concentration has been shown to reach a new steady state after about 3 weeks of supplementation in the hens' diet, as we and other researchers have determined^(23,25,26). On the 35 d of trial, two hens from each replicate (twelve hens for each treatment) were weighed and slaughtered. Liver and jejunum samples were collected immediately after slaughter. Liver was frozen in liquid N₂ and then stored at –80°C for further analysis.

Preparation of intestinal mucosal and liver samples. The method for preparing intestinal mucosal and liver samples has been described previously⁽²⁷⁾. The first half of the jejunum was cut into several 5 cm pieces, which were opened longitudinally and cleaned with PBS containing 137 mM-NaCl, 2.7 mM-KCl, 10 mM-Na₂HPO₄ and 2 mM-KH₂PO₄, and a pH of 7.4. Jejunal mucosa was collected by scratching with a glass slide for the next step and frozen in liquid N₂. In brief, 9 ml PBS at 4°C was added to 1 g of intestinal mucosa or liver, followed by homogenisation (Ultra-Turrax T8 homogeniser (3000–5000 rpm for 1–2 min); IKA Labor Technik). The homogenates were centrifuged (4000 g for 5 min at 4°C) and the supernatant fluid was used for determining antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation. Antioxidant capacity was assessed by glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC) and the GSH:oxidised glutathione (GSSG) ratio (GSH:GSSG). Lipid peroxidation was measured by MDA. Antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in the serum, liver and intestinal mucosal supernatant were determined by using commercially available kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute). The method and principle to determine antioxidant indicators and lipid peroxidation using these kits have been described elsewhere⁽²⁸⁾, and activity was normalised to protein concentration as determined by the Coomassie Blue assay. Briefly, CAT activity was determined by incubating

in the presence of a known concentration of H_2O_2 and then the reaction was quenched with ammonium molybdate. The amount of H_2O_2 remaining in the reaction mixture forms a stable coloured complex with ammonium molybdate and the complex is measured at 405 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (BioMate 5; Thermo). SOD activity was measured at 550 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer following the reduction of nitrite by a xanthine-xanthine oxidase system which is a superoxide anion generator. GSH-Px was assayed by the decrease in GSH, which was reflected by a change in absorbance at 412 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. T-AOC was determined by antioxidants existed in the body which can reduce Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} . Fe^{2+} combines with phenanthrene and forms a coloured compound which can be measured at 520 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. GSH and GSSG were determined at 405 nm with an automated ELISA reader (MODEL550; Bio-Rad) by using the 3-carboxy-4-nitrophenyl disulphide circular response. MDA was determined at 532 nm with a UV-visible spectrophotometer by thiobarbituric acid reaction.

Expt 2

Animals and diets. To examine the effects of xanthophylls supplied either *in ovo* or directly from the diet (containing 40% lutein and 60% zeaxanthin) on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in chicks, a 2×2 factorial arrangement of treatments consisting of two *in ovo* xanthophyll levels and two dietary xanthophyll levels was designed. To perform the analysis, 510 eggs were collected from hens fed 0 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg in Expt 1. On the day of hatching, 180 healthy male chicks from each *in ovo* xanthophyll treatment were chosen randomly and assigned to one of two dietary xanthophyll levels: a basal diet supplemented with 0 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg (containing 0.07 and 40.02 mg xanthophyll/kg following analysis, respectively). Within 12 h after hatching, twelve chicks (0 d chicks, two for each replicate) without feeding from each *in ovo* xanthophyll treatment were weighed and slaughtered, and then liver samples were removed immediately after slaughter and frozen in liquid N_2 . There were four groups of progeny designed as follows: parents and chicks fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg (HH group), parents fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg and chicks fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg (HL group), parents fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg and chicks fed 40 mg xanthophyll/kg (LH group), parents and chicks fed 0 mg xanthophyll/kg (LL group). Each of the four progeny groups contained six replicate pens with fifteen male chicks each. The diets were formulated according to the Chinese Feeding Standard of Chicken (2004) and the National Research Council (1994). Details of the ingredient composition and calculated nutrient content of diets for chicks were provided as described previously⁽²³⁾, including 58.69% rice, 32.93% soyabean meal, 2% fishmeal, 1.23% limestone powder, 1.50% calcium monohydrogen phosphate, 2.1% soyabean oil, 0.25% DL-methionine, 1% premix compound and 0.3% salt. Dietary xanthophyll levels were chosen to be similar to those fed to commercial poultry. Chicks were housed in battery cages, and water and diet were provided *ad libitum*.

The experiment lasted for 21 d, and growth performance (average daily feed intake, average daily gain and gain:feed ratio) of chicks was analysed after the experiment. From each of the four groups, six chicks (one for each replicate) were slaughtered and liver samples were collected at 7, 14 and 21 d after hatching. In addition, blood samples were also collected at 21 d after hatching from six chicks from each of the four groups. Blood samples were not collected at 0, 7 and 14 d after hatching because the chicks were too little to collect enough blood for determination of antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation.

Preparation of blood and liver samples

Preparation of liver and blood samples and determination of antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in serum and liver homogenate supernatant were the same as in Expt 1.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using SAS 8.1 (SAS Institute Inc.). Fertilisation rate, hatchability of fertilised eggs, chick birth weight, healthy chick rate, antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in 0 d chicks were analysed by the *t* test between the two treatments. For analysing production performance, antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in breeding hens, one-way ANOVA were performed to test for the effect of dietary xanthophylls in hens. For growth performance at 21 d of chicks, and antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation at 7, 14 and 21 d of chicks, data were analysed by using two-way ANOVA. The model included the main effects of *in ovo*, diet and their interaction. Replicate was used as the experimental unit. Results are presented as means and pooled standard errors. When the main effect(s) or interaction was significant, differences among means were determined using Tukey's honestly significant difference. Differences between means were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

Effects of xanthophylls on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in hens

The addition of 20 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg had no effect on the production performance of hens, and maternal xanthophyll addition did not affect fertilisation rate, hatchability of fertilised eggs, chick birth weight and healthy chick rate (data not shown). Supplementation of 20 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg increased serum SOD activity at 21 and 28 d compared with the control, and serum T-AOC at 21 d and liver T-AOC were elevated by both 20 and 40 mg xanthophyll/kg supplementation (Table 1). In addition, supplementation of 20 or 40 mg xanthophyll/kg also increased serum GSH:GSSG at 21, 28 and 35 d and liver GSH:GSSG. Serum MDA level at 21 d was decreased in both xanthophyll groups compared with the control. Supplementation of 40 mg xanthophyll/kg also reduced serum MDA at 28 and 35 d and jejunal mucosal MDA. There was no difference in CAT and GSH-Px activities among the treatments (data not shown).

Table 1. Effects of xanthophylls on superoxide dismutase (SOD), total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), reduced glutathione: oxidised glutathione ratio (GSH:GSSG) and malondialdehyde (MDA) in the serum, liver and jejunal mucosa of hens* (Mean values with their standard errors, *n* 6)

Items	Control	20 mg xanthophyll	40 mg xanthophyll	Pooled SEM	<i>P</i>
Serum SOD (U/ml)					
7 d	136.17	130.35	143.15	4.32	0.146
14 d	136.90	140.53	145.91	3.03	0.140
21 d	133.26 ^a	147.08 ^b	154.35 ^b	3.75	0.004
28 d	134.86 ^a	147.22 ^b	147.81 ^b	3.26	0.021
35 d	148.10	157.99	153.33	4.71	0.358
Liver SOD (U/mg protein)					
	430.20	433.62	394.01	16.38	0.200
Jejunal mucosal SOD (U/mg protein)					
	572.63	545.10	596.41	43.07	0.707
Serum T-AOC (U/ml)					
7 d	3.43	3.39	3.58	0.58	0.972
14 d	3.04	3.58	3.58	0.37	0.511
21 d	2.61 ^a	3.43 ^b	3.47 ^b	0.20	0.011
28 d	2.92	3.25	3.39	0.27	0.461
35 d	3.02	3.35	3.33	0.25	0.602
Liver T-AOC (U/mg protein)					
	1.46 ^a	1.80 ^b	1.77 ^b	0.08	0.012
Jejunal mucosal T-AOC (U/mg protein)					
	1.59	1.80	1.80	0.17	0.614
Serum GSH:GSSG					
7 d	18.45	18.00	19.52	0.75	0.364
14 d	19.11	19.72	19.56	0.46	0.632
21 d	15.45 ^a	24.79 ^b	25.67 ^b	1.06	0.000
28 d	17.86 ^a	25.84 ^b	25.57 ^b	1.05	0.000
35 d	18.39 ^a	24.60 ^b	24.02 ^b	1.28	0.006
Liver GSH:GSSG					
	5.75 ^a	7.80 ^b	7.70 ^b	0.49	0.016
Jejunal mucosal GSH:GSSG					
	11.87	13.27	13.50	0.85	0.362
Serum MDA (nmol/ml)					
7 d	3.59	3.21	3.41	0.35	0.750
14 d	3.74	2.98	2.95	0.40	0.314
21 d	4.04 ^a	2.78 ^b	2.53 ^b	0.29	0.004
28 d	3.81 ^a	2.75 ^{a,b}	2.58 ^b	0.33	0.039
35 d	3.86 ^a	2.68 ^{a,b}	2.55 ^b	0.37	0.043
Liver MDA (nmol/mg protein)					
	2.93	2.42	2.50	0.25	0.336
Jejunal mucosal MDA (nmol/mg protein)					
	5.29 ^a	3.98 ^{a,b}	3.59 ^b	0.37	0.014

^{a,b} Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

* 1 unit of SOD is defined as the amount of enzyme that inhibits the rate of hydroxylamine oxidation by 50% in the reaction system. 1 unit of T-AOC is defined as the optical density value that increases 0.01 per min in the reaction system.

Effects of xanthophylls on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in chicks

Xanthophylls from *in ovo*, diet or their interaction had no effect on the growth performance of chicks (data not shown). *In ovo* xanthophylls enhanced liver GSH-Px activity at 0 d, liver T-AOC at 0 d and serum T-AOC, and liver GSH:GSSG at 7 and 14 d (Table 2). Liver MDA levels at 0 and 7 d were decreased by *in ovo* xanthophyll addition. Dietary xanthophyll supplementation promoted liver GSH-Px activity at 14 d, serum T-AOC, and liver GSH:GSSG at 14 and 21 d and serum GSH:GSSG. The addition of dietary xanthophylls also reduced liver MDA at 21 d and serum MDA. There was no difference in CAT and SOD activities with *in ovo* or dietary xanthophyll supplementation (data not shown). In addition, liver GSH-Px activity at 14 d, serum T-AOC, liver GSH:GSSG at 7 and 14 d were enhanced in the HH group compared with the LL group. Liver GSH:GSSG at 7 d was increased in the HH group compared with the LH group.

Discussion

The health benefits of lutein and zeaxanthin have attracted public attention because they may protect against the

development of cataract, macular degeneration, cancer and heart disease⁽²⁹⁾. Evidence suggests that the action of carotenoids on immunity and diseases may be mediated, at least in part, by their ability to quench reactive oxygen species⁽³⁰⁾. In Expt 1, a novel and important finding of the present study was that xanthophylls could influence antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in breeding hens *in vivo*. Generally speaking, antioxidant capacity of hens was enhanced after xanthophyll supplementation for 21 d. This is consistent with changes in serum carotenoid concentrations in hens following dietary supplementation that we observed in a previous study⁽²³⁾, which indicated that serum carotenoids reach a new steady state after 21 d of xanthophyll supplementation. Higher SOD activity at 21 and 28 d was observed in the present study, and the same *in vivo* results have been reported in mice supplemented with canthaxanthin⁽¹³⁾, rats supplemented with astaxanthin, lutein or β -carotene⁽³¹⁾, and human subjects supplemented with β -carotene⁽¹⁰⁾. The present findings on MDA agree with several carotenoid researches on human subjects and rodents^(8,10,12), which have reported that carotenoid supplementation decreased MDA in plasma, liver and skin. CAT activity was not affected by xanthophylls in hens and chicks, as revealed by the present results, and in mice added with lutein⁽⁶⁾, but some papers have also reported that CAT

Table 2. Effects of *in ovo* and dietary xanthophylls on glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), reduced glutathione:oxidised glutathione ratio (GSH:GSSG) and malondialdehyde (MDA) in the serum and liver of chicks*

 (Mean values with their standard errors, *n* 6)

Items	+ <i>In ovo</i>		- <i>In ovo</i>		Pooled SEM	P		
	+Diet	- Diet	+Diet	- Diet		<i>In ovo</i>	Diet	<i>In ovo</i> × diet
Serum GSH-Px (U/ml)	475.74	475.46	486.52	487.38	14.88	0.455	0.985	0.970
Liver GSH-Px (U/mg protein)								
0 d	671.96 ^a	671.96 ^a	538.62 ^b	538.62 ^b	37.34	0.030	–	–
7 d	323.18	360.39	320.46	331.72	22.71	0.498	0.299	0.574
14 d	415.39 ^a	335.37 ^{a,b}	377.31 ^{a,b}	316.74 ^b	24.55	0.262	0.010	0.696
21 d	502.28	480.91	487.04	446.86	47.11	0.607	0.521	0.844
Serum T-AOC (U/ml)	4.23 ^a	3.56 ^{a,b}	3.52 ^{a,b}	2.63 ^b	0.31	0.015	0.020	0.743
Liver T-AOC (U/mg protein)								
0 d	2.39 ^a	2.39 ^a	1.37 ^b	1.37 ^b	0.28	0.029	–	–
7 d	1.71	1.64	1.46	1.38	0.15	0.110	0.651	0.992
14 d	2.78	2.70	2.63	2.59	0.18	0.469	0.760	0.913
21 d	2.76	2.45	2.35	2.50	0.31	0.576	0.807	0.472
Serum GSH:GSSG	20.82	18.19	20.23	16.96	1.25	0.476	0.029	0.801
Liver GSH:GSSG								
0 d	15.09	15.09	10.68	10.68	1.48	0.062	–	–
7 d	16.53 ^a	13.11 ^{a,b}	8.48 ^b	6.82 ^b	2.03	0.002	0.226	0.669
14 d	12.93 ^a	8.93 ^{a,b}	9.83 ^{a,b}	6.53 ^b	1.16	0.028	0.005	0.764
21 d	12.92	9.46	10.52	7.91	1.30	0.144	0.030	0.745
Serum MDA (nmol/ml)	1.31	1.67	1.59	1.92	0.16	0.103	0.040	0.936
Liver MDA (nmol/mg protein)								
0 d	2.60 ^a	2.60 ^a	3.47 ^b	3.47 ^b	0.22	0.018	–	–
7 d	1.81	1.74	2.22	2.54	0.21	0.008	0.533	0.358
14 d	1.82	2.09	2.15	2.73	0.26	0.081	0.121	0.559
21 d	1.98	2.51	2.34	3.18	0.31	0.106	0.038	0.614

^{a,b} Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

 * 1 unit of GSH-Px is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyses the conversion of 1 μmol GSH per min in the reaction system. 1 unit of T-AOC is defined as the optical density value increases 0.01 per min in the reaction system.

activity was affected by carotenoids in rodents^(13,31,32). The conflict was also observed with GSH-Px activity in the present study and other experiments^(11,13). It is difficult to compare the change in antioxidant enzymes between studies because of the discordance of methodological conditions, such as animal species, environment (housing condition and density), dosage and type of carotenoids used, the interaction with other antioxidants (vitamin C and vitamin E), and the oxidant stress challenge. The major determinant of redox status in mammalian cells is GSH, a tripeptide thiol that couples with its disulphide form (GSSG)⁽³³⁾. Redox status depends on the relative amounts of reduced and oxidised partners of these major redox molecules, and GSH:GSSG reflects the redox status within the cell. The glutathione system acts as a homeostatic redox buffer and the oxidised partner predominates under oxidative conditions⁽³⁴⁾. The present results showed that xanthophylls increased serum GSH:GSSG, strongly suggesting that addition of xanthophylls enhanced the antioxidant capacity of the body. Similar results were also reported in mice fed a diet added with lutein⁽⁶⁾ and in hamsters fed a diet added with lycopene⁽¹¹⁾.

Serum SOD activity at 21 and 28 d, and serum T-AOC at 21 d of hens were increased in the 40 mg xanthophyll/kg group compared with the control, but all these effects were not observed at 35 d, which indicated that the control group, to some extent, also elevated the body antioxidant capacity after 35 d on a non-xanthophyll-supplemented diet. We assumed that the body in a carotenoid-depleted state for a long time (35 d in the present experiment) may modulate

the activities of antioxidant enzymes through utilising other antioxidants (such as tocopherol). This could counteract the disadvantage of decreased antioxidant enzymes and lead to a reduction of the concentration of other antioxidants. The speculation was supported by evidence that $\beta + \gamma$ -tocopherol was lower in eggs, and liver and yolk sac membrane of newly hatched chicks from hens on a wheat-based diet compared with a maize-based diet⁽³⁵⁾ and that xanthophylls (lutein and zeaxanthin) could protect tocopherol from the oxidative loss⁽³⁶⁾. The same protective effects against tocopherol decrease in liver and plasma have also been reported for canthaxanthin⁽³⁷⁾, lycopene and β -carotene⁽³⁶⁾. In addition, lower MDA of jejunal mucosa was observed by xanthophyll supplementation, the same increased effects were also noted in liver T-AOC and GSH:GSSG when adding dietary xanthophylls. These data demonstrate that xanthophylls also play an important role in antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in the liver and jejunum of hens. Besides, the mean values of CAT varied widely between the serum, liver and intestinal mucosa of hens (2.01 U/ml, 15.77 U/mg protein and 4.17 U/mg protein, respectively), implying different antioxidant enzymes and agents may play a leading role in the antioxidant defence of different tissues. (One unit of CAT is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyses the conversion of 1 μmol hydrogen peroxide per S in the reaction system.) The same results can be observed for SOD.

In Expt 2, *in ovo* or dietary xanthophylls significantly increased antioxidant capacity and decreased lipid

peroxidation in chicks. For a precocial species such as the chicken, metabolic rate and oxygen consumption increase rapidly⁽³⁸⁾, which may cause oxidative stress, so it is helpful and meaningful if an effective antioxidant system is built. Generally speaking, maternal xanthophylls enhanced antioxidant capacity and reduced lipid peroxidation mainly at 0–7 d after hatching. During 7–14 d after hatching, the maternal antioxidant effects gradually disappeared and the progeny's diet began to take over. Dietary xanthophylls increased antioxidant capacity and decreased lipid peroxidation of the body mainly from 2 weeks onwards. The antioxidant results were consistent with liver carotenoid change of chicks, as we and other researchers have determined^(23,25). Furthermore, liver GSH-Px activity at 14 d of chicks was increased by dietary xanthophylls, but this effect was not observed at 21 d, indicating that chicks in a carotenoid-depleted state may also up-regulate antioxidant enzymes through utilising other antioxidants (such as tocopherol) as discussed above. Moreover, the liver MDA level and GSH-Px activity were higher in all four groups on the day of hatching than in 7-d-old chicks. Therefore, we inferred that the most serious oxidative stress happened immediately after hatching as proved by the MDA level, and the body needs to raise antioxidant enzymes (GSH-Px) to counteract the negative effects produced by serious oxidative stress. According to our knowledge, the present study is the most extensive paper measuring the effects of xanthophylls on antioxidant capacity and lipid peroxidation in parents and progeny.

In conclusion, xanthophyll supplementation in the diet enhanced antioxidant capacity in the serum and liver and decreased lipid peroxidation in the serum and intestinal mucosa of breeding hens. The antioxidant role of *in ovo*-supplied xanthophylls mainly lasted for at least the first week after hatching in the liver and serum of chicks, whereas dietary xanthophylls played an important antioxidant role mainly from 2 weeks onwards. In addition, the different responses of antioxidant enzymes, antioxidant agent and MDA to xanthophyll supplementation between breeding hens and chicks may be due to their different roles in oxidative stress. The present results also showed that maternal xanthophyll nutrition plays an important antioxidant role for progeny, which may have a significant implication for animals and humans.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation of China (9151064201000052) and the Guangdong Major Science and Technology Special Projects of China (2009B020201008). Y.-Y. G., Q.-M. X., J.-Y. M. and Y.-Z. B. designed the research; X.-B. Z., J.-M. Z., D.-M. S., B.-L. S., L. J., and Y.-Z. B. conducted the research; Y.-Y. G. and Y.-Z. B. analysed the data; Y.-Y. G., Q.-M. X., J.-Y. M. and Y.-Z. B. wrote the paper. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Demmig-Adams B & Adams WW III (2002) Antioxidants in photosynthesis and human nutrition. *Science* **298**, 2149–2153.

2. Alves-Rodrigues A & Shao A (2004) The science behind lutein. *Toxicol Lett* **150**, 57–83.
3. Jin XH, Ohgami K, Shiratori K, *et al.* (2006) Inhibitory effects of lutein on endotoxin-induced uveitis in Lewis rats. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **47**, 2562–2568.
4. Rafi MM & Shafaie Y (2007) Dietary lutein modulates inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene and protein expression in mouse macrophage cells (RAW 264.7). *Mol Nutr Food Res* **51**, 333–340.
5. Nakagawa K, Kiko T, Hatade K, *et al.* (2009) Antioxidant effect of lutein towards phospholipid hydroperoxidation in human erythrocytes. *Br J Nutr* **102**, 1280–1284.
6. Serpeloni JM, Grotto D, Mercadante AZ, *et al.* (2010) Lutein improves antioxidant defense *in vivo* and protects against DNA damage and chromosome instability induced by cisplatin. *Arch Toxicol* **84**, 811–822.
7. Lee EH, Faulhaber D, Hanson KM, *et al.* (2004) Dietary lutein reduces ultraviolet radiation-induced inflammation and immunosuppression. *J Invest Dermatol* **122**, 510–517.
8. Palombo P, Fabrizi G, Ruocco V, *et al.* (2007) Beneficial long-term effects of combined oral/topical antioxidant treatment with the carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin on human skin: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol* **20**, 199–210.
9. Gonzalez S, Astner S, An W, *et al.* (2003) Dietary lutein/zeaxanthin decreases ultraviolet B-induced epidermal hyperproliferation and acute inflammation in hairless mice. *J Invest Dermatol* **121**, 399–405.
10. Dixon ZR, Burri BJ, Clifford A, *et al.* (1994) Effects of a carotene-deficient diet on measures of oxidative susceptibility and superoxide dismutase activity in adult women. *Free Radic Biol Med* **17**, 537–544.
11. Bhuvaneshwari V, Velmurugan B, Balasenthil S, *et al.* (2001) Chemopreventive efficacy of lycopene on 7,12-dimethylbenz [a]anthracene-induced hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. *Fitoterapia* **72**, 865–874.
12. Matos HR, Capelozzi VL, Gomes OF, *et al.* (2001) Lycopene inhibits DNA damage and liver necrosis in rats treated with ferric nitrilotriacetate. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **396**, 171–177.
13. Palozza P, Calviello G, Emilia De Leo M, *et al.* (2000) Canthaxanthin supplementation alters antioxidant enzymes and iron concentration in liver of Balb/c mice. *J Nutr* **130**, 1303–1308.
14. Dixon ZR, Shie FS, Warden BA, *et al.* (1998) The effect of a low carotenoid diet on malondialdehyde-thiobarbituric acid (MDA-TBA) concentrations in women: a placebo-controlled double-blind study. *J Am Coll Nutr* **17**, 54–58.
15. Zhao X, Aldini G, Johnson EJ, *et al.* (2006) Modification of lymphocyte DNA damage by carotenoid supplementation in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **83**, 163–169.
16. Sujak A, Gabrielska J, Grudzinski W, *et al.* (1999) Lutein and zeaxanthin as protectors of lipid membranes against oxidative damage: the structural aspects. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **371**, 301–307.
17. Woodall AA, Britton G & Jackson MJ (1997) Carotenoids and protection of phospholipids in solution or in liposomes against oxidation by peroxyl radicals: relationship between carotenoid structure and protective ability. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1336**, 575–586.
18. Fuhrman B, Volkova N, Rosenblat M, *et al.* (2000) Lycopene synergistically inhibits LDL oxidation in combination with vitamin E, glabridin, rosmarinic acid, carnosic acid, or garlic. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **2**, 491–506.
19. Kozuki Y, Miura Y & Yagasaki K (2000) Inhibitory effects of carotenoids on the invasion of rat ascites hepatoma cells in culture. *Cancer Lett* **151**, 111–115.

20. Kiokias S & Gordon MH (2003) Dietary supplementation with a natural carotenoid mixture decreases oxidative stress. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 1135–1140.
21. Speake BK, Murray AM & Noble RC (1998) Transport and transformations of yolk lipids during development of the avian embryo. *Prog Lipid Res* **37**, 1–32.
22. Ganguly J, Mehl JW & Deuel HJ Jr (1953) Studies on carotenoid metabolism. XII. The effect of dietary carotenoids on the carotenoid distribution in the tissues of chickens. *J Nutr* **50**, 59–72.
23. Gao YY, Xie QM, Jin L, *et al.* (2012) Supplementation of xanthophylls decreased proinflammatory and increased anti-inflammatory cytokines in hens and chicks. *Br J Nutr* (epublication ahead of print version 25 January 2012).
24. Koutsos EA, Lopez JCG & Klasing KC (2006) Carotenoids from *in ovo* or dietary sources blunt systemic indices of the inflammatory response in growing chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *J Nutr* **136**, 1027–1031.
25. Karadas F, Pappas AC, Surai PF, *et al.* (2005) Embryonic development within carotenoid-enriched eggs influences the post-hatch carotenoid status of the chicken. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* **141**, 244–251.
26. Surai PF & Speake BK (1998) Distribution of carotenoids from the yolk to the tissues of the chick embryo. *J Nutr Biochem* **9**, 645–651.
27. Gao YY, Jiang ZY, Lin YC, *et al.* (2011) Effects of spray-dried animal plasma on serous and intestinal redox status and cytokines of neonatal piglets. *J Anim Sci* **89**, 150–157.
28. Sun DQ, Li AW, Li J, *et al.* (2009) Changes of lipid peroxidation in carbon disulfide-treated rat nerve tissues and serum. *Chem Biol Interact* **179**, 110–117.
29. Mares-Perlman JA, Millen AE, Ficek TL, *et al.* (2002) The body of evidence to support a protective role for lutein and zeaxanthin in delaying chronic disease. Overview. *J Nutr* **132**, 518S–524S.
30. Chew BP & Park JS (2004) Carotenoid action on the immune response. *J Nutr* **134**, 257S–261S.
31. Sangeetha RK & Baskaran V (2010) Retinol-deficient rats can convert a pharmacological dose of astaxanthin to retinol: antioxidant potential of astaxanthin, lutein, and beta-carotene. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **88**, 977–985.
32. He Y, Root MM, Parker RS, *et al.* (1997) Effects of carotenoid-rich food extracts on the development of preneoplastic lesions in rat liver and on *in vivo* and *in vitro* antioxidant status. *Nutr Cancer* **27**, 238–244.
33. Haddad JJ (2004) Oxygen sensing and oxidant/redox-related pathways. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **316**, 969–977.
34. Morel Y & Barouki R (1999) Repression of gene expression by oxidative stress. *Biochem J* **342**, 481–496.
35. Surai PF & Sparks NH (2001) Comparative evaluation of the effect of two maternal diets on fatty acids, vitamin E and carotenoids in the chick embryo. *Br Poult Sci* **42**, 252–259.
36. Ojima F, Sakamoto H, Ishiguro Y, *et al.* (1993) Consumption of carotenoids in photosensitized oxidation of human plasma and plasma low-density lipoprotein. *Free Radic Biol Med* **15**, 377–384.
37. Surai AP, Surai PF, Steinberg W, *et al.* (2003) Effect of canthaxanthin content of the maternal diet on the antioxidant system of the developing chick. *Br Poult Sci* **44**, 612–619.
38. Hohtola E & Visser GH (1998) Development of locomotion and endothermy in altricial and precocial birds. In *Avian Growth and Development: Evolution within the Altricial – Precocial Spectrum*, pp. 157–173 [JM Starck and RE Ricklefs, editors]. Oxford: Oxford University Press.