THE J_0 -RADICAL OF A MATRIX NEARRING CAN BE INTERMEDIATE

J. D. P. MELDRUM AND J. H. MEYER

ABSTRACT. An example is constructed to show that the J_0 -radical of a matrix nearring can be an intermediate ideal. This solves a conjecture put forward in [1].

1. **Introduction.** Soon after the discovery of intermediate ideals in matrix nearrings (see [1] and [4]), several questions were raised in connection with these ideals. A fact which followed immediately was that the J_2 -radical of a matrix nearring can never be intermediate—for any (zerosymmetric) nearring R we have $J_2(\mathbb{M}_n(R)) = (J_2(R))^*$ (see [7, Theorem 4.4]). Because this relation does not hold for the J_0 -radical in general (see [2]), the question was raised in [1] whether the J_0 -radical of a matrix nearring can be intermediate. The object of this note is to provide an example of a finite zerosymmetric abelian nearring R for which $J_0(\mathbb{M}_n(R))$ is an intermediate ideal.

2. **Preliminaries.** We will assume *R* to be a right zerosymmetric nearring with identity 1. For a natural number *n* we define R^n to be the direct sum of *n* copies of the (not necessarily abelian) group (R, +). For $r \in R$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we define the function $f_{ij}^r: R^n \to R^n$ by $f_{ij}^r \alpha = \iota_i(r\pi_j(\alpha))$ for each $\alpha \in R^n$, where $\iota_i: R \to R^n$ and $\pi_i: R^n \to R$ are the *i*-th injection and projection functions respectively. The subnearring of $M(R^n)$ generated by the set $\{f_{ij}^r \mid r \in R, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is called the $n \times n$ matrix nearring over *R* and denoted $M_n(R)$. It is easy to verify that $M_n(R)$ is also a right zerosymmetric nearring with identity.

For an ideal $A \leq R$ there are two ways to construct an ideal in $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ which relates naturally to A (see [6]), namely

$$A^+ := \mathrm{id}\langle f^a_{ii} \mid a \in A, 1 \le i, j \le n \rangle$$

and

$$A^* := \{ U \in \mathbb{M}_n(R) \mid U\alpha \in A^n \text{ for all } \alpha \in R^n \}.$$

It easily follows that $A^+ \subseteq A^*$, and several examples exist (see [6], [2], [1] and [4]) to show that $A^+ \subset A^*$ is possible. It is also possible that ideals of $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ can be properly situated between A^+ and A^* (see [1] and [4]). Any ideal I of $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$ with the property

Received by the editors January 4, 1996.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 16Y30, 16S50, 16D25.

[©] Canadian Mathematical Society 1997.

¹⁹⁸

that $A^+ \subset I \subset A^*$ for some ideal A of R is called an *intermediate ideal* of $M_n(R)$. In [1] it is shown that an intermediate ideal can never be of the form B^+ or B^* for any ideal B of R. In the next section we construct a nearring R for which $J_0(M_n(R))$ is intermediate.

3. An example. We need the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose *R* is a zerosymmetric nearring with an ideal *A* such that $A^2 = \{0\}$. Then $(A^+)^2 = \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{M}_n(R)$.

PROOF. From [6, Proposition 7] it follows that $(A^+)^2 \subseteq A^+A^* \subseteq \{0\}^* = \{0\}$. The example: Consider the following abelian groups:

$$M := \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$
$$N := M \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$
$$G := N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let M_1, M_2, M_3 denote the two-element subgroups of M with $m_i \in M_i$ the nonzero element for each i = 1, 2, 3. Similarly, let N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4 denote the two-element subgroups of N which are not subgroups of M, with $n_i \in N_i$ the nonzero element for each i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Finally, let g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_8 denote the elements of $G \setminus N$. We identify $M \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$ with M and $N \oplus \{0\}$ with N, and $\overline{0} := (0, 0, 0, 0)$ denotes the neutral element of G.

Define the nearring *R* as follows:

$$R := \{ f \in M_0(G) \mid f(M_i) \subseteq M_i, 1 \le i \le 3; f(N_j) \subseteq N_j, 1 \le j \le 4; \\ g, g' \in G \text{ and } g - g' \in M \Rightarrow f(g) - f(g') \in M; \\ g, g' \in G \text{ and } g - g' \in N \Rightarrow f(g) - f(g') \in N \}.$$

Then *R* is a right, zerosymmetric abelian nearring with identity 1. Moreover, *R* is finite with $|R| = 2^{23}$.

Define the *R*-subgroups *K* and *L* of *R* as follows:

$$K := \{ f \in R \mid f(g_i) \in M, 1 \le i \le 8; \bar{0} \text{ otherwise} \}$$

$$L := \{ f \in R \mid f(g_i) \in N, 1 \le i \le 8; \bar{0} \text{ otherwise} \}.$$

We may now draw several conclusions.

I. K and L are R-ideals of R.

PROOF. This follows because *M* and *N* are *R*-ideals of $_RG$. Observation I enables us to consider the *R*-modules $_RK$, $_R(L/K)$ and $_R(R/L)$. II. $J_0(R) = \operatorname{Ann}_R N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(G/N)$.

PROOF. Each of $M_i(1 \le i \le 3)$ and $N_j(1 \le j \le 4)$ and G/N, is an *R*-module of type 0 (they are all of order 2 and nontrivial). We also have that

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{R} N = \Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Ann}_{R} M_{i}\Big] \cap \Big[\bigcap_{j=1}^{4} \operatorname{Ann}_{R} N_{j}\Big].$$

It follows that

$$J_0(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_R N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(G/N)$$

But since $\operatorname{Ann}_R N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(G/N)$ is a nilpotent ideal of R, the reverse inclusion also follows.

Note that the *R*-modules $M_i(1 \le i \le 3)$, $N_j(1 \le j \le 4)$ and G/N are in fact of type 2, implying that $J_0(R) = J_2(R)$.

III. $(J_0(R))^+$ is a nilpotent ideal of nilpotency degree 2 in $M_2(R)$.

PROOF. By II we have that $(J_0(R))^2 = \{0\}$. The result now follows directly from Lemma 3.1.

IV. $J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R))$ contains a nilpotent element of nilpotency degree 3.

PROOF. By I we can consider K^2 , L^2/K^2 and R^2/L^2 as $M_2(R)$ -modules (see also [3, Proposition 4.1]). Define

$$A := \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)} K^2 \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)}(L^2/K^2) \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)}(R^2/L^2).$$

Then *A* is a nilpotent ideal of $M_2(R)$ ($A^3 = \{0\}$) yielding

$$A \subseteq J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R)).$$

Consider the elements $g_1, n_1, n_2, m_3 \in G$, where

$$g_1 := (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$n_1 := (0, 1, 1, 0) \in N$$

$$n_2 := (1, 0, 1, 0) \in N$$

$$m_3 := (1, 1, 0, 0) \in M;$$

and the elements $a, b, c, d \in R$, where

$$a(g_i) := n_1, 1 \le i \le 8; \ \overline{0} \text{ otherwise}$$

$$b(g_i) := n_2, 1 \le i \le 8; \ \overline{0} \text{ otherwise}$$

$$c(m_3) := m_3; \ \overline{0} \text{ otherwise}$$

$$d(n_j) := n_j, 1 \le j \le 4; \ \overline{0} \text{ otherwise};$$

and finally here, the matrix $V \in M_2(R)$, defined by

$$V := f_{11}^a + f_{21}^b + f_{11}^c (f_{11}^d + f_{12}^d).$$

200

We show that $V \in A$. Take any $\langle k, k' \rangle \in K^2$. Then $V \langle k, k' \rangle = \langle ak + c(dk + dk'), bk \rangle$. Now, for any $i, 1 \le i \le 8$, we have $k(g_i), k'(g_i) \in M$ while $a(M) = b(M) = d(M) = \{\overline{0}\}$. Since $k(N) = k'(N) = \{\overline{0}\}$, it follows that ak + c(dk + dk') = bk = 0, implying that

(1)
$$V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)} K^2.$$

Now take any $\langle l, l' \rangle \in L^2$. Then $V \langle l, l' \rangle = \langle al + c(dl + dl'), bl \rangle$. Since $l(G), l'(G) \subseteq N$ and $a(N) = b(N) = \{\overline{0}\}$, while $c(G) \subseteq M$, it follows that $[al + c(dl + dl')](g_i), bl(g_i) \in M$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$. Also, $l(N) = l'(N) = \{\overline{0}\}$, and we deduce that

(2)
$$V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)}(L^2/K^2).$$

Finally, take any $\langle r, r' \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $V \langle r, r' \rangle = \langle ar + c(dr + dr'), br \rangle$. Since a(G), b(G), $c(G) \subseteq N$, it follows that $[ar + c(dr + dr')](g_i), br(g_i) \in N$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$. Consider $n_i \in N_i$ $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$. Then

$$[ar+c(dr+dr')](n_j) \in a(N_j)+c(d(N_j)+d(N_j)) \subseteq c(N_j) = \{\overline{0}\}.$$

Also, $br(n_i) = \overline{0}$. Now consider $m_i \in M_i$ $(1 \le i \le 3)$. Then

$$[ar+c(dr+dr')](m_i) \in a(M_i)+c(d(M_i)+d(M_i)) \subseteq c(M_i) = \{\overline{0}\},$$

while $br(m_i) = \overline{0}$. It follows that $\langle ar + c(dr + dr'), br \rangle \in L^2$, *i.e.*,

(3)
$$V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)}(R^2/L^2).$$

Our claim that $V \in A$ is now established by virtue of (1), (2) and (3). Now consider

$$V^{2}\langle 1,0 \rangle = V\langle a+cd,b \rangle$$

= $V\langle a,b \rangle$ since $cd = 0$
= $\langle a^{2} + c(da+db), ba \rangle$
= $\langle c(da+db), 0 \rangle$ since $a^{2} = ba = 0$

This, together with

$$c(da + db)(g_1) = c(d(n_1) + d(n_2))$$

= $c(n_1 + n_2)$
= $c(m_3)$, since $n_1 + n_2 = m_3$
= $m_3 \neq \bar{0}$,

shows that $V^2 \neq 0$. Since $A \subseteq J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R))$, IV is proved.

$$\mathsf{V}_{\cdot} \quad \left(J_0(R)\right)^+ \subset J_0(\mathsf{M}_2(R)).$$

PROOF. This follows directly from III and IV.

VI. $J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R)) \subset (J_0(R))^*$.

PROOF. Consider the *R*-subgroup K_0 of *K* generated by the elements $k_1, k_2 \in K$ where

$$k_1(g_1) := m_1; \bar{0}$$
 otherwise,
 $k_2(g_1) := m_2; \bar{0}$ otherwise.

In other words,

$$K_0 = \{ f \in R \mid f(g_1) \in M; \bar{0} \text{ otherwise} \}$$

Now suppose $\{0\} \subset K'_0 \subset K_0$ is a proper nontrivial *R*-subgroup of K_0 . Then there exists $m \in M$ such that $m \notin K'_0(g_1)$. Choose $k_m \in K_0$ such that $k_m(g_1) = m$ and $r \in R$ such that r(m) = m and $r(g) = \overline{0}$ if $g \neq m$. Now let $k \in K'_0$, $k \neq 0$. Then $[r(k + k_m) - rk_m](g_1) = -m \notin K'_0(g_1)$, which implies that $r(k + k_m) - rk_m \notin K'_0$, *i.e.*, K'_0 is not an *R*-ideal of K_0 . Consequently, K_0 is a simple *R*-module *R*-generated by two elements $k_1, k_2 \in K$. This means that $K^2_0 = K_0 \oplus K_0$ is a simple $\mathbb{M}_2(R)$ -module generated by the single element $\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle$, *i.e.*, K^2_0 is an $\mathbb{M}_2(R)$ -module of type 0 (see [5, Lemma 3.1(b)]). This implies that

(4)
$$J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R)) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)} K_0^2.$$

Now consider the matrix

$$W := f_{11}^s (f_{11}^t + f_{12}^t),$$

where $t(m_i) \neq m_i$, $i = 1, 2; \bar{0}$ otherwise, and $s(m_3) \neq m_3; \bar{0}$ otherwise. Take any $\langle r, r' \rangle \in R^2$. Then $W\langle r, r' \rangle = \langle s(tr + tr'), 0 \rangle$. For m_i , i = 1, 2, we have

$$s(tr+tr')(m_i) \in s(t(M_i)+t(M_i)) \subseteq s(M_i) = \{\overline{0}\}.$$

Also, for m_3 we see that

$$(tr + tr')(m_3) \in s(t(M_3) + t(M_3)) \subseteq s(\{\bar{0}\}) = \{\bar{0}\}.$$

For n_j , $1 \le j \le 4$, we obtain $s(tr + tr')(n_j) = \{\bar{0}\}$, since $s(n_j) = \bar{0}$, $1 \le j \le 4$. Hence, we see that $s(tr+tr') \in \operatorname{Ann}_R N$. Also, since $s(G) \subseteq N$, it follows that $s(tr+tr') \in \operatorname{Ann}_R(G/N)$, whence $W \in (J_0(R))^*$. Now consider $\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle \in K_0^2$. Then $W\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle = \langle s(tk_1 + tk_2), 0 \rangle$ and $s(tk_1 + tk_2)(g_1) = s(t(m_1) + t(m_2)) = s(m_1 + m_2) = s(m_3) = m_3 \neq \bar{0}$. Consequently, $W \notin \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_2(R)} K_0^2$. By (4), $W \notin J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R))$ and so $J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R)) \subset (J_0(R))^*$.

VII. $J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R))$ is an intermediate ideal.

PROOF. This follows by V and VI.

One of the key properties of this example is that $(J_0(R))^+ \subseteq J_0(\mathbb{M}_2(R))$. It is not known whether this is true in general, although it seems to be a plausible conjecture, which we formalize as follows:

202

203

CONJECTURE 2.2. If *R* is a zerosymmetric nearring with identity, then $(J_0(R))^+ \subseteq J_0(\mathbb{M}_n(R))$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper was written while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics at the University of Edinburgh. He was financially supported by the FRD, the Central Research Fund of the UOFS and his parents. He wishes to express his appreciation towards these institutions and in particular to his parents. He would also like to thank Dr. John Meldrum and his wife, Patricia, for their hospitality and assistance during his visit.

References

- 1. J. D. P. Meldrum and J. H. Meyer, *Intermediate ideals in matrix near-rings*, Comm. Alg. (5)24(1996), 1601–1619.
- **2.** J. D. P. Meldrum and J. H. Meyer, *Modules over matrix near-rings and the* J_0 *-radical*, Monatsh. Math. **112**(1991), 125–139.
- 3. J. D. P. Meldrum and A. P. J. van der Walt, Matrix near-rings, Arch. Math. 47(1986), 312-319.
- 4. J. H. Meyer, Chains of intermediate ideals in matrix near-rings, Arch. Math. 63(1994), 311-315.
- 5. J. H. Meyer, Left ideals and 0-primitivity in matrix near-rings, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 35(1992), 173–187.
- A. P. J. van der Walt, On two-sided ideals in matrix near-rings, In: Near-rings and Near-fields, (ed. G. Betsch), North-Holland, 1987, 267–272.
- 7. A. P. J. van der Walt, Primitivity in matrix near-rings, Quaestiones Math. 9(1986), 459-469.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics University of Edinburgh James Clerk Maxwell Building The King's Buildings Mayfield Road Edinburgh EH9 3JZ United Kingdom e-mail: j.meldrum@ed.ac.uk Department of Mathematics University of the Orange Free State PO Box 339 9300 Bloemfontein Republic of South Africa e-mail: wwjm@wwg3.uovs.ac.za