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#### Abstract

An example is constructed to show that the $I_{0}$-radical of a matrix nearring can be an intermediate ideal. This solves a conjecture put forward in [1].


1. Introduction. Soon after the discovery of intermediate ideals in matrix nearrings (see [1] and [4]), several questions were raised in connection with these ideals. A fact which followed immediately was that the $I_{2}$-radical of a matrix nearring can never be intermediate-for any (zerosymmetric) nearring $R$ we have $g_{2}\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)\right)=\left(g_{2}(R)\right)^{*}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.4]). Because this relation does not hold for the $\mathcal{I}_{0}$-radical in general (see [2]), the question was raised in [1] whether the $\mathcal{I}_{0}$-radical of a matrix nearring can be intermediate. The object of this note is to provide an example of a finite zerosymmetric abelian nearring $R$ for which $J_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)\right)$ is an intermediate ideal.
2. Preliminaries. We will assume $R$ to be a right zerosymmetric nearring with identity 1 . For a natural number $n$ we define $R^{n}$ to be the direct sum of $n$ copies of the (not necessarily abelian) group $(R,+)$. For $r \in R$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we define the function $f_{i j}^{r}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ by $f_{i j}^{r} \alpha=\iota_{i}\left(r \pi_{j}(\alpha)\right)$ for each $\alpha \in R^{n}$, where $\iota_{i}: R \rightarrow R^{n}$ and $\pi_{i}: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ are the $i$-th injection and projection functions respectively. The subnearring of $M\left(R^{n}\right)$ generated by the set $\left\{f_{i j}^{r} \mid r \in R, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$ is called the $n \times n$ matrix nearring over $R$ and denoted $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$. It is easy to verify that $\mathbb{N}_{n}(R)$ is also a right zerosymmetric nearring with identity.

For an ideal $A \unlhd R$ there are two ways to construct an ideal in $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ which relates naturally to $A$ (see [6]), namely

$$
A^{+}:=\operatorname{id}\left\langle f_{i j}^{a} \mid a \in A, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
A^{*}:=\left\{U \in \mathbb{M}_{n}(R) \mid U \alpha \in A^{n} \text { for all } \alpha \in R^{n}\right\} .
$$

It easily follows that $A^{+} \subseteq A^{*}$, and several examples exist (see [6], [2], [1] and [4]) to show that $A^{+} \underset{\neq A^{*}}{ }$ is possible. It is also possible that ideals of $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ can be properly situated between $A^{+}$and $A^{*}$ (see [1] and [4]). Any ideal $I$ of $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$ with the property
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that $A^{+} \underset{\neq}{\subset} I \underset{\neq}{\subset} A^{*}$ for some ideal $A$ of $R$ is called an intermediate ideal of $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$. In [1] it is shown that an intermediate ideal can never be of the form $B^{+}$or $B^{*}$ for any ideal $B$ of $R$. In the next section we construct a nearring $R$ for which $\mathcal{I}_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)\right)$ is intermediate.
3. An example. We need the following result.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $R$ is a zerosymmetric nearring with an ideal $A$ such that $A^{2}=$ $\{0\}$. Then $\left(A^{+}\right)^{2}=\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)$.

Proof. From [6, Proposition 7] it follows that $\left(A^{+}\right)^{2} \subseteq A^{+} A^{*} \subseteq\{0\}^{*}=\{0\}$.
The example: Consider the following abelian groups:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & :=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\
N & :=M \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\
G & :=N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ denote the two-element subgroups of $M$ with $m_{i} \in M_{i}$ the nonzero element for each $i=1,2,3$. Similarly, let $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ denote the two-element subgroups of $N$ which are not subgroups of $M$, with $n_{i} \in N_{i}$ the nonzero element for each $i=1,2,3,4$. Finally, let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{8}$ denote the elements of $G \backslash N$. We identify $M \oplus\{0\} \oplus\{0\}$ with $M$ and $N \oplus\{0\}$ with $N$, and $\overline{0}:=(0,0,0,0)$ denotes the neutral element of $G$.

Define the nearring $R$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R:=\left\{f \in M_{0}(G) \mid f\left(M_{i}\right) \subseteq M_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3 ; f\left(N_{j}\right) \subseteq N_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 4 ;\right. \\
\quad g, g^{\prime} \in G \text { and } g-g^{\prime} \in M \Rightarrow f(g)-f\left(g^{\prime}\right) \in M \\
\left.g, g^{\prime} \in G \text { and } g-g^{\prime} \in N \Rightarrow f(g)-f\left(g^{\prime}\right) \in N\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $R$ is a right, zerosymmetric abelian nearring with identity 1 . Moreover, $R$ is finite with $|R|=2^{23}$.

Define the $R$-subgroups $K$ and $L$ of $R$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & :=\left\{f \in R \mid f\left(g_{i}\right) \in M, 1 \leq i \leq 8 ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise }\right\} \\
L & :=\left\{f \in R \mid f\left(g_{i}\right) \in N, 1 \leq i \leq 8 ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may now draw several conclusions.
I. $\quad K$ and $L$ are $R$-ideals of $R$.

Proof. $\quad$ This follows because $M$ and $N$ are $R$-ideals of ${ }_{R} G$.
Observation I enables us to consider the $R$-modules ${ }_{R} K,{ }_{R}(L / K)$ and ${ }_{R}(R / L)$.
II. $J_{0}(R)=\operatorname{Ann}_{R} N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{R}(G / N)$.

Proof. Each of $M_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $N_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ and $G / N$, is an $R$-module of type 0 (they are all of order 2 and nontrivial). We also have that

$$
\operatorname{Ann}_{R} N=\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Ann}_{R} M_{i}\right] \cap\left[\bigcap_{j=1}^{4} \operatorname{Ann}_{R} N_{j}\right] .
$$

It follows that

$$
g_{0}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{R} N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{R}(G / N)
$$

But since $\operatorname{Ann}_{R} N \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{R}(G / N)$ is a nilpotent ideal of $R$, the reverse inclusion also follows.

Note that the $R$-modules $M_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3), N_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ and $G / N$ are in fact of type 2, implying that $\mathcal{I}_{0}(R)=\mathcal{I}_{2}(R)$.
III. $\quad\left(g_{0}(R)\right)^{+}$is a nilpotent ideal of nilpotency degree 2 in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)$.

Proof. By II we have that $\left(g_{0}(R)\right)^{2}=\{0\}$. The result now follows directly from Lemma 3.1.
IV. $J_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$ contains a nilpotent element of nilpotency degree 3.

Proof. By I we can consider $K^{2}, L^{2} / K^{2}$ and $R^{2} / L^{2}$ as $\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)$-modules (see also [3, Proposition 4.1]). Define

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)} K^{2} \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)}\left(L^{2} / K^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)}\left(R^{2} / L^{2}\right)
$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\left(\mathcal{A}^{3}=\{0\}\right)$ yielding

$$
\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)
$$

Consider the elements $g_{1}, n_{1}, n_{2}, m_{3} \in G$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1} & :=(0,0,0,1) \\
n_{1} & :=(0,1,1,0) \in N \\
n_{2} & :=(1,0,1,0) \in N \\
m_{3} & :=(1,1,0,0) \in M
\end{aligned}
$$

and the elements $a, b, c, d \in R$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(g_{i}\right):=n_{1}, 1 \leq i \leq 8 ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise } \\
& b\left(g_{i}\right):=n_{2}, 1 \leq i \leq 8 ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise } \\
& c\left(m_{3}\right):=m_{3} ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise } \\
& d\left(n_{j}\right):=n_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 4 ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally here, the matrix $V \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(R)$, defined by

$$
V:=f_{11}^{a}+f_{21}^{b}+f_{11}^{c}\left(f_{11}^{d}+f_{12}^{d}\right)
$$

We show that $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Take any $\left\langle k, k^{\prime}\right\rangle \in K^{2}$. Then $V\left\langle k, k^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a k+c\left(d k+d k^{\prime}\right), b k\right\rangle$. Now, for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$, we have $k\left(g_{i}\right), k^{\prime}\left(g_{i}\right) \in M$ while $a(M)=b(M)=d(M)=\{\overline{0}\}$. Since $k(N)=k^{\prime}(N)=\{\overline{0}\}$, it follows that $a k+c\left(d k+d k^{\prime}\right)=b k=0$, implying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)} K^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now take any $\left\langle l, l^{\prime}\right\rangle \in L^{2}$. Then $V\left\langle l, l^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a l+c\left(d l+d l^{\prime}\right), b l\right\rangle$. Since $l(G), l^{\prime}(G) \subseteq N$ and $a(N)=b(N)=\{\overline{0}\}$, while $c(G) \subseteq M$, it follows that $\left[a l+c\left(d l+d l^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(g_{i}\right), b l\left(g_{i}\right) \in M$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$. Also, $l(N)=l^{\prime}(N)=\{\overline{0}\}$, and we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)}\left(L^{2} / K^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, take any $\left\langle r, r^{\prime}\right\rangle \in R^{2}$. Then $V\left\langle r, r^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a r+c\left(d r+d r^{\prime}\right), b r\right\rangle$. Since $a(G), b(G)$, $c(G) \subseteq N$, it follows that $\left[a r+c\left(d r+d r^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(g_{i}\right), b r\left(g_{i}\right) \in N$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq 8$. Consider $n_{j} \in N_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$. Then

$$
\left[a r+c\left(d r+d r^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(n_{j}\right) \in a\left(N_{j}\right)+c\left(d\left(N_{j}\right)+d\left(N_{j}\right)\right) \subseteq c\left(N_{j}\right)=\{\overline{0}\}
$$

Also, $\operatorname{br}\left(n_{j}\right)=\overline{0}$. Now consider $m_{i} \in M_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Then

$$
\left[a r+c\left(d r+d r^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(m_{i}\right) \in a\left(M_{i}\right)+c\left(d\left(M_{i}\right)+d\left(M_{i}\right)\right) \subseteq c\left(M_{i}\right)=\{\overline{0}\}
$$

while $b r\left(m_{i}\right)=\overline{0}$. It follows that $\left\langle a r+c\left(d r+d r^{\prime}\right), b r\right\rangle \in L^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \in \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)}\left(R^{2} / L^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our claim that $V \in \mathcal{A}$ is now established by virtue of (1), (2) and (3). Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{2}\langle 1,0\rangle & =V\langle a+c d, b\rangle \\
& =V\langle a, b\rangle \text { since } c d=0 \\
& =\left\langle a^{2}+c(d a+d b), b a\right\rangle \\
& =\langle c(d a+d b), 0\rangle \text { since } a^{2}=b a=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(d a+d b)\left(g_{1}\right) & =c\left(d\left(n_{1}\right)+d\left(n_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =c\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \\
& =c\left(m_{3}\right), \text { since } n_{1}+n_{2}=m_{3} \\
& =m_{3} \neq \overline{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

shows that $V^{2} \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{A} \subseteq g_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$, IV is proved.
V. $\quad\left(g_{0}(R)\right)^{+} \underset{\neq}{\subset} g_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$.

Proof. This follows directly from III and IV.
VI. $\quad I_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right) \underset{\neq}{\subset}\left(J_{0}(R)\right)^{*}$.

Proof. Consider the $R$-subgroup $K_{0}$ of $K$ generated by the elements $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}\left(g_{1}\right):=m_{1} ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise } \\
& k_{2}\left(g_{1}\right):=m_{2} ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words,

$$
K_{0}=\left\{f \in R \mid f\left(g_{1}\right) \in M ; \overline{0} \text { otherwise }\right\}
$$

Now suppose $\{0\} \subset K_{0}^{\prime} \subset K_{0}$ is a proper nontrivial $R$-subgroup of $K_{0}$. Then there exists $m \in M$ such that $m \notin K_{0}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right)$. Choose $k_{m} \in K_{0}$ such that $k_{m}\left(g_{1}\right)=m$ and $r \in R$ such that $r(m)=m$ and $r(g)=\overline{0}$ if $g \neq m$. Now let $k \in K_{0}^{\prime}, k \neq 0$. Then $\left[r\left(k+k_{m}\right)-r k_{m}\right]\left(g_{1}\right)=$ $-m \notin K_{0}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right)$, which implies that $r\left(k+k_{m}\right)-r k_{m} \notin K_{0}^{\prime}$, i.e., $K_{0}^{\prime}$ is not an $R$-ideal of $K_{0}$. Consequently, $K_{0}$ is a simple $R$-module $R$-generated by two elements $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$. This means that $K_{0}^{2}=K_{0} \oplus K_{0}$ is a simple $\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)$-module generated by the single element $\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle$, i.e., $K_{0}^{2}$ is an $\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)$-module of type 0 (see [5, Lemma 3.1(b)]). This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)} K_{0}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the matrix

$$
W:=f_{11}^{s}\left(f_{11}^{t}+f_{12}^{t}\right)
$$

where $t\left(m_{i}\right)=m_{i}, i=1,2 ; \overline{0}$ otherwise, and $s\left(m_{3}\right)=m_{3} ; \overline{0}$ otherwise. Take any $\left\langle r, r^{\prime}\right\rangle \in R^{2}$. Then $W\left\langle r, r^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle s\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right), 0\right\rangle$. For $m_{i}, i=1,2$, we have

$$
s\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{i}\right) \in s\left(t\left(M_{i}\right)+t\left(M_{i}\right)\right) \subseteq s\left(M_{i}\right)=\{\overline{0}\}
$$

Also, for $m_{3}$ we see that

$$
\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right)\left(m_{3}\right) \in s\left(t\left(M_{3}\right)+t\left(M_{3}\right)\right) \subseteq s(\{\overline{0}\})=\{\overline{0}\}
$$

For $n_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 4$, we obtain $s\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right)\left(n_{j}\right)=\{\overline{0}\}$, since $s\left(n_{j}\right)=\overline{0}, 1 \leq j \leq 4$. Hence, we see that $s\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Ann}_{R} N$. Also, since $s(G) \subseteq N$, it follows that $s\left(t r+t r^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Ann}_{R}(G / N)$, whence $W \in\left(g_{0}(R)\right)^{*}$. Now consider $\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle \in K_{0}^{2}$. Then $W\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle s\left(t k_{1}+t k_{2}\right), 0\right\rangle$ and $s\left(t k_{1}+t k_{2}\right)\left(g_{1}\right)=s\left(t\left(m_{1}\right)+t\left(m_{2}\right)\right)=s\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)=s\left(m_{3}\right)=m_{3} \neq \overline{0}$.
Consequently, $W \notin \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)} K_{0}^{2}$. $\mathrm{By}(4), W \notin \mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$ and so $\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right) \underset{\neq}{ }\left(g_{0}(R)\right)^{*}$.
VII. $J_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$ is an intermediate ideal.

Proof. This follows by V and VI.
One of the key properties of this example is that $\left(\mathcal{J}_{0}(R)\right)^{+} \subseteq g_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(R)\right)$. It is not known whether this is true in general, although it seems to be a plausible conjecture, which we formalize as follows:

CONJECTURE 2.2. If $R$ is a zerosymmetric nearring with identity, then $\left(\mathcal{I}_{0}(R)\right)^{+} \subseteq$ $J_{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}(R)\right)$.
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