

# **$C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH PRESENTATIONS OF SUBSHIFTS II. IDEAL STRUCTURE AND LAMBDA-GRAPH SUBSYSTEMS**

**KENGO MATSUMOTO**

(Received 28 December 2004; revised 27 June 2005)

Communicated by G. Willis

## **Abstract**

A  $\lambda$ -graph system is a labeled Bratteli diagram with shift transformation. It is a generalization of finite labeled graphs and presents a subshift. In *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002) 1–30, the author constructed a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  associated with a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  from a graph theoretic view-point. If a  $\lambda$ -graph system comes from a finite labeled graph, the algebra becomes a Cuntz-Krieger algebra. In this paper, we prove that there is a bijective correspondence between the lattice of all saturated hereditary subsets of  $\mathcal{L}$  and the lattice of all ideals of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , under a certain condition on  $\mathcal{L}$  called (II). As a result, the class of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $\lambda$ -graph systems under condition (II) is closed under quotients by its ideals.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46L35; secondary 46L05, 37B10.

## **1. Introduction**

In [7], Cuntz and Krieger presented a class of  $C^*$ -algebras associated with finite square matrices with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . The  $C^*$ -algebras are called *Cuntz-Krieger algebras*. They are simple if the matrices are irreducible with condition (I). Cuntz-Krieger observed that the  $C^*$ -algebras have a close relationship to topological Markov shifts ([7]). The topological Markov shifts form a subclass of subshifts. For a finite set  $\Sigma$ , a *subshift*  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  is a topological dynamical system defined by a closed shift-invariant subset  $\Lambda$  of the compact set  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of all bi-infinite sequences of  $\Sigma$  with shift transformation  $\sigma$ . In [21] (compare [25, 5]), the author generalized the class of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras to a class of  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts. He also

introduced several topological conjugacy invariants and presentations for subshifts by using K-theory and algebraic structure of the associated  $C^*$ -algebras with the subshifts in [23]. For presentation of subshifts, notions of the  $\lambda$ -graph system and symbolic matrix system have been introduced ([23]). They are generalizations of the  $\lambda$ -graph (labeled graph) and the symbolic matrix for sofic subshifts to general subshifts.

We henceforth denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  the set of all nonnegative integers. Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set that is called an alphabet. A  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  consists of a vertex set  $V = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l$ , an edge set  $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l,l+1}$ , a labeling map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \Sigma$  and a surjective map  $\iota (= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \rightarrow V_l$  for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with a certain compatible condition, called the local property. Its matrix presentation  $(\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is called a symbolic matrix system, denoted by  $(\mathcal{M}, I)$ . The  $\lambda$ -graph systems give rise to subshifts by gathering label sequences appearing in the labeled Bratteli diagrams of the  $\lambda$ -graph systems. Conversely, there is a canonical method to construct a  $\lambda$ -graph system from an arbitrary subshift [23]. It is called the *canonical  $\lambda$ -graph system* for subshift  $\Lambda$ .

In [24], the author constructed  $C^*$ -algebras from  $\lambda$ -graph systems and studied their structure. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  be a  $\lambda$ -graph system over alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$  be the set of the vertex  $V_l$ . We henceforth assume that a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  is left-resolving, that is, there are no distinct edges with the same label and the same terminal vertex. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is realized as a universal unique  $C^*$ -algebra subject to certain operator relations among generating partial isometries  $S_{\alpha}$ , corresponding to the symbols  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and projections  $E_i^l$  corresponding to the vertices  $v_i^l \in V_l, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , encoded by the concatenation rule of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Irreducibility and aperiodicity for finite directed graphs have been generalized to  $\lambda$ -graph systems in [24]. If  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (I), a condition generalizing condition (I) for finite square matrices defined by [7], and is irreducible, then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is simple. In particular, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is aperiodic, then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is simple and purely infinite ([24], compare [27]).

In this paper, we investigate ideal structures of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . The discussions are based on a line of Cuntz’s paper [6] in which the ideal structure of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras were studied (compare [13]). We generalize condition (II) for finite directed graphs, defined in [6], to  $\lambda$ -graph systems. By considering saturated hereditary subsets of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to arrows of edges, we show the following theorem.

**THEOREM A** (Proposition 3.5, Theorem 3.6). *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (II). There is a bijective correspondence between the lattice of all saturated hereditary subsets of  $\mathcal{L}$  and the lattice of all ideals of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Furthermore, for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{I}$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L} \setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$  associated with the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$ , obtained by removing the corresponding saturated hereditary subset  $C_{\mathcal{I}}$  for  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

**COROLLARY B.** *In the  $\lambda$ -graph systems satisfying condition (II), the class of the C\*-algebras associated with  $\lambda$ -graph systems is closed under quotients by ideals.*

By Corollary B, it is expected that rich examples of simple purely infinite nuclear C\*-algebras of this class live outside Cuntz-Krieger algebras (compare [24, Theorem 7.7], [16], [26] and [20]). We further study the structure of an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  in Section 4. We prove that an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is stably isomorphic to the C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  associated with the corresponding saturated hereditary subset of  $V$  (Theorem 4.3). As a result, the K-theory formulae for ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  are presented in terms of the corresponding saturated hereditary subsets of  $V$  (Theorem 4.5).

If a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  comes from a finite directed graph  $G$ , the associated C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  becomes a Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$  for its adjacency matrix  $A_G$  with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . The results of this paper, Theorem A, Corollary B, Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.5, and Proposition 4.6 are generalizations of Cuntz's result [6, Theorem 2.5] for Cuntz-Krieger algebras. Other generalizations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras from this graph point of view have been studied by [2, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 30, 34] and [35]. Related discussions for C\*-algebras generated by Hilbert C\*-bimodules can be found in [14].

## 2. Review of the C\*-algebras associated with $\lambda$ -graph systems

Recall that a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a directed Bratteli diagram with vertex set  $V = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l$  and edge set  $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l, l+1}$  that is labeled with symbols in  $\Sigma$  by  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ , and that is supplied with surjective maps  $\iota (= \iota_{l, l+1}) : V_{l+1} \rightarrow V_l$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Here, both the vertex sets  $V_l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and the edge sets  $E_{l, l+1}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  are finite disjoint sets. An edge  $e$  in  $E_{l, l+1}$  has its source vertex  $s(e)$  in  $V_l$  and its terminal vertex  $t(e)$  in  $V_{l+1}$  respectively. Every vertex in  $V$  has a successor and every vertex in  $V_l$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  has a predecessor. It is required that there exists a bijective correspondence, which preserves labels, between  $\{e \in E_{l, l+1} \mid t(e) = v, \iota(s(e)) = u\}$  and  $\{e \in E_{l-1, l} \mid s(e) = u, t(e) = \iota(v)\}$  for all pairs of vertices  $u \in V_{l-1}$  and  $v \in V_{l+1}$ . This property of the  $\lambda$ -graph systems is called the *local property*. We call an edge  $e \in E_{l, l+1}$  a  $\lambda$ -edge and a connecting finite sequence of  $\lambda$ -edges a  $\lambda$ -path. For  $u, v \in V$ , if  $\iota(v) = u$ , we say that there exists an  $\iota$ -edge from  $v$  to  $u$ . Similarly we use the term  $\iota$ -path. We denote by  $\{v_1^l, v_2^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$  the vertex set  $V_l$  of  $V$  at level  $l$ . A finite labeled graph  $(G, \lambda)$  over  $\Sigma$  with underlying finite directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and labeling map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \Sigma$  yields a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}_{(G, \lambda)}$  by setting  $V_l = V$ ,  $E_{l, l+1} = E$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\iota = \text{id}$  (compare [24, Section 7]).

Let us now briefly review the C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  associated with the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$ , which was originally constructed in [24] to be a groupoid C\*-algebra of a groupoid

of a continuous graph obtained by  $\mathcal{L}$  (compare [8, 9, 31]). The  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  are generalization of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts. That is, if the  $\lambda$ -graph system is the canonical  $\lambda$ -graph system for a subshift  $\Lambda$ , the constructed  $C^*$ -algebra coincides with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$  associated with the subshift  $\Lambda$  in [26] (compare [5]).

Let  $\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  be a left-resolving  $\lambda$ -graph system over  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\Lambda$  the presented subshift  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$  by  $\mathcal{L}$ . We denote by  $\Lambda^k$  the set of admissible words in  $\Lambda$  of length  $k$ . We set  $\Lambda^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^k$ , where  $\Lambda^0$  denotes the empty word. Define the transition matrices  $A_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  by setting for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l+1), \alpha \in \Sigma$ ,

$$A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s(e) = v_i^l, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = v_j^{l+1} \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is realized as the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by partial isometries  $S_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Sigma$  and projections  $E_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  subject to the following operator relations called  $(\mathcal{L})$

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} S_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^* = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} E_i^l = 1, \quad E_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i, j) E_j^{l+1},$$

$$(2.3) \quad S_{\beta} S_{\beta}^* E_i^l = E_i^l S_{\beta} S_{\beta}^*,$$

$$(2.4) \quad S_{\beta}^* E_i^l S_{\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \beta, j) E_j^{l+1},$$

for  $\beta \in \Sigma, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . It is nuclear ([24, Proposition 5.6]). The relations (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) yield the relations

$$(2.5) \quad E_i^l = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) S_{\alpha} E_j^{l+1} S_{\alpha}^*,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . For a word  $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in \Lambda^k$ , we set  $S_{\mu} = S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_k}$ . Then the algebra of all finite linear combinations of the elements of the form  $S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*$ , for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . We define three  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $\mathcal{F}_k^l, (k \leq l), \mathcal{F}_k^{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . The first one,  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$ , is generated by  $S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^k, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$ , the second one,  $\mathcal{F}_k^{\infty}$ , is

generated by  $\mathcal{F}_k^l, k \leq l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and the third one,  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}$ , is generated by  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . There exist two embeddings  $\iota_{l,l+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^l \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k^{l+1}$ , coming from the second relation of (2.2) and  $\lambda_{k,k+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^l \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k+1}^{l+1}$ , coming from (2.5). The latter embeddings induce an embedding of  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty$  into  $\mathcal{F}_{k+1}^\infty$  that we also denote by  $\lambda_{k,k+1}$ . Since the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_k^l$  is finite dimensional, the embeddings  $\iota_{l,l+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^l \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k^{l+1}, l \in \mathbb{N}$  yield the AF-algebra  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty$ , and the embeddings  $\lambda_{k,k+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k+1}^\infty, k \in \mathbb{N}$  yield the AF-algebra  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L}$ .

For a vertex  $v_i^l \in V_l$ , set

$$\Gamma^+(v_i^l) = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \Sigma^\mathbb{N} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists an edge } e_{n,n+1} \in E_{n,n+1} \text{ for } n \geq l \\ \text{such that } v_i^l = s(e_{l,l+1}), t(e_{n,n+1}) = s(e_{n+1,n+2}), \\ \lambda(e_{n,n+1}) = \alpha_{n-l+1} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

the set of all label sequences in  $\mathcal{L}$  starting at  $v_i^l$ . We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (I) if for each  $v_i^l \in V$ , the set  $\Gamma^+(v_i^l)$  contains at least two distinct sequences. Under condition (I), the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$  can be realized as the unique C\*-algebra subject to the relations  $(\mathcal{L})$ . This means that if  $\widehat{S}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ , and  $\widehat{E}_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , are another family of nonzero partial isometries and nonzero projections satisfying the relations  $(\mathcal{L})$ , then the map  $S_\alpha \rightarrow \widehat{S}_\alpha, E_i^l \rightarrow \widehat{E}_i^l$  extends to an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$  onto the C\*-algebra  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_\mathcal{L}$  generated by  $\widehat{S}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ , and  $\widehat{E}_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  ([24, Theorem 4.3]).

Let  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$  be the C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$  generated by the projections  $E_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Let  $\Omega_\mathcal{L}$  the projective limit of the system  $\iota_{l,l+1} : V_{l+1} \rightarrow V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We endow  $\Omega_\mathcal{L}$  with the projective limit topology so that it is a compact Hausdorff space. An element of  $\Omega_\mathcal{L}$  is called an  $\iota$ -orbit. By the universality of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$  the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to the commutative C\*-algebra  $C(\Omega_\mathcal{L})$  of all complex valued continuous functions on  $\Omega_\mathcal{L}$ . As a corollary of [24, Theorem 4.3], if  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (I), for a nonzero ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L} \neq \{0\}$ .

A  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be *irreducible* if for a vertex  $v \in V_l$  and an  $\iota$ -orbit  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a  $\lambda$ -path starting at  $v$  and terminating at  $x_{l+N}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define a positive operator  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$  by  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} S_\alpha^* X S_\alpha$  for  $X \in \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$ . The operator  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$  induces the embedding  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}^\infty, k \in \mathbb{N}$  so as to define the AF-algebra  $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{L} = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_k^\infty$ . We say that  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}$  is *irreducible* if there exists no non-trivial ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{L}$  invariant under  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\lambda_\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible with condition (I), the C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$  is simple ([24, Theorem 4.7], compare [27]).

### 3. Hereditary subsets of the vertices and ideals

This section and the next section are the main parts of this paper. In what follows we assume that a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  over  $\Sigma$  is left-resolving and satisfies

condition (I). We mean by an ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra a closed two-sided ideal. Recall that the vertex set  $V_l$  is denoted by  $\{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$ .

For  $v_i^l \in V_l$  and  $v_j^{l+1} \in V_{l+1}$ , we write  $v_i^l \geq v_j^{l+1}$  if  $\iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l$ . We also write  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$  if there exists an edge  $e \in E_{l,l+1}$  such that  $s(e) = v_i^l, t(e) = v_j^{l+1}$ . For  $v_i^l \in V_l$  and  $v_m^{l+k} \in V_{l+k}$ , we write  $v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_m^{l+k}$  (respectively  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_m^{l+k}$ ) if there exist  $v_{i_1}^{l+1}, \dots, v_{i_{k-1}}^{l+k-1}$  such that

$$v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_{i_1}^{l+1} \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} \dots \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_{i_{k-1}}^{l+k-1} \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_m^{l+k} \quad (\text{respectively } v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_{i_1}^{l+1} \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} \dots \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_{i_{k-1}}^{l+k-1} \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_m^{l+k}).$$

A subset  $C$  of  $V$  is said to be  $\iota$ -hereditary (respectively  $\lambda$ -hereditary) if for  $v_i^l \in C \cap V_l$  the condition  $v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$  (respectively  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$ ) implies  $v_j^{l+1} \in C$ . It is said to be hereditary if  $C$  is both  $\iota$ -hereditary and  $\lambda$ -hereditary. It is said to be  $\iota$ -saturated (respectively  $\lambda$ -saturated) if it contains every vertex  $v_i^l \in C \cap V_l$  for which  $v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$  (respectively  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$ ) implies  $v_j^{l+1} \in C$ . If  $C$  is both  $\iota$ -saturated and  $\lambda$ -saturated, it is said to be saturated.

DEFINITION. A  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L}' = (V', E', \lambda', \iota')$  over  $\Sigma'$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem of  $\mathfrak{L}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq V'_l &\subset V_l, & \emptyset \neq E'_{l,l+1} &\subset E_{l,l+1}, & \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \lambda|_{E'} &= \lambda', & \iota|_{V'} &= \iota', & \Sigma' \subset \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and an edge  $e \in E$  belongs to  $E'$  if and only if the both vertices  $s(e), t(e)$  belong to  $V'$ . Hence a  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem is determined by only its vertex set.

LEMMA 3.1. For a saturated hereditary subset  $C \subset V$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\setminus C} &= V \setminus C, \\ E^{\setminus C} &= \{e \in E \mid s(e), t(e) \in V \setminus C\}, \\ \lambda^{\setminus C} &= \lambda|_{E^{\setminus C}}, & \iota^{\setminus C} &= \iota|_{V^{\setminus C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(V^{\setminus C}, E^{\setminus C}, \lambda^{\setminus C}, \iota^{\setminus C})$  is a  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem over  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

PROOF. For a vertex  $u \in V_l^{\setminus C}$ , there exists a vertex  $w \in V_{l+1}^{\setminus C}$  such that  $\iota(w) = u$ , because  $C$  is  $\iota$ -saturated. Similarly, there exist an edge  $e \in E_{l,l+1}^{\setminus C}$  and a vertex  $w' \in V_{l+1}^{\setminus C}$  such that  $s(e) = u, t(e) = w'$ , because  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -saturated. Let  $u, v$  be vertices with  $u \in V_l^{\setminus C}, v \in V_{l+2}^{\setminus C}$ . Put  $v' = \iota(v)$ . As  $C$  is  $\iota$ -hereditary, we have that  $v'$  belongs to  $V_{l+1}^{\setminus C}$ . As  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary, if an edge  $e \in E_{l,l+1}$  satisfies  $t(e) = v$ , one sees that  $s(e)$  belongs to  $V_{l+1}^{\setminus C}$  and hence  $e$  belongs to  $E_{l,l+1}^{\setminus C}$ . Therefore  $(V^{\setminus C}, E^{\setminus C}, \lambda^{\setminus C}, \iota^{\setminus C})$  inherits the local property of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Thus  $(V^{\setminus C}, E^{\setminus C}, \lambda^{\setminus C}, \iota^{\setminus C})$  becomes a  $\lambda$ -graph system.  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C}$  the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $(V^{\setminus C}, E^{\setminus C}, \lambda^{\setminus C}, \iota^{\setminus C})$  and call it the  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem of  $\mathcal{L}$  obtained by removing  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_C$  be the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  generated by the projections  $E_i^l$  for  $v_i^l \in C$ , that is,  $\mathcal{I}_C = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\{E_i^l \mid v_i^l \in C\}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}$  the closure of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\{E_i^l \mid v_i^l \in C\}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *The set of all linear combinations of elements of the form*

$$(3.1) \quad S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*, \quad \text{for } v_i^l \in C, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{I}_C$ .

PROOF. Since the finite linear combinations of elements of the form  $S_{\xi} E_f^p S_{\eta}^*$  for  $|\xi|, |\eta| \leq p, f = 1, \dots, m(p)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , elements of the form

$$S_{\xi} E_f^p S_{\eta}^* E_i^l S_{\zeta} E_g^q S_{\gamma}^*, \quad \text{for } v_i^l \in C, |\xi|, |\eta| \leq p, |\zeta|, |\gamma| \leq q$$

span the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . Put  $T = S_{\xi} E_f^p S_{\eta}^* E_i^l S_{\zeta} E_g^q S_{\gamma}^*$  and assume  $T \neq 0$ . The equality

$$S_{\eta}^* E_i^l S_{\eta} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+|\eta|)} A_{l,l+|\eta|}(i, \eta, j) E_j^{l+|\eta|}$$

holds, where  $A_{l,l+|\eta|}(i, \eta, j) = 1$ , if there exists a  $\lambda$ -path from  $v_i^l$  to  $v_j^{l+|\eta|}$  with label  $\eta$ , otherwise  $A_{l,l+|\eta|}(i, \eta, j) = 0$ . The vertex  $v_j^{l+|\eta|}$  belongs to  $C$  if  $A_{l,l+|\eta|}(i, \eta, j) = 1$ , because  $v_i^l \in C$  and  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary. As  $T = S_{\xi} E_f^p S_{\eta}^* E_i^l S_{\eta} S_{\zeta} E_g^q S_{\gamma}^*$  and we may assume that  $l$  is large enough,  $T$  is assumed to be of the form  $T = S_{\xi} E_i^l S_{\eta}^* S_{\zeta} E_g^q S_{\gamma}^*$  for  $v_i^l \in C$ . As  $T \neq 0$ , the element  $E_i^l S_{\eta}^* S_{\zeta}$  is either of the form  $E_i^l S_{\nu}$ , or  $E_i^l S_{\nu}^*$  for some word  $\nu$ . In the former case, we have  $T = S_{\xi} S_{\nu} S_{\nu}^* E_i^l S_{\nu} E_g^q S_{\gamma}^*$ . Since  $S_{\nu}^* E_i^l S_{\nu}$  is a finite linear combination of  $E_j^{l+|\nu|}$  for  $v_j^{l+|\nu|} \in C$  and  $l$  is large enough,  $T$  is a finite linear combinations of elements of the form (3.1), because  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary. In the latter case, we have  $T = S_{\xi} E_i^l S_{\nu}^* E_g^q S_{\nu} S_{\gamma}^*$ . Since  $S_{\nu}^* E_g^q S_{\nu}$  is a finite linear combinations of  $E_j^{q+|\nu|}$  for  $v_j^{q+|\nu|} \in V_{q+|\nu|}$  and  $l$  is large enough, we have  $T = S_{\xi} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*$ . Hence we get the desired assertion.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $E_i^l$  belongs to the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$ , the vertex  $v_i^l$  belongs to the set  $C$ .*

PROOF. For  $k \leq l$ , set

$$E_{k,l} = \sum_{\substack{\mu, j \\ |\mu|=k, v_j^l \in C}} S_{\mu} E_j^l S_{\mu}^*$$

belonging to  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . For an operator  $T = S_{\xi} E_i^l S_{\eta}^*$  with  $v_i^l \in C$ , it follows that  $T E_{k,l} = E_{k,l} T = T$  for large enough  $k, l$ . Lemma 3.2 says that  $\{E_{k,l}\}_{k,l}$  is an approximate unit

for  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . Suppose that a vertex  $v_j^l \in V$  does not belong to  $C$ . It suffices to show that the equality

$$(3.2) \quad \|E_j^L E_{k,l} - E_j^L\| = 1$$

holds for all large enough  $k, l$ . We fix  $k \leq l$  large enough. We may assume that  $E_j^L E_{k,l} \neq 0$  and  $L + k \leq l$ . There exists an admissible word  $\mu$  of length  $k$  such that  $S_\mu^* E_j^L S_\mu E_j^l \neq 0$  and hence  $S_\mu^* E_j^L S_\mu \geq E_j^l$ . On the other hand,  $C$  is saturated, so we may find a  $\lambda$ -path  $\pi$  in  $E_{L,L+k}$  whose source vertex  $s(\pi)$  is  $v_j^l$ , and an  $\iota$ -path from the terminal vertex  $t(\pi)$  of  $\pi$  to a vertex  $v_p^l$  that does not belong to  $C$ . We set  $\gamma = \lambda(\pi)$  the label of  $\pi$  so that  $S_\gamma^* E_j^L S_\gamma \geq E_p^l$ . It then follows that

$$E_j^L \geq S_\mu S_\mu^* E_j^L S_\mu S_\mu^* + S_\gamma S_\gamma^* E_j^L S_\gamma S_\gamma^* \geq S_\mu E_j^l S_\mu^* + S_\gamma E_p^l S_\gamma^*.$$

Since  $\sum_{|v|=k, v_j^l \in C} S_v E_j^l S_v^*$  is orthogonal to  $S_\gamma E_p^l S_\gamma^*$ , one obtains that

$$E_j^L E_{k,l} - E_j^L \geq S_\gamma E_p^l S_\gamma^*$$

so that (3.2) holds. □

LEMMA 3.4. For any nonzero closed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{L}$ , put

$$C_\mathcal{I} = \{v_i^l \in V \mid E_i^l \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Then  $C_\mathcal{I}$  is a nonempty saturated hereditary subset of  $V$ .

PROOF. Since  $\mathfrak{L}$  satisfies condition (I), the set  $C_\mathcal{I}$  is nonempty because of the uniqueness of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{L}$ . Take  $v_i^l \in C_\mathcal{I}$ . Suppose that  $v_j^{l+1}$  satisfies  $v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$ . The inequality  $E_i^l \geq E_j^{l+1}$  assures  $E_j^{l+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Suppose next  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$ . There exists a symbol  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$ . By (2.4), we have  $S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha \geq E_j^{l+1}$  so that  $E_j^{l+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $C_\mathcal{I}$  is hereditary. For  $v_i^l$ , suppose that  $v_i^l \stackrel{\iota}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$  implies  $v_j^{l+1} \in C_\mathcal{I}$ . This means that  $I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = 1$  implies  $E_j^{l+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ . By the second equality of (2.2), we see  $E_i^l \in \mathcal{I}$ . Suppose next that  $v_i^l \stackrel{\lambda}{\geq} v_j^{l+1}$  implies  $v_j^{l+1} \in C_\mathcal{I}$ . This means that  $A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$  implies  $E_j^{l+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ . By (2.4), we have  $S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , so that  $E_i^l = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}$  is saturated. □

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let  $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  be a  $\lambda$ -graph system satisfying condition (I). Let  $C$  be a saturated hereditary subset of  $V$ . A vertex  $v_i^l$  belongs to  $C$  if and only if  $E_i^l$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . Hence there exists a bijective correspondence between the set of all saturated hereditary subsets of  $V$  and the set of all ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{L}$ .

PROOF. Let  $C$  be a saturated hereditary subset of  $V$ . For a vertex  $v_i^l \in V$ , we have  $v_i^l \in C$  if and only if  $E_i^l \in \mathcal{I}_C$  by Lemma 3.3. For an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{L}$ , we have  $E_i^l \in \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $v_i^l \in C_\mathcal{I}$  by definition of  $C_\mathcal{I}$ . Hence we conclude the assertions. □

DEFINITION. A  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies *condition (II)* if for every saturated hereditary subset  $C \subset V$ , the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C}$  satisfies condition (I).

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  square matrix with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then  $A$  satisfies condition (II) in the sense of Cuntz [6] if and only if the natural  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}^A$  constructed from  $A$  satisfies condition (II) in the above sense (compare Section 5).

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (II). For an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{I}$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}}$  associated with the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$  obtained from  $\mathcal{L}$  by removing the saturated hereditary subset  $C_{\mathcal{I}}$  for  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

PROOF. We denote by  $\overline{S_{\alpha}}, \overline{E_i^l}$  the quotient images of  $S_{\alpha}, E_i^l$  in the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{I}$  respectively. Let  $s_{\alpha}, e_i^l$  be the canonical generating partial isometries for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and the projections corresponding to the vertices  $v_i^l \in V^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}}$ . Since we have  $\overline{E_i^l} \neq 0$  if and only if  $v_i^l \in V^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$ , the relations

$$\overline{S_{\alpha}} \overline{E_i^l} \overline{S_{\alpha}} = \sum_{k=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, k) \overline{E_k^{l+1}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Sigma$$

hold. By the uniqueness of the algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}}$ , subject to the operator relations, the correspondence  $\overline{S_{\alpha}} \leftrightarrow s_{\alpha}, \overline{E_i^l} \leftrightarrow e_i^l$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma, v_i^l \in V^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}$  extends to an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{\setminus C_{\mathcal{I}}}}$ . □

COROLLARY 3.7. *In the  $\lambda$ -graph systems satisfying condition (II), the class of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $\lambda$ -graph systems is closed under quotients by its ideals.*

We say a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$  to be *saturated* if  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i^l) \in \mathcal{J}$  implies  $E_i^l \in \mathcal{J}$ . We are assuming that a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (I).

LEMMA 3.8. *For an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , set  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is a nonzero  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant saturated ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{J}$  is saturated. Suppose that  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i^l) \in \mathcal{J}$ . We see  $S_{\alpha}^* E_i^l S_{\alpha}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Hence  $E_i^l = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} S_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^* E_i^l S_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ . □

LEMMA 3.9. *There exists a bijective correspondence between the set of  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant closed saturated ideals of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and the set of saturated hereditary subsets of  $V$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant saturated ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Put  $C_{\mathcal{J}} = \{v_i^l \in V \mid E_i^l \in \mathcal{J}\}$ . As  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant, we have  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} S_{\alpha}^* E_i^l S_{\alpha}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$  for  $v_i^l \in C_{\mathcal{J}}$ . Hence

$A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$  implies  $E_j^{l+1} \in \mathcal{J}$ . This means that  $C_{\mathcal{J}}$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary. Suppose that  $A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$  implies  $v_j^{l+1} \in C_{\mathcal{J}}$ . It follows that  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(E_i^l) \in \mathcal{J}$  and hence  $v_i^l \in C_{\mathcal{J}}$ , because  $\mathcal{J}$  is saturated. By the second equality of (2.2), we know that  $C_{\mathcal{J}}$  is  $\iota$ -hereditary and  $\iota$ -saturated.

For a saturated hereditary subset  $C$  of  $V$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_C$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  generated by  $E_i^l$  for  $v_i^l \in C$ . Put  $\mathcal{J}_C = \mathcal{I}_C \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . By Proposition 3.5, a vertex  $v_i^l$  belongs to  $C$  if and only if  $E_i^l$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}_C$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{J}_C$  is  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant because  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary, and  $\mathcal{J}_C$  is saturated because  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -saturated. □

We remark that  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible if and only if there is no nontrivial  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . The latter property is also equivalent to the condition that there is no proper hereditary and  $\iota$ -saturated subset of  $V$ . Thus we see the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.10.** *Consider the following six conditions.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is simple.
- (ii) There is no nontrivial  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant saturated ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .
- (iii) There is no proper saturated hereditary subset of  $V$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible.
- (v) There is no nontrivial  $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ -invariant ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .
- (vi) There is no proper hereditary and  $\iota$ -saturated subset of  $V$ .

*Conditions (i)–(iii) are equivalent to each other, and also conditions (iv)–(vi) are equivalent to each other. The latter conditions imply the former conditions.*

**PROOF.** As nontrivial ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  bijectively correspond to saturated hereditary subsets of  $V$ , the first three conditions are equivalent each other. It suffices to show that (iv) is equivalent to (vi). Assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible. Let  $C$  be a nonempty hereditary and  $\iota$ -saturated subset of  $V$ . Take a vertex  $v_i^l \in C$ . Let  $U_N(v_i^l)$  be the set of  $\iota$ -orbits  $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  such that there exists a  $\lambda$ -path of length  $N$  from  $v_i^l$  to the vertex  $u_{l+N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible, we have  $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} U_N(v_i^l)$ . Hence there exist  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n$  such that  $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{N_j}(v_i^l)$ , because  $U_N(v_i^l)$  is open in  $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ . We may assume that  $0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \dots \leq N_n$ . We put  $N_n = L$ . For a vertex  $w \in V_{l+L}$ , find an  $\iota$ -orbit  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  such that  $x_{l+L} = w$ . Take  $N_k$  such that  $x \in U_{N_k}(v_i^l)$ . Since  $C$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary and  $\iota$ -hereditary, we see  $x_{l+N_k} \in C$  and hence  $w \in C$ . This implies  $V_{l+N} \subset C$ . Now  $C$  is  $\iota$ -saturated, so we conclude that  $V = C$ . Therefore we get the implication from (iv) to (vi).

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is not irreducible. There exists an  $\iota$ -orbit  $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  and a vertex  $v_i^l$  such that  $u$  does not belong to  $\bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} U_N(v_i^l)$ . Let  $V^N(v_i^l)$  be the set of all vertices  $w$  in  $V_{l+N}$  that are terminal vertices of  $\lambda$ -edges whose source vertices are  $v_i^l$ . Put  $V(v_i^l) = \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} V^N(v_i^l)$  and

$$W(v_i^l) = \{w \in V \mid v \stackrel{!}{\geq} w \text{ for some vertex } v \in V(v_i^l)\} \cup V(v_i^l).$$

By the local property of the  $\lambda$ -graph system, the set  $W(v_i^l)$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary and the vertices  $u_n$  do not belong to  $W(v_i^l)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . It is by definition that  $W(v_i^l)$  is  $\iota$ -hereditary. Let  $C$  be the saturation of  $W(v_i^l)$  with respect to  $\stackrel{\iota}{\geq}$ . As  $W(v_i^l)$  is  $\lambda$ -hereditary,  $C$  is so from the local property of  $\lambda$ -graph system. It is obvious that  $C$  is  $\iota$ -hereditary. We obtain a proper hereditary and  $\iota$ -saturated subset  $C$  of  $V$ .  $\square$

### 4. Structure of ideals

In this section, we prove that an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  is stably isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  associated with the corresponding saturated hereditary subset of  $V$ . As a result, we can present the K-theory formulae for ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  in terms of the corresponding saturated hereditary subsets of  $V$ . The notation is as in the previous sections. For a saturated hereditary subset  $C$  of  $V$ , put for  $v_i^l \in C$

$$\Lambda^C(v_i^l) = \left\{ \mu \in \Lambda^* \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a } \lambda\text{-path } \pi \text{ such that } \lambda(\pi) = \mu, \\ s(\pi) \in C, t(\pi) = v_i^l \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $s(\pi)$  and  $t(\pi)$  are the source vertex and the terminal vertex of  $\pi$  respectively. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(C)$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  generated by elements of the form  $S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*$ , for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l), v_i^l \in C$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *The set of all finite linear combinations of elements of the form  $S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^*$ , for  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l), v_i^l \in C$ , is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(C)$ .*

PROOF. For  $v_i^l, v_j^k \in C, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l), \xi, \eta \in \Lambda^C(v_j^k)$ , suppose that

$$S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^* S_{\xi} E_j^k S_{\eta}^* \neq 0.$$

We may assume  $|\nu| > |\xi|$ . We then have  $\nu = \xi v'$  for some  $v'$ , so that

$$S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^* S_{\xi} E_j^k S_{\eta}^* = S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu'}^* E_j^k S_{\eta}^*.$$

If  $|\nu'| + k \leq l$ , we have that  $E_i^l S_{\nu'}^* E_j^k S_{\eta}^* = E_i^l$ . If  $|\nu'| + k \geq l$ , we see that  $E_i^l S_{\nu'}^* E_j^k S_{\eta}^*$  is a finite sum of projections  $E_h^{|\nu'|+k}$  with  $v_h^{|\nu'|+k} \in C$ . In both cases,  $S_{\mu} E_i^l S_{\nu}^* S_{\xi} E_j^k S_{\eta}^*$  is a finite linear combination of  $S_{\zeta} E_h^m S_{\delta}^*$  with  $\zeta, \delta \in \Lambda^C(v_h^m), v_h^m \in C$ .  $\square$

We prove that the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  is stably isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(C)$  under some condition. Put  $P_l = \sum_{i, v_i^l \in C} E_i^l$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . It belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(C)$  and satisfies  $P_l \leq P_{l+1}$ . We see then a sequence of natural embeddings  $P_l \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} P_l \subset P_{l+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} P_{l+1} \subset \dots$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2.  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(C) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_l \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} P_l$ .

PROOF. We first prove the inclusion relation  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \subset \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$ . For  $v_i^l \in C$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l)$ , take a  $\lambda$ -path  $\pi$  such that  $s(\pi) \in C$ ,  $t(\pi) = v_i^l$ , and  $\lambda(\pi) = \mu$ . We put  $s(\pi) = v_{j_1}^l$ . The projection  $E_{j_1}^l$  satisfies the inequality  $S_\mu^* E_{j_1}^l S_\mu \geq E_i^l$  so that  $E_{j_1}^l S_\mu E_i^l = S_\mu E_i^l$ . As  $\Sigma$  is left-resolving, we know that  $S_\mu^* E_{k_1}^l S_\mu E_i^l = 0$  for  $k_1 \neq j_1$ . It then follows that  $P_{l_1} S_\mu E_i^l = S_\mu E_i^l$ . Symmetrically we have that  $E_i^l S_\nu^* P_{l_2} = E_i^l S_\nu^*$  for some  $l_2$ . Hence we see that  $P_{l_1} S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* P_{l_2} = S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^*$ . Thus we have proved that for  $v_i^l \in C$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l)$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_m S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* P_m = S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^*$  for all  $m \geq M$ . This implies the inclusion relation  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \subset \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$ .

For  $v_i^l \in V$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^*$ , and  $v_{j_1}^l, v_{j_2}^l \in C$ , we next prove that the element  $E_{j_1}^l S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* E_{j_2}^l$  belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ . We may assume that  $l$  is large enough because of the second relation of (2.2). Assume  $S_\mu^* E_{j_1}^l S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* E_{j_2}^l S_\nu \neq 0$  so that  $S_\mu^* E_{j_1}^l S_\mu \geq E_i^l$ . Hence there exists a  $\lambda$ -path whose source is  $v_{j_1}^l$  and terminal is connected to  $v_i^l$  by an  $\iota$ -path. By the local property of the  $\lambda$ -graph system, we may find a  $\lambda$ -path  $\pi$  in  $E$  such that  $\lambda(\pi) = \mu$ ,  $t(\pi) = v_i^l$  and an  $\iota$ -path that connects between  $s(\pi)$  and  $v_{j_1}^l$ . Since  $v_{j_1}^l$  belongs to  $C$  and  $C$  is hereditary, we see that  $v_i^l \in C$  and  $\mu$  belongs to  $\Lambda^C(v_i^l)$ . Symmetrically one sees that  $\nu$  belongs to  $\Lambda^C(v_i^l)$  from the inequality  $S_\nu^* E_{j_2}^l S_\nu \geq E_i^l$ . Hence we have  $E_{j_1}^l S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* E_{j_2}^l = S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^*$  and it belongs to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ . Thus we have  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l \subset \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ . □

**THEOREM 4.3.** *The ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is stably isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $X_l = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $X_l$  has a Hilbert left  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma}$ -module and a Hilbert right  $P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$ -module structure in a natural way. Its left  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma}$ -valued inner product and right  $P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$ -valued inner product are given by

$$\langle a P_l, b P_l \rangle_L = a P_l b^*, \quad \langle a P_l, b P_l \rangle_R = P_l a^* b P_l,$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$  respectively. Hence the norms on  $X_l$  coming from their respect inner products coincide with the norm on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ . As  $P_l \leq P_{l+1}$ , we have a natural embedding  $X_l \hookrightarrow X_{l+1}$ . Let  $X_C$  be the closure of  $\bigcup_{l=1}^\infty X_l$  in the norm of  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ , that is regarded as the inductive limit of the inclusions  $X_l \hookrightarrow X_{l+1}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$  and the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  are the inductive limits  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma}$  and  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P_l \mathcal{O}_\Sigma P_l$  respectively. We then see that the subspace  $X_C$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$  has an induced left  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -valued inner product and right  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ -valued inner product such as

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_L = \xi \eta^* \in \mathcal{I}_C, \quad \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_R = \xi^* \eta \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C),$$

for  $\xi, \eta \in X_C$  respectively. It also has a natural left  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -module and right  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ -module structures respectively. It is easy to see that both the linear spans of  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_L$ , for  $\xi, \eta \in X_C$ , and  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_R$ , for  $\xi, \eta \in X_C$ , are dense in  $\mathcal{I}_C$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  respectively. Hence  $X_C$  is a full Hilbert left  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -module, and a full Hilbert right  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ -module such

that  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_L \zeta = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_R$ , for  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in X_C$ . This means that  $X_C$  is an  $\mathcal{I}_C - \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  imprimitivity bimodule, so that  $\mathcal{I}_C$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  are Morita equivalent ([32]). By [4], they are stably isomorphic to each other.  $\square$

By using the above result, we next compute the K-theory of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$ . The subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  is invariant globally under the gauge action  $\alpha_\Sigma$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ . We still denote by  $\alpha_\Sigma$  the restriction of  $\alpha_\Sigma$  to  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$  the C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  generated by  $S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^*$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l)$ ,  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ ,  $v_i^l \in C$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C) = \mathcal{F}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{I}_C$ . It is direct to see that the fixed point algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)^{\alpha_\Sigma}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)$  under  $\alpha_\Sigma$  is the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$ . A similar discussion to [22] (compare [24]) assures that the crossed product  $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \rtimes_{\alpha_\Sigma} \mathbb{T}$  is stably isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$ . We can show the following result.

LEMMA 4.4 (compare [24, Lemma 7.5], [22, Lemma 4.3]).

- (i)  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \rtimes_{\alpha_\Sigma} \mathbb{T}) / (\text{id} - \widehat{\alpha_\Sigma}^{-1}) K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \rtimes_{\alpha_\Sigma} \mathbb{T})$ .
- (ii)  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C)) \cong \text{Ker}(\text{id} - \widehat{\alpha_\Sigma}^{-1})$  on  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C) \rtimes_{\alpha_\Sigma} \mathbb{T})$ ,

where  $\widehat{\alpha_\Sigma}$  is the dual action of  $\alpha_\Sigma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_k^l(C)$  be the C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$  generated by  $S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^*$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda^C(v_i^l)$ ,  $|\mu| = |\nu| = k$ ,  $v_i^l \in C \cap V_l$  and  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty(C)$  the C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$  generated by  $\mathcal{F}_k^l(C)$ ,  $k \leq l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we see that

$$\mathcal{F}_k^l(C) = \mathcal{F}_k^l \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C), \quad \mathcal{F}_k^\infty(C) = \mathcal{F}_k^\infty \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(C).$$

The embeddings of  $u_{l,l+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^l \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k^{l+1}$  and  $\lambda_{k,k+1} : \mathcal{F}_k^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k+1}^\infty$  of the original AF-algebra  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ , are inherited in the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_k^l(C)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_k^\infty(C)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(C)$  is an AF-algebra. Let  $m_C(l)$  be the cardinal number of the vertex set  $C \cap V_l$ . We put  $C \cap V_l = \{u_1^l, u_2^l, \dots, u_{m_C(l)}^l\}$ . Define the following matrices:

$$A(C)_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s(e) = u_i^l, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = u_j^{l+1} \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I(C)_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{l,l+1}(u_j^{l+1}) = u_i^l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$A(C)_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} A(C)_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j),$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_C(l)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m_C(l + 1)$ . Let

$$D(C)_{l,l+1} = I(C)_{l,l+1} - A(C)_{l,l+1} : \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l+1)}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

As  $I(C)_{l+1,l+2}^t A(C)_{l,l+1}^t = A(C)_{l+1,l+2}^t I(C)_{l,l+1}^t$ , the matrix  $I(C)_{l+1,l+2}^t$  induces a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l+1)} / D(C)_{l,l+1} \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l)}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l+2)} / D(C)_{l+1,l+2} \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l+1)}$  that is denoted by  $\overline{I(C)}_{l+1,l+2}^t$ . Thanks to Theorem 4.3, we can present the K-theory formulae for ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $\lambda$ -graph system satisfying condition (II). Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $C$  its corresponding saturated hereditary subset of the vertex set of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then we have*

$$K_0(\mathcal{I}) \cong \varinjlim \left\{ \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l+1)} / D(C)_{l,l+1}^t \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l)}; \overline{I(C)}_{l+1,l+2}^t \right\},$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{I}) \cong \varinjlim \left\{ \text{Ker} D(C)_{l,l+1} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^{m_C(l)}; I(C)_{l,l+1}^t \right\}.$$

Although the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is not necessarily defined by a  $\lambda$ -graph system, in the case when  $C$  has a *bounded upper bound*, it is given by a  $\lambda$ -graph system. Let

$$V_C^l = C \cup \{v \in V \mid \text{there exists } u_0 \in C \text{ such that } t^m(u_0) = v \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

A saturated hereditary subset  $C$  of  $V$  is said to have a *bounded upper bound* if the cardinality of the set  $V_C^l \setminus C$  is finite. It is equivalent to the condition that there exists  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_n \cap V_C^l = V_n \cap C$  for all  $n \geq L$ . We will assume that  $C$  has a bounded upper bound. Take  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  as above. Define for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$V_l^C = C \cap V_{l+L},$$

$$E_{l,l+1}^C = \{e \in E_{l+L,l+L+1} \mid s(e) \in V_l^C, t(e) \in V_{l+1}^C\},$$

$$\lambda^C = \lambda|_{E^C}, \quad \iota_{l,l+1}^C = \iota|_{V_{l,l+1}^C}.$$

Since  $V_C^l \cap V_{l+L} = C \cap V_{l+L}$ , one sees that  $\iota(u) \in V_{l+1}^C$  for  $u \in V_l^C$ . It is straightforward to see that  $(V_l^C, E_{l,l+1}^C, \lambda^C, \iota_{l,l+1}^C)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  yields a  $\lambda$ -graph system, denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_C$ . We note that  $C$  has a bounded upper bound if and only if there exists  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_l = P_L$  for all  $l \geq L$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $\lambda$ -graph system satisfying condition (II). If a saturated hereditary subset  $C$  of  $V$  has a bounded upper bound, the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}(C)$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_C}$  associated with the  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}_C$ . Hence the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is stably isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_C}$ .*

**PROOF.** Take  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_n \cap V_C^l = V_n \cap C$  for all  $n \geq L$ . As  $P_l = P_L$  for all  $l \geq L$ , one has  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}(C) = P_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} P_L$  by Proposition 4.2. Let  $\mathcal{L}^{(L)} = (V^{(L)}, E^{(L)}, \lambda^{(L)}, \iota^{(L)})$  be the  $L$ -shift  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$V_l^{(L)} = V_{l+L}, \quad E_{l,l+1}^{(L)} = E_{l+L,l+L+1}, \quad \lambda^{(L)} = \lambda|_{E^{(L)}}, \quad \iota_{l,l+1}^{(L)} = \iota_{l+L,l+L+1}$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . By [28, Proposition 2.3], the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  coincides with the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{(l)}}$ . It is direct to see that  $P_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{(l)}} P_L$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^C}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}(C)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^C}$ .  $\square$

### 5. Examples

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite directed graph with finite vertex set  $V$  and finite edge set  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \lambda)$  be a labeled graph over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  defined by  $G$  and a labeling map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Suppose that it is left-resolving and predecessor-separated (see [19]). Let  $A_G$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G$  that is defined by

$$A_G(e, f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(e) = s(f), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $e, f \in E$ . The matrix  $A_G$  defines a shift of finite type by regarding the edge set  $E$  as its alphabet. Since the matrix  $A_G$  has entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ , we have the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$  defined by  $A_G$  ([7] compare [18, 33]). By putting  $V_l^{\mathcal{G}} = V$ ,  $E_{l,l+1}^{\mathcal{G}} = E$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $\lambda^{\mathcal{G}} = \lambda, \iota^{\mathcal{G}} = \text{id}$ , we have a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} = (V^{\mathcal{G}}, E^{\mathcal{G}}, \lambda^{\mathcal{G}}, \iota^{\mathcal{G}})$ . The C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}}$  is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$  ([24, Proposition 7.1]).

Let us consider the following labeled graph. The vertex set  $V$  is  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . The edges labeled  $\alpha$  are from  $v_2$  to  $v_3$  and from  $v_3$  to  $v_2$  and a self-loop at  $v_1$ . The edges labeled  $\beta$  are self-loops at  $v_1$  and at  $v_3$ . The edge labeled  $\gamma$  is from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . The resulting labeled graph is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}$ . The  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is left-resolving and satisfies condition (II). In  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$ , let  $C$  be the vertex set corresponding to  $\{v_2, v_3\}$ . It is saturated hereditary. The  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\setminus C}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$  obtained by removing  $C$  consists of one  $\iota$ -orbit of the vertex  $\{v_1\}$  with two self-loops labeled  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}} \cong \mathcal{O} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}}/\mathcal{I}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\setminus C}} \cong \mathcal{O}_2, \quad \mathcal{I}_C \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

The second example is the canonical  $\lambda$ -graph system for the Dyck shift  $D_2$ , that is not a sofic subshift. The subshift comes from automata theory and language theory (compare [1, 11]). Its alphabet  $\Sigma$  consists of two kinds of four brackets:  $(, )$ , and  $[, ]$ . The forbidden words consist of words that do not obey the standard bracket rules. Let  $\mathcal{L}^{D_2}$  be the canonical  $\lambda$ -graph system for  $D_2$ . In [29], the K-groups of the symbolic matrix system for  $\mathcal{L}^{D_2}$  have been computed. They are the K-groups for the associated C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{D_2}}$ , so that we see  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{D_2}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ , and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{D_2}}) \cong 0$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$  is the countable infinite sum of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^{D_2}}$  has a proper ideal.

The  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$  satisfies condition (II). Let  $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$  be the  $\lambda$ -graph subsystem of  $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$ , called the Cantor horizon  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $D_2$  (see [16] for details). Then  $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$  is aperiodic and a minimal irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$ . Hence the associated algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}$  is a simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra realized as a quotient of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}}$  by an ideal corresponding to a saturated hereditary subset of  $\mathfrak{L}^{D_2}$ . In [16], its  $K$ -groups have been computed to be  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbb{Z})$ , and  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}) \cong 0$ , where  $C(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbb{Z})$  denotes the abelian group of all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued continuous functions on a Cantor discontinuum  $\mathfrak{C}$ . As  $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}$  is predecessor-separated, the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}$  is generated by only the four partial isometries  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = (, ), [, ]$  corresponding to the brackets  $(, ), [, ]$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}$  is finitely generated, but its  $K_0$ -group is not finitely generated. This means that the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_2)}}$  is simple and purely infinite, but not semi-projective (compare [3]). Full details and its generalizations are seen in [16] and [20].

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions to shorten the original manuscript of this paper, especially for his advices in Section 4 to directly use Morita equivalence.

### References

- [1] A. V. Aho and J. Ullman, 'The theory of language', *Math. Systems Theory* **2** (1968), 97–125.
- [2] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, 'The  $C^*$ -algebras of row-finite graphs', *New York J. Math.* **6** (2000), 307–324.
- [3] B. Blackadar, 'Semi-projectivity in simple  $C^*$ -algebras', *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **38** (2004), 1–17.
- [4] L. G. Brown, P. Green and M. A. Rieffel, 'Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras', *Pacific. J. Math.* **71** (1977), 349–363.
- [5] T. M. Carlsen and K. Matsumoto, 'Some remarks on the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts', *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 145–160.
- [6] J. Cuntz, 'A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains II: reducible chains and the Ext-functor for  $C^*$ -algebras', *Invent. Math.* **63** (1980), 25–40.
- [7] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, 'A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains', *Invent. Math.* **56** (1980), 251–268.
- [8] V. Deaconu, 'Groupoids associated with endomorphisms', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 1779–1786.
- [9] ———, 'Generalized solenoids and  $C^*$ -algebras', *Pacific. J. Math.* **190** (1999), 247–260.
- [10] R. Excel and M. Laca, 'Cuntz-Krieger algebras for infinite matrices', *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **512** (1999), 119–172.
- [11] D. Fiebig and U.-R. Fiebig, 'Covers for coded systems', *Contemp. Math.* **135** (1992), 139–180.
- [12] N. Fowler, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, 'The  $C^*$ -algebras of infinite graphs', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (2000), 2319–2327.
- [13] A. an Huef and I. Raeburn, 'The ideal structure of Cuntz-Krieger algebras', *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **17** (1997), 611–624.

- [14] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari and Y. Watatani, 'Ideal structure and simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by Hilbert modules', *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), 295–322.
- [15] T. Katsura, 'A class of  $C^*$ -algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism  $C^*$ -algebras I, fundamental results', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 4287–4322.
- [16] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, 'A lambda-graph system for the dyck shift and its  $K$ -groups', *Doc. Math.* **8** (2003), 79–96.
- [17] A. Kumjian, D. Pask and I. Raeburn, 'Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs', *Pacific J. Math.* **184** (1998), 161–174.
- [18] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, 'Graphs, groupoids and Cuntz-Krieger algebras', *J. Funct. Anal.* **144** (1997), 505–541.
- [19] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [20] K. Matsumoto, 'On the simple  $C^*$ -algebras arising from Dyck systems', *J. Operator Theory* to appear.
- [21] ———, 'On  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts', *Internat. J. Math.* **8** (1997), 357–374.
- [22] ———, 'K-theory for  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts', *Math. Scand.* **82** (1998), 237–255.
- [23] ———, 'Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants', *Doc. Math.* **4** (1999), 285–340.
- [24] ———, ' $C^*$ -algebras associated with presentations of subshifts', *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002), 1–30.
- [25] ———, 'Symbolic dynamics and  $C^*$ -algebras', in: *Selected papers on analysis and differential equations, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 211* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003) pp. 47–70.
- [26] ———, 'A simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra associated with a lambda-graph system of the Motzkin shift', *Math. Z.* **248** (2004), 369–394.
- [27] ———, 'Construction and pure infiniteness of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $\lambda$ -graph systems', *Math. Scand.* **97** (2005), 73–88.
- [28] ———, 'Factor maps of symbolic dynamics and inclusions of  $C^*$ -algebras', *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2005), 313–339.
- [29] ———, 'K-theoretic invariants and conformal measures of the Dyck shifts', *Internat. J. Math.* **16** (2005), 213–248.
- [30] P. S. Muhly and B. Solel, 'On the simplicity of some Cuntz-Pimsner algebras', *Math. Scand.* **83** (1998), 53–73.
- [31] J. N. Renault, *A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 793 (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
- [32] M. A. Rieffel, 'Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras', *Adv. Math.* **13** (1974), 176–257.
- [33] M. Rørdam, 'Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras', *K-Theory* **9** (1995), 31–58.
- [34] M. Tomforde, 'A unified approach to Exel-Laca algebras and  $C^*$ -algebras associated to graphs', *J. Operator Theory* **50** (2003), 345–368.
- [35] Y. Watatani, 'Graph theory for  $C^*$ -algebras', in: *Operator Algebras and their Applications* (ed. R. V. Kadison), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **38, part 1** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982) pp. 195–197.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 Yokohama City University  
 Seto 22-2, Kanazawa-ku  
 Yokohama 236-0027  
 Japan  
 e-mail: kengo@yokohama-cu.ac.jp