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Abstract The aim of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of the non-local Cauchy problem for a semilinear integrodifferential equation with deviating argument. The results are established by using the method of semigroups and the contraction mapping principle. The paper generalizes certain results of Lin and Liu.
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## 1. Introduction

Using the method of semigroups and the Banach fixed-point theorem, Byszewski [9] proved the existence and uniqueness of mild, classical and strong solutions of the following non-local Cauchy problem,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right]  \tag{1.1}\\
u\left(t_{0}\right)+g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}, u(\cdot)\right)=u_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $T(t), t>0$, on a Banach space $X, 0 \leqslant t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{p} \leqslant t_{0}+a, a>0, u_{0} \in X, f:\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right] \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g:\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right]^{p} \times X \rightarrow X$ are given functions. Subsequently, Byszewski investigated the same type of problem for a different class of evolution equations in a Banach space [4-11]. Moreover, Corduneanu [12] and Gripenberg et al. [15] studied the problem for Volterra integral equations of various types using a semigroup approach. The solution of (1.1) with $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$ can be written as [18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=T\left(t-t_{0}\right) u_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} T(t-s) f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}+a \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Byszewski [9] proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.1) and (1.2) by using a fixed-point argument. He also proved that the mild solutions are classical solutions when $f \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right] \times X, X\right)$.

Now, consider the classical heat equation for a material with memory [15]:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
q(t, x) & =-E u_{x}(t, x)-\int_{0}^{t} b(t-s) u_{x}(s, x) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{1.4}\\
u_{t}(t, x) & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} q(t, x)+f(t, x) \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The first equation gives the heat flux and the second is the balance equation. Equation (1.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}(t, x)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[u(t, x)+\int_{0}^{t} b(t-s) u(s, x) \mathrm{d} s\right]+f(t, x), \quad u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that if non-local condition (1.2) is introduced to (1.5), then it will have a better effect than the classical condition $u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)$, since condition (1.2) is usually more precise for physical measurements than classical ones $[\mathbf{2 - 8}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 6}]$.

Lin and Liu $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ have studied semilinear integrodifferential equations with the nonlocal Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime}(t)=A\left[u(t)+\int_{0}^{t} F(t-s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right]+f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T \\
u(0)+g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}, u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)=u_{0},
\end{gathered}
$$

in a Banach space $X$ with $A$ the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup and $F(t)$ a bounded operator for $t \in[0, T] . f:[0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g:[0, T]^{p} \times X^{p} \rightarrow X$ are given functions.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the technique of Lin and Liu [17] to the following integrodifferential equation with a deviating argument and non-local condition of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A\left[u(t)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right]+f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T  \tag{1.6}\\
u(0)+g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)=u_{0} \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup in a Banach space $X, Z(t)$ is a bounded operator for $t \in[0, T], \sigma:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, T]$ is a continuous function such that $\sigma(t) \leqslant t$ for all $t, f:[0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X^{p} \rightarrow X$ are given functions. Let $J=[0, T]$. Since equation (1.3) played a very important role in the study of (1.1) with $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$, the corresponding formula for (1.6) and (1.7) is given by $[\mathbf{1 7}]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=R(t)\left[u_{0}-g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the semigroup $T(\cdot)$ in (1.3) is replaced by the resolvent operator $R(\cdot)$, the counterpart of $T(\cdot)$ for integrodifferential equations.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions via variations of constants formula and other properties of resolvent operators have been studied in $[\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}]$. We are able to use the techniques developed by Pazy [18], Byszewski $[\mathbf{9}]$ and Lin and Liu $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ to study the problem (1.6) and (1.7). The results generalize Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 in [ $\mathbf{1 7}]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We make the following assumptions:
(i) $A$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup in a Banach space $X$; and
(ii) $Z(t) \in B(X), 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, Z(t): Y \rightarrow Y$ for $x(\cdot)$ continuous in $Y, A Z(\cdot) x(\cdot) \in$ $L^{1}([0, T], X)$. For $x \in X, Z^{\prime}(t) x$ is continuous in $t \in[0, T]$, where $B(X)$ is the space of all linear and bounded operators on $X$, and $Y$ is the Banach space formed from $D(A)$, the domain of $A$, endowed with the graph norm. We give the following definitions.

Definition 2.1 (see [17]). $R(\cdot)$ is a resolvent operator of (1.6) with $f \equiv 0$ if $R(t) \in$ $B(X)$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$ and satisfies
(1) $R(0)=I$ (the identity operator on $X$ );
(2) for all $u \in X, R(t) u$ is continuous for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$; and
(3) $R(t) \in B(Y), 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$. For $y \in Y, R(\cdot) y \in C^{1}([0, T], X) \cap C([0, T], Y)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} R(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} y & =A\left[R(t) y+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) y \mathrm{~d} s\right] \\
& =R(t) A y+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) A Z(s) y \mathrm{~d} s, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.2. $u\left(., u_{0}\right) \in C([0, T], X)$ is a mild solution of (1.6) and (1.7) if it satisfies

$$
u(t)=R(t)\left[u_{0}-g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Definition 2.3. A classical solution of (1.6) and (1.7) is a function $u(\cdot) \in C([0, T], Y) \cap$ $C^{1}([0, T], X)$ which satisfies (1.6) and (1.7) on $[0, T]$ and we denote it by $u\left(., u_{0}\right)$.

The existence and uniqueness of resolvent operators is guaranteed by the following theorem, whose proof can be found in $[\mathbf{1 4}]$.

Theorem 2.4. Let assumptions (i) and (ii) be satisfied. Then (1.6) with $f \equiv 0$ has a unique resolvent operator.

## 3. Existence of solutions

Theorem 3.1. Assume that
(i) A generates a strongly continuous semigroup in a Banach space $X$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $u_{0} \in X$;
(ii) $f: J \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous in $t$ on $J$ and there exists a constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\left\|f\left(t, u_{1}\right)-f\left(t, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant L\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{X}, \quad t \in J, \quad u_{1}, u_{2} \in X ;
$$

(iii) $\sigma: J \rightarrow J$ is differentiable and $\sigma(t) \leqslant t$ for all $t$;
(iv) $g: X^{p} \rightarrow X$ and there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\left\|g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)-g\left(v\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant K \sup _{t \in J}\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{X} ;
$$

(v) $M=\max _{t \in J}\|R(t)\|_{B(X)}, q=(M K+M L T)<1$;
then problem (1.6) and (1.7) has a unique mild solution on $J$.
Proof. Let us take $E=C(J, X)$.
Then define an operator $F: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
(F v)(t)=R(t)\left[u_{0}-g\left(v\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) f(s, v(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in J .
$$

Now, for every $v_{1}, v_{2} \in E$ and $t \in J$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left(F v_{1}\right)(t)- & \left(F v_{2}\right)(t) \|_{X} \\
\leqslant & \|R(t)\|_{B(X)}\left\|g\left(v_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, v_{1}\left(t_{p}\right)\right)-g\left(v_{2}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, v_{2}\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t}\|R(t-s)\|_{B(X)}\left\|f\left(s, v_{1}(\sigma(s))\right)-f\left(s, v_{2}(\sigma(s))\right)\right\|_{X} \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leqslant & M K \sup _{t \in J}\left\|v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)\right\|_{X}+M L \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{1}(\sigma(s))-v_{2}(\sigma(s))\right\|_{X} \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leqslant & M K \sup _{t \in J}\left\|v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)\right\|_{X}+M L T \sup _{t \in J}\left\|v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)\right\|_{X} \\
\leqslant & (M K+M L T) \sup _{t \in J}\left\|v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)\right\|_{X}, \quad v_{1}, v_{2} \in X, \quad t \in J \\
\leqslant & q \sup _{t \in J}\left\|v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)\right\|_{X}, \quad 0<q<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that operator $F$ is a contraction on $E$. Applying Banach's fixed-point theorem we get a unique fixed-point for $F$ and this point is the mild solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7) on $J$.

Remark 3.2. If the inequality in assumption (ii) holds only for $u, v$ in a small ball in $X$, as shown in [9], then similar conditions as those in [9] can be obtained here.

Next, we prove that the mild solutions are classical solutions when $f \in C^{1}(J \times X, X)$.
Theorem 3.3. Let assumptions (i)-(v) in Theorem 3.1 be satisfied and let $u(\cdot)$ be the unique mild solution of (1.6) and (1.7). Assume further that $u_{0} \in D(A), f \in C^{1}(J \times X, X)$ and $g: X^{p} \rightarrow D(A)$. Then $u(\cdot)$ is a unique classical solutions of (1.6) and (1.7).

Proof. Since all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then problem (1.6) and (1.7) possesses a unique mild solution which is denoted by $u(\cdot)$. We will show that $u(\cdot) \in C^{1}(J, X)$.

Next, we shall show that this mild solution is a classical solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7) on $J$.

To this end, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial u(\sigma(s))} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \sigma^{\prime}(s), \quad s \in J \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
k(t)=R(t) f(0, u(\sigma(0)))+A[R(t) & \left.u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) u(0) \mathrm{d} s\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in J \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $u(0) \in Y$, from Definition 2.1 and our assumptions, $k(\cdot) \in E$. Thus, the method used in Pazy [18, pp. 184-187] or in the proof of the Theorem 3.3 above can be applied here to show that the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=k(t)+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) B(s) w(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in J \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $w(\cdot) \in E$ (see also [1]). Moreover, from the assumptions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s, u(\sigma(s+h)))-f(s, u(\sigma(s)))=B(s)[u(\sigma(s+h))-u(\sigma(s))]+w_{1}(s, h) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s+h, u(\sigma(s+h)))-f(s, u(\sigma(s+h)))=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, u(\sigma(s+h))) h+w_{2}(s, h) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{-1}\left\|w_{i}(s, h)\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $s \in J$ for $i=1,2$.

## Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{h}(t)=\frac{u(t+h)-u(t)}{h}-w(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.7) and the fact that $u(\cdot)$ is a mild solution, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{h}(t)=\left(h^{-1}[R(t+h) u(0)-R(t) u(0)]-A\left[R(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) u(0) \mathrm{d} s\right]\right) \\
& +\left(h^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{t+h} R(t+h-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s\right]\right) \\
& -\left[R(t) f(0, u(\sigma(0)))+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s\right] \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) B(s) w(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\left(h^{-1}[R(t+h) u(0)-R(t) u(0)]-A\left[R(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) u(0) \mathrm{d} s\right]\right) \\
& +\left[h^{-1} \int_{0}^{h} R(t+h-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s-R(t) f(0, u(\sigma(0)))\right] \\
& +h^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, u(\sigma(s+h))) h+w_{2}(s, h)\right] \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u(\sigma(s))} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \sigma^{\prime}(s)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times[u(\sigma(s+h))-u(\sigma(s))]+w_{1}(s, h)\right] \mathrm{d} s\right] \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) B(s) w(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\left(h^{-1}[R(t+h) u(0)-R(t) u(0)]-A\left[R(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) u(0) \mathrm{d} s\right]\right) \\
& +\left[h^{-1} \int_{0}^{h} R(t+h-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s-R(t) f(0, u(\sigma(0)))\right] \\
& +h^{-1}\left[\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s)\left[w_{1}(s, h)+w_{2}(s, h)\right] \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}[f(s, u(\sigma(s+h)))-f(s, u(\sigma(s)))] \mathrm{d} s\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial u(\sigma(s))} f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \sigma^{\prime}(s) \\
& \times\left[\frac{u(\sigma(s+h))-u(\sigma(s))}{h}-w(\sigma(s))\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{h}(t)\right\| \leqslant & \left\|h^{-1}[R(t+h) u(0)-R(t) u(0)]-A\left[R(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) R(s) u(0) \mathrm{d} s\right]\right\| \\
& +\left\|h^{-1} \int_{0}^{h} R(t+h-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s-R(t) f(0, u(\sigma(0)))\right\| \\
& +\left\|h^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} R(t-s)\left[w_{1}(s, h)+w_{2}(s, h)\right] \mathrm{d} s\right\| \\
& +\left\|h^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}[f(s, u(\sigma(s+h)))-f(s, u(\sigma(s)))] \mathrm{d} s\right\| \\
& +N \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{h}(\sigma(s)) \sigma^{\prime}(s)\right\| \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
N=\max _{t \in J}\left\|R(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial u(\sigma(s))} f(s, u(\sigma(s)))\right\|_{B(X)}
$$

From the definition of resolvent operator and our assumptions, it is clear that the norm of each one of the four first terms on the right-hand side of (3.8) tends to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{h}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \epsilon(h)+N \int_{\sigma(0)}^{\sigma(t)}\left\|w_{h}(s)\right\|_{X} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\epsilon(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.
From (3.9), it follows by Gronwall's inequality that

$$
\left\|w_{h}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \epsilon(h) \mathrm{e}^{T N}
$$

and, therefore, $\left\|w_{h}(t)\right\|_{X} \rightarrow 0, h \rightarrow 0, t \in J$.
This implies that $u(t)$ is differentiable on $J$ and that $w(t)$ is the derivative of $u(t)$. Since $w \in E, u$ is continuously differentiable on $J$.

Finally, to show that $u$ is the classical solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7). Observe that, from the continuous differentiability of $u$ and $f \in C^{1}(J \times X, X), t \rightarrow f(t, u(\sigma(t)))$ is continuously differentiable on $J$. Therefore, the linear Cauchy problem [17, Theorem 2.5]

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime}(t)=A\left[v(t)+\int_{0}^{t} Z(t-s) v(s) \mathrm{d} s\right]+f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T \\
v(0)=u_{0}-g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

has a unique classical solution $v(\cdot)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=R(t)\left[u_{0}-g\left(u\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(t_{p}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{t} R(t-s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (3.10) is $u(t)$ since $u(\cdot)$ is the mild solution. So we have $v(t)=u(t)$, $t \in J$, and, hence, $u(\cdot)$ is the classical solutions of (1.6) and (1.7). Hence, the theorem is proved.
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